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Local Complement-Targeted Intervention in Periodontitis: Proof-of-Concept Using a C5a Receptor (CD88) Antagonist

Toshiharu Abe,* Kavita B. Hosur,* Evlambia Hajishengallis,† Edimara S. Reis,‡ Daniel Ricklin,* John D. Lambris,‡ and George Hajishengallis*

When excessively activated or deregulated, complement becomes a major link between infection and inflammatory pathology including periodontitis. This oral inflammatory disease is associated with a dysbiotic microbiota, leads to the destruction of bone and other tooth-supporting structures, and exerts an adverse impact on systemic health. We have previously shown that mice deficient either in complement C5a receptor (C5aR; CD88) or TLR2 are highly and similarly resistant to periodontitis, suggesting that a cross-talk between the two receptors may be involved in the disease process. In this paper, we show that C5aR and TLR2 indeed synergize for maximal inflammatory responses in the periodontal tissue and uncover a novel pharmacological target to abrogate periodontitis. Using two different mouse models of periodontitis, we show that local treatments with a C5aR antagonist inhibited periodontal inflammation through downregulation of TNF, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-17 and further protected against bone loss, regardless of the presence of TLR2. These findings not only reveal a crucial cooperation between C5aR and TLR2 in periodontal inflammation but also provide proof-of-concept for local targeting of C5aR as a powerful candidate for the treatment of human periodontitis. The Journal of Immunology, 2012, 189: 5442–5448.

Periodontitis is a prevalent chronic inflammatory disease that causes destruction of the bone and soft tissues that surround and support the teeth (periodontium) (1). Disease is initiated by dysbiotic bacterial communities forming on subgingival tooth surfaces when periodontal tissue homeostasis is disrupted (2, 3). A major mechanism of homeostatic breakdown involves the action of “keystone pathogen” species capable of compromising immune surveillance in the periodontium (4–6). The actual damage on the periodontium is inflicted primarily by the host inflammatory response to chronic challenge by the dysbiotic periodontal microbiota (7, 8).

In its severe form, periodontitis affects 10–15% of the adult population and may lead to tooth loss and/or adversely impact systemic health (1, 9). In this regard, periodontitis is a risk factor for certain systemic inflammatory diseases, such as atherosclerotic heart disease, rheumatoid arthritis, adverse pregnancy outcomes, diabetes, and aspiration pneumonia (10–15). The graveness of this oral disease and its economic burden (16, 17) underscore the importance of implementing new and cost-effective therapeutic interventions.

The complement system is centrally involved in immunity and inflammation through direct effects on immune cells or via cross-talk and regulation of other host signaling pathways (18). Complement activation proceeds via distinct cascade mechanisms (classical, lectin, or alternative), which converge at the third component (C3) and lead to the generation of effector molecules that mediate recruitment and activation of inflammatory cells (via the anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a), microbial opsonization and phagocytosis (via opsonins such as C3b), and direct lysis of susceptible microbes (through the C5b-9 membrane attack complex) (19). However, when excessively activated or deregulated, complement may lead to inflammatory pathology (19). In this regard, clinical observations and experimental studies suggest that complement is involved in periodontal inflammation (20–24).

Mice systemically cotreated with TLR agonists and cobra venom factor, a potent complement activator, elicit dramatically high plasma levels of proinflammatory cytokines, and a similar outcome is seen in TLR agonist-treated mice lacking decay-accelerating factor, a membrane complement inhibitor (25). These findings indicate that complement can amplify inflammation through synergism with TLR signaling. Whether this “complement-TLR synergism” operates locally in the periodontal tissue is yet to be determined. A possible cross-talk between complement and TLRs in the periodontal tissue, as shown in systemic models of inflammation (24, 25), may have important implications for therapeutic approaches against periodontitis.

