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A Small Shared Epitope–Mimetic Compound Potently Accelerates Osteoclast-Mediated Bone Damage in Autoimmune Arthritis

Jiaqi Fu,*1 Song Ling,*1 Ying Liu,* Jianyi Yang,† Shirly Naveh,‡ Margaret Hannah,* Chaim Gilon,‡ Yang Zhang,† and Joseph Holoshitz*

We have recently proposed that the shared epitope (SE) may contribute to rheumatoid arthritis pathogenesis by acting as a ligand that activates proarthritisogenic signal transduction events. To examine this hypothesis, in this study we characterized a novel small SE-mimetic compound, c(HS4-4), containing the SE primary sequence motif QKRAA, which was synthesized using a backbone cyclization method. The SE-mimetic c(HS4-4) compound interacted strongly with the SE receptor calreticulin, potently activated NO and reactive oxygen species production, and markedly facilitated osteoclast differentiation and function in vitro. The pro-osteoclastogenic potency of c(HS4-4) was 100,000- to 1,000,000-fold higher than the potency of a recently described linear SE peptidic ligand. When administered in vivo at nanogram doses, c(HS4-4) enhanced Th17 expansion, and in mice with collagen-induced arthritis it facilitated disease onset, increased disease incidence and severity, enhanced osteoclast abundance in synovial tissues and osteoclastogenic propensities of bone marrow–derived cells, and augmented bone destruction. In conclusion, c(HS4-4), a highly potent small SE-mimetic compound enhances bone damage and disease severity in inflammatory arthritis. These findings support the hypothesis that the SE acts as a signal transduction ligand that activates a CRT-mediated proarthritisogenic pathway.
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activate NO signaling in low nanomolar concentrations (∼50,000-fold more active on a molar basis than the linear 65–79*0401 synthetic peptide). This low-molecular-mass (969 Da) compound, designated χ(HS4-4), was remarkably stable toward trypsin/chymotrypsin degradation, whereas the linear peptide SEC ligand completely degraded within 30 min (11).

Given the signaling potency and biologic stability of χ(HS4-4), we have undertaken to study its arthritogenic effect. In this article, we report that compound χ(HS4-4) showed high affinity to the SE-binding receptor CRT and activated in vitro pro-osteoclastogenesis at low-nanomolar-range concentrations. Moreover, when administered in vivo to mice with CIA at low-nanogram doses, the SE mimic significantly facilitated arthritis onset, increased the incidence and severity of the disease, and enhanced OC-mediated erosive bone damage. These findings substantiate the SE ligand hypothesis. Moreover, given the known structure–function properties and receptor-binding characteristics of the χ(HS4-4) agonist, the knowledge acquired in this study could lay the foundation for future design of SE antagonists as a novel class of therapeutic agents in RA.

Materials and Methods

Reagents, cells, and mice

Ficoll-Paque PLUS was purchased from GE Healthcare (Piscataway, NJ). 2',7'-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Murine M-CSF and receptor activator for NF-κB ligand (RANKL) were obtained from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). Denatured chicken collagen type II (CII) was purchased from Chondrex (Redmond, WA). CFA containing Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra was obtained from BD Difco (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse CD4 (clone GK1.5), FITC anti-mouse CD3 (clone 17A2), PE-conjugated anti-mouse IL-17A mAb (clone TC11-18H10.1), and their corresponding isotype controls were purchased from BioLegend (San Diego, CA). All other commercial reagents were bought from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO).

Synthetic peptides corresponding to position 65–79 on the HLA-DRβ-chain, coded by the SE-positive allele DRB1*04:01, designated in this article as 65–79*0401 (sequence: 65-KDLLEQKRAA VDTYC-79), were synthesized and purified (>90%) as we previously described (12). The 5’-linear mer peptide 70–74*0401 (carrying the 70-QKRAA-74 sequence) was synthesized by the University of Michigan (Ann Arbor, MI) peptide synthesis core.

The χ(HS4-4) compound is a backbone cyclic mimetic peptide, synthesized as explained earlier (11). Recombinant mouse CRT was purified as described (6).

