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Genetic Variation at a Yin-Yang 1 Response Site Regulates the Transcription of Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitor p18<sup>INK4C</sup> Transcript in Lupus-Prone Mice

Hari-Hara S. K. Potula and Laurence Morel

We have previously shown that a novel −74 C-to-T mutation in the promoter of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p18<sup>INK4c</sup> (p18) gene was associated with a reduced p18 expression in B cells from mice carrying the Sle2c1 lupus susceptibility locus. To determine the function of the −74 C/T single nucleotide polymorphism, we have characterized the proximal promoter of the mouse p18 gene. Functional analysis of the 5′ flanking region by sequential deletions revealed crucial elements between −300 and +1, confirming the in silico prediction that the −74 T allele created a novel Yin-Yang 1 (YY-1) binding site adjacent to an existing one common to both alleles. Moreover, we found that YY-1, E2F1, and Sp-1 can synergistically enhance the activity of the p18 promoter. Mutational inactivation revealed that YY-1 binding regulates the p18 activity in an allele-dependent fashion. EMSAs with splenic B cell extracts directly demonstrated that YY-1 binds to the p18 promoter with differences between the C and the T alleles. We also determined in vivo by chromatin immunoprecipitation that the T allele resulted in increased YY-1 and decreased Nrf-2 binding to the p18 promoter as compared with the C allele in B cells. Thus, YY-1 is a direct regulator of p18 gene expression in an allele-dependent fashion that is consistent with the lupus-associated T allele, inducing a lower p18 transcriptional activity by increasing YY-1 binding. These results establish the p18 −74 C/T mutation as the leading causal variant for the B1a cell expansion that characterizes the NZB and NZM2410 lupus-prone strains.
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Relatively little is known about the regulation of p18 expression, most of it from studies in human cell lines. The p18 protein is very stable with a very low turnover (7), implying that p18 is mostly regulated at the transcriptional level. The human p18 promoter does not contain a TATA box, and is activated with the ubiquitous E2F1 and Sp-1 transcription factors, without any evidence of downstream regulatory elements (8).

The Cdkn2c gene, which encodes for p18, is located within Sle2c1, an NZM2410/NZB-derived systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) susceptibility locus that we have associated with an expansion of peritoneal cavity B1a cells (9, 10). Previously, we have identified a novel −74 C/T single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the NZB allele of the p18 promoter, which was associated with a significantly reduced p18 expression in the splenic B (spB) cells and peritoneal cavity B1a cells from Sle2c1-carrying mice. The presence of the T allele was also associated with a defective G1 cell-cycle arrest in spB cells and an increased proliferation of peritoneal cavity B1a cells, leading to an expanded B1a cell population that has been associated with autoimmunity (10). Overall, these studies identified Cdkn2c as the lead candidate gene for Sle2c1 and suggested that −74 C/T as the causal polymorphism. Genetic linkage and association studies cannot distinguish a direct effect of this polymorphism on gene function from differences mediated by other neighboring polymorphisms that may be in linkage disequilibrium. To better understand the mechanism that modulates p18 expression, we performed studies to determine the functional significance of the −74 C/T exchange in the p18 promoter and the basis of its linkage with SLE. An in silico analysis has suggested that the −74 T mutation created a new Yin-Yang 1 (YY-1) binding site adjacent to an existing one (10). YY-1 is a pleiotropic transcription factor that can both upregulate and downregulate gene expression depending on the promoter context and the specific cellular environment (11, 12). It is a ubiquitously expressed 65-kDa protein that binds to a consensus 5′-CCATTTT-3′ sequence (13). The mechanism by which YY-1 regulates gene expression is complex and most
likely involves both coactivator and corepressor complexes regulating histone acetylation (11, 12). YY-1–interacting proteins include basal transcription factors such as TBP (14), transcriptional coregulators such as p300/CBP, poly(ADP-ribosyl) polymerase, and several transcription factors such as SP-1, c-Myc, and C/EBPβ (15). C-to-T promoter polymorphisms creating a novel YY-1 site have been identified in several human genes and have been associated with immune-related diseases. The −571 C/T in the IL-10 promoter and −509 C/T in the TGF-β promoter are associated with increased levels of both cytokines and with increased susceptibility to asthma (16, 17) and periodontitis (18). The −1993 C/T polymorphism in the TBX21 gene encoding for the TBET transcription factor has also been associated with an increased expression by the T allele (19), leading to an increased susceptibility to SLE (20), resistance to hepatitis B virus infections (21), and asthma (22). The −1112 C/T mutation in the IL-13 promoter is associated with an increased transcription in individuals homozygous for the T allele, which also have a greater susceptibility to allergic inflammation (23). Finally, two other SNPs that increase YY-1 binding on the FCGRIB promoter are associated with increased gene expression and susceptibility to SLE (24). Interestingly, mutations that affect YY-1 binding have not been reported in mice to our knowledge. In this study, we show that the −74 region on the p18 promoter acts as an activator through the binding of YY-1 and transactivation by E2F1 and Sp-1. The −74 C-to-T base exchange is consistent with the creation of a second YY-1 site that relieves the activator and acts as a repressor of transcription. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to characterize the role of YY-1 in regulating the p18 promoter and to demonstrate a functional role for the −74 C-to-T SNP in decreasing p18 expression that is associated with the Sle2c1 lupus susceptibility locus.

