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The Inhibitory HVEM-BTLA Pathway Counter Regulates Lymphotoxin β Receptor Signaling to Achieve Homeostasis of Dendritic Cells

Carl De Trez,* Kirsten Schneider,* Karen Potter,* Nathalie Droiın,* James Fulton,* Paula S. Norris,* Suk-won Ha,* Yang-Xin Fu,† Theresa Murphy,‡ Kenneth M. Murphy,‡ Klaus Pfeffer,§ Chris A. Benedict,* and Carl F. Ware2*

Proliferation of dendritic cells (DC) in the spleen is regulated by positive growth signals through the lymphotoxin (LT)-β receptor; however, the counteracting inhibitory signals that achieve homeostatic control are unresolved. Mice deficient in LTα, LTβ, LTβR, and the NFκB inducing kinase show a specific loss of CD8a− DC subsets. In contrast, the CD8a− DC subsets were overpopulated in mice deficient in the herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) or B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA). HVEM- and BTLA-deficient DC subsets displayed a specific growth advantage in repopulating the spleen in competitive replacement bone marrow chimeric mice. Expression of HVEM and BTLA were required in DC and in the surrounding microenvironment, although DC expression of LTβR was necessary to maintain homeostasis. Moreover, enforced activation of the LTβR with an agonist Ab drove expansion of CD8a+ DC subsets, overriding regulation by the HVEM-BTLA pathway. These results indicate the HVEM-BTLA pathway provides an inhibitory checkpoint for DC homeostasis in lymphoid tissue. Together, the LTβR and HVEM-BTLA pathways form an integrated signaling network regulating DC homeostasis. The Journal of Immunology, 2008, 180: 238–248.

Dendritic cells (DC) are bone marrow-derived APCs that play a crucial role bridging innate and adaptive immune responses through the activation of naive Ag-specific T cells (1). Expression of CD11c, in addition to the common hematopoietic markers CD11b, F4/80, CD24 (HSA), CD205 (DEC-205), aid in defining DC subpopulations in mouse lymphoid organs (2). Three main subpopulations of CD11c+ DC expressing DC are present in the mouse spleen, the CD8α+ DC subset, and the CD4+ and a CD8α+CD4− dual negative subsets, the latter two forming the CD8α+ DC subset. The CD4+ and CD8α+/− DC subsets are principally localized in the marginal zone bridging channels, and extend in the red pulp whereas the CD8α+ DC are found in the T cell-rich area in the white pulp (3). Several lines of evidence indicate that DC subpopulations possess distinct functions, although both CD8α+ and CD8α− DC present Ag to T cells (4, 5). A fourth subset of splenic DC is the plasmacytoid DC (pDC), which expresses low levels of CD11c (B220+CD11b−) and are distinguished functionally by secretion high levels of type I IFNs in response to challenge with viral and bacterial pathogens (6, 7). In the lymph node, two additional DC subsets can be delineated by relatively low expression of CD8, high levels of MHC II with either moderate or high expression of DEC-205 (8).

The pathways regulating the development and homeostasis of DC subpopulations are unresolved (9). Cellular reconstitution studies showed that CD8α+ thymic and splenic DC are derived from early CD4low thymic precursors, leading to the idea that some DC could have a lymphoid origin (10, 11). However, such a pathway seems less likely in view of the observations that common myeloid progenitor cells as well as lymphoid progenitors can differentiate into both CD8α+ and CD8α− DC subsets (12–14). More recent evidence indicates that a resident DC precursor gives rise to conventional CD8− and CD8a+ subsets independently of pDC (15). Genetic analysis of DC development and homeostasis has revealed distinct genes control the major DC subsets. The CD8α+ DC subset is affected by genetic deficiency in ICSBP (IFN consensus sequence binding factor), also called IRF-8 (IFN response factor-8), Id2 (helix-loop-helix family transcription factor inhibitor DNA binding-2), and Jak3 (Janus tyrosine kinase) (16–18). By contrast, genes involved in the differentiation of the CD8α− DC subset include Ikars C−/−, transcription factor PU.1, IRF-2 and IRF-4 (IFN regulatory factor), Notch-dependent transcription factor RBP-J, TRAF6 (TNF receptor associated factor-6), and RelB (NFκB) and lymphotoxin-β receptor (LTβR) (19–26).

