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Escape from CD8+ T Cell Responses in *Mamu-B*00801+ Macaques Differentiates Progressors from Elite Controllers

Philip A. Mudd,* Adam J. Ericsen,* Benjamin J. Burwitz,* Nancy A. Wilson,*† David H. O’Connor,*† Austin L. Hughes,* and David I. Watkins*†

A small number of HIV-infected individuals known as elite controllers experience low levels of chronic phase viral replication and delayed progression to AIDS. Specific HLA class I alleles are associated with elite control, implicating CD8+ T lymphocytes in the establishment of these low levels of viral replication. Most HIV-infected individuals that express protective HLA class I alleles, however, do not control viral replication. Approximately 50% of *Mamu-B*00801+ Indian rhesus macaques control SIVmac239 replication in the chronic phase in a manner that resembles elite control in humans. We followed both the immune response and viral evolution in SIV-infected *Mamu-B*00801+ animals to better understand the role of CD8+ T lymphocytes during the acute phase of viral infection, when viral control status is determined. The virus escaped from immunodominant Vif and Nef *Mamu-B*00801+–restricted CD8+ T lymphocyte responses during the critical early weeks of acute infection only in progressor animals that did not control viral replication. Thus, early CD8+ T lymphocyte escape is a hallmark of *Mamu-B*00801+ macaques who do not control viral replication. By contrast, virus in elite controller macaques showed little evidence of variation in epitopes recognized by immunodominant CD8+ T lymphocytes, implying that these cells play a role in viral control. *The Journal of Immunology, 2012, 188: 000–000.*

Materials and Methods

Animals and SIV infection

MHC class I records for >150 SIVmac239–infected Indian rhesus macaques with archived samples at the Wisconsin National Primate Research Center were obtained, and a total of 17 animals that were *Mamu-B*00801+ and had viral load outcome data were discovered. We excluded two *Mamu-B*00801+ macaques that also expressed the elite control–associated *Mamu-B*01701 allele (12) from these analyses, leaving 15 animals included in the current study (Table 1). MHC class I typing was performed by sequence-specific PCR (9). Most of the animals were infected in previously published studies (10, 11). All animals were housed and cared for according to regulations set forth in the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* published in 1996 by the National Academy Press on behalf of the National Research Council. Animals were infected with SIVmac239 produced on Vero and CEMx174 cells or SIV-naive PBMC using a previously described method (13). The majority of the animals were infected i.v.; however, three animals challenged as a part of earlier studies were infected intrarectally (two P animals and one EC) (Table 1). All macaques in this study were infected after one viral challenge.

*SIV viral load measurement

Viral loads were measured from EDTA anticoagulated plasma using a previously described protocol (14). Twenty-microliter reactions were prepared for one-step quantitative RT-PCR using a kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). They contained 0.2 mM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 5 mM MgSO4, 0.015% BSA, 150 ng random hexamer primers, 0.8 μl SuperScript III reverse transcriptase and Platinum Taq DNA polymerase in a single enzyme mix, and 600 nM each primer: forward (SIV1552),...
5'-GTCTGGTGTACCTGTCGAC-3' and reverse (SIV1635), 5'-CAGAAAAGGTTGGTCCTAGT-3' and reverse, 5'-AGGTTGTGCCATCCTGTCATTC-3'. The mean number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous nucleotide site \( d_s \) and the mean number of nonsynonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous nucleotide site \( d_N \) were estimated by Nei and Gojobori's method (17). These quantities were estimated in pairwise comparisons between individual sequences and the inoculum sequence, and the mean of all pairwise comparisons was computed. A small number of contigs with large gaps relative to the inoculum were excluded from the analyses; a total of 432,209 individual comparisons were made between epitope sequences and the inoculum. For purposes of statistical testing, the mean of \( d_s \) or \( d_N \) between all sequences of a given epitope and the inoculum was taken as the unit of analysis. Both patterns of substitution and time course were analyzed by a factorial ANOVA using a general linear models approach. Individual comparisons between \( d_s \) and \( d_N \) were made using paired \( t \) tests. To examine differences among the Vif and Nef epitopes in P animals at week 8, we applied a nested ANOVA to \( d_s \) values.