Materials and Methods

In silico p18 promoter analysis

A comprehensive library of hydroxyl radical cleavage profiles (available online at: dna.bu.edu/orchid) allows prediction of structural DNA profiles from sequence information in silico (25). Transcription factor binding sites on the p18 promoter were predicted using Chip MAPPER (http://mapper.chip.org/) (26) and TFSEARCH (http://www.cbrc.jp/research/db/TFSEARCH.html).

Reagents

All chemicals, Schneider Drosophila medium, glutamine, trypsin, and antibiotics were purchased from Sigma. The luciferase reporter vector pGL-4 basic and the assay kit were purchased from Promega. RPMI, DMEM-high glucose, and DMEM-F-12 culture media were purchased from Cellgro. FCS was obtained from Atlanta Biotech, and cell culture plasticware was purchased from Corning.

Mouse

The B6.Sle2c1 congenic strain is a C57BL/6 (B6) mouse that carries an −6 Mb interval derived from the NZB genome on telomeric chromosome 4 that contains the Cdkn2c gene (10). B6 mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. All experiments were conducted according to protocols approved by the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Cell culture

The NIH3T3 (mouse fibroblasts), Raji (human B lymphocytes), and the Drosophila melanogaster Schneider line 2 (SL2) cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. NIH3T3 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. Raji cells were grown in RPMI supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. Cell density was kept between 0.20 and 1.25 × 10⁷ cells/ml. SL2 cells were grown at 24°C without supplemental CO₂ as a loosely attached monolayer in Schneider Drosophila medium supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics.

Plasmids

pCaGs−YY-1 and pCaGs empty vector were provided by Prof. Yuki Yamaguchi (PRESTO, Chiyodaku, Japan), pPac−SP-1 and pPac empty vector were provided by Prof. Yasumasa Iwasaki (Kochi University, Kochi, Japan), and pSG5L-E2F1 and pSG5L empty vector were provided by Dr. Shiwu Li (University of Florida). All plasmid sequences were confirmed by sequence analysis at the University of Florida Sequencing Core. Side-by-side transfections showed a clear p18 induction associated with the expression of each transcription factor above that of the empty vector control (data not shown).

Reporter constructs

The −279 p18 reporter construct was generated by cloning the promoter segment 279 nucleotide upstream from the putative translation initiation site of the mouse p18 gene into the luciferase reporter plasmid pGL-4 basic (10). −74 C and T allele containing plasmids were cloned from the B6.Sle2c1 and B6 genomic DNA, respectively. Another −52 construct does not include the −74 SNP was also constructed as a negative control. Promoter DNA was amplified using touchdown PCR with the primers shown in Table I. Fragments were digested with XhoI and Bglll restriction enzymes, and ligated into the pGL4.10 basic vector digested with the same restriction enzymes. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing. Mutations in the p18 promoter were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) on both promoters carrying the C and T alleles with the primers shown in Table I. The location and sequence of the mutations are depicted in Fig. 1A and 1B. PCR products were digested with DpnI to cleave the parental strand and transformed into Super Competent cells (Invitrogen). The accuracy of the sequence of each mutated promoter was verified by sequencing before usage.