Recent evidence indicates the LTβR is a growth regulator of DC in lymphoid tissues (26). TNF does not appear to play this role but does influence DC differentiation in the bone marrow; however, LTα−/−, LTβ−/−, and LTβR−/− mice exhibit normal bone marrow DC subsets (27). In contrast, dysregulation of DC in peripheral lymphoid organs is apparent in LT-deficient mice, but was previously thought to be a result of disrupted architecture and loss...
of chemokines. Recent evidence lessens that possibility and supports the idea that LTβR provides a key signal for self-renewal directly to CD8α−DC subsets (26). Interestingly, RelB is a downstream target of LTβR signaling (28) raising the possibility these molecules function in a common pathway regulating growth of CD8α−DC subsets.

Counter regulatory pathways should exist that operate to limit the growth promoting actions of the LTβR pathway in DC. However, the LTβR is one constituent of a multicomponent system of interconnected signaling pathways, with no defined inhibitory systems that would directly counter regulate signaling. The LTβR binds two ligands, the membrane LTαβ complex and LIGHT (LT-related inducible ligand that competes for glycoprotein D binding to herpesvirus entry mediator on T cells), yet LIGHT also engages the herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) (TNFRSF14) (29, 30). The LIGHT-HVEM pathway appears to play a prominent role as a positive cosignaling pathway during T cell activation akin to its TNFR paralogs (e.g., 4–1BB, OX40, CD27) (31). Adding to the complexity is the recent observation that HVEM engages a non-canonical ligand, B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA) (32).

BTLA, a member of the Ig superfamily, is activated by HVEM binding, attenuating Ag receptor signals through an ITIM/ITSM-dependent recruitment of Src homology phosphatase-1 or -2 (33, 34). BTLA and LIGHT bind HVEM at distinct sites (35–37), yet membrane-anchored LIGHT can noncompetitively displace BTLA, suggesting HVEM serves as a molecular switch between positive and inhibitory signaling (35). HVEM-BTLA pathway plays an inhibitory role in regulating T cell proliferation (38–41). The interconnectedness of these cytokines is further underscored by the binding of secreted LTαβ/LIGHT systems precludes a clear assignment of the pathways involved in regulating DC homeostasis, which is further complicated by the multiple cell types including Ag-activated T and B lymphocytes, NK cells and lymphoid tissue inducer (LTi) cells expressing the various ligands and receptors.

In this study, using mice genetically deficient in the various components of the LTαβ/LIGHT pathways and pharmacological modulation of the LTβR pathway, we demonstrate that LTαβ−LTβR via NFκB-inducing kinase (NIK) is the predominant signaling pathway

**FIGURE 1.** LTαβ-LTβR interaction mediates homeostasis of CD8− DC subsets. A, CD11c+ cells in the spleen were analyzed for CD4 and CD8α expression in wt B6, LTβR−/−, LTβ−, LTα−, LIGHT−/− and LTβ/LIGHT-deficient mice by flow cytometry as described in Materials and Methods. A representative histogram is shown for each mouse strain. The ratio of CD8α+ to CD4+ DC subsets was calculated from values in the upper left and lower right quadrants. B, The percentage of DC are presented as a fraction of total nucleated splenocytes (top panel) and the total number of DC (bottom panel) in the spleen from the indicated gene deficient mice. Each data point represents an individual animal and the data are pooled from two analyses. C, The percentage (top panel) and total number (bottom panel) of individual CD4+, CD8α, and CD8α/CD4+ DC subsets within the gated CD11c+CD11c− DC. The bars are the mean ± SD from at least three mice per group and the data are representative of three independent experiments. In all panels, Student t test evaluation of significance where * denotes p < 0.05, ** denotes p < 0.01, and *** denotes p < 0.001, respectively, between the indicated groups.
that positively regulates the growth of CD4+ and CD8α−/4− DC subsets in lymphoid tissues. By contrast, HVEM- and BTLA-deficient mice both show a specific increase in the CD4+ and CD4−CD8α+ DC subsets, providing a counteracting, inhibitory checkpoint in the accumulation of DC in lymphoid tissues. Together the results indicate homeostasis of DC within lymphoid tissues is achieved by integration of positive and inhibitory signals through the LTαβ-LTβR and HVEM-BTLA pathways.