The mouse OC precursor cell line RAW 264.7 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and cultured as described (10). Human OCs were isolated from healthy blood donors, as previously described (13). PBMCs were differentiated from PBMCs isolated for 18 h with 15 μM NH4OH, and matrix was stained as described (15). Photographs of individual wells were taken using a transmitted light microscope, and matrix abundance was quantified by ImageJ software.

To determine ex vivo bone degradation, 5-mm-diameter bovine cortical bone disks were prepared and studied as described, with some modifications (17). Disks were washed and sonicated in distilled water, and stored dry at room temperature. Before use, bone disks were sterilized by immersion in ethanol and placed under UV light for 30 min. Single disks were placed in individual wells of 48-well culture plates with 0.5 ml α-MEM + 20 ng/ml RANKL. Mouse BM cells, 400,000 cells per well, were incubated for 7 d after immunization. Draining lymph nodes (DLNs) were collected, and cultured as described (10). In brief, 50 μl of an emulsion containing 100 μg CII in 25 μl 0.05 M acetic acid and 25 μl CFA was injected intradermally at the base of the tail. Mice were injected once per week i.p. with χ(HS4-4) at one of the following doses: 0.0, 0.8, 8, or 80 ng/gm body weight (BW), in 50 μl PBS. Arthritis severity was determined as previously described (18), using a visual scoring system on a 4-point scale for each paw: 0 = no arthritis, 1 = swelling and redness confined to digits, 2 = minor swelling and redness spreading from the digits to the distal paw, and 3 = major swelling and redness extending proximally from the paw.

To determine the effect of the compound on Th17 cell differentiation in vivo, DBA/1 mice were injected s.c. in the footpad with 100 μg χ(HS4-4) emulsified in CFA. The inocula contained 8 or 80 ng/gm BW of χ(HS4-4) in 50 μl PBS, or an equal volume of PBS alone. Animals were sacrificed 7 d after immunization. Draining lymph nodes (DLNs) were collected, and single-cell suspensions were prepared. Unfractionated lymph node cells were cultured with PMA, ionomycin, and brefeldin A for 6 h. Cells were stained with Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse CD4, FITC anti-mouse CD3, or isotype controls, followed by fixation and permeabilization using a Cytofix/Cytper Kit. After permeabilization, intracellular staining was performed using PE-conjugated anti-mouse IL-17A, and cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. The results were analyzed with the FlowJo (TreeStar, Ashland, OR) software.

Joint tissue studies

Limbs were dissected and decalcified in 10% EDTA for 14 d. After decalcification, the specimens were processed for paraffin embedding and serial sectioning. The histologic sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and stained with H&E, or stained for TRAP activity using an acid phosphatase kit (Kamiya Biomedical Company, Seattle, WA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TRAP-positive multinucleated OCs (more than three nuclei) were counted using a tissue culture inverted microscope.

In vitro bone degradation assays

Degradation of osteoblast-derived bone matrix was quantified as previously described (16), with some modifications. Briefly, 12,000 osteosarcoma cells (SaOS-2) per well were cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with 15% FBS in 48-well polystyrene culture plates. When cultures reached 80–90% confluence, the medium was changed to osteoblast differentiation medium (Life Technologies), containing 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 300 mM ascorbic acid, and 10 mM β-glycerol phosphate. After 20–25 d, osteoblasts were removed using 15 mM NH4OH. Mouse BM cells (200,000 cells per well in 48-well plates) were plated on the matrix in an OC differentiation medium, as above. After 15 d in culture, cells were removed using 15 mM NH4OH, and matrix was stained as described. Photographs of individual wells were taken using a transmitted light microscope, and matrix abundance was quantified by ImageJ software.

CIA induction and in vivo compound administration

DBA/1 mice (7 wk old) were immunized with chicken CII in CFA, as previously described (10). In brief, 50 μl of an emulsion containing 100 μg CII in 25 μl 0.05 M acetic acid and 25 μl CFA was injected intra dermally at the base of the tail. Mice were injected once per week i.p. with χ(HS4-4) at one of the following doses: 0.0, 0.8, 8, or 80 ng/gm body weight (BW), in 50 μl PBS. Arthritis severity was determined as previously described (18), using a visual scoring system on a 4-point scale for each paw: 0 = no arthritis, 1 = swelling and redness confined to digits, 2 = minor swelling and redness spreading from the digits to the distal paw, and 3 = major swelling and redness extending proximally from the paw.