Transient transfection, small interfering RNA, and luciferase assays

The activity of the p18 promoter was determined by measuring the expression of the luciferase reporter gene. Transient transfection assays in NIH3T3 cells were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in serum-free medium in 12-well plate at 80−90% cell confluence with 1.6 μg reporter plasmids plus 160 ng of the Renilla luciferase plasmid pRL-TK (Promega) as an internal control for transfection efficiency. After 6 h of incubation of the cells with the Lipofectamine/DNA complexes, the medium was changed to DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and incubated for an additional 48 h at 37°C. Primary spB cells were isolated by negative selection from 8–11-wk-old B6 or B6.Sle2c1 mice using the Miltenyi B Cell Isolation kit. The purity of the preparation was typically >95% CD19⁺ B cells. After 24-h stimulation with LPS (50 μg/ml), cells (3 × 10⁶) were transfected with 2.5 μg p18/Luc constructs along with 250 ng pRL-TK Renilla by using the Mouse B Cell Nucleofector Kit program Z-001 (Amaza: LONZA). The transfection efficiency of spB cells with the pMax-GFP vector was >90% of the nonadherent cells by fluorescence microscopy (data not shown). For in vitro small interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection, 3 × 10⁶ spB cells in 1 ml media were incubated with 5 μM YY-1 siRNA (sc-36864; Santa Cruz Biotech) in the Nucleofector transfection reagent at room temperature with gentle agitation for 30 min, with or without expression plasmids of YY-1. Transfected cells were incubated for 6−8 h before being subjected to the luciferase assays. Similarly, 2 × 10⁶ Raji B cells were transiently transfected with 2.5 μg p18/Luc constructs along with 250 ng pRL-TK Renilla by using the Amaza Nucleofector device (program V-01) with the Nucleofector Kit V. Transfected Raji cells were incubated for 18 h before being subjected to the luciferase assays. Transfection of SL2 cells was performed using 25 μl Insectogene (Biontex) in serum-free medium with 2.5 μg p18 promoter-containing plasmids and 250 ng pRL-TK. After 4 h of incubation of the cells with the Insectogene/DNA complexes, the medium was changed to Schneider’s Drosophila medium supplemented with 10% FCS, and the cells were maintained at 24°C without supplemental CO₂ for 48 h.

All transfected cells were collected by centrifugation and lysed in reporter lysis buffer (Promega). Cell extracts were prepared using the Dual Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Luciferase activity in cell extracts was measured using a Lumat LB 9507 luminometer (Berthold Technologies). Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity. Results were expressed as luciferase fold induction by each construct over the expression induced by pGL4 basic. These experiments were repeated with three independent DNA preparations.

Quantitative RT-PCR and Western blot
cDNAs from transfected spB cells were prepared using the Promega reverse transcription kit. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with TaqMan probes.
rpm. The chromatin solution was diluted 10-fold with dilution buffer with a Sonic Dismembrator (Fisher Scientific), then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 20 min. The supernatants were reserved as a total input of chromatin. The diluted chromatin solution was precleared with salmon sperm DNA–protein A-agarose for 1 h and incubated with either anti-Nrf2 (C-20), anti–YY-1 Ab (sc-1703), nonspecific rabbit IgG, or no Ab for 18 h at 4˚C with rotation. Immunoprecipitation, washing, and elution were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cross-linked immunoprecipitates and total chromatin inputs were reversed, and samples were treated with proteinase K (Sigma), then extracted with phenol–chloroform–polyethoxyl polyvinyl alcohol (25:24:1). DNA was precipitated with ethanol and resuspended in water. Quantitative PCR was performed with the primers shown in Table I using 4 μl of template, with the Syber Green Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and analyzed using the CFX Manager Software v1.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed using the Millipore kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein content was determined using the Bradford assay. All the forward strands of oligonucleotide probes (Table I) were biotinylated (ID Technologies) for detection using a light-shift assay. Nuclear extracts were incubating 0.5 ng labeled probe with nuclear extract (5–10 μg) with or without competing unlabeled oligonucleotides at 10- to 100-fold excess. EMSAs were performed by incubating 0.5 ng labeled probe with nuclear extract (5–10 μg) with or without competing unlabeled oligonucleotides at 10- to 100-fold excess in binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 μM EDTA, 5% glycerol, and 1 μg/μl poly[dI-dC]) at room temperature for 30 min. Mixtures were size fractionated on a nondenaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel followed by transfer to Zeta nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad) and detection by streptavidin-HRP/chemiluminescence for biotin-labeled probes. Supershift reactions were run as described earlier with the addition of 2 μg unlabeled rabbit polyclonal anti–YY-1 Ab (sc-1703), anti–E2F1 Ab (SC-193), or goat polyclonal anti–SP-1 Ab, all from Santa Cruz Biotech. A Sox3 probe was used as an unrelated cold probe as control for binding specificity. Density values for each band were calculated relative to the band generated by the T or C allele oligonucleotide without competitor. Extracts from spB cells isolated from three B6 and three B6. Sle2c1 were run on 12% SDS-PAGE gels. Proteins were electroblotted onto 0.45-μm nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad) and detected by ECL detection system (ECL; Amersham).