Materials and Methods

Mice and reagents

C57BL/6 (B6) and LТαβ−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Mice deficient in LTβR−/− (42), LIGHT−/− (43), LTβ+−/− (44), LTβ/ LIGHT−/− (43), HVEM−/− (45), alymphoplasia (aly) (46), or BTLA−/− (47) were inbred in the C57Bl/6 background. LTβ/LIGHT/HVEM−/− mice were generated by backcrossing the indicated strains and bred at the LIAI. All the knockout mice used in this study were backcrossed for LTβR, n = 10 generations; LТα, n = 8 and the others n = 5. Sex- and age-matched male and female mice between 7 and 10 wk of age were used in

FIGURE 2. Homeostasis of splenic DC subsets is independent of the lymphoid tissue organizing chemokines. A, Eight weeks after bone marrow reconstitution, splenocytes within the CD11c+ population (gates indicated in figure) were analyzed for expression of CD4 and CD8α. A representative histogram is shown for each group of chimeras and is representative of two independent experiments. The ratio of CD8α to CD4 DC subsets was calculated from values in the upper left and lower right quadrants. B, Quantitative PCR analysis of chemokine mRNA expressed in the spleen from the bone marrow chimera is presented as the relative amount of indicated mRNA normalized to 18S. Error bars are the mean ± SD from at least two mice per group and the data are representative of two independent experiments.

all experiments. Mice were treated with the mouse LTβR-Fc decoy receptor or agonistic anti-LTβR Ab (4H8) by i.p. injection of 100 μg of each reagent every 3 to 4 days. These reagents were prepared as described (48). All breeding and experimental protocols were performed under the approval by LIAI Animal Care Committee.

Cell preparation from lymphoid organs

Flow cytometry

Spleens were perfused with balanced salt solution containing collagenase (0.35 mg/ml; CLSIII; Worthington Biochemical), incubated for 30 min at 37°C in HBSS medium containing collagenase (1.4 mg/ml) and further dissociated in 2 mM EDTA saline and passage through a 70 μm nylon mesh filter. Spleen cells were analyzed by flow cytometry with a FACS-Calibur cytometer (BD Bioscience) with FlowJo software (Tree Star). The cells were blocked with anti-FcR 2.4G2 (anti-Fc receptor; BD Pharmingen) and then stained with fluorescein (FITC)-coupled anti-mouse HVEM (14C1.1) and hamster anti-C57BL/6 BTLA (6A6). An-ti-rat IgM-PE or anti-Armenian hamster IgG-PE (BD Pharmingen) were used as the chromophores, respectively. In parallel, rat IgM or hamster IgG Abs were used as controls for nonspecific background staining. The background staining was similar to staining obtained with the specific anti-HVEM or anti-BTLA-specific Abs of cells from HVEM- or BTLA-deficient mice, respectively (data not shown). Cells were gated according to size and scatter to eliminate dead cells and debris from analysis.

BrdU labeling

Mice were administered BrdU (2 mg/mouse) i.p. for a 16 h pulse. Splenocytes were stained with anti-CD4-PE, anti-CD8α-PerCP and anti-CD11c allophycocyanin. BrdU incorporation was visualized using FITC BrdU detection kit (BD Pharmingen) and flow cytometry.

Bone marrow chimeras

Bone marrow chimeric mice were generated by i.v. transfer of bone marrow cells (5 × 106) from LTβR-deficient or C57BL/6 mice into previously lethally irradiated (9.5 Gy, Csium) C57BL/6 and LTβR-deficient recipients. Bone marrow was isolated from donor femurs and tibia and depleted of RBC. Eight weeks after reconstitution, flow cytometry was used to analyze DC subsets.
Quantitative PCR

Eight weeks after reconstitution, spleens from LTβR chimera were recovered, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and total mRNA from spleen was isolated with Trizol (Invitrogen), digested with DNase and reverse transcribed. cDNA was used for real-time PCR on a MX4000 with SyBr Green detection protocol as outlined by the manufacturer. Sequence-specific chemokine primers are available from the corresponding author.

Results

LTαβ-LTβR signaling regulates DC homeostasis.