To determine the effect of the compound on Th17 cell differentiation in vivo, DBA/1 mice were injected s.c. in the footpad with 100 μg χ(HS4-4) emulsified in CFA. The inocula contained 8 or 80 ng/gm BW of χ(HS4-4) in 50 μl PBS, or an equal volume of PBS alone. Animals were sacrificed 7 d after immunization. Draining lymph nodes (DLNs) were collected, and single-cell suspensions were prepared. Unfractionated lymph node cells were cultured with PMA, ionomycin, and brefeldin A for 6 h. Cells were stained with Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse CD4, FITC anti-mouse CD3, or isotype controls, followed by fixation and permeabilization using a Cytofix/Cytper Kit. After permeabilization, intracellular staining was performed using PE-conjugated anti-mouse IL-17A, and cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. The results were analyzed with the FlowJo (TreeStar, Ashland, OR) software.
FIGURE 1. Chemical structure and ligand properties of c(HS4-4). (A) The chemical structure of c(HS4-4), a backbone cyclic peptide analog containing the SE consensus motif QKRAA, was designed to induce a stable active conformation. A backbone cyclization methodology was used to keep the functional groups of the side chain residues intact. This feature ensures that all the functional groups in a peptide sequence are available for biologic activity. (B) RAW 264.7 pre-OC cells (3 × 10^5 per well) were plated in flat-bottom 96-well plates in the presence of various concentrations of c(HS4-4), and ROS production was measured over time. (C) SPR data showing binding interactions between recombinant CRT immobilized on a biosensor chip, and c(HS4-4), 15-mer linear SE peptide 65–79*0401, or a 5-mer linear peptide 70–74*0401 (expressing the SE highlighted in red). (D) A high-power view of c(QKRAA) and shown in green) superimposed onto the previously identified binding site on the CRT P-domain (gray surface). CRT amino acid residues previously found to play critical SE ligand-binding roles (7) are highlighted in red. (E) A high-power view of c(HS4-4)–CRT molecular interactions. For details see Table II.

Radiologic imaging
Bone damage was evaluated by radiography and micro–computerized tomography (micro-CT). Front and hind limbs from arthritic mice were dissected, fixed in 10% formalin, and stored in 70% ethanol. Limbs were scanned by a micro-CT system (eXplore Locus SP, GE Healthcare Pre-Clinical Imaging, London, ON) in distilled water. The protocol included the source powered at 80 kV and 80 μA. In addition to a 0.508-mm Al filter, an acrylic beam flattener was used to reduce beam-hardening artifact (19). Exposure time was defined at 1600 ms per frame, with 400 views taken at increments of 0.5°. With four frames averaged and binning at 2 × 2, the images were reconstructed with an 18-μm isotropic voxel size. Regions of interest were defined through a spline-fitting algorithm to create separate masks for the carpalis, tarsals, calcaneus, talus, or phalanges. The global threshold for cortical bone was defined at 2000 HU and 1200 HU for trabecular bone.

Radiographs were taken using a microradiography system (Faxitron X-Ray, Wheeling, IL) with the following operating settings: peak voltage, 27V; anode current, 25 mA; exposure time, 4.5 s. Coded radiographs were evaluated by an experienced rheumatologist who was blinded to the treatment. The presence of bone destruction was assessed separately for front and rear paws and scored on a scale of 0–5, ranging from no damage to complete destruction of the joints, as previously described (20).

Virtual ligand docking experiments
The compound c(HS4-4) was docked against a nuclear magnetic resonance–derived conformation of the CRT P-domain (Brookhaven Protein Data Bank ID: 1HHN), using the following two steps. First, the binding pocket was identified using the pipeline COACH (Ref. 21 and J. Yang, A. Roy, and Y. Zhang, manuscript in preparation). COACH is a consensus-based ligand binding site prediction approach that combines four template-based methods [COFACTOR (22), TM-SITE, S-SITE, and FINDSITE (23)] and ab initio method ConCavity (24). In the template-based approaches, sequence profile and structural comparisons are used to recognize the template proteins from the known function library BioLip (21), from which the ligand-binding information of the target is transferred; in the ab initio approach, the ligand binding site is derived from the cavity distribution on the surface of the target structures. A consensus binding pocket in the receptor structure was predicted by COACH, which is tethered by residues 216–225 and 255–260. The c(HS4-4) ligand was then docked onto the predicted binding pocket, using the ligand-docking software DOCK6 (25).