Table I. List of oligonucleotides used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotides</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C allele F&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-TCC TTA AAA CTC TGC GGT TAA AAT GGG GCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T allele F&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-TCC TTA AAA CTC TGC GGT TAA AAT GGG GCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C mutant allele F&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-TCC TTA AAA CTC TGC GGT TAA AAT GGG GCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T mutant allele F&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-TCC TTA AAA CTC TGC GGT TAA AAT GGG GCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YY-1 F&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-CGG TCC CCG GCC ATC TGG GCG GCT GGT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChIP F</td>
<td>5’-CTA ACT CGG AGA AAC CTC CTT AAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChIP R</td>
<td>5’-TCC GAAG CGT ACT GTG CCG GGT TCT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−279 construct F&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-AGG CCTG GAG ACT AGC GAA GCC AGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−279 construct R</td>
<td>5’-ACT GAG ATC TGG CCG GTT TCT TCA CCC T-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−52 construct F&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-TCC GAG ATC TGG CCG GTT TCT TCA CCC T-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−52 construct R&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5’-GAC AAG ATC TCT GCG TCT TCT TCT TA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YY-1 SDM F</td>
<td>5’-GAG CCT CCT TAA AAC TCT GCC GGT AAC GCA GGG GGG GGT TTT TCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YY-1 SDM R</td>
<td>3’-CTG GGA GGA ATT TTG AGA CCA TTT CTT CCC CCG CCA AAA AGT-5’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox3 F</td>
<td>5’-ACGGCGAGCTGCATACAGCCGACCACCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox3 R</td>
<td>5’-TCGGGACTCCCGTGATCGAGCGCTCAGCGGCT-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Oligonucleotides used for dsDNA EMSA probes. Only the sequences of the biotinylated forward oligonucleotides are shown.
<sup>b</sup>Underlined bolded nucleotides indicate the −74 C/T SNP.
<sup>c</sup>Nucleotides mutated by SDM are indicated by a double underline.
<sup>d</sup>XhoI site is underlined.
<sup>e</sup>BglII site is underlined.
transcriptional activity of the −279/+24 region with the C allele was significantly higher than that with the T allele in Raji human B cells (Fig. 1C) and mouse NIH3T3 fibroblasts (Supplemental Fig. 1A). To validate the physiological relevance of these findings, we repeated the earlier experiments in primary spB cells and found the same result showing a higher transcriptional activity of the C allele than the T allele (Fig. 1D).

To further confirm the role of YY-1 in driving the transcriptional activity of the p18 promoter, point mutants were created by SDM to eliminate the YY-1 binding site common to both alleles. The resulting mutant constructs carried either 0 (mutant C allele) or 1 (mutant T allele) YY-1 binding sites in the −274 region. The mutated T allele resulted in an increased promoter activity as compared with the unmutated construct in spB cells (Fig. 1D). We observed similar results in NIH3T3 cells (Supplemental Fig. 1A, 1B). This validated the hypothesis that the presence of two YY-1 binding sites associated with the T allele results in transcription repression. In Raji cells, however, the loss of the common YY-1 binding site resulted in a decrease of the promoter activity as compared with the respective unmutated constructs (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, the difference between the C and T alleles was maintained between the mutant constructs, suggesting that the remaining YY-1 binding site in the mutated T allele is functional. Overall, these data indicated that the −279 to −52 bp in the p18 promoter plays a vital regulatory role in the basal transcriptional activity of this gene, and that within this region, the −274 C/T variant may result in functional transcriptional consequences.