**Results**

**Viral load divergence kinetics in Mamu-B*00801**-infected rhesus macaques

To explore associations between the development of CD8+ T lymphocyte escape in Mamu-B*00801* Indian rhesus macaques, we analyzed samples collected and archived from 15 Mamu-B*00801* animals infected with SIVmac239 in earlier studies (Table I) (10, 11). Previous long-term study of these 15 Mamu-B*00801* macaques revealed three distinct groups of animals based upon chronic phase set point viral loads: EC (chronic set point viral load \(<1,000 \text{ V/ml}\) ), controllers (C; chronic set point viral load \(<10,000 \text{ V/ml}\) ), and progressors (P; chronic set point viral load \(>100,000 \text{ V/ml}\) ). Of these 15 animals, 10 had plasma samples available for sequencing. Two animals had available sample at various time points but were not included in every sequencing experiment. Three animals were included in viral load analyses but did not have sufficient samples remaining for acute-phase viral sequencing.

We began by analyzing viral load changes to determine the kinetics of viral load divergence among the three groups of animals: EC, C, and P. We discovered that viral loads were statistically equivalent in P animals and EC animals until 6 wk postinfection (Fig. 1). At this time point, geometric mean viral load in the EC animals was more than one log_{10} lower than the geometric mean viral load in the P animals \((p < 0.05; \text{Fig. } 1)\). Geometric mean viral load in the C animals was more variable during acute in-
Infection due to a single animal, r00078, which inexplicably maintained viral loads that more closely matched those of P animals until 10–14 wk postinfection. All other animals were clearly demarcated into $10^5$ V/ml or $10^6$ V/ml categories by 8 wk postinfection. Taken together, these findings suggest that the factors that differentiate viral loads in EC, C, and P animals, exert their effects starting $\sim 6$ wk postinfection.

454 pyrosequencing of acute-phase plasma virus samples from Mamu-B*00801+ SIVmac239-infected rhesus macaques

To determine if CD8$^+$ T lymphocyte escape contributes to the separation of viral loads among EC, C, and P animals during the first weeks of SIV infection, we used an amplicon-based Roche 454 pyrosequencing approach (16) to characterize the viral quasispecies that make up each of the 12 most commonly targeted Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8$^+$ T lymphocyte epitopes. For this analysis, we chose the 4- and 8-wk postinfection time points that flank the demarcation of viral loads between EC and P animals during acute infection. We found very few nonsynonymous mutations in the Mamu-B*00801–restricted subdominant epitopes at 8 wk postinfection and even fewer mutations at 4 wk postinfection (Fig. 2A and data not shown). By contrast, we found several nonsynonymous mutations in the five most immunodominant epitopes: Vif RL8, Vif RL9, Nef RL10, Nef RL9b, and Nef RL9c at 8 wk postinfection (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, we found nonsynonymous variation in the Vif RL8 immunodominant epitope at the 4-wk postinfection time point in addition to the 8-wk postinfection time point. Few nonsynonymous mutant epitope variants were present in the other immunodominant epitopes at 4 wk postinfection.

We next sought to determine whether the observed nonsynonymous variation could be correlated with viral control status in the three groups of animals: EC, C, and P. To do this, we estimated the $d_S$ and $d_N$ in the Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8$^+$ T lymphocyte epitopes comparing the inoculum sequence and individual plasma viral quasispecies sequences isolated from the animals at 8 wk postinfection. We analyzed three separate parameters: the viral gene in which the epitope occurred, the infection outcome status of the host (P, C, or EC), and the interaction between the two. In the case of $d_N$, we found significant effects of infection outcome status ($p$, 0.05) and of viral gene ($p$, 0.001), and we observed a significant viral gene by infection outcome status interaction ($p$, 0.003).