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Unless stated otherwise, statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed Student t test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

Results
We have previously described 15-mer synthetic peptides carrying the SE sequence motif that acted as signal transduction ligands and activated pro-osteoclastogenic and proarthritogenic events (6, 10, 12). Because linear peptides are conformationally and biochemically unstable, the efficiency of linear SE peptides as signal transduction ligands was found to be rather low. To address this limitation, we have synthesized and screened a library of small (<1000 Da) backbone cyclic analogs, all containing a core SE sequence, QKRAA (11). The structural formula of the compound studied in this article, designated c(HS4-4), is shown in Fig. 1A. This 969-Da molecule has been previously shown to activate NO signaling in low nanomolar concentrations and displayed remarkable resistance to trypsin/chymotrypsin degradation (11).

Similar to the 15-mer linear SE peptide, c(HS4-4) activated ROS signaling in the pre-OC cell line RAW 264.7 (Fig. 1B) and bound to the SE receptor CRT in SPR experiments, albeit at a much higher affinity (Fig. 1C). As can be seen, when interacted with a SPR chip–immobilized CRT, c(HS4-4) produced a much higher SPR signal than the linear 15-mer SE ligand 65–79*0401, or the linear 5-mer peptide 70–74*0401, carrying the SE core sequence QKRAA. Kinetic analyses revealed that c(HS4-4) had a 10- to 100-fold higher affinity (lower KD) to CRT compared with the linear peptides (Table I). As could be expected from its higher affinity, c(HS4-4) demonstrated potent signal transduction activities with 100- to 10,000-fold lower EC50 values, compared with the linear 15-mer SE ligand 65–79*0401 (Table I).

With use of the linear 15-mer SE ligand 65–79*0401, the binding site has been previously mapped to the region 217–224 of DOCK6 (25).

Table I. Relative potencies of SE ligands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SE Ligand</th>
<th>Affinity to CRT</th>
<th>EC50 (M)</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>ROS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c(HS4-4)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5 × 10^3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2 × 10^-3</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2 × 10^-7</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74*0401</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.9 × 10^2</td>
<td>5.6 ± 5.1 × 10^-3</td>
<td>9.5 ± 7.6 × 10^-5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–79*0401</td>
<td>5.1 ± 4.8 × 10^1</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.1 × 10^-3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6 × 10^-6</td>
<td>6.0 × 10^-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the CRT P-domain (7). To determine the likelihood that \(c\)-HS4-4 interacts with that binding site as well, we have taken a virtual docking strategy. With the COACH algorithm (Ref. 21 and J. Yang, A. Roy, and Y. Zhang, manuscript in preparation), a binding pocket that overlaps with our previously mapped SE binding site (7) was identified in residues 216–225 of the CRT P-domain. The \(c\)-HS4-4 ligand was then docked onto that binding pocket, using the DOCK6 (25) software. As can be seen in Fig. 1D and 1E, \(c\)-HS4-4 interacts with the same binding site as the linear SE ligand, particularly with 3 CRT residues that have been previously identified as playing a role in SE–CRT interaction (7). The molecular interactions between individual CRT residues and \(c\)-HS4-4 are displayed in Table II. Thus, taken together, our findings indicate that compound \(c\)-HS4-4 is a bona fide SE mimetic that potently interacts with the SE receptor CRT and activates signal transduction effects at low-nanomolar concentrations.

