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Mechanisms of Host Defense following Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-CoV (SARS-CoV) Pulmonary Infection of Mice

William G. Glass,* Kanta Subbarao, † Brian Murphy, † and Philip M. Murphy1*  

We describe a model of severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (SARS-CoV) infection in C57BL/6 mice. A clinical isolate of the virus introduced intranasally replicated transiently to high levels in the lungs of these mice, with a peak on day 3 and clearance by day 9 postinfection. Viral RNA localized to bronchial and bronchiolar epithelium. Expression of mRNA for angiotensin converting enzyme 2, the SARS-CoV receptor, was detected in the lung following infection. The virus induced production in the lung of the proinflammatory chemokines CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, CXCL9, and CXCL10 with differential kinetics. The receptors for these chemokines were also detected. Most impressively, mRNA for CXCR3, the receptor for CXCL9 and CXCL10, was massively up-regulated in the lungs of SARS-CoV-infected mice. Surprisingly Th1 (and Th2) cytokines were not detectable, and there was little local accumulation of leukocytes and no obvious clinical signs of pulmonary dysfunction. Moreover, beige, CD1−/−, and RAG1−/− mice cleared the virus normally. Infection spread to the brain as it was cleared from the lung, again without leukocyte accumulation. Infected mice had a relative failure to thrive, gaining weight significantly more slowly than uninfected mice. These data indicate that C57BL/6 mice support transient nonfatal systemic infection with SARS-CoV in the lung, which is able to disseminate to brain. In this species, proinflammatory chemokines may coordinate a rapid and highly effective innate antiviral response in the lung, but NK cells and adaptive cellular immunity are not required for viral clearance. The Journal of Immunology, 2004, 173: 4030–4039.

The causative agent of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) has been identified as a novel coronavirus (CoV), now named SARS-CoV (1–3). CoVs are large positive stranded enveloped RNA viruses that generally cause enteric and respiratory diseases in animals, including humans. The nucleotide sequence of SARS-CoV is highly divergent from those of other CoVs, identifying it as a possible fourth major branch on the CoV phylogenetic tree (1, 4). The difference in sequence is associated with important differences in biology. Most strikingly, whereas other human CoVs cause mild disease with little or no mortality in different groups (5, 6), the human mortality rate following SARS-CoV infection is extremely high, in the range of 10–38% (7, 8). SARS-CoV also uses a unique receptor for cell entry, angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), which exists in both membrane-bound and soluble forms (9–12).

Development of antiviral agents specific for SARS-CoV may be facilitated by the development of an animal model of disease. In this regard, the virus has been reported to infect ferrets, domestic cats, and various species of monkeys (13, 14). Moreover, recently Subbarao et al. (15) have demonstrated that BALB/c mice could be productively infected with a human clinical isolate of SARS-CoV although no clinical disease manifestations were identified. These mice clear virus by day 7 postinfection, and develop protective neutralizing Abs by day 28. In this report, we have extended that study in an infection model using C57BL/6 mice (B6). We have switched to B6 mice for two main reasons. First, as a further attempt to develop a mouse model of disease, because BALB/c mice are generally considered to have a Th2 type bias in their immune responses whereas B6 mice are considered to be Th1-biased. This difference could lead to different viral clearance rates and clinical manifestations. Second, to study viral clearance mechanisms, because genetically modified mice are more commonly available on the B6 background. To date, there are limited data on immunopathogenesis in patients with SARS, and these are primarily restricted to serum cytokine levels and circulating blood cell counts. A SARS-CoV model of infection in B6 mice may help identify the determinants of protection and lead to specific treatments and effective vaccine approaches. Our results provide the first detailed immunopathologic and clinical analysis of infection in an animal infected with SARS-CoV and provide evidence for clearance of virus by the innate immune system.

