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Characterization of the Promoter and the Transcriptional Regulation of the Lipoxin A4 Receptor (FPR2/ALX) Gene in Human Monocytes and Macrophages

Vanessa Waechter,*† Mattia Schmid,*† Magdalena Herova,*† Angelika Weber,*† Viola Günther,‡ Jacqueline Marti-Jaun,*† Sophia Wüst,*† Marian Rösinger,*† Claudio Gemperle,*† and Martin Hersberger*†

The lipoxin A4 receptor FPR2/ALX plays an important part in host defense and inflammation. The receptor binds structurally diverse agonistic ligands, which mainly regulate chemotaxis and activation of leukocytes. However, little is known about the promoter region of the FPR2/ALX gene and its transcriptional regulation in leukocytes. We identified two TATA-less promoter regions, separated by 224 bp, that drive the expression of FPR2/ALX in macrophages. Both promoter regions increased transcription in a reporter assay, and the basal transcription factors OCT1 and SP1 were shown to bind to the first and the second promoter, respectively, and to transactivate transcription. Although monocytes expressed high levels of FPR2/ALX mRNA from the second promoter region, differentiation into macrophages abrogated FPR2/ALX expression. Stimulation of macrophages with a set of cytokines revealed that only IFN-γ and LPS increased FPR2/ALX expression from the first promoter to levels similar to those detected in monocytes. The upregulation by IFN-γ is in part mediated by the interaction of IFN regulatory factor 1 with an IFN-responsive sequence element transcription factor binding site located in the first promoter region of the FPR2/ALX gene. However, this upregulation on the mRNA level did not translate into FPR2/ALX protein expression in macrophages owing to reduced translation of the longer mRNA from the first promoter. In contrast, FPR2/ALX mRNA transcribed from the second promoter was translated into surface expression of FPR2/ALX in macrophages. These data support a model in which FPR2/ALX plays a role in chemotaxis and activation of monocytes; however, they also suggest that its function in resident tissue macrophages is limited.

The Journal of Immunology, 2012, 188: 000–000.
mouse models lacking FPR2/ALX may derive from the deletion of an additional member of the FPR family, besides FPR2/ALX, in one of the mouse models (31). However, a general difficulty arises in attempting to make a direct correlation between function of the human and function of the murine FPR gene families, because humans have three FPR genes, whereas the murine FPR family comprises at least eight genes (2).

The opposing effects mediated by FPR2/ALX, upon binding of pro- and anti-inflammatory ligands, suggest that FPR2/ALX expression is restricted to certain cell types and that its expression is tightly regulated. Indeed, FPR2/ALX mRNA is expressed in a limited number of primary cells involved in inflammation, such as monocytes (18, 32), neutrophils (33), NK cells (34), and possibly T cells (17, 35). However, regulation of FPR2/ALX expression is not well understood, with a limited number of regulators identified. In synovial fibroblasts, treatment with the proinflammatory TNF-α and IL-1β was shown to upregulate FPR2/ALX mRNA expression in a time-dependent manner, whereas IL-6 had little effect (36). In enterocytes, the proinflammatory cytokine IFN-γ and the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-13 were shown to be the most potent inducers of FPR2/ALX expression, whereas IL-1β and LPS only slightly increased its expression (37). In mouse macrophages, an inverse correlation was found between FPR2/ALX expression and thromboxane A2 signaling (38). In NK cells, IL-10 stimulation led to a moderate induction of FPR2/ALX mRNA (39), 40). Extensive studies in mouse microglial cells identified TNF-α, CD40L, IFN-γ, and several ligands for TLRs as regulators of FPR2/ALX receptor expression and function (41–45). These findings indicate that FPR2/ALX mRNA expression may be regulated by pro- and anti-inflammatory stimuli and that the response to stimulation depends on the cell type investigated.