Our recent work implicates complement also as a target of microbial immune subversion. Specifically, the periodontal bacterium Porphyromonas gingivalis acts as a keystone pathogen that subverts C5a receptor (C5aR; CD88) and impairs host defense leading to the development of a dysbiotic microbiota (increased total counts and altered composition) (6). This altered microbiota, in turn, provokes complement-dependent inflammation and bone loss in a mouse periodontitis model (6). Taken together, our findings suggest that complement-targeted therapeutic approaches could confer combined antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory effects in periodontitis.

In this study, we showed that local administration of a C5aR antagonist (C5aRA) efficiently protected mice against periodontal...
inflammation and bone loss in both preventive and therapeutic modes of treatment. C5arRA abrogated the synergism between C5arR and TLR2, which was required for maximal inflammatory responses in the periodontium, consequently inhibiting local inflammation. Our new findings therefore provide proof-of-concept for the efficacy of C5arRA as a locally administered therapeutic agent against periodontitis.

Materials and Methods

Mice

All mouse experimental procedures described in this study have been reviewed and approved by the institutional animal care and use committee, in compliance with established federal and state policies. Specific pathogen-free mice were maintained in individually ventilated cages and were used for experiments at the age of 8–12 wk. The C5arR-/- mice, originally on a C57BL/6 genetic background (The Jackson Laboratory), were backcrossed for nine generations onto a BALB/c background (24). The rationale was that BALB/c mice were considered to be better models for periodontitis than C57BL/6, because the former genotype was shown to be more susceptible to inflammatory bone loss (26). BALB/c wild-type and C5arR-/- mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory.

C5arR (CD88) antagonist

A specific C5arR antagonist (C5arRA; PMX53), the cyclic heptapeptide Ac-[F(0P)[D(Cha-WR)] (acylated phenylalanine–ornithyl-proline–D cyclohexylalanine-trryptophyl-arginine), and an inactive C5arR analog (iC5arRA), Ac-[F(0P)[D(Cha-ADIR)] (acylated phenylalanine–ornithyl-proline–D cyclohexylalanine-trryptophyl-arginine), were synthesized as described previously (27).

Microinjection of C5arR and TLR2 agonists in the gingiva

Mouse C5a (R&D Systems) and/or a prototypical TLR2 agonist, Pam3CSK4 (InvivoGen), were microinjected locally into the palatal gingiva of wild-type, C5arR-/-, and C5arR/-/- mice (C5a, 50 ng/site; Pam3CSK4, 2 μg/site). Using a 28.5-gauge MicroFine needle (BD Biosciences), microinjections were performed at various time points after the last oral inoculation, as specified in the figures. Assessment of periodontal bone loss in defleshed maxillae was performed under a dissecting microscope (×40) fitted with a video image marker measurement system (VIA-170K; Böckeler Instruments). Specifically, the distance from the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) to the alveolar bone crest (ABC) was measured on 14 predetermined points on the buccal surfaces of the maxillary molars. To calculate bone loss, the 14-site total CEJ-ABC distance for each mouse was subtracted from the mean CEJ-ABC distance of sham-infected mice (26). The results were expressed in millimeters, and negative values indicated bone loss relative to sham controls. In intervention experiments, C5arRA was administered into the palatal gingiva through 1-μl microinjections on the mesial of the first molar and in the periodontium of the mesial of the second molar. Bone measurements were performed on the ligated second molar (three sites corresponding to mesial cuspal, palatal groove, and distal cusp) and the affected adjacent regions (sites corresponding to distal cusp and distal groove of the first molar, and palatal cusp of the third molar). To calculate bone loss, the six-site total CEJ-ABC distance for the ligated side of each mouse was subtracted from the six-site total CEJ-ABC distance of the contralateral unligated side of the same mouse. In intervention experiments in this model, C5arRA microinjections were performed at one site per mouse corresponding to the palatal gingiva of the ligated molar.