Table I. SIVmac239-infected Mamu-B*00801+ animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Route of Infection</th>
<th>Infection Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rh10</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r03047</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r0067</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r0032</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r02019</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r01064</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r98057</td>
<td>Intrarectal</td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r03016</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r01027</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r00078</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r99019</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r02040</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r91003</td>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r96104</td>
<td>Intrarectal</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r96113</td>
<td>Intrarectal</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationships among most animals are delineated in Ref. 11.

FIGURE 1. Viral load kinetics in SIVmac239-infected Mamu-B*00801+ rhesus macaques. (A) Viral load profiles for the study animals during the first 28 wk of acute infection. Dashed lines indicate 10,000 V/ml (C animals) and 1,000 V/ml (EC animals). (B) Geometric mean viral loads for the 15 animals plotted by infection outcome status for each weekly time point. Some animals did not have viral load data for weeks 5, 6, and 7 postinfection. Viral loads in EC animals are statistically lower than P animals beginning at 6 wk postinfection. Geometric mean viral loads were compared using the Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA followed by the Dunn’s multiple comparison posttest. *$p$, 0.05, **$p$, 0.01.
interaction was principally explained by significantly elevated mean $d_N$ in the Vif epitopes (Vif RL8 and Vif RL9) of P animals ($p < 0.001$) and also, to some extent, elevated mean $d_N$ in the Nef epitopes (Nef RL10 and Nef RL9b/c) of P animals when compared with $d_S$ by paired $t$ test ($p < 0.05$; Table II). $d_N$ and $d_S$ did not differ significantly in any of the other viral gene and infection outcome status combinations, except for the Rev epitopes of EC, in which $d_S$ was significantly greater than $d_N$ ($p < 0.05$; Table II).

Data from the Vif epitopes from weeks 3, 4, and 8 revealed the effects of this selection acting over time (Fig. 3). We found a significant increase in $d_N/d_S$ within the Vif epitopes in P animals compared with EC and C animals ($p = 0.006$) and a significant increase in $d_N/d_S$ of the Vif epitopes over time ($p < 0.001$). We also discovered a significant interaction between infection outcome status and week postinfection when analyzing the Vif epitopes ($p = 0.001$). This interaction was explained by the fact that $d_N$ in the Vif epitopes increased markedly between weeks 4 and 8 in P, but to a much lesser extent in C and EC (Fig. 3).

We also looked for differences among the various Vif and Nef epitopes in P animals at week 8 to determine if any particular epitope within either of the two genes could explain the observed selection. We noted a significant difference between the two genes ($p = 0.006$), explained by the much higher mean $d_N$ in the Vif epitopes than in the Nef epitopes (Table II). However, we did not find a significant difference among the various epitopes within genes.

**Escape mutations within the Vif RL8 epitope differentiate P animals from C and EC**

To determine if CD8$^+$ T lymphocyte escape in the immunodominant Mamu-B$^*$00801–restricted T cell epitopes existed prior to 4 wk postinfection, we sequenced all five immunodominant epitope regions at 3 wk postinfection, within days of the initial SIV-specific CD8$^+$ T lymphocyte response. Interestingly, we observed variation in the Vif RL8 epitope at this early time point in two of two Mamu-B$^*$00801$^+$ P animals and to a lesser degree in three of seven EC and C animals (Fig. 2B). We did not observe nonsynonymous variation in any of the other Mamu-B$^*$00801–restricted immunodominant epitopes at this early time point postinfection.

We observed that CD8$^+$ T lymphocyte escape within the Vif RL8 epitope in Mamu-B$^*$00801$^+$ P animals consisted of many different variants compared with escape in EC and C animals (Fig. 2B). To further explore this difference, we compiled and analyzed the number of individual variants found in the Vif RL8
epitope in our cohort of sequenced animals (Supplemental Fig. 2) and compared this epitope with the other immunodominant epitopes (Fig. 4, Supplemental Fig. 3). We discovered that P animals developed four, five, and seven distinct populations of variant non–wild-type viral quasispecies on average at 3, 4, and 8 wk postinfection, respectively, each individual population representing a potential CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutant. In contrast, EC and C animals that did develop potential CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations within the Vif RL8 epitope developed only one distinct non–wild-type variant on average, significantly fewer than P animals (Fig. 4). The number of distinct variant viral quasispecies did not differ among EC, C, and P animals for any of the other immunodominant Mamu-B*00801-restricted epitopes except the Nef RL10 epitope at 8 wk postinfection, whereas P animals demonstrated slightly more variants when compared with EC and C animals (Supplemental Fig. 2).