We have recently demonstrated that the 15-mer peptide SE ligand 65–79*0401 can activate osteoclastogenesis (10). Given the strong SE ligand properties of \(c\)-HS4-4, we have sought to determine whether it could activate osteoclastogenesis as well. To that end, BM cells derived from DBA/1 mice were cultured in an OC-differentiating medium, as previously described (10), in the presence of different concentrations of \(c\)-HS4-4 or PBS. After 6 d, cells were stained for TRAP, and OCs were counted. As seen in Fig. 2A, \(c\)-HS4-4 markedly enhanced OC differentiation in a dose-dependent manner (\(EC_{50} = 3.3 \times 10^{-9} M\)). The \(c\)-HS4-4 facilitated OC differentiation in healthy human PBMCs as well (\(EC_{50} = 4.5 \times 10^{-10} M\)). These potencies are ∼100,000- and 1,000,000-fold higher than those of the linear 65–79*0401 15-mer peptidic SE ligand in the two respective cell systems (10). The osteoclastogenic effect of \(c\)-HS4-4 was accompanied by higher capacity to degrade artificial bone matrix and bovine bone disks ex vivo (Fig. 2B). In addition, similar to the immune dysregulatory

### Table II. Molecular interactions between \(c\)-HS4-4 and CRT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Interacting CRT Residue</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
<th>Type of Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS4-4 Ring</td>
<td>Glu²²²</td>
<td>&lt;4.0</td>
<td>Proximity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>His²²⁴</td>
<td>&lt;4.0</td>
<td>Proximity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Asp²²⁰</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Asp²⁰⁹</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu²⁵⁷</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp²⁵⁸</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Asp²²⁰</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>H bond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CRT residues are shown in a three-letter format. \(c\)-HS4-4 amino acid residues are shown in a single-letter format. N/A, Not applicable.

\(c\)-HS4-4 interacts with the same binding site as the linear SE ligand, particularly with 3 CRT residues that have been previously identified as playing a role in SE–CRT interaction (7). The molecular interactions between individual CRT residues and \(c\)-HS4-4 are displayed in Table II. Thus, taken together, our findings indicate that compound \(c\)-HS4-4 is a bona fide SE mimetic that potently interacts with the SE receptor CRT and activates signal transduction effects at low-nanomolar concentrations.

We have recently demonstrated that the 15-mer peptide SE ligand 65–79*0401 can activate osteoclastogenesis (10). Given the strong SE ligand properties of \(c\)-HS4-4, we have sought to determine whether it could activate osteoclastogenesis as well. To that end, BM cells derived from DBA/1 mice were cultured in an OC-differentiating medium, as previously described (10), in the presence of different concentrations of \(c\)-HS4-4 or PBS. After 6 d, cells were stained for TRAP, and OCs were counted. As seen in Fig. 2A, \(c\)-HS4-4 markedly enhanced OC differentiation in a dose-dependent manner (\(EC_{50} = 3.3 \times 10^{-9} M\)). The \(c\)-HS4-4 facilitated OC differentiation in healthy human PBMCs as well (\(EC_{50} = 4.5 \times 10^{-10} M\)). These potencies are ∼100,000- and 1,000,000-fold higher than those of the linear 65–79*0401 15-mer peptidic SE ligand in the two respective cell systems (10). The osteoclastogenic effect of \(c\)-HS4-4 was accompanied by higher capacity to degrade artificial bone matrix and bovine bone disks ex vivo (Fig. 2B). In addition, similar to the immune dysregulatory