Ligature-induced periodontitis model

Periodontal inflammation and bone loss in this model is initiated by massive local accumulation of bacteria on ligated molar teeth (31). To this end, a 5-0 silk ligature was tied around the maxillary left second molar. The contralateral molar tooth in each mouse was left unligated (baseline control). Periodontal bone loss was examined 5 d after placement of the ligature. Gingival tissue was excised from around the maxillary molars and homogenized as described previously (28). Gingival tissue was excised from around the maxillary molars and homogenized as described previously (29). Cytokine levels were determined in respective extracts. ELISA using commercially available kits (eBio-science). Cytokine protein concentrations were normalized to the total protein concentrations in the tissue homogenates, as measured using the Coomasie Plus Bradford protein assay kit (Pierce). Alternatively, the excised gingival tissue was used to extract total RNA, using the Perfect-Pure RNA cell kit (5 Prime; Fisher), which was quantified by spectrometry at 260 and 280 nm. The RNA was reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Archive kit (Applied Biosystems), and real-time PCR with cDNA was performed using the ABI 7500 Fast System, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems). TaqMan probes, sense primers, and antisense primers for detection and quantification of cytokine genes by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) were purchased from Applied Biosystems. To ensure adequate material for analyses, protein and RNA were extracted from the gingiva of identically treated groups of mice, one of which was used for protein analysis, whereas the other replicate group was used for mRNA expression analysis.

P. gingivalis-induced periodontitis model

Periodontal inflammation and bone loss were induced in specific pathogen-free mice by oral inoculation with P. gingivalis, essentially as originally described by Baker (26). Briefly, by means of a ball-ended feeding needle, mice were orally inoculated five times at 2-d intervals with 10^7 CFU P. gingivalis (ATCC 33277), suspended in an equal volume of 2% bovine, Sham-inoculated controls received vehicle alone. The mice were euthanized at various time points after the last oral inoculation, as specified in the figures. Assessment of periodontal bone loss in defleshed maxillae was performed using the InStat program (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Where appropriate (comparison of two groups only), two-tailed t tests were performed. A p value < 0.05 was taken as the level of significance.

Results

C5arR and TLR2 agonists synergize for periodontal inflammation

Both complement and TLRs are implicated in periodontal disease pathogenesis in mice and humans (6, 23, 32–35). Mice deficient in either C5arR or TLR2 are essentially resistant against inflammatory periodontal bone loss (24, 36). We therefore hypothesized that periodontal inflammation may depend on synergy between C5arR and TLR2 and that pharmacological blockade of a single receptor might be sufficient to inhibit the development of periodontitis. To address whether C5arR and TLR2 cross-talk in the periodontium, we microinjected C5a and/or Pam3CSK4 (a prototypical TLR2 agonist) locally into the gingiva of wild-type mice and assessed the inflammatory response 24 h later. The coinjection of C5a and Pam3CSK4 induced significantly higher TNF, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-17A mRNA and protein levels than each agonist alone (p < 0.01; Fig. 1A, 1B). The magnitude of these responses induced by combined stimulation of C5arR and TLR2 pointed against a simple additive effect from each individual agonist but rather suggested a synergism between C5arR and TLR2 to amplify the production of proinflammatory cytokines. To further examine and confirm this notion, we coinjected C5a and Pam3CSK4 into the gingiva of wild-type, C5arR-/-, and TLR2-/- mice.
mRNA expression and protein production for each cytokine were observed only when both receptors were functional (wild-type mice), whereas the cytokine responses were dramatically and similarly diminished in mice lacking either C5aR or TLR2 ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 1C, 1D). Wild-type mice locally treated in the gingiva with a potent C5aRA (PMX-53), but not with an inactive control (iC5aRA), displayed diminished cytokine responses to combined challenge with C5a and Pam3CSK4, similarly to those seen in C5ar$^{-/-}$ or Tlr2$^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 1C, 1D). These data indicate that C5aR and TLR2 synergize for induction of periodontal proinflammatory cytokines, which could thus be controlled by pharmacological inhibition of just one of the receptors involved (C5aR).