We next sought to determine if a higher frequency Vif RL8-specific CD8+ T cell response in Mamu-B*00801-restricted CD8+ T lymphocytes in 10 of the study animals using peptide/MHC class I tetramers. We found a hierarchy in both the kinetics and magnitude of the individual immunodominant Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell responses. The Vif RL8 and Vif RL9 responses appeared earliest, beginning as early as 14 d postinfection, followed by responses directed against the Nef epitopes, Nef RL10 and Nef RL9b (Supplemental Fig. 4). Despite the rapid appearance of the Vif RL8 CD8+ T cell response, there was no significant difference in the magnitude of this response between P animals and EC/C animals (Fig. 5).

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the kinetics of CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations in Mamu-B*00801–restricted epitopes during acute SIVmac239 infection. In previous work, we described an enrichment of Mamu-B*00801+ macaques in a cohort of SIVmac239-infected EC (9). We then demonstrated both retrospectively and prospectively that >50% of Mamu-B*00801+ macaques infected with the pathogenic SIVmac239 clone control viral replication to <1000 V/ml (10). Furthermore, the Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell response in these animals contributes substantially to the total SIV-specific CD8+ T cell response during acute infection (10), analogous to the situation in human elite control (3). We have also shown that Mamu-B*00801+ macaques infected with a virus that contains Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations have a lower incidence of elite control than wild-type virus-infected macaques (11). In addition, Mamu-B*00801 and HLA-B*2705, a human MHC class I allele associated with elite control of HIV-1 infection in humans, have very similar peptide binding motifs and bind many of the same peptides (15). Taken together, these results implicate Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell responses as critical mediators of the elite control phenotype in SIVmac239-infected macaques. However, it is still not known why some Mamu-B*00801+ macaques have high viral loads in the chronic phase of infection, whereas others go on to control viral replication. In the current study, we sought to address this question by exploring the possibility that early escape from Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T lymphocyte responses differentiates animals that control viral replication from those that do not.

In our earlier work with Mamu-B*00801+ SIVmac239-infected macaques, we noticed a consistent timing of divergence between the viral loads of animals that control viral replication from those that do not. This divergence would typically occur within the first 8 wk of acute infection. We also noted that peak viral load in infected Mamu-B*00801+ macaques was not statistically different from the geometric mean of other SIVmac239-infected animals in a large cohort (9). In this study, we extended these previous observations by retrospectively characterizing the exact statistical divergence of viral loads during acute infection in Mamu-B*00801+ macaques grouped according to geometric mean chronic-phase set point viral load (EC animals, chronic set point viral load <1,000 V/ml; C animals, chronic set point viral load <10,000 V/ml; and P animals, chronic set point viral load >100,000 V/ml). We discovered that week 2 peak viral load was not different among the three groups of animals. Viral loads were significantly different between EC and P animals beginning at 6 wk postinfection. This suggests that the mechanism that differentiates EC and P animals begins to exert its effects around that time.