### FIGURE 2. Functional activity of \(c\)-HS4-4. (A) BM cells harvested from DBA/1 mice (upper panel) or human PBMCs (lower panel) were cultured in triplicate with M-CSF and RANKL in the presence of various concentrations of \(c\)-HS4-4 or PBS, and TRAP-positive multinucleated cells were counted. Representative microscopic fields from cells treated with PBS or 100 nM \(c\)-HS4-4 are shown on the right upper and lower panels. (B) \(c\)-HS4-4 facilitates bone degradation in vitro. Primary DBA/1 mouse BM cells were cultured in triplicate with M-CSF and RANKL atop bone matrices (left panel) or bone slices (middle and right panels) in the presence of PBS, 58 µM of the SE ligand 65–79*0401, or 100 nM of \(c\)-HS4-4 in 48-well culture plates. Left panel, Matrix degradation was quantified on day 15 by digital scanning of tissue culture wells. Black areas represent intact matrix; white areas correspond to bone degradation in vitro. Primary DBA/1 mouse BM cells were cultured in triplicate with M-CSF and RANKL atop bone matrices (left panel) or bone slices (middle and right panels) in the presence of PBS, 58 µM of the SE ligand 65–79*0401, or 100 nM of \(c\)-HS4-4 in 48-well culture plates. Left panel, Matrix degradation was quantified on day 15 by digital scanning of tissue culture wells. Black areas represent intact matrix; white areas correspond to matrix degradation. Middle panel, BM cell cultures atop bone slices were stained for TRAP, and OCs were counted. Representative OCs are identified by the arrow, and their enlarged image is shown in the box in the left upper corner. Right panel, Bone slice–attached OCs were removed, and wells were stained with toluidine blue (TB). Bone pits are shown as black dots. Horizontal bars in all photos correspond to 100 µm. Bar graphs in the bottom represent mean ± SEM events from quintuplicate cultures in each of the three respective panels. (C) \(c\)-HS4-4 facilitates Th17 polarization. Mice were injected with CII/CFA, together with either 8 or 80 ng/gm BW of \(c\)-HS4-4 or PBS, as detailed in Materials and Methods. Abundance of CD4+ IL-17A+ cells in DLNs was determined on day 7 by flow cytometry. Left, Images display representative dot plots. Right, Bar graphs display mean ± SEM of data obtained from six DLNs (three mice) in each group. All flow cytometry data were determined in duplicate samples from each DLN. White numbers within the black bars represent the administered dose (ng/gm BW) of \(c\)-HS4-4. Scale bars, 100 µm. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
effect of the linear 65–79*0401 15-mer peptidic SE ligand (9, 10), compound c(HS4-4) facilitated Th17 polarization (Fig. 2C). Thus, c(HS4-4) is a highly potent SE ligand that reproduces the entire spectrum of the previously identified SE immune dysregulatory and OC activation effects.

We next addressed the impact of c(HS4-4) on arthritis, using the CIA mouse model. CIA-immunized DBA/1 mice were injected i.p. once a week with different doses of c(HS4-4) or PBS. As can be seen in Fig. 3, at doses of 80 and 800 ng/gm BW per week, c(HS4-4) significantly facilitated disease onset and increased disease incidence. Moreover, at a 80 ng/gm BW dose, but not in the higher dose, c(HS4-4) significantly increased mean arthritis scores, as determined by joint swelling. The swelling effect was more prominent in the early stage of the disease, yet was clearly significant throughout the duration of arthritis (p = 1.8 × 10⁻⁴, in a paired Student t test). The 0.8 and 8.0 ng/gm BW doses had no effect on any of the three CIA soft tissue disease parameters.

Radiologic analysis showed that irrespective of the effect of c(HS4-4) on tissue swelling, all mice treated with this compound had more severe erosive bone damage (Fig. 4A). To better quantify the bone effect of c(HS4-4), joints of mice treated with the two lowest concentrations were evaluated radiologically, using standard x-ray imaging. As can be seen in Fig. 4B, significantly greater bone damage occurred in mice treated with doses of c(HS4-4) that did not produce measurable effects on clinical scores of CIA.

Histologic examination of arthritic joints revealed significantly higher numbers of OCs in c(HS4-4)-treated mice, even at “subclinical” doses (Fig. 4C). The abundance of TRAP-positive mononuclear (pre-OC) cells was determined in the BM of all c(HS4-4)-treated mice (Fig. 5A) and showed a dose-dependent effect. Although the peak effect was seen in the 80 ng/gm BW treatment group, a statistically significant effect was seen in the group treated with a subclinical dose of 8 ng/gm BW as well. Upon ex vivo differentiation for 6 d, BM cells harvested from c(HS4-4)-treated CIA mice formed significantly higher numbers of OCs in a similar dose-dependent pattern (Fig. 5B).

Taken together, these results indicate that c(HS4-4), a potent peptidomimetic SE ligand, is highly arthritogenic. Although c(HS4-4) has a clear effect on joint swelling, it is a particularly potent facilitator of OC-dependent bone damage in inflammatory arthritis.

Discussion

We recently proposed an “SE ligand hypothesis,” which postulates that much of the impact of the SE on susceptibility to—and severity of—RA is attributable to signal transduction effects (26–28). In this study, we demonstrate that a small, bioactive molecule mimicking the 70–74 core sequence motif of the SE is a highly potent ligand that binds to the SE receptor CRT, activates signal transduction, enhances OC functional activity in vitro, and facilitates arthritis and erosive bone damage in CIA. This small SE mimetic compound reproduced the previously demonstrated (10) immune dysregulation, OC activation, and bone-destructive effects of a 15-mer linear peptide carrying the amino acid sequence of the region 65–79 on the HLA-DRβ-chain, albeit at a much greater efficiency. These findings have two main implications: First, they shed important light on the biologic role of the SE and strongly support the SE ligand hypothesis; and second, they suggest that the new compound may be used in the future as a structural template for RA drug discovery.