The LTβR utilizes at least two distinct ligands, the heterotrimeric LTα1β2 complex and LIGHT, both of which activate the LTβR. To distinguish the roles of these two ligands in DC homeostasis, C57BL/6 (B6) mice deficient in LTβ (LTβ−/−), LTα (LTα−/−), or

**FIGURE 4.** HVEM and BTLA counter regulate homeostasis of CD4+ and CD4+CD8α− DC subsets. A, CD11c<sup>hi</sup> cells gated as indicated were analyzed for CD4 and CD8α expression in wt B6, HVEM- or BTLA-deficient mice. A representative histogram is shown for each mouse strain. The ratio of CD8α to CD4 DC subsets was calculated from values in the upper left and lower right quadrants. B, The percentage of DC as a fraction of total nucleated splenocytes (top panel), the percentage of individual DC subsets (middle panel) and the total number cells in each DC subset (bottom panel) in the spleen from the indicated gene-deficient mice. Each data point represents the value obtained from an individual animal and the data are pooled from two analyses. Bars show the mean ± SD from at least n = three mice per group and the data are representative of three independent experiments. Student’s t test was performed where *, **, and *** denote significance of p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, respectively, between the indicated groups. C, Flow cytometric analysis of HVEM and BTLA expression by CD8α<sup>+</sup>, and CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>−</sup> DC subsets within gated DC from WT (solid line) and HVEM-deficient mice (dashed line) and WT mice (BTLA staining = solid line and ctrl staining = dashed line), respectively.
Table I. DC reconstitution in mixed bone marrow chimeras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimera—recipient</th>
<th>Live cells and CD11εDCb DC subpopulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD45.2/CD45.1 ratioa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CD45.2 (%)d</th>
<th>CD45.1 (%)d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD8α⁺</td>
<td>CD4⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/BTLA/wt</td>
<td>19 ± 6</td>
<td>62 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/BTLA/BLA</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>53 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/HVEM/wt</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td>46 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt/HVEM/HVEM</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>48 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The two populations of cells expressing allelic forms of CD45 were identified by flow cytometry using the anti-CD45.2 mAb (clone 104). The CD45.2/CD45.1 ratio within the live cell gate was calculated as the sum of the percentage of CD45.2⁺ cells divided by the sum of percentage of CD45.1⁻ cells.

b Mixed bone marrow chimeras were made by transferring a 1:1 ratio of CD45.1⁻/H11006 bone marrow cells and CD45.2⁺/H9251 wt mice to lethally irradiated (10 Gy, Cesium) CD45.1⁻/H11006 or CD45.2⁺/H9251 mice. Spleens from mice were analyzed by flow cytometry 8 wk later.
c The CD45.2/CD45.1 DC ratio was calculated by determining DC with in the FSC-CD11chi live gate and dividing the sum of the percentage of CD45.2⁺ DC by the sum of percentage of CD45.1⁻ DC.
d Mean percentage of each DC subset ± SEM for the CD45.2⁺ and CD45.1⁻ populations.
e The CD8α⁺ DC subset ratio was the calculated sum of the percentage of CD8α⁺ DC divided by the sum of the percentage of CD4 DC.

LIGHT (LIGHT−/−) were analyzed by flow cytometry for the major DC subsets in the spleen in comparison to wild-type (wt) and LTβR−/− mice. The total number of CD11c⁺DCb cells from the spleen was reduced in LTβ−/− and LTα−/− mice when compared with wt B6 mice in a pattern identical to LTβR−/− mice (Fig. 1A). The ratio of CD8α⁺ to CD4⁺ DC subsets in wt B6 mice is 0.5; however, this ratio was inverted in LTβ (2.0), LTα (2.0), and LTβR-deficient mice (1.7). The inverted DC ratio in the LT-deficient mice reflected a specific decrease in the percentage of CD8α⁺ and CD4⁺ DC subsets (Fig. 1C).

LTβR signaling controls the expression of several chemokines required for the maturation of the splenic architecture, which might effect migration of DC in the adult animal. However, the specific loss of these DC subsets in LTβR−/− mice was independent of the expression of lymphoid organizing chemokines, CCL21 (SLC), CCL19 (ELC), and CXCL13 (BLC) as determined with bone marrow chimeras that isolated LTβR expression in either the bone marrow or the radioresistant stroma (Fig. 2). LTβR−/− recipients reconstituted with wt B6 bone marrow had normal CD4⁺ and CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ DC subsets, yet chemokine levels were as depressed as LTβR−/− mice, whereas in the reciprocal chimeras (LTβR−/− → B6) chemokine expression was normal, but the DC subset ratio was inverted. These results indicate the DC phenotype in LT-deficient mice was not due to impaired maturation of the splenic architecture.