YY-1 is a critical transcription factor regulating the function of the C and T alleles

To determine whether the additional putative YY-1 site created by the −74 C→T transition has a positive or negative role in driving the transcriptional activity of p18 promoter, we cotransfected the −279 -C and T constructs with a YY-1 expression plasmid in spB, Raji, and NIH3T3 cells. In all three cell types, YY-1 overexpression reversed (spB and Raji cells; Fig. 2A, 2B) or nullified (NIH3T3 cells; Supplemental Fig. 1C) the differential transcriptional activity between the T and C alleles. Moreover, when YY-1 overexpression was
transactivated with E2F1, the C and T alleles showed a similar transcriptional activity in spB cells (Fig. 2C), and the T allele showed a higher transactivation than the C allele in Raji cells (Fig. 2D). This suggested that the additional YY-1 binding site in the T allele increases binding for YY-1, and thus positively regulates the p18 promoter activity in conditions in which YY-1 and E2F1 are both overexpressed, as opposed to basal conditions with limiting amount of YY-1 in which the T allele is associated with a lower transcriptional activity. Furthermore, YY-1 knockdown by siRNA transfection of either B6 or B6.Sle2c1 spB cells lowered p18 transcriptional activity to equivalent levels of all four constructs that differed by their number of YY-1 binding sites (Fig. 3A, 3B, and data not shown).

We also tested the effect of YY-1 levels on the transcription of endogenous p18 in B6 or B6.Sle2c1 spB cells transfected with the luciferase constructs by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 3C). Overexpression of YY-1 decreased p18 expression significantly in the B6 allele, whereas maintaining the significant difference between the C and T alleles. YY-1 knockdown also decreased p18 expression in the B6 allele, whereas maintaining the difference between the two alleles. This level of p18 expression with YY-1 siRNA was, however, statistically higher ($p < 0.0013$) than with YY-1 overexpression conditions in both alleles. The fact that endogenous p18 expression in the Sle2c1 allele was largely not affected by either overexpression or knockdown of YY-1 may be related to the fact that endogenous level of YY-1 protein was ~1.5-fold higher in the Sle2c1 than B6 B cells. Moreover, although the siRNA knockdown was successful, a substantial residual YY-1 expression remained (Fig. 3D). Attempts to increase the amount of transfected siRNA above 5 μM resulted in substantial cytotoxicity. One might interpret these results as output efficiency of the p18 promoter being regulated by the balance between the number of YY-1 binding sites and YY-1 availability. YY-1 knockdown eliminates the difference between YY-1 binding site numbers on the high copy number luciferase constructs and decreases p18 expression in the B6 endogenous allele. The Sle2c1 endogenous allele, however, is largely unaffected either because of higher intrinsic levels of YY-1 or the existence of two YY-1 binding sites that allow for a better competition for its ligand. Steady-state levels of YY-1 result in lower transcription from the T allele in both the endogenous promoters and the luciferase constructs. Finally, YY-1 overexpression

---

**Figure 2.** YY-1 overexpression affects p18 transcription. Luciferase assays in activated spB (A) or Raji (B) cells transfected with mutated or intact p18 promoter constructs and a YY-1 expression plasmid. Transactivation of p18 activity by coexpression of exogenous YY-1 and E2F1 in spB (C) or Raji (D) cells. The results show means ± SD of three independent experiments. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$. 
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decreases the expression by the endogenous promoters, whereas maintaining allelic differences, but increased the expression of the T allele on the high copy number luciferase constructs. Overall, these data demonstrate that p18 expression is greatly affected by YY-1 levels and binding capacity.

**YY-1 binds to p18 promoter in vitro and in vivo**

The Mapper and TFSEARCH programs predicted that YY-1 binds to putative sites in the p18 promoter, which is in agreement with our reporter construct experiments. Besides the primary sequence, a feature that can influence transcription factor binding specificity is the DNA backbone conformation (28). In fact, topography-informed, evolutionarily constrained DNA regions correlate better with functional noncoding elements than those predicted by primary sequence-constraint algorithms (29). The solvent accessible surface area of the DNA backbone is well reflected by its hydroxyl radical cleavage pattern (30). Strong differences in the predicted hydroxyl radical cleavage pattern were found between
the C and T alleles in the region surrounding the −74C/T SNP (Fig. 4A), suggesting global differences in protein binding affinity. The lower score of the T allele distal to the mutation reflects narrowing of the DNA backbone, which can act as an electrostatic groove to enhance protein–DNA interaction (28).