The SE is the single most significant genetic risk factor for RA, but the mechanistic basis of its effect is unknown. Of interest, the SE not only confers a higher risk for RA but also increases the likelihood of developing more severe disease. For example, SE-coding HLA-DRB1 alleles are associated with earlier disease onset and more severe bone erosions (29–32). Furthermore, there is evidence supporting gene-dose effect, whereby the severity of bone destruction in RA correlates positively with the number of SE-coding HLA-DRB1 alleles (31, 32). The prevailing hypothesis over the past 20 y has postulated that the basis for SE–RA association is presentation of putative self or foreign arthritogenic Ags by SE-positive HLA-DR molecules (5). However, the identities of such target Ags remain unknown.

We have recently gained new mechanistic insights into the role of the SE in RA, by identifying it as a signal transduction ligand that binds to cell surface CRT and activates NO-mediated pro-oxidative signaling in a strictly allele-specific manner (6–8). In more recent studies, we have provided evidence directly implicating SE ligand effects in the pathogenesis of autoimmune arthritis, by demonstrating that a cell-free peptidic SE ligand facilitated in vitro and in vivo the differentiation of Th17 cells (9, 10) and OCs (10). Importantly, when administered to mice with CIA, the SE peptidic ligand increased joint swelling, synovial tissue OC abundance, and erosive bone damage (10).

In our ongoing efforts to examine the SE ligand hypothesis, in this study we applied a new level of ascertainment by examining a small, nonphysiologic synthetic compound. In its natural conformation within the HLA-DR molecule, the SE occupies ∼1.5 a-helical loops in the third allelic hypervariable region of the HLA-DRβ-chain (discussed in Ref. 28). On the basis of structural, functional, and evolutionary considerations, we have previously proposed that conformationally equivalent domains in many other MHC molecules are enriched in allele-specific signal transduction ligands that interact with non-MHC cell surface receptors and trigger signaling events. We further proposed that the SE ligand function is just a special case attesting to the broader theory (28). Our previous studies, which demonstrated that
a synthetic peptide carrying the SE linear sequence was proar-thritogenic, were an important advancement toward substantiating the SE ligand hypothesis. However, owing to the random conformation of linear peptides in solution and their exquisite susceptibility to degradation, those studies required relatively high peptide concentrations. To address these limitations and examine the SE ligand in a format that more closely resembles its natural tridimensional conformation, we studied a backboned cyclic SE peptide.

As could be expected based on its biostability and predicted conformation (11), the SE-mimetic compound was found to be highly potent. It interacted with the SE receptor CRT at an affinity 10 times higher than that of the linear 15-mer SE peptide 65–79 and 100 times higher than that of the linear 5-mer peptide 70–74. Its signaling potency and pro-osteoclastogenic effect in vitro were found to be, respectively, 100,000- and 1,000,000-fold higher than those of 65–79. Importantly, when administered in vivo to CIA mice, compound c(HS4-4) showed potent bone destructive effects at low-nanogram doses, a 250,000-fold higher potency than that seen with the linear 65–79 SE peptide (10).

Experiments involving in vivo administration of c(HS4-4) revealed an interesting differential effect in CIA. Although joint swelling was affected only by the higher c(HS4-4) dose range, bone destruction was observed at low concentrations as well, even in the absence of a visible impact on joint swelling. These findings suggest a mechanistic dichotomy between the effect of c(HS4-4) in these two aspects of arthritis. The molecular basis of this dichotomy requires additional study, but it is worth mentioning that discrepancies between joint swelling and bone destruction pathways has been reported in experimental arthritis models in mice as well (20, 33). Evidently, much is to be learned about the interrelationship between synovitis and bone damage. The SE mimetic described in this article might be a useful experimental tool to dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying this discrepancy.