In contrast to LT-deficient mice, genetic deficiency in LIGHT, a second ligand for LTβR, showed normal cellularity in DC and a normal CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ DC ratio (r = 0.5) (Fig. 1). Mice deficient in both LTβ and LIGHT (LTβ/LIGHT−/−) revealed an inverted CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ DC ratio (r = 2.2) suggesting no additional role of LIGHT in controlling the number of DC in the spleen (Fig. 1). However, we observed a difference in the size of the spleen from LTβ and LIGHT/LTβ-deficient mice. An accounting of the cellularity revealed increased number of cells in the spleen of LTβ, LTα, and LTβR-deficient mice relative to wt mice (cellularity increased 45, 56, and 67%, respectively), proportionally effecting all the major lymphoid and myeloid subpopulations, with a corresponding enlargement of the spleen (Fig. 3). Deleting LIGHT in the LTβ−/− mice decreased the total number of cells in the spleen to that of wt mice. Although the total number of cells decreased, which gives the appearance of an additional effect on DC subsets, the ratio of CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ (2.2) remained inverted in the LTβ/LIGHT−/− suggesting that LIGHT was not influencing CD8α⁺ DC subset. Previous work attributed the increase in the total number of splenocytes in LT-deficient mice to the lack of peripheral lymphoid organs (42), however, that notion is inconsistent with the observation that lymph nodes and Peyer’s Patches are also missing in the LTβ/LIGHT−/− mice (43). This result also lessens the likelihood that the migration of immediate DC precursor population to the spleen was affected in the LTβ/LIGHT−/− mice (49). That the effect of LIGHT on splenic size and cellularity occurred only in the absence of LTβ suggests that LIGHT counter regulates the LTα/ LTβR pathway to influence cellularity of the spleen.

The HVEM-BTLA pathway provides an inhibitory checkpoint for the homeostasis of CD8α⁺ DC subsets. The finding that DC subsets were normal in the LIGHT−/− mice raised the issue of whether HVEM-BTLA pathway was involved in regulating DC homeostasis. Surprisingly in contrast to mice deficient in LTβR signaling, HVEM−/− mice had a significantly higher percentage of DC in the spleen with an altered CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ DC ratio (0.3) reflecting a specific increase in the CD4⁺ and CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ DC subsets compared with wt mice (Fig. 4A). BTLA-deficient mice displayed an identical phenotype to the HVEM−/− mice, with reduced CD8α⁺/CD4⁺ DC ratio (0.3) resulting also from...
an increase in CD4+ and CD8α−/4− DC subsets when compared with wt mice (Fig. 4B).

All of the conventional DC subsets in spleens of B6 mice express HVEM, BTLA (Fig. 4C), and LTβR (26). Interestingly, BTLA expression was detectable on CD4+ and CD8α−/4− subsets but more prominent on CD8α+ DC subset (Fig. 4C). Thus, all DC express the potential to deliver and receive information via the HVEM-BTLA pathway.

DC homeostasis depends on intrinsic expression of the LTβR (26) (Fig. 2) raising the issue of whether HVEM and BTLA expression is required in the hemopoietic or stromal compartments. To test this issue, we generated mixed bone marrow chimeric mice. The results demonstrate that DC from BTLA−− bone marrow (CD45.2) were much more abundant (6.2-fold) than the DC from wt (CD45.1) in wt recipients, although the CD45.2/CD45.1 ratio of total spleen cells was approximately 1 in reconstituted mice indicating equivalent potential of cells from wt or deficient mice to replenish the spleen (Table I). HVEM−− DC (CD45.2) also showed an enhanced capacity (4.6-fold) to reconstitute the spleen of wt recipient mice. Reconstitution of the DC pool in recipients deficient in HVEM or BTLA expression moderated the advantage of DC lacking BTLA (wt/BTLA−→BTLA−; CD45 ratio = 2.0) or HVEM (wt/HVEM−→HVEM−; CD45 ratio = 2.8) compared with wt recipients suggesting the radioreistant stroma contributes to HVEM-BTLA dependent regulation of DC.