Materials and Methods

Mice

The mouse studies were approved by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Animal Care and Use Committee and were conducted in an approved animal biosafety level 3 facility. All personnel entering the facility wore powered air purifying respirators (HEPA Air-Mate; 3M, Saint Paul, MN). Female C57BL/6 mice (B6), B6.129S7-Rag1tm1Mom (RAG1−/−), C.129S2-Cd1tm1Gru/J (CD1−/−), and C57BL/6J-Lys1S−/−/J (beige) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Experiments were initiated when mice were 5–6 wk of age and were performed according to NIAID Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.
The Vero strain, a clinical isolate of SARS-CoV, was passaged twice in Vero E6 cells at the Centers for Disease Control and kindly provided to us by Drs. L. J. Andebon and T. G. Ksiazek (Atlanta, GA). We then passaged the virus two additional times in Vero cells generating a virus stock with a titer of 2.2 × 10^6 50% tissue culture infective doses (TCID50/ml), which was kept in culture medium at ~70°C until use (15, 16). The Vero cells were maintained in OptiPro SFM (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 4 mM 1-glutamine. For viral infections, 50 μl of 1 × 10^4 TCID50 U of SARS-CoV were administered dropwise into the nose of mildly sedated C57BL/6 mice. Mice were monitored visually and weighed daily. Mice were sacrificed via cervical dislocation and organs (lung, brain, spleen, liver, and kidney) were aseptically removed and placed directly in 1 ml of Opti Pro SFM in a 15 ml tube. Tissues were homogenized to completion using an Omni 115v TH homogenizer with disposable 7-mm probes (Omni International, Warrenton, VA). Homogenized tissues were then centrifuged in a tabletop centrifuge at 1500 × g for 25 min. The supernatant was then aliquoted and frozen at ~80°C for later use. Viral titers were determined from the supernatants on Vero cell monolayers in 24- and 96-well plates and expressed as TCID50 per gram of tissue.

**In situ hybridization**

Lungs and brains were aseptically removed from mice following cervical dislocation for Detection Kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 4 mM 1-glutamine. For paraffin embedding and preparation of 6-μm thick sections. RNase protection was used at all stages and slides were baked at 60°C for 1 h following sectioning. In situ hybridization was performed at Lofstrand Labs (Gaithersburg, MD) using a digoxigenin-labeled probe directed against the SARS-CoV polymerase gene. The probe was generated by amplifying the same 310-bp portion of the SARS-CoV polymerase gene used for PCR, which spans ~75% of the open reading frame. The amplicon was cloned into pCRII-TOPO plasmid (Invitrogen Life Technologies).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Paraffin embedded tissue sections were stained for CXCL10 expression using a mAb to mouse CXCL10 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ). Staining was performed by Spring Biosciences (Fremont, CA).

**Statistical analysis**

For RT-PCR, ELISA and viral titer experiments, statistical significance of differences in the data between groups of mice was evaluated using a two-tailed, two sample unequal variance Student’s t test. Values of p ≤ 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

C57BL/6 mice replicate SARS-CoV in the lung following intranasal inoculation

To determine whether SARS-CoV can replicate in B6 mice we inoculated the mice with 1 × 10^4 TCID50 U of virus intranasally in a volume of 50 μl, an inoculum previously found to be infectious in BALB/c mice (15). The maximum possible concentration of the initial inoculum in the lung, assuming complete inhalation and equal distribution to both lungs, is ~1 × 10^5 TCID50 U of tissue (lung weight was measured for each mouse). Compared with this calculated benchmark, viral titers in the lung consistently and rapidly increased, peaking at 100-fold greater than the benchmark by day 3 postinfection (Fig. 1). The mice were able to clear virus by day 9 as determined by cytopathic effect (CPE) assay. Consistent with these results, RT-PCR analysis demonstrated that mRNA for mACE2, the cellular receptor for SARS-CoV, was detectable constitutively in the lungs of B6 mice at very low levels (data not shown). To determine where in the lung the virus was replicating, in situ hybridization was performed using a probe directed against the SARS-CoV polymerase gene. SARS-CoV RNA was found in a highly restricted and patchy distribution, localized predominantly in the epithelial lining of a subset of bronchi and terminal bronchioles (Fig. 2). We did not find evidence of SARS-CoV in the alveoli.