Because little is known about the promoter region of the FPR2/ALX gene and its transcriptional regulation in leukocytes, we set out to define the basal promoter of the FPR2/ALX gene and to investigate its transcriptional regulation in human macrophages. We located two TATAT-less promoter regions in which the basal transcription factors OCT1 and SP1 were found to be necessary for FPR2/ALX transcription, respectively. We further show in primary human cells that monocytes express high levels of FPR2/ALX mRNA, whereas differentiation into macrophages abrogated this expression. IFN-γ stimulation of macrophages rescued FPR2/ALX mRNA expression in a dose-dependent manner, an effect at least partially mediated by IFN regulatory factor 1 (IRF1) via an IFN-responsive sequence element (RSE) located in the first promoter of FPR2/ALX. However, this upregulation on the mRNA level did not translate into FPR2/ALX protein expression in IFN-γ-stimulated macrophages. These data support the model in which FPR2/ALX plays a role in chemotaxis and activation of monocytes, whereas its function in resident tissue macrophages seems restricted.

Materials and Methods

Materials

The recombinant human cytokines IL-13, IL-1β, TNF-α, TGF-β, and IFN-γ; LPS; and the formylated peptide fMLF were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Buchs, Switzerland). IL-4 and IL-6 were purchased from R&D Systems Europe (Abingdon, U.K.). LXA4 was purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). The TLR9 ligand, CpG, was synthesized by Invivogen (Cayman, Michigan). The TLR9 ligand, CpG, was synthesized by Invivogen (Cayman, Michigan). The TLR9 ligand, CpG, was synthesized by Invivogen (Cayman, Michigan).

Primary cell purification and cell culture

Leukocytes from healthy volunteers were extracted from 60 ml heparinized blood or buffy coat (Blutspendezentrum, Zurich, Switzerland) with Histopaque-1077 gradient (Sigma-Aldrich). Peripheral blood monocytes were purified by capture with anti-CD14 Abs coupled to MACS Microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Monocytes were allowed to differentiate into macrophages for 7 d at 37°C in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 5% FCS (Bioconcept, Allschwil, Switzerland), 5% human AB serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland), and 5% CO2. Human THP-1 monocytes (46) were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FCS (Bioconcept), 20 mM glucose (Invitrogen), and 5% CO2. For differentiation into macrophages, cells were stimulated for 2 d with PMA (Sigma-Aldrich) (47).

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends

Total RNA was extracted from THP-1 and primary macrophages, using TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH). Next, 5’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) was performed using the RLM-RACE Kit (Ambion, Rotkreuz, Switzerland), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA synthesis was performed with random primers and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase. The first PCR reaction was performed with the kit’s 5’-RACE outer primer and a reverse primer for FPR2/ALX (5′-CCACCCAGTGTGAATCTACT-3′), designed with Oligo 6.0 software (Medprobe; http://www.medprobe.com) on the sequence NM00105738.1. The nested PCR was carried out with a 5′-RACE inner primer and the nested reverse primer for FPR2/ALX (5′-GGAGAATGGCCGTGAAAA-3′). The PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel, and the corresponding bands were extracted from the gel and sequenced.

Quantification of FPR2/ALX mRNA

The reverse transcription reaction was performed on 1 μg total RNA, using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) with random primers according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each RT-PCR was performed in a total volume of 20 μl on a LightCycler System (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). FPR2/ALX mRNA quantification included 100 ng cDNA, 4 mM MgCl2, 0.5 μM upper primer (all: 5′-GAACAGCACAGC-AAAAAGGAG-3′; or promoter region 1 [P1]: 5′-GGAACACTGACGACAGCATGG-3′, 0.5 μM lower primer (5′-GACAAGTTTGAACAACACGAG-3′), and 1× SYBR Green enzyme mix (Roche Diagnostics). GAPDH mRNA quantification included 100 ng cDNA, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.5 μM upper primer (5′-CCTCTTGTGCTGTGGT-3′), 0.5 μM lower primer (5′-TGTCATGAGCTCTCAGATGA-3′), and 1× SYBR Green enzyme mix (Roche Diagnostics). PCR reactions were performed under the following conditions. FPR2/ALX: preheating of the mixture for 10 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation for 5 s at 95°C, annealing for 10 s at 68°C, and extension for 7 s at 72°C; GAPDH: preheating of the mixture for 10 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation for 5 s at 95°C, annealing for 10 s at 59°C, and extension for 6 s at 72°C. The primers were designed over exon/intron boundaries, and amplified PCR products were checked for size on agarose gels and sequenced.