**C5aRA inhibits periodontal inflammation in P. gingivalis-inoculated mice**

*P. gingivalis* is strongly associated with human periodontitis (1–3) and is thought to orchestrate periodontal inflammation by remodeling the periodontal microbiota into a dysbiotic state (6). We thus examined whether a preventive approach involving the pharmacological targeting of C5aR is capable to inhibit *P. gingivalis*-induced periodontal inflammation. To this end, C5aRA (0.1, 1, or 10 μg) or PBS control were microinjected into the mouse gingiva at 2-d intervals for 5 total injections, and 1 d after each treatment, the mice were inoculated orally with *P. gingivalis* in 2% carboxymethylcellulose vehicle or vehicle only (Fig. 2, left panel). One week after the last inoculation, the gingiva were dissected and analyzed by qPCR for mRNA expression of the indicated cytokines (normalized against GAPDH mRNA levels and presented as fold change relative to the transcript levels of sham-inoculated mice, which were assigned an average value of 1). Data are means ± SD ($n = 3$ mice/group) from one of two independent experiments yielding similar results. *$p < 0.01$* between the indicated groups.

**FIGURE 1.** C5aR and TLR2 synergize in periodontal inflammation. (A and B) C5a and/or Pam, or PBS control, were microinjected into the gingiva of wild-type mice as outlined in Materials and Methods. (C and D) C5a and Pam in combination were microinjected into the gingiva of wild-type, Tlr2$^{-/-}$, and C5ar$^{-/-}$ mice. The wild-type mice were additionally pretreated with C5aRA or iC5aRA control (ctrl) microinjections (each compound at 5 μg/site) 1 h prior to the combined Pam and C5a treatment. All mice were euthanized 24 h later, and gingiva were dissected to assess the indicated cytokine responses at the mRNA (A, C) or protein (B, D) level. The cytokine mRNA expression levels were normalized against GAPDH mRNA and expressed as fold induction relative to the transcript levels of PBS-microinjected mice, which were assigned an average value of 1 [in (C), the value for the PBS controls is indicated by a dashed line in lieu of bars, for clarity]. Data are means ± SD ($n = 6$ mice/group) from one of two independent experiments with similar results. *$p < 0.01$* between the indicated groups.

**FIGURE 2.** C5aRA prevents periodontal inflammation. Groups of mice were microinjected in the gingiva with the indicated amount (micrograms) of C5aRA (or PBS control) five times at 2-d intervals prior to oral inoculation with *P. gingivalis* (Pg), as indicated on the left panel (numbers indicate days). A group of mice was inoculated with vehicle alone (Sham) to serve as the baseline for the host response. One week after the last inoculation, the gingiva were dissected and analyzed by qPCR for mRNA expression of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF, IL-1β, and IL-17A involved in bone resorption. At a dose of 1 μg, C5aRA significantly ($p < 0.01$) inhibited the induction of all three cytokines, and its efficacy ($≥80%$ inhibition) was not significantly different from a 10-fold higher dose (Fig. 2, right panel).

We then set out to determine whether C5aRA can act in a therapeutic way (i.e., applied after *P. gingivalis* inoculation and inflammation). In this case, we first performed five oral inocu-
The capacity of C5aRA to inhibit periodontal inflammation suggested that this antagonist could additionally block bone loss. The protocols used for preventive or therapeutic intervention against inflammation (Figs. 2, 3, left panels) were modified by extending the experimental period to allow development of extensive periodontal bone loss (specifically, mice were euthanized 6 wk after the last P. gingivalis inoculation). When used in a preventive setup (Fig. 4A, left panel), C5aRA completely blocked the induction of periodontal bone loss in P. gingivalis-infected mice, because their bone levels were indistinguishable from those of sham-infected mice (Fig. 4A, right panel). In contrast, iC5aRA control had no effect (Fig. 4A, right panel). The protection conferred by C5aRA could be attributed to its ability to block a receptor (C5aR) required by P. gingivalis to evade immune surveillance and establish infection (6, 28). This notion was supported by our new findings that P. gingivalis failed to colonize the periodontium in the absence of C5aR. Indeed, P. gingivalis was hardly detectable in C5ar⁻² mice 4 or 14 days after the last inoculation, although it could readily colonize the periodontium of wild-type mice (Fig. 4B). Importantly, however, C5aRA could significantly inhibit periodontal bone loss (by 67%; p < 0.01) even when this antagonist was administered 14 d after the last P. gingivalis inoculation (Fig. 5), a time interval sufficient for measurable periodontal bone loss to occur (30). Therefore, mice already colonized with P. gingivalis can also be protected by C5aRA administered after the onset of the disease.