This in silico prediction of altered protein–DNA interaction in the −74C/T region was validated by EMSAs using nuclear extracts prepared from either B6 or B6.Sle2c1 spB cells. With both extracts, incubation of C and T allele probes spanning from −89 to −60 (containing both YY-1 sites and the NRF-2 site) gave rise to the formation of DNA–protein complexes (Fig. 4B, 4C, lanes 1, 5). We showed the specificity of the binding by competing with unrelated cold Sox3 probe, which could not compete at all (Fig. 4B, 4C, lanes 4, 8). Mutated C or T probes in which the common YY-1 site has been removed could not compete as efficiently as the unmutated C or T probes (Fig. 4B, 4C, lanes 2, 3, 6, 7). These results further showed the functionality of the common YY-1 binding site.

The DNA–protein complexes could be competed off by the addition of graded excess amount of unlabeled C or T probes (Fig. 5, lanes 1–5, 8–11). Similar results were obtained with YY-1 consensus commercial probes (data not shown). With B6 nuclear extracts, the faster migrating specific complex (band 2) was more intense than band 1 and appeared to comprise multiple complexes with similar electromobilities. Addition of an YY-1 Ab to the binding reaction caused the disappearance of the specific DNA–protein complex band 2 (Fig. 5, lanes 6, 12; Supplemental Fig. 2, lanes 2, 7). Densitometric analysis of band 2 revealed that the T allele probe showed an ∼50% weaker protein binding than the C probe and was competed off more efficiently than the C allele probe (data not shown). With the B6.Sle2c1 nuclear extracts, the C probe did not show a distinct band 1 (Fig. 5B, lane 1) and was competed off more efficiently than the T probe, with which two bands were clearly visible (Fig. 5B, lane 7). Densitometry analysis showed weaker protein binding for the C probe (data not shown), suggesting that the T-variant increases protein binding affinity in B6.Sle2c1 extracts. These results indicate that the YY-1 binding sites within the p18 proximal promoter region are capable of binding with transcription factor YY-1 in vitro, and this binding differs between the C and T alleles. The differences between the Sle2c1 and B6 B cell nuclear extracts may reflect the higher amount of YY-1 in Sle2c1 B cells and/or feedback regulatory mechanisms resulting from the differential expression of p18 in these cells. The multiple DNA-binding complexes also suggested the presence of other transcriptional active proteins such as E2F1 and SP-1 in addition to YY-1. E2F1 and SP-1 Abs partially inhibited both complexes formed with either the C or T probes, indicating that E2F1 and SP-1 are included in the complex of proteins that binds to this sequence (Supplemental Fig. 2, lanes 3–4, 9–10).

To further determine whether YY-1 binds to the mouse p18 promoter in vivo in an allele-specific fashion, we performed ChIP analysis in spB cells from B6 and B6.Sle2c1 mice, in which we found a differential p18 gene expression (10). A 150-bp DNA fragment was strongly amplified from the B6.Sle2c1 B cell extracts precipitated by the YY-1 Ab, but not from control B6 B cells or from negative control immunoprecipitations using either no Ab or rabbit IgG. The identity of the amplified fragment was confirmed by sequencing. The possibility of nonspecific binding of the YY-1 Ab was ruled out by the lack of PCR amplification of the −52 to +123 region of the p18 gene in all the precipitated chromatin (data not shown). Quantitative ChIP PCR showed a significantly stronger YY-1 binding in B6.Sle2c1 than B6 B cells (Fig. 6A). Conversely, the amount of p18 promoter bound to NRF-2 was 2-fold lower with the Sle2c1 allele than the B6 allele (Fig. 6B). These results indicate that YY-1 specifically binds to the p18 promoter in vivo and support the prediction that the T mutation creates a second YY-1 binding site to the expense of the NRF-2 binding site.