Generation of promoter constructs

Promoter constructs were amplified by PCR with Xhol upper primers and HindIII lower primers (Supplemental Table I). Constructs were subcloned into the empty pGL3 basic vector that contains the firefly luciferase gene (Promega, Rotkreuz, Switzerland), using the Xhol and HindIII restriction sites. Point mutations in transcription factor binding sites were introduced into P1-414 and promoter region 2 (P2)-150 were designed to result in the changes shown by Guest on June 5, 2017 http://www.jimmunol.org/ Downloaded from by guest on June 5, 2017
10% FCS and 20 mM glutamine. At 3 h later, cells were differentiated into macrophages with PMA for 48 h. For basal transcription assays, cells were washed with PBS and harvested. For the IFN-γ–induced transcription assay, cells were stimulated another 24 h with 50 ng/ml IFN-γ in RPMI 1640 with 0.5% FCS, washed with PBS, and harvested. Harvested cells were lysed in 250 μl 1× passive lysis buffer, and 50 μl was used for dual-luciferase reporter assays (Promega).

**Preparation of nuclear extracts**

Nuclear extracts were prepared on ice from THP-1 macrophages as described in Ref. 48. Briefly, cells were resuspended in 500 μl buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitors [Complete, Roche Diagnostics]) and were incubated on a rotating wheel for 30 min at 4°C, followed by the addition of 30 μl 10% Nonidet P-40. After vortexing, the nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 min at 13,000 rpm. Nuclei were then resuspended in 60 μl buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9; 25% glycerol; 400 mM NaCl; 1 mM EDTA; 1 mM DTT; 1 mM PMSF; and protease inhibitors) and lysed by shaking for 15 min. After centrifugation for 5 min at 13,000 rpm, nuclear proteins in the supernatant were stored at −80°C until use. The protein concentration was measured with the M-TP Reagent (Beckman Coulter, Nyon, Switzerland).

**EMSA**

For EMSA, 2 pmol of dsDNA probes corresponding to a potential transcription factor binding site were end labeled with [32P]-y-ATP (Hartmann Analytic, Braunschweig, Germany) by T4 polynucleotide kinase (Fermentas, Le-Mont-sur-Lausanne, Switzerland). Sequences of the EMSA probes are listed in Supplemental Table IV. A total of 10 μg THP-1 macrophage nuclear extracts and 2 μg freshly boiled polyoxyethyleneolignosol-polyoxyethyleneacid in binding buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9; 24% glycerol, 10 mM NaCl, 100 mM KCl, 10mM MgCl2, and 1.2 mM DTT) in a 20-μl binding reaction. In the competition experiment, unlabeled competitor was added in 50× excess to the reaction. The labeled probes were added and the reaction was incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Samples were analyzed on a 4% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel (0.5× Tris/borate/EDTA, 4% acrylamide/bisacrylamide, 29:1, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 2.5% glycerol, and tetrathiomolybdenum-diacrylamine). Gels were run at 120 V for 5 h, dried, and analyzed with the scanner FLA-7000 and the software Image Gauge (Fujifilm, Basel, Switzerland). For the OCT1 probes, reactions were optimized with the Promega binding buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl2, and 20% glycerol). Binding reactions for IRSE used 0.75 μg freshly boiled polyoxyethyleneolignosol-polyoxyethyleneacid in binding buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 100 mM KCl). Following addition of the probes, the mixture was incubated for 20 min at 25°C, as described in Ref. 49. For the supershift experiments, 4 μg SP1 Ab (sc-59), 4 μg or 10 μg OCT1 (sc-25399), and 10 μg IRF1 (sc-497), IFN consensus sequence binding protein (sc-13043), or IRF4 (sc-6059) were added 1 h prior to the labeled probes at 4°C. All Abs were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany).