Preliminary data in a limited number of SIV-infected Mamu-B*00801+ animals had suggested differential CD8+ T lymphocyte escape may be related to control of chronic-phase viral replication (10). It appeared that P animals had escaped from effective T cell responses early during infection and therefore did not control viral quasispecies.
replication. We hypothesized that P animals might preferentially develop CD8+ T lymphocyte escape in Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell epitopes coincident with the separation in viral loads of EC animals and P animals during acute infection. This hypothesis is difficult to explore in human infection, due to the very low incidence of elite control in HIV-1–infected individuals and the difficulty inherent in obtaining acute-phase plasma samples for viral sequencing from the appropriate patients. To address this hypothesis, we used Roche 454 deep sequencing technology (Roche) to characterize the viral quasispecies from plasma virus samples that flanked the critical demarcation in viral loads between EC and P animals at 6 wk postinfection. We found that natural selection favors nonsynonymous variation in the immunodominant Mamu-B*00801–restricted viral sequences from P animals. Whereas the \( \delta_s/\delta_d \) difference was detected at the 8 wk postinfection time point, we noted that CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations in the most immunodominant Vif RL8 epitope occurred as early as 3 wk postinfection. The CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations in the Vif RL8 epitope at these early time points, before the onset of elite control, clearly differentiated P animals from those that would go on to become EC and C animals. The principle difference among the three groups of macaques was the number of separate putative Vif RL8 CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations present in the viral quasispecies. P animals had an average of four or more potential CD8+ T lymphocyte escape variants beginning as early as 3 wk postinfection, whereas in the few EC or C animals that did have variation in the Vif RL8 epitope, on average, only one variant arose during acute infection. Taken together, these findings suggest that SIVmac239 escapes from the Vif RL8 CD8+ T cell response in Mamu-B*00801+ P animals early in acute infection but does not escape from this T cell response as effectively in EC and C animals.

We considered that higher viral loads in P animals when compared with EC or C animals could confound our results due to increased viral replication allowing increased rates of viral evolution and CD8+ T lymphocyte escape. For this reason, we sequenced plasma virus from weeks 3 and 4 postinfection, time points before the divergence of viral loads in EC and P animals. Viral replication at these times is occurring at nearly the same rate in both EC and P animals, suggesting that our findings regarding escape from the Vif RL8 T cell response are not influenced by this potentially confounding factor.

We also considered the possibility that a higher frequency SIV-specific CD8+ T cell response in the P animals might be driving a faster rate of viral evolution. We therefore measured the frequency of individual Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell responses using MHC class I tetramers. We did not find a significant difference among the EC, C, and P animals in the percentage of total CD8+ T cells specific for the Vif RL8 epitope in peripheral blood. This suggests that the selective pressure placed on the virus by this particular CD8+ T cell response is similar in EC, C, and P animals. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that higher frequencies of Vif RL8-specific CD8+ T cells may be found in key immunological tissues such as lymph nodes and GALT in the different groups of animals. We also did not have access to appropriate samples to evaluate for differential T cell escape mutations in these critical immunological tissues; therefore, we cannot be certain that the viral escape phenomenon we are observing in peripheral blood is occurring in tissues that serve as key sites of viral replication. The present experiments also do not address the possibility that functional differences may exist between the Vif RL8-specific CD8+ T cell populations found in animals that become P when compared with those populations found in animals that become EC, leaving open the possibility that our results might be influenced by functional differences in these T cell populations, despite similar Ag-specific T cell response frequencies. Despite these limitations, we propose that the factor differentiating Mamu-B*00801+ P animals from EC and C animals is the ability of the virus to evolve effective CD8+ T lymphocyte escape mutations in the Vif RL8 CD8+ T cell epitope and perhaps also in the other immunodominant Mamu-B*00801–restricted epitopes within the first 8 wk of infection.
Our results, although important in shedding light on the mechanism of elite control in an animal model of MHC class I-associated elite control of immunodeficiency virus replication, may also provide insight into elite control in HIV-1–infected humans. Many similarities exist between our model of elite control and the phenomenon in humans. Mamu-B*00801 and a human allele associated with elite control, HLA-B*2705, have nearly identical peptide binding motifs and bind many of the same peptides (15). Acutely HIV-infected individuals with protective MHC class I alleles appear to have high viral loads that are only gradually brought under control in individuals that go on to become EC (2, 18), similar to SIV-infected Mamu-B*00801 EC macaques. Due to the fact that most HLA-B*2705* and HLA-B*5701* individuals infected with HIV-1 do not become EC, it is reasonable to presume that the mechanism we describe in this study may contribute to the lack of viral containment and disease progression in at least some of these patients.