Analysis of the DC subsets in the mixed chimeras presented a more complex picture on the role of HVEM-BTLA in regulating splenic DC (Table I). The ratio of CD8α+/CD4− DC subsets in the CD45.2+ population in the wt or BTLA−− recipients were nearly equivalent (r = ~0.3) and identical to the ratio in BTLA null mice. In contrast, the wt/HVEM mixed chimeras displayed a ratio = 0.6, near wt, that was due to an increase in the CD45.2+ CD8α− DC subset. This result suggested that HVEM intrinsically regulates CD8α− DC subset. Interestingly, the CD45.1 DC displayed a CD8α+/CD4− ratio = 0.3, independent of recipient background, indicating that the absence of HVEM in the CD45.2 DC population can affect the CD8α− subsets in wt DC.

To address whether the inhibitory function of HVEM-BTLA pathway was dominant relative to LTβR signaling, we generated mice deficient in all three “ligands” by crossing LTβR/LIGHT−− mice with HVEM−− mice. The percentage and numbers of DC in spleens from the triple deficient mice were similar to the LTβR/LIGHT−− mice (Fig. 5), but the ratio of CD8α+/CD4− DC subsets was comparable to wt (0.6), reflecting a decrease in the CD8α− subset and an increase in the CD4− subset (Fig. 5). Although the LTβR/LIGHT−− mice displayed decreased CD8α− DC cell numbers because of the relative smaller spleen and cell numbers already discussed, the inclusion of HVEM deficiency further decreased the number of cells in the CD8α− DC subset, yet the CD4− subset increased (Fig. 3B) restoring the ratio to that of wt (0.6). This observation was confirmed in BTLA−− mice treated with the LTβR-Fc decoy, which neutralizes both LIGHT and LTαβ. LTβR-Fc decoy treated BTLA−− mice exhibited decreased DC cellularity and the subset ratio was altered to r = 0.6, recapitulating the phenotype of the triple deficient mice (Fig. 6). The ability of
LTβR-Fc decoy treatment to modulate DC supports the idea that continuous LTβR signaling is required for the homeostasis of CD8α+ DC subsets, diminishing the likelihood this phenotype is due to a genetic artifact or a developmentally fixed defect.

Other hematopoietic cells including pDC, granulocytes, monocytes and macrophages in the spleen were unaffected in the triple deficient mice or in the LTβR-Fc decoy treated BTLA−/− mice (data not shown). Deletion of HVEM in the triple-deficient mice did not alter the size of the spleen or the total number of splenocytes that was observed in the LTβR/LIGHT−/− mice, indicating the HVEM-BTLA pathway does not contribute to this phenotype.

The LTαβ-LTβR and HVEM-BTLA pathways regulate different phases of DC homeostasis

Although the previous results indicated that both LTαβ-LTβR and HVEM-BTLA pathways regulated the same DC subsets, it was not clear whether these pathways converge at a common or distinct phase in DC differentiation. We took a pharmacological approach to address whether enforced activation of the LTβR with an agonist mAb would act in dominant or recessive fashion to HVEM-BTLA. Administration of the anti-LTβR mAb over a 14-day period increased the percentage of splenic DC in LTβR/LIGHT−/− mice with a specific rise in the CD8α−CD4 DC subset to levels that the CD8α−CD4 DC ratio (0.3) exceeded the ratio in wt mice (Fig. 7, A and B), and comparable to mice lacking either HVEM or BTLA (Fig. 4, A and B). A modest effect was observed in the CD8α+ DC subset. Similar results were also obtained in LTα-deficient mice after administration of the agonistic anti-LTβR Ab, however treatment of LTβR−/− mice with the agonist anti-LTβR had no effect on the DC compartment confirming the specificity of this Ab (data not shown). Surprisingly, when the agonist LTβR Ab was administered to wt mice the cellularity of DC in the spleen also increased, as did the percentage of CD8α+ DC subsets, with the total number of cells exceeding that of wt (Fig. 7C). The effect of the agonist anti-LTβR was reflected in the increase in the percentage of DC, but not in a major shift in the CD8α/CD4 DC ratio, which is in contrast to the response in LTβR/LIGHT−/− mice. Thus, the effect of the agonist anti-LTβR appeared to override the inhibitory action of HVEM-BTLA, suggesting LTβR signaling is dominant or functions independently of HVEM-BTLA.