**FACS analysis**

FACS analysis was performed using the monoclonal APC-labeled anti-human FPR2/ALX Ab and the isotype control IgG2b (both from R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). As a positive control for permeabilization of the cells, THP-1 macrophage nuclear extracts and 2 μg unlabeled competitor was added in 50 μl binding reaction. In the competition experiment, unlabeled competitor was added in 50× excess to the reaction. The labeled probes were added and the reaction was incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Samples were analyzed on a 4% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel (0.5× Tris/borate/EDTA, 4% acrylamide/bisacrylamide, 29:1, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 2.5% glycerol, and tetrathiomolybdenum-diacrylamine). Gels were run at 120 V for 5 h, dried, and analyzed with the scanner FLA-7000 and the software Image Gauge (Fujifilm, Basel, Switzerland). For the OCT1 probes, reactions were optimized with the Promega binding buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl2, and 20% glycerol). Binding reactions for IRSE used 0.75 μg freshly boiled polyoxyethyleneolignosol-polyoxyethyleneacid in binding buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 100 mM KCl). Following addition of the probes, the mixture was incubated for 20 min at 25°C, as described in Ref. 49. For the supershift experiments, 4 μg SP1 Ab (sc-59), 4 μg or 10 μg OCT1 (sc-25399), and 10 μg IRF1 (sc-497), IFN consensus sequence binding protein (sc-13043), or IRF4 (sc-6059) were added 1 h prior to the labeled probes at 4°C. All Abs were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany).

**Chemotaxis assay**

A total of 10^5 monocytes or macrophages were placed on a 96-well membrane (5.7-mm diameter, 5-μm pore size; ChemTox from Neuro Probe, Gaithersburg, MD) in RPMI 1640 containing 0.1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were allowed to migrate toward the chemotactic factors at the indicated concentrations for 90 min and 60 min, respectively. Migrated cells were fixed (2% paraformaldehyde) and stained with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich), and migration was quantified as the total pixel count of DAPI-stained nuclei under the fluorescence microscope (two photos per membrane and three replicate wells per treatment). Migration indices were calculated over control values.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with StatView version 5.0.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The level of FPR2/ALX mRNA and the activities of the different FPR2/ALX promoters in the luciferase assays were compared using a two-sided t test. A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

The FPR2/ALX gene has two promoters leading to two distinct transcription start sites

To define the transcription start site of the FPR2/ALX gene, 5′ RACE was used in primary macrophages. The amplification produced two distinct bands of 320 and 600 bp (Fig. 1A, lane 2), which represent two mRNAs containing both the coding exon and the first exon of the FPR2/ALX gene, but with one having a longer version of the first exon. These results suggest that FPR2/ALX has two transcription start sites (Fig. 1B) and that FPR2/ALX transcription can be regulated by two promoters. To characterize the transcriptional activity of these two potential promoter regions, different-sized fragments of the two regions were cloned upstream of a firefly luciferase reporter gene. As shown in Fig. 1C, all constructs containing promoter region P1 directed expression of the luciferase, and the shortest construct comprising 414 bp led to a 4-fold (4.5 ± 1.5) increase in transcription, compared with the empty vector. Similarly, all constructs containing sequences of promoter region P2 directed expression of the luciferase with a 4-fold (3.8 ± 0.3) increase in transcription of the shortest 150 bp promoter fragment. These results indicate that one core promoter each is located upstream of both transcription start sites. Both FPR2/ALX promoters are recognized by basal transcription factors

To characterize basal transcription by the two TATA-less promoters P1 and P2 of the FPR2/ALX gene, we analyzed these regions for transcription factor binding sites, using the bioinformatic tools MatInspector (http://www.genomatix.de) and Alibaba (http://www. gene-regulation.com). We identified eight putative transcription factor binding sites in promoter P1 implicated in the formation of the transcription preinitiation complex of TATA-less promoters, namely, one PUI1, SP1, NFX, GATA, and SP2 and three OCT1 binding sites. To identify the role of these transcription factor binding sites on basal transcription, we performed site-directed mutagenesis of their core binding sites (Supplemental Table II). These experiments revealed that one OCT1 binding site supports the basal transcription activity of promoter P1. A single A to C substitution in the OCT1 core binding site at position −103 resulted in an almost 50% reduction of luciferase expression (47 ± 29%) compared with the wild-type promoter P1-414 (Fig. 2A).