C5aRA inhibits ligature-induced periodontitis

Periodontitis fundamentally represents disruption of homeostasis associated with multiple etiologies and disease modifiers (1, 11, 13, 37, 38). Although P. gingivalis can disrupt periodontal homeostasis, host factors may also lead to similar dysbiotic effects. For instance, leukocyte adhesion deficiency leads to dysbiosis and periodontitis in both humans and mice (2, 4). We therefore set out to determine whether C5aRA could inhibit periodontal bone loss in a model where periodontitis was induced independently of
P. gingivalis. For this purpose, we used the “ligature-induced periodontitis model,” where a silk ligature is placed around molar teeth resulting in massive local bacterial accumulation and rapid induction of severe bone loss in specific pathogen-free (but not germ-free) animals (31).

Periodontal bone loss was rapidly induced (within 5 d) at the ligated sites relative to the contralateral unligated sites (zero baseline) (Fig. 6A). Importantly, mice locally treated with C5aRA at the ligated sites displayed ∼50% less bone loss compared with PBS-treated controls (p < 0.01; Fig. 6A). Consistent with the acute inflammatory nature of this model, high expression levels of IL-6 as well as IL-17A and G-CSF [which orchestrate neutrophil mobilization and recruitment (39)] were induced in the ligated sites of PBS-treated mice, whereas TNF and IL-1β were induced at relatively lower expression levels (Fig. 6B). The expression of all these proinflammatory cytokines was, however, significantly inhibited in mice treated with C5aRA (p < 0.05; Fig. 6B) in line with the protective effect of C5aRA on bone loss (Fig. 6A). These data suggest that C5aR signaling is crucial for triggering inflammation-mediated bone loss, even in models that do not involve microbial exploitation of C5aR for subversion of host defense (i.e., as opposed to the P. gingivalis-induced periodontitis model, where P. gingivalis exploits C5aR to subvert host defense, establish colonization, and cause dysbiosis and periodontal inflammation [Refs. 6, 28 and this study]). This notion was independently confirmed by findings that C5ar<sup>−/−</sup> mice were protected against ligature-induced periodontitis relative to wild-type controls (p < 0.01; Fig. 6C).

Collectively, our data demonstrate a cross-talk between C5aR and TLR2 in periodontitis and provide proof-of-concept that local pharmacological inhibition of C5aR is a promising approach for the treatment of periodontal inflammation and bone loss.

**Discussion**

Currently, there is urgent need for developing antimicrobial or host-modulation strategies as adjuncts to existing periodontal therapy (40, 41). Conventional periodontal treatment is often not sufficient by itself to control destructive inflammation and many patients develop recurrent disease (42). New adjunctive therapeutic modalities are likely to be more effective if they are based on good understanding of the underlying immunopathology. In this regard, we have shown that C5aR signaling is centrally involved in inflammatory periodontal bone loss. The crucial in-
volvement of C5aR in periodontitis is not surprising given the extensive interconnections of complement with a variety of inflammatory and immunological networks and the important role of C5aR in the modulation of immune responses (19). C5aR cross-talks and synergizes with TLR2 for periodontal inflammation (this study), and moreover, the C5aR–TLR2 cross-talk is crucially involved in immune subversion by P. gingivalis (3, 28). The latter mechanism enables P. gingivalis to act as a keystone pathogen of the periodontal microbiota (5, 6). Taken together, these findings provide a mechanistic basis for the protective effects of C5aRA in periodontitis seen in this study.