FIGURE 4. Analysis of protein binding on the 18 promoter. (A) Allelic difference in DNA surface structure in the 12 nucleotide region surrounding the −74C/T SNP (represented by N) by using predicted hydroxyl radical cleavage patterns. Each nucleotide is shown on the x-axis. Gray line represents T allele; black line represents C allele. Representative EMSAs performed with B6 (B) and B6.Sle2c1 (C) spB cell nuclear extracts showing that the elimination of the common YY-1 binding site by SDM (m cold probes, lanes 2 and 6) results in lower DNA–protein binding for both the C and T alleles. The content of each lane indicated in the table is common to both types of nuclear extracts. A cold probe from the Sox3 promoter was used as a control for binding specificity.
YY-1 regulates the transcriptional activity of the p18 promoter in Drosophila SL2 cells in a C/T mutation-dependent fashion

To directly test the role of YY-1 and Sp family members in mediating transcriptional activity through the −74 polymorphic residue, we performed p18 promoter transient transfection assays in the SL-2 cell line, which is deficient of YY and Sp transcription factor family members (31). YY-1 expression in SL2 cells induced a significantly higher transcriptional activity in the −74/+24 p18 promoter T allele with two putative YY-1 sites than in the C allele (Fig. 7A). We also observed an increase in promoter activity associated with SP-1 activity. Both alleles of the −1209/+24 constructs, which contain 13 putative SP-1 sites, showed a higher promoter activity in the presence of an SP-1 expression plasmid than the −74/+24 constructs, which contain 7 putative SP-1 sites (Supplemental Fig. 1D). As with spB and Raji cells (Fig. 1), the mutation of the common YY-1 binding site significantly decreased transcription from both alleles but maintained the difference between alleles (Fig. 7A). This resulted in YY-1 binding site dose-dependent transcriptional activity, with the highest activity produced by the Sle2c1 promoter (two binding sites), the lowest produced by the mutated B6 promoter (zero binding sites), and equivalent activities between the B6 and mutated Sle2c1 promoters (one binding site each). E2F1 overexpression resulted in 10-fold transactivation with similar significant differences between the T and C alleles, although a lesser effect of the mutations (Fig. 7B). Moreover, YY-1 expression maintained a higher transcriptional activity for the T allele in SL2 cells cotransfected with SP-1 (Fig. 7C), or the combination of SP-1 and E2F1 expression vectors (Fig. 7D). In all these cotransfection experiments, the mutations of the shared YY-1 binding site was associated with a significant decrease in transcriptional activity, which occurred to a greater extent in the C allele in which no YY-1 site was left (Fig. 7C, 7D). These experiments clearly showed that YY-1 binding to the p18 promoter plays a major role in its transcriptional activity, and that the −74 C-to-T transition affects significantly this activity in a manner consistent with the in silico prediction of the creation of an additional YY-1 binding site.

Discussion

B1a cell expansion is the major phenotype of the Sle2c1 lupus susceptibility locus (9). We have previously shown that this phenotype segregated with a markedly reduced (∼80%) expression of the Cdkn2c gene encoding for p18, as well as with an increased spontaneous proliferation of the B1a cells, leading to their age-dependent accumulation (10). We have identified a novel −74 C/T polymorphism in the p18 promoter, with the T allele derived from the lupus-prone strains NZM2410 and NZB associated with a reduced Cdkn2c expression (10). We have sequenced the entire p18 promoter, and this polymorphism was the only difference between the B6 and Sle2c1 allele (10). There is no evidence that p18 transcription is regulated by downstream elements (8), and the p18 protein is very stable with a very low turnover (7). These results combined with our previously published luciferase reporter assays (10) suggested that the −74 C/T mutation was responsible for the difference in p18 expression between the B6 and B6.Sle2c1 B cells. This study was conducted...
to functionally characterize the region surrounding the $-74$ C/T SNP relative to the p18 promoter activity, to test the in silico prediction that the mutation affects YY-1 binding and to identify whether there is, besides YY-1, any other transcription factor binding to this region, regulating the transcriptional activity of the p18 promoter in vitro and in vivo. We first showed that the luciferase activity of truncated reporter constructs was significantly lower for the T allele than for the C allele in mouse spB cells, human Raji-B cells, and mouse NIH3T3 cells. This indicated that $-74$C/T variant regulates the p18 promoter activity in a noncell- or nonspecies-specific pattern that involved ubiquitous transcriptional factors. The limiting effect of YY-1 binding on the p18 promoter function was demonstrated by over-expression of YY-1 that eliminated the difference between the C and T alleles in spB, Raji, SL-2, and NIH3T3 cells, most likely by compensating for the varying number of binding sites by unrestrained availability of the transcription factor. YY-1 over-expression resulted in a greater promoter activity with the T allele in three (spB, Raji, SL-2) of the four cell types examined in this study, indicating that YY-1 availability was rate limiting for the presence of two YY-1 binding sites. The fact that YY-1 over-expression eliminated but did not reverse the difference between the C and T alleles in NIH3T3 cells is likely due to a higher endogenous YY-1 expression level, which corresponds to a relatively smaller difference between alleles in the absence of YY-1 expression plasmid in NIH3T3 as compared with the other cells.