**FIGURE 1.** SARS-CoV replicates in the lung following intranasal inoculation of B6 mice. Mice were inoculated with 1 × 10^4 TCID50 U of SARS-CoV. Day 0 (□) represents the maximum initial viral burden in the lung calculated by dividing the initial inoculum by lung weight. Data presented are pooled from three separate experiments with a total of 15 mice at each time point, and are presented as the mean ± SEM.
Clearance of SARS-CoV in the mouse does not involve NK cells, NK-T cells, or T and B lymphocytes

NK cells are often an important part of the innate immune response to viral infection. However, beige mice on a B6 background, which selectively lack NK cell function, replicated and cleared virus in the lung with kinetics nearly identical to B6 mice (compare Figs. 1 and 3A). To determine what role the adaptive immune response plays in controlling viral infection, we infected CD1\textsuperscript{+} mice, which lack NK-T cells, and RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice, which lack T and B lymphocytes, both on a B6 background. SARS-CoV infected and replicated in the lungs of CD1\textsuperscript{+} mice with kinetics similar to wild-type B6 mice (Figs. 1 and 3B). We attempted several times but were unable to assess viral replication in lungs from RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice by measuring CPE on Vero cells, the only known SARS-CoV-susceptible cell line that develops CPE. Lung homogenates from uninfected RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice altered the morphology of Vero cells. This was apparently not due to the constitutive presence of IFN-α in the tissue, as suggested by previous reports (17–20), because the effect could not be reproduced by treatment of the cells with recombinant mouse IFN-α at any of a range of concentrations 100-fold above and 100-fold below the IFN-α level we measured in RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse lung (vide infra). Therefore, other unknown factors in lung homogenates from these mice must account for the background alteration of cell morphology we observed. Given this technical problem, we used two alternative direct methods of determining viral load in these mice. First, we measured virus replication directly in the lung by in situ hybridization with a probe directed against the SARS-CoV polymerase gene. SARS-CoV polymerase was detectable in the lungs of RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice on day 1 postinfection, and increased on day 3, but diminished thereafter and was no longer detectable by day 7. This pattern is nearly identical to that of SARS-CoV-infected B6 mice (Fig. 4B). Further, we performed RT-PCR on total RNA isolated from the lungs of both C57BL/6 and RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice infected with SARS-CoV. This also demonstrated increasing viral RNA in the lung to day 3 with a gradual decline to day 9 postinfection (Fig. 4, B and C). Neither wild-type mice nor any of the three knockout mouse strains tested showed overt signs of clinical disease out to 40 days postinfection.

Induction of inflammatory chemokines in SARS-CoV-infected lung

We next conducted a histopathologic analysis of the lung in SARS-CoV-infected B6 mice. Surprisingly, given the level of viral replication, relatively little leukocyte infiltration was observed, and it was restricted to local inflammatory nodules that colocalized with sites of viral replication, as determined by in situ hybridization. The leukocyte number and differential count in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid from SARS-CoV-infected B6 mice appeared nearly identical to that of mock-infected B6 mice. On day 3 postinfection, the time of greatest viral load, there was evidence of focal necrosis and bronchiolar epithelial damage, characterized by disintegration of bronchiolar lining, granular degeneration of the cells, cell lysis, and pyknosis of nuclei (Fig. 5, A and B). We also noted mild endothelial swelling (Fig. 5, C and D). The mild inflammation seen in B6 mice was very similar in RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice (compare Fig. 5E with Fig. 5B). Interestingly, very little evidence of epithelial damage and/or cellular degeneration was found in SARS-CoV infected RAG1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice, in contrast to infected B6 mice (Fig. 5F).
To investigate the molecular mechanisms responsible for these changes in the lung, we measured chemokine and cytokine expression. This revealed significantly (p < 0.05) increased mRNA transcripts for the inflammatory chemokines CCL1, CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, CXCL1, CXCL9, CXCL10, and XCL1 in SARS-CoV-infected C57BL/6 mouse lung compared with mock-infected control mice (Fig. 6A and data not shown). CCL4 mRNA was not detected at baseline in the lung and was only minimally induced by SARS-CoV infection. In contrast, CCL7 mRNA was expressed at high levels at baseline in the lung, but was not further increased after infection (data not shown). SARS-CoV infection also induced significant (p < 0.05) changes in expression levels in the lung for three of the eight chemokine receptors tested, CCR1, CCR3, and CXCR3. Two of these are receptors for four of the up-regulated chemokines; CCR1 for CCL3 and CCL5, and CXCR3 for CXCL9 and CXCL10. CCR1 was constitutively expressed and increased only ~2-fold by viral infection of the lung. In contrast, CXCR3 mRNA was expressed at very low levels in the lungs of uninfected mice but was massively increased by SARS-CoV infection at the same time that its ligands were being induced. CCR3 was constitutively expressed but unlike CCR1 and CXCR3 was strongly down-regulated in the SARS-CoV-infected mouse lung (Fig. 6B). Abundant mRNA for the CCL2 receptor CCR2, the CCL3 and CCL5 receptor CCR5, the CCL21 receptor CCR7, and the CX3CL1 receptor CX3CR1 was detected at baseline in the lung but underwent little if any change in amount after infection (data not shown). CCR4 mRNA was expressed at very low levels in lung at baseline and increased only slightly after infection (data not shown).