When used preventively, C5aRA completely abrogated periodontal bone loss in P. gingivalis-inoculated mice. This is likely because P. gingivalis depends on a functional C5aR to colonize the periodontal tissue (Fig. 4B), which in turn is required to cause dysbiosis and periodontitis (6). C5aRA was effective in inhibiting periodontitis even when used therapeutically (i.e., after the onset of the disease). This is important because periodontal intervention would normally be implemented in a therapeutic rather than a preventive manner. Nevertheless, adjunctive periodontal therapy could also be provided on a preventive basis to high-risk individuals for periodontitis, such as cigarette smokers, diabetic patients, or individuals with systemic diseases affecting neutrophil function (43).

As alluded to above, C5aRA may exhibit two distinct modes of action, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory, against periodontitis. First, it can block C5aR access to P. gingivalis and thereby deprive the bacterium of a crucial immune subversion strategy (inhibition of the leukocyte killing capacity) (28), required for tissue persistence of P. gingivalis and promotion of its dysbiotic effects (6). It should be noted that, generally, complement inhibition is not an antimicrobial strategy, but the specialized subversion mechanism of this keystone pathogen justifies this approach as antimicrobial in the case of periodontitis. Second, C5aRA can abrogate the C5aR–TLR2 inflammatory synergism in the periodontal tissue, as shown in this study using purified agonists of the two receptors. Obviously, the capacity of C5aRA to protect against ligature-induced periodontitis could not be attributed to counteraction of P. gingivalis immune subversion but instead to inhibition of several proinflammatory cytokines (see below). This mode of C5aRA action is important in that the breakdown of periodontal homeostasis may not always or inevitably involve P. gingivalis. For instance, disrupted periodontal homeostasis leading to severe inflammation and bone loss is a frequent finding in individuals with neutrophil dysfunctions (44). In this context, we have shown that neutrophil dysfunctions in mice (due to lack of the LFA-1 integrin or the CXCR 2) can lead to dysbiosis and periodontitis in the absence of P. gingivalis (6).

The role of TNF, IL-1β, and IL-6 in destructive periodontal inflammation in humans and animal models is well established (45, 46). Recent evidence also suggests a pathogenic role for IL-17. This cytokine has been implicated on the basis of its increased production levels in diseased gingiva and in gingival crevicular fluid of periodontitis patients (7, 47–49), and a causal link between IL-17 and periodontal bone loss was demonstrated in mice (50). C5aRA inhibited all four proinflammatory and bone resorptive cytokines investigated. This readily explains its protective action, which ranged from 52 to 100% inhibition of bone loss depending on the mode of intervention (preventive or therapeutic) and model used. In a recent ligature-induced periodontitis study in rats, chemically similar C5aRA was administered in the drinking water, prior to and during the disease, and was clearly less effective (<20% inhibition of bone loss) (51); this might be due to the different mode of administration, although the different animal species used might be another contributory factor. In the same study, the authors did not examine the effect of C5aRA treatment on periodontal inflammation or other possible mechanisms underlying their observation (51). Modes of local administration (i.e., which restrict the action of C5aRA to the periodontal tissue as in the current study) are likely to be safer because systemic inhibition of complement may predispose to increased susceptibility to microbial infections.

In summary, at least in preclinical models, the antagonistic blockade of C5aR prevents or arrests the development of periodontitis by counteracting microbial immune evasion and suppressing the induction of proinflammatory and bone-resorptive cytokines. C5aR antagonists are well tolerated and are in early clinical trials for the treatment of inflammatory diseases (52, 53). The findings of this study suggest that locally applied C5aR antagonists may potentially find application for the treatment of human periodontitis.
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