FIGURE 7. p18 transcriptional activity is affected by the $-74$ C/T SNP in SL2 insect cells. The activity of p18 promoter constructs was tested in SL2 cells cotransfected with expression vectors for YY-1 singly (A) or in combination with E2F1 (B) and/or SP-1 (C, D). Data are presented as fold activation relative to the expression of the p18-luciferase constructs in the absence of any mammalian transcription factors. Results show the means + SD of three separate transfections. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
types. Elimination of the YY-1 binding site common to both alleles by SDM clearly showed a transcriptional activity of the p18 promoter was significantly affected in both alleles. Moreover, siRNA knockdown experiments showed that a low YY-1 expression was also associated with equivalent transcriptional activity of all four alleles expression 0 to 4 YY-1 binding sites. In overall, these results demonstrated that YY-1 by itself regulates the p18 promoter activity, and that the −74T mutation created a novel YY-1 binding site. Unfortunately, these in vitro results cannot be readily validated in vivo because both B cell-specific YY-1 knockout (32) and overexpression of YY-1 in hematopoietic stem cells (33) eliminate mature B cells.

YY-1 is a ubiquitous factor that mediates both transcriptional activation and repression depending on both the sequence and cellular contexts (13). The comparison of sequences surrounding the YY-1 binding site between promoters that are repressed and promoters that are activated by YY-1 has determined consensus repressor and activator sequences (13). Accordingly, the −74 T polymorphism creates a YY-1 repressor binding site, which fits with the results that we have obtained with spB and Raji cells. The variable effects of YY-1 on the transcription of a same gene in different cell types have been clearly demonstrated for the human IL-13 gene. The YY-1 binding site created by a C-to-T mutation increased IL-13 expression in TH2 T cells because of STAT1 and STAT6 transactivation, had no effect in nonpolarized CD4+ T cells increased IL-13 expression in TH2 T cells because of STAT1 and IL-13. The variable effects of YY-1 on the transcription of a same gene in different cell types have been clearly demonstrated for the human IL-13 gene. The YY-1 binding site created by a C-to-T mutation increased IL-13 expression in TH2 T cells because of STAT1 and STAT6 transactivation, had no effect in nonpolarized CD4+ T cells because of the binding of NFAT-2, and repressed YY-1 transactivation, had no effect in nonpolarized CD4+ T cells increased IL-13 expression in TH2 T cells because of STAT1 and IL-13.
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Supplementary Figure 1. The activity of p18 promoter constructs was tested in NIH3T3 cells (A) co-transfected with expression vectors for E2F1 (B) or with YY-1 (C). Luciferase activity of p18 promoter constructs was tested in SL-2 cells (D). Data are presented as fold activation relative to the expression of the p18-luciferase constructs in the absence of any mammalian transcription factors. The results show the means + SD of three separate transfections. *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01.
Supplementary Figure 2. E2F1 and Sp1 binds to the p18 promoter in both alleles. A: Representative EMSA performed with B6 B cell nuclear extracts showing reduction in DNA-protein complexes (band 1) with E2F1 and Sp1 antibodies respectively. B: Representative EMSA performed with B6 Sle2c1 cell nuclear extracts showing reduction in DNA-protein complexes (band 1) with E2F1 and Sp1 antibodies respectively indicating both alleles bind to these abs very strongly.