The proliferation inducing activity of LTβR signaling in the CD8α+ DC subsets can be measured by nucleotide (bromodeoxyuridine, BrdU) incorporation in dividing DC (26). The division of both mature DC and their immediate precursors are represented in the population during the 16 h BrdU labeling period. The number of cells specifically incorporating BrdU increased in the CD4+ and CD8α−4 DC subsets in HVEM−/− and BTLA−/− mice reflecting a net accumulation of cells in those subsets (Fig. 7D). As expected, dramatically fewer cells incorporated BrdU in LTβR/LIGHT-deficient mice, which is consistent with the corresponding loss in the percentage of proliferating cells in each DC subset (Fig. 7D, bottom panel). However, there was no significant change in the percentage of proliferating cells within each subset in either HVEM−/− or BTLA−/− mice when compared with wt mice. This result indicates that the inhibitory effect of HVEM-BTLA does not impinge on the LTβR-dependent proliferation of DC.

We addressed whether the LTβR and HVEM-BTLA pathways could be distinguished at the level of down stream signaling pathways by examining the noncanonical NFκB pathway. LTβR signaling activates the formation of Rel B/p52 complex by inducing the processing of p100, the precursor form of p52, which is dependent on NIK. HVEM also has the potential to activate the NIK-dependent RelB NFκB pathway (50). Mice harboring a defective NIK gene (aly/aly) (46) exhibited a DC profile identical to mice deficient in LTαβ, LTβR, or LTβR with decreased percentage of CD11c+ DC and an inverted CD8α/CD4+ DC ratio (2.0), specifically reflecting the decreased cellularity of CD4+ and CD8α− DC subsets (Fig. 8). Moreover, NIK mutant mice had a larger spleen with increased cellularity, a phenocopy of mice deficient in LTβR, LTαβ or LTβR (Fig. 3). However, pDC, granulocytes, monocytes and macrophages in aly mice were similar to normal B6 mice (data not shown). The similarity in phenotype of aly mice suggests that NIK acts in a common pathway with LTαβ-LTβR to regulate DC homeostasis. The results further suggest that BTLA is not activating HVEM to engage NIK in regulating DC homeostasis.

Discussion

The identification of the HVEM-BTLA system as an inhibitory checkpoint for the LTαβ-LTβR pathway defines a novel mechanism regulating the homeostatic equilibrium of resident DC populations in lymphoid tissues. The HVEM-BTLA inhibitory pathway primarily impacts the CD8α+ DC subsets in the spleen, the same populations that expand in response to LTβR-LIGHT signaling. A majority (~70%) of the resident DC in the adult mouse spleen are under dynamic control by the LTαβ-LTβR and HVEM-BTLA pathways. However, a basal level of DC, with a normal ratio of CD8α to CD4 subsets, was maintained in the spleen in the absence of LTαβ, LIGHT and HVEM indicating a second distinct mechanism operates to control DC populations in the spleen. It is not known if these cells are proliferating. Inhibitory signaling requires expression of HVEM and BTLA in DC and cells in the stromal microenvironment. Together, the LTαβ-LTβR and
HVEM-BTLA pathways provide key signals that integrate to achieve homeostasis of DC in lymphoid tissues.

Positive signaling provided through the LTβR controls the proliferation and differentiation of the CD8α- DC subsets or their precursors within peripheral lymphoid tissues (26). Intrinsic expression of the LTβR in hemopoietic compartment was necessary for DC proliferation, and as shown here, LTcβ is the key ligand mediating DC proliferation under homeostatic conditions.