Chemokines up-regulated by SARS-CoV at the RNA level were also up-regulated at the protein level, as determined by ELISAs performed on lung homogenates (Fig. 7). Kinetic studies of protein expression revealed interesting differential patterns of chemokine expression. For example, CCL2 protein was not detectable in lung from mock-infected B6 mice, but could be detected during a very narrow time window around day 3 after infection, the time of peak viral load. In contrast, CCL3 protein was increased in B6 lungs on
day 1 postinfection, peaked on day 3, and persisted to day 9. Lung tissue from mock-infected B6 mice had \(4\) ng/mg CCL5, and this increased 3-fold by day 7 postinfection. CXCL9 expression was similarly increased in SARS-CoV-infected B6 mice with maximal expression at day 7 postinfection. Although CXCL10 is functionally related to CXCL9 and signals through the same receptor, it was expressed in a distinct pattern in the SARS-CoV-infected B6 lung. Strong early induction of CXCL10 to \(1.3\) ng/mg was observed on day 3 postinfection, but levels then fell rapidly to the threshold value. Given the dramatic and rapid increase in levels of CXCL10, we used immunohistochemistry to define the lung region and exact cell type producing it. At day 3 postinfection, we found strong immunoreactivity specific for CXCL10 in epithelial cells of terminal bronchioles and upper airways (Fig. 8). Unlike the SARS-CoV distribution revealed by in situ hybridization, CXCL10 immunoreactivity was not patchy and restricted, but instead highly homogeneous in the airway. SARS-CoV-infected beige and RAG1\(^{-/-}\) mice produced a nearly identical pattern of chemokine production as B6 mice although there was a general trend of increased and decreased expression, respectively, in these mice (Fig. 7). Surprisingly, the major primary immunoregulatory cytokines IFN-\(\gamma\), IL-12 p70, IL-4, IL-10 and TNF-\(\alpha\) could not be detected in the lungs of SARS-CoV-infected B6, beige, or RAG1\(^{-/-}\) mice at any time point. IFN-\(\alpha\) protein was detected at \(6.1 \pm 4.4\) ng/ml in the lungs of uninfected RAG1\(^{-/-}\) but not B6 or beige mice. However, after infection, expression did not change significantly in RAG1\(^{-/-}\) mice and was not induced in B6 or beige.

**Failure to thrive following SARS-CoV infection**
Although SARS-CoV-infected B6 mice exhibit no overt clinical signs of disease, they gain weight at a reduced rate relative to mock-infected controls, allowing for a measurable disease state (Fig. 9). Nevertheless, there was no mortality in these mice through day 40 postinfection. The mechanism for this relative failure to thrive by SARS-CoV-infected mice was not apparent. Serum levels of aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, lactic dehydrogenase, and blood urea nitrogen were normal (data not shown), suggesting normal liver and kidney function. Further, TNF-\(\alpha\), which is a cachectin, was undetectable in serum throughout the course of the experiment (data not shown). SARS-CoV-infected RAG1\(^{-/-}\) mice gained weight normally, which indicates that T and/or B cells may play a role in this phenotype.