The rationale of using a backbone cyclization approach was based on our attempt to accomplish three goals. First, we wished to maintain the primary amino acid sequence intact. The advantage of the backbone cyclization methodology is its ability to prepare cyclic peptides without using the functional groups of the side chain residues. This feature is very important when functional groups in a peptide sequence are essential for biologic activity.
Second, we sought to preserve the native \( \alpha \)-helical conformation of the SE. Third, we aimed to increase resistance to biodegradation. The high in vitro and in vivo potencies of (HS4-4) are likely the end results of all the three design features. However, although biostability is likely attributable to the improved effects of (HS4-4) in experiments involving in vitro or in vivo biologic milieu, resistance to enzymatic degradation probably had no impact on the higher receptor binding affinity of this compound, because receptor binding studies were carried out in a cell- and serum-free buffer space. Primary and secondary conformational factors, in contrast, were more likely responsible for the improved receptor affinity of compound (HS4-4).

The apparent dependency of compound (HS4-4) activity on its tridimensional structure is consistent with our previous observations, which suggested that the SE ligand is much more potent in its native \( \alpha \)-helical conformation. In previous studies the SE ligand was shown to be effective in several different structural formats: 1) in its native conformation as part of cell surface SE-positive HLA-DR molecules, or as SE-expressing HLA-DR tetramers; 2) as cell-free non-HLA recombinant proteins genetically engineered to express the SE motif in its native \( \alpha \)-helical conformation; or 3) as SE-positive short synthetic peptides. The SE ligand activated signaling in all formats; however, considerably lower concentrations of conformationally conserved reagents (e.g., tetramers or viral capsids expressing the SE sequence at the tips of \( \alpha \)-helical spikes) were required to achieve signaling effects comparable to those achieved with linear, conformationally unstable SE peptidic ligands. Although formal structural analysis of (HS4-4) has not yet been completed, its cyclic structure predicts that it may possess a helical conformation, thereby more closely resembling the native SE ligand (11).

The data presented in this article, along with the data we recently reported on a library of SE cyclic compounds with a range of biologic activities (11), provide for fairly detailed information based on structure and function that will help decipher the tridimensional characteristics of an “ideal” SE ligand. In addition, in other studies we have mapped the SE binding site on CRT (7) and determined the enhancing and inhibitory influences exerted on SE–CRT interaction by binding site neighboring amino acid residues (8). As the data shown in this article (Fig. 1, D, E, Table II) demonstrate, high-resolution modeling of the tridimensional interactive relationships between the SE and its binding site is now achievable.

This study provides for the first time, to our knowledge, a structural framework to investigate disease-relevant tridimensional conformational parameters in SE–CRT interaction, and suggests that therapeutic targeting of this pathway deserves consideration. In this context, it should be pointed out that the use of global CRT antagonists might not be practical because CRT is a multifunctional protein. CRT has been shown to have many distinct binding sites that interact with other ligands, which, in turn, activate diverse functional activities (34). Consistent with this, \( \alpha \)-gene knockout in mice leads to embryonic lethality (35), and knocking down \( \beta \)-gene expression in vivo by a short hairpin RNA approach did not produce a measurable impact on CIA in our hands, despite effectively suppressing \( \alpha \) expression levels (X. Pi and J. Holoshitz, unpublished observations). We therefore propose that selective targeting of SE–CRT interaction by site-specific inhibitors may be more effective than global anti-CRT approaches. The study presented in this article could lay the foundation for examining the utility of this strategy in the future.

Despite the advent of biologic agents, treating RA remains a challenging endeavor. The crux of the problem relates to a lack of sufficient understanding of the specific mechanisms that trigger disease onset and affect disease severity. As a result, drug ineffectiveness and/or side effects are often encountered. The significance of the data presented in this article stems from the fact that they provide, for the first time, to our knowledge, well-defined structural parameters based on which small molecules with SE pathway inhibitory effects could be rationally designed in the future. Another potential future approach for drug discovery could involve high-throughput screening of libraries of small chemical compounds. Hits could be selected for further study based on their ability to compete with (HS4-4) for CRT binding and to inhibit SE-activated signaling. Evidently, the design, identification, and testing of such drugs are all major endeavors. Time will tell if the structure–function properties of the SE-mimicking compound described in this article could be used in the design of future therapeutics.
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