FIGURE 7. Activation of LTβR signaling restores DC homeostasis. A, CD11c<sup>high</sup> cells gated as indicated were analyzed for CD4 and CD8α expression in wt B6, LIGHT/LTβ-deficient mice (untreated), and LTβ/LIGHT treated with rat anti-mouse LTβR mAb as described in the Materials and Methods. A representative histogram is shown for each mouse strain. The ratio of CD8α to CD4 DC subsets was calculated from values in the upper left and lower right quadrants. B, The percentage of DC as a fraction of total nucleated splenocytes (top panel), the percentage of individual DC subsets (middle panel) and the total number cells in each DC subset (bottom panel) in the spleen from the indicated gene-deficient or mAb-treated mice. Each data point represents the value obtained from an individual animal. Bars show the mean ± SD from at least n = three mice per group and the data are representative of three independent experiments. Student’s test was performed where * and ** denote a significance of p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively, between the indicated groups. C, The effect of LTβR activation in wt B6 mice on DC subsets. The percentage of DC as a fraction of total nucleated splenocytes (top panel), the percentage of individual DC subsets (middle panel) and the total number cells in each DC subset (bottom panel) in the spleen from wt B6 or rat anti-mouse LTβR treated mice (as in A). Bars show the mean ± SD from at least two mice per group and the data are representative of two independent experiments. Student t test significance between the wt B6 and the other groups p < 0.05 (*). D, Total number (top panel) and frequency (bottom panel) of BrdU<sup>+</sup> cells CD8α<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, and CD8α/CD4<sup>+</sup> DC in the spleen of wt B6, HVEM-, BTLA- and LIGHT/LTβ-deficient mice treated with BrdU for 16 h. Bars show the mean ± SD from at least three mice per group and the data are representative of two independent experiments. Student’s test was performed where * and *** denote significance of p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, respectively, between the indicated groups.
positive signals provided by LTαβ-LTβR pathway specifically increased the number of cells in the CD4⁺ and CD8α/4- DC subsets (Fig. 1). Moreover, an identical phenotype was observed in mice with mutant NIK (aly) (Fig. 8) or relB (25) implicating the involvement of the NFκB2 processing pathway initiated by LTαβ-LTβR mediates positive signals for DC homeostasis. Restoration of the CD4⁺ and CD8α/-4- DC subsets in LTβ/β- or BTLA deficient mice with an agonist anti-LTβR mAb demonstrated that LTβR signaling is sufficient for promoting proliferation and differentiation of the LT-regulated DC subsets. Moreover, the effect of the LTβR-Fc decoy on specific DC subsets demonstrated the dynamic aspect of LTβR signaling required for maintaining DC in the spleen. This result also indicated that the DC defect in LT-deficient mice is not a developmental “fixed” phenotype, as is, for example, the formation of lymph nodes (51). LTβR signaling regulates lymphocyte recirculation across high endothelial venules (52), which could also impact immigration of DC precursors into the spleen (49). The increased splenic cellularity in LT deficient mice probably reflects this alteration of recirculation (Fig. 3), yet the phenotype was corrected in the LTβ/β LIGHT double deficient mice, which renders altered immigration to a minor role as a mechanism accounting for LTβR’s function in regulating DC populations.

Mice deficient in either HVEM or BTLA revealed an inhibitory pathway for DC that primarily affected the CD4⁺ and CD8α/-4- DC subsets, the same subsets dependent on LTβR pathway (Fig. 4). The competitive advantage of HVEM or BTLA deficient DC in repopulating the spleen, a phenotype expected for cells alleviated from an inhibitory pathway, clearly demonstrated the impact of this pathway in restricting DC proliferation and accumulation (Table I). The similarity in this DC phenotype supports the substantial biochemical data that HVEM and BTLA form a signaling pathway (32, 35, 37). Interestingly, the genotype of the stromal cells in the recipient mice modulated the extent that DC competitively repopulated the spleen (e.g., wt/HVEM—wt vs →HVEM). Thus, HVEM and BTLA signals provided by the splenic stromal micro-environment also influence inhibitory signaling that maintains DC homeostasis. Furthermore, wt DC were also impacted in the mixed chimeras reflected by the increased CD8α- DC subsets (ratio = 0.3) independently of recipient background. This effect of HVEM or BTLA deficiency on wt cells is consistent with cellular interactions in trans with neighboring DC that provide inhibitory signaling regulating proliferation and accumulation. Thus, DC interactions with other DC and with the stromal microenvironment provide sources of inhibitory signaling, although the directional flow of signals between these various cell types requires further elucidation.

Evidence that the CD8α+ DC population is subject to regulation by HVEM was found in the competitive repopulation chimera experiment (specific increase in CD8α DC subset r = 0.6) and in the LTβ/LIGHT/HVEM triple deficient mice (Fig. 5B). HVEM deletion by itself had no effect on CD8α DC subset, however in the triple deficient mouse, a specific decrease in the CD8α DC subset occurred relative to LTβ/LIGHT mice, along with an increase in CD4⁺ DC subset, resetting the CD8α/CD4 subset ratio. The basis of the HVEM phenotype is unclear but is distinct from that observed in BTLA⁻/⁻ mice. This result could be interpreted as a
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