**SARS-CoV is found in multiple extrapulmonary sites, including the CNS**
Despite the fact that SARS-CoV could no longer be cultured from the lung by day 9 postinfection, we were still able to detect viral RNA in the lung by RT-PCR until at least day 15 postinfection (Table I). To determine whether SARS-CoV can spread beyond
the respiratory tract, multiple extrapulmonary sites were tested by RT-PCR. β2 microglobulin primers were used as positive controls and revealed roughly equivalent PCR products for all samples. At all days sampled (1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 postinfection) SARS-CoV RNA was detected in the lung, brain, heart, liver and spleen, but not in the kidney, suggesting the virus may spread from the lungs to most other tissues. No PCR product for SARS-CoV was ever found in mock-infected samples (Fig. 10). All of the extrapulmonary sites where RT-PCR evidence of virus was found showed great variability in both signal intensity and frequency of detection, for reasons that are unclear. Extensive microscopic analysis of lung, kidney, spleen, liver, and brain was performed in both mock-infected and SARS-CoV-infected mice out to 40 days postinfection, but only minor histological changes were identified in any of the tissues at any time point following infection.

Brain was the only organ that showed an increase in the number of positive samples with increasing time after infection, which increases the probability that the virus has actually infected this organ instead of simply contaminating it. This prompted us to investigate whether replicating virus could be isolated from this site.

In situ hybridization demonstrated the rare instance of infected cells in the CNS at days 3 and 5 postinfection (one to two cells were found to be infected per 6-μm thick sagittal section of whole brain for each mouse investigated). However, we were unable to isolate live virus from the CNS at these time points. We also investigated the possibility of late infection in the CNS by attempting to isolate live virus from the brain after day 7. We were able to isolate live virus at days 9, 12, and 15 postinfection (Fig. 11). In situ hybridization, which was also performed during this time period, revealed the presence of an ~8-fold increased density of unequivocally positive cells. Interestingly, the virus was predominantly localized to the hippocampus (Fig. 12). As early as day 1 postinfection, IL-12 p70 could be detected in the brain at concentrations >1 ng/mg tissue, and was detected until day 5 postinfection, however IFN-γ and multiple other immunoregulatory cytokines were not detected. Expression of CCL5 protein, which was not detected in samples of mock-infected brain, rose to 890 ± 10 pg/gm brain on day 7 postinfection (Table II). Other chemokines were not detected in mock-infected brain and were not induced by infection with SARS-CoV at any time point tested out to 15 days postinfection.

FIGURE 7. Inflammatory chemokines are produced at the protein level in the lung following SARS-CoV infection. All data units are in nanograms per gram of tissue and are presented as the mean ± SEM. The chemokine analyzed is at the top of the corresponding column of graphs; the mouse strain analyzed is at the left of each corresponding row of graphs. Data from C57BL/6 are pooled from three experiments with a total of nine mice at each time point. Data from beige mice are from one experiment with a total of five mice at each time point. Data from RAG1−/− mice are from two experiments with a total of six mice at each time point.

FIGURE 8. Increased CXCL10 expression in the terminal bronchioles of SARS-CoV-infected mice. Images are lung section of a mock and SARS-CoV-infected mouse stained with a mAb directed against CXCL10, images are ×40.
FIGURE 9. C57BL/6 mice fail to thrive following SARS-CoV infection. Percent change in weight from initial weight before infection with $1 \times 10^4$ TCID$_{50}$ U of virus was measured on a per mouse basis and averaged for each day. The average starting weight of each group was similar (Mock = 17.5 ± 0.2 gm, SARS-CoV-infected = 17.8 ± 0.1 gm). Data presented are pooled from five experiments with 135 mice at day 1, 120 at day 3, 105 at day 5, 90 at day 7, 75 at day 9, 60 at day 12, 45 at day 15, and 30 at day 30. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that B6 mice can be productively infected by SARS-CoV in the bronchial and bronchiolar epithelium of the respiratory tract, and that virus is rapidly cleared through a mechanism independent of NK cells, NK-T cells, and T and B lymphocytes. Virus is able to spread to the brain at late time points when it has already been cleared by the lung, and may spread to multiple other organs. SARS-CoV induces dramatic up-regulation of a subset of inflammatory chemokines and the chemokine receptor CXCR3, but interestingly this occurs without detectable expression of classic proinflammatory and immunoregulatory cytokines and without evoking marked leukocyte infiltration of the lung. Overall, infected B6 mice do not develop overt disease, but their weight gain is slowed relative to mock-infected controls.