Nevertheless, important differences do exist between Mamu-B*00801– and HLA-B*2705/HLA-B*5701–associated elite control. The human alleles predominantly present epitopes derived from HIV-Gag (6, 19, 20). As a structural protein, Gag may be under more evolutionary constraint than the accessory protein Vif, from which the most immunodominant Mamu-B*00801–restricted epitopes are derived. Nevertheless, the specificity of elite control-associated responses for Gag in humans and Vif in macaques may underscore an important functional role of these viral proteins in elite control. It is intriguing to speculate that the fitness cost associated with Vif escape in the Mamu-B*00801 model may reflect a key role for Vif in maintaining viral control in Mamu-B*00801–EC. It might be interesting to study human elite control to determine whether a similar pattern of escape occurs in HLA-B*2705/HLA-B*5701 individuals with progressive HIV infection.

In summary, we have demonstrated that early CD8+ T lymphocyte escape occurs in the Vif RL8 epitope recognized by the most immunodominant CD8+ T lymphocyte population in SIV-infected Mamu-B*00801 macaques. This escape is seen first at 3 wk postinfection, when all of the other Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell epitopes are still intact. Interestingly, escape in the Vif RL8 epitope is observed preferentially in those animals that do not control viral replication. This escape appears to be independent of differences in viral load or the magnitude of the CD8+ T cell response. Thus, our results implicate the highest frequency immunodominant Mamu-B*00801–restricted CD8+ T cell response directed against the Vif RL8 epitope as important for viral containment.
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Supplementary Figure 1. PCR re-sequencing bias does not affect the experimental design when input viral RNA quantities greater than or equal to 1,775 viral RNA copies per reaction are used. In panel A), viral RNA prepared from progressor animal r99019 at week 8 post-infection was diluted so that the indicated number of input viral RNA copies were amplified in individual RT-PCR reactions prior to sequencing. Values for input viral RNA copies per reaction were calculated from the quantitative viral load performed on the same sample used for sequencing. Each unique variant present within the viral quasispecies is indicated by a separate color. Vif RL8 (Vif172-179), Vif RL9 (Vif123-131) and the entire amplified region of Vif (Vif Amplicon; Vif123-179) are each analyzed separately. Re-sequencing of specific variants, as indicated by variation in the proportions of the pie graphs, is detected at 886 and 444 viral RNA copies per reaction. In panel B), the input viral RNA copy number is given for each sample sequenced in our study. All samples were sequenced using more than 1,775 viral RNA copies per reaction except for the week 8 sample from rhau10, which contained 1,643 viral RNA copies in each sequenced reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Input viral RNA copies</th>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Input viral RNA copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Week 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r01064</td>
<td>30,500</td>
<td>4,740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhau10</td>
<td>38,400</td>
<td>4,770</td>
<td>1,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r03047</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r96067</td>
<td>24,880</td>
<td>17,400</td>
<td>3,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r00032</td>
<td>23,560</td>
<td>8,680</td>
<td>4,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r02019</td>
<td>33,520</td>
<td>9,090</td>
<td>2,305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Figure 2. Individual viral variants within the Vif RL8 epitope are distinct in progressor animals when compared with animals that control virus. P animals display more sequence diversity when compared with EC and C animals.
Supplementary Figure 3. No difference in the diversity of viral quasispecies can be detected during acute infection for the other Mamu-B*00801-restricted immunodominant epitopes comparing EC/C and P animals. The number of viral quasispecies increases at 8 weeks post-infection for all of the immunodominant epitopes, suggesting CD8+ T lymphocyte escape, however, this difference only borders on significance for the Nef RL10 epitope. No significant differences are seen in the other immunodominant epitopes.
Supplementary Figure 4. Viral load and individual MHC class I tetramer responses over time for a subset of SIV-infected Mamu-B*00801+ macaques. Vif RL8 and Vif RL9 T cell responses arise early, detected as soon as 2 weeks post-infection, whereas Nef RL10 and Nef RL9b T cell responses increase gradually, peaking around 4 weeks post-infection.