The work confirms our previously published finding that BALB/c mice can be productively and transiently infected in the terminal bronchioles. The susceptibility of the two strains to SARS-CoV infection and the time course of infection following administration of $1 \times 10^4$ TCID$_{50}$ U appear to be similar (15). Our other findings regarding the local tissue response in the lung, viral dissemination, pathology, and clinical manifestations extend the results from previous work in BALB/c, and provide both the second main goal, our work has succeeded in validating an acute viral infection model for SARS-CoV in B6 mice that could be relevant to subclinical human infection, and in delimiting the range of immunologic control mechanisms. Moreover, these results suggest that it may eventually be possible to develop a pulmonary disease model for SARS in the mouse by experimentally inactivating innate antiviral control systems.

Despite its potential lethality, SARS-CoV usually causes acute resolving infections in humans as it does in B6 and BALB/c mice. However, the exact rate at which humans infected with SARS-CoV clear the virus has not been well-defined. Reports indicate that within the first 2 days of illness, lesions can be found in the lung by chest x-ray, which may increase to day 12 (21). Further, SARS-CoV in the blood of SARS survivors has been reported to drop by approximately one-third at day 7 and by greater than one-half on day 14 of illness (22). Thus, the kinetics of viral replication in the mouse may mimic those in human SARS survivors and humans subclinically infected with SARS-CoV. In this regard, the mouse may provide an adequate small animal model for studies of host defense and other aspects of human infection with SARS-CoV.

Based on our results with beige mice and CD1$^{-/-}$ and RAG1$^{-/-}$ knockout mice, SARS-CoV clearance in the mouse does not require adaptive immunity or NK cell function but appears to rely on innate immune mechanisms. It is important to note however that

Table I. SARS-CoV is found in multiple extrapulmonary sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day P.I.$^a$</th>
<th>Lung$^{b,c,d}$</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Spleen</th>
<th>Heart</th>
<th>Brain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>2/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>6/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>6/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ P.I. = postinfection.

$^b$ Data are presented as the number of animals positive for SARS-CoV RNA vs number of animals tested.

$^c$ Numbers are generated by visualization of SARS-CoV PCR products on an ethidium bromide-stained gel.

$^d$ Results from all tissues are pooled from two separate experiments.

FIGURE 10. SARS-CoV RNA is found in multiple extrapulmonary sites. RNA was extracted from the tissues listed at the indicated days after infection of B6 mice with $1 \times 10^5$ TCID$_{50}$ U of SARS-CoV. RT-PCR for the SARS-CoV polymerase gene was performed. Data are presented from one experiment representative of at least two experiments with at least six mice in each group.

IFN-γ-dependent chemokine induction, the mechanism of failure to thrive, the significance of viral dissemination, particularly to the brain, and the innate mechanisms of viral clearance.

With regard to the first main goal of the study, it is clear that the wild-type B6 mouse does not provide a robust model of lethal pulmonary infection with SARS-CoV. However, with regard to the second main goal, our work has succeeded in validating an acute viral infection model for SARS-CoV in B6 mice that could be relevant to subclinical human infection, and in delimiting the range of immunologic control mechanisms. Moreover, these results suggest that it may eventually be possible to develop a pulmonary disease model for SARS in the mouse by experimentally inactivating innate antiviral control systems.

FIGURE 11. SARS-CoV pulmonary infection spread to the CNS, where it is predominantly localized in the hippocampus. B6 mice were inoculated intranasally with $1 \times 10^4$ TCID$_{50}$ U of SARS-CoV and brains were removed, homogenized, and clarified homogenate used to determine viral load on Vero cell monolayers in 24-well plates. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM and are pooled from two separate experiments with a total of six mice at each time point.
mechanisms to compensate for the loss of B and T lymphocytes or NK cell function could appear during development of these mouse strains, obscuring a role in viral clearance. The lack of a requirement for T or B lymphocytes in initial viral clearance is consistent with the lack of measurable amounts of immunoregulatory cytokines in the lung during infection, and is not unprecedented, but is somewhat surprising in the context of the many viruses that are controlled in whole or in part by the adaptive immune response (23–26). Based on previous work in the BALB/c mouse, SARS-CoV does elicit a humoral immune response, however, it is unlikely to appear early enough to contribute to viral clearance. Determining which component of innate immunity suppresses viral infection in this model is an important question that could provide insight into the pathogenesis and potential treatment and prevention of SARS in human populations. NK cells are clearly not required because beige mice clear virus with kinetics identical to wild type and because there appears to be little if any IFN-γ production in these animals. In this regard, the lack of detectable IL-12 p70 or IFN-γ in infected mouse lung is inconsistent and very surprising because expression of both CXCL9 and CXCL10 is tightly controlled by IFN-γ production, to a degree that they are often used as surrogates for it (32). How these chemokines are induced and what role they play in viral clearance remains to be determined. Uncoupling of proinflammatory chemokines from a strong inflammatory infiltrate is likely the reason why overt pneumonia is not seen in these mice. Why the chemokines fail to elicit more of an inflammatory response is not clear. Possible explanations include ineffective temporal and spatial distribution of inflammatory chemokines in the tissue, and expression of chemokine inhibitors, encoded or induced by the virus, that might degrade or scavenge the chemokines as they are produced.

Although adaptive immunity does not appear to be required for clearance of SARS-CoV in this model, this does not mean that an adaptive immune response does not occur. In addition to the specific neutralizing Ab made in response to SARS-CoV infection by

Table II. Cytokine and chemokine expression in the brains of SARS-CoV-infected C57BL/6 mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mock&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 5</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Day 9</th>
<th>Day 12</th>
<th>Day 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12 p70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Data are presented as nanogram per gram of tissue (mean ± SEM). Data are pooled from three individual experiments with nine total mice represented.

<sup>b</sup> 0 indicates that expression was below the limits of detection for the assay.

<sup>c</sup> p ≤ 0.01 when compared to mock-infected mice.
BALB/c mice that was mentioned previously, a Th1 response occurs in response to vaccination of BALB/c mice with SARS-CoV spike protein (15, 33, 34). Data from SARS-CoV-infected human adults show no clear trend toward either a Th1 or Th2 bias. Li et al. (35) show serum increases in IL-2, IL-10, and IL-12. Jones et al. (36) reported decreased IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, and IL-12 producing cells in SARS-CoV-infected patients. Xie et al. (37) have demonstrated a significant increase in TNF-α and IL-8 serum levels. Wong et al. (31) have demonstrated increased IFN-γ, IL-1, IL-6, and IL-12 p70 production, but no IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, or TNF-α, consistent with a Th1 response.

We were able to detect viral genome in the lungs of infected B6 mice by RT-PCR beyond day 9 postinfection, yet at these same time points the virus could not be cultured or demonstrated by in situ hybridization. This could simply reflect the presence of defective or latent virions, neutralized virus or, alternatively, persistent very low level viral infection (38, 39). The latter possibility is interesting and temporal pattern were different and no leukocyte infiltration could be detected. Consistent with our results in mice, SARS-CoV has been identified in patient cerebrospinal fluid by quantitative PCR (42), and in brain neurons by immunohistochemistry at autopsy (43). However, to date virus has not been cultured from human CNS specimens. Our data in the mouse and the published human data must be interpreted with caution because they are based solely on RT-PCR evidence of infection.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that SARS-CoV is able to infect the bronchial and bronchiolar epithelium of the respiratory tract, spread to the brain, and cause a relative failure to thrive in B6 mice. We have shown that viral clearance does not require NK cells, NK-T cells, or T and B lymphocytes, and we propose that it may involve inflammatory chemokines which are strongly induced by the virus. Work is ongoing to test the precise contribution of specific chemokines to SARS-CoV clearance in the mouse, and to establish a B6 model of SARS pulmonary disease.
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