To verify that OCT1 binds to this sequence in promoter P1, we performed EMSA analysis with THP-1 nuclear extracts. Specific binding of the nuclear extracts to this OCT1 oligonucleotide was shown (Fig. 2B, lane 1) and could be competed in the presence of unlabeled wild-type, but not mutant, oligonucleotide (Fig. 2B, lane 2, OCT1, and lane 3, mOCT1). The presence of the A to T mutation at position −103 also dramatically reduced binding of nuclear proteins (Fig. 2B, lane 5). To show that OCT1 is part of the protein complex binding to the OCT1 oligonucleotide, nuclear extracts were co-incubated with an OCT1 Ab. The observed supershift demonstrates that OCT1 binds to the OCT1 transcription factor binding site in promoter P1 (Fig. 2B, lane 4). This finding is further supported by the similar supershift observed with the designed OCT1 oligonucleotide used as a positive control (Fig. 2B, lane 6).
We also identified two putative basal transcription factor binding sites in promoter P2, namely, an NFY and SP1 binding site. Mutations in the core binding site of both NFY and SP1 (Supplemental Table II) resulted in an 83% ($\pm$3%) and a 91% ($\pm$3%) reduction of promoter activity, compared with the wild-type promoter P2-150, respectively (Fig. 2C). To confirm the binding of NFY and SP1 to promoter P2, we again performed EMSA analysis with THP-1 nuclear extracts. Direct binding of nuclear proteins to the SP1 and NFY oligonucleotides was confirmed (Fig. 2D, lanes 1 and 6), and a decrease in binding was observed for both tran-
supershift assays with the SP1 and NFY oligonucleotides (lane 1 and lane 2, respectively), whereas no supershift was observed with an Ab against NFY (data not shown). Taken together, these results indicate that NFY is part of the complex binding the core promoter region P2 to activate basal transcription.

FPR2/ALX mRNA is downregulated during monocyte differentiation and upregulated in macrophages by IFN-γ

In an effort to understand the role of FPR2/ALX in resident tissue macrophages during inflammation, we investigated the level of FPR2/ALX mRNA production lost during the differentiation of monocytes to macrophages. Our results show that monocytes, but not 7-d-old macrophages, express FPR2/ALX mRNA (Fig. 3A). These results indicate that macrophages need external stimuli to produce FPR2/ALX. Such an upregulation of FPR2/ALX mRNA has been shown for different types of cytokines; however, whether this is true in macrophages has not been investigated. To answer this question, primary macrophages were stimulated with several pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines known to be secreted during inflammation and with some TLR ligands (Fig. 3B). No stimulation of FPR2/ALX mRNA transcription was observed with IL-1β, IL-4, IL-13, TGF-β, and a ligand for TLR4 (CpG). However, stimulation of macrophages with IFN-γ and the TLR4 ligand LPS increased FPR2/ALX mRNA to levels similar to those in monocytes. Significant upregulation of FPR2/ALX mRNA by TNF-α and IL-6 was also observed; however, the observed mRNA levels remained low. Thus IFN-γ- and LPS-stimulation, which led to classically activated M1 macrophages (50), also led to the rescue of FPR2/ALX mRNA production lost during the differentiation of monocytes to macrophages. In addition, increasing concentrations of INF-γ showed that the effect on FPR2/ALX mRNA was dose dependent (Fig. 3C). All these results indicate that FPR2/ALX is
FIGURE 3. Regulation of FPR2/ALX mRNA expression. FPR2/ALX mRNA was determined by real-time RT-PCR in primary monocytes and macrophages. A, Monocytes extracted from buffy coat (day 0) were allowed to differentiate into macrophages for 1, 3, 6, and 7 d. FPR2/ALX mRNA values were normalized to GAPDH mRNA levels, and results represent fold induction compared with monocyte expression levels at day 0. B, Total RNA was extracted from 7-d-old macrophages stimulated for 24 h with the mentioned cytokines and TLR ligands (TNF-α: 1 ng/ml; IL-1β: 1 ng/ml; IFN-γ: 50 ng/ml; IL-6: 10 ng/ml; IL-4: 10 ng/ml; IL-13: 10 ng/ml; TGF-β: 1 ng/ml; LPS: 100 ng/ml; CpG: 100 ng/ml). Values represent fold induction compared with nonstimulated cells. C, Ratio of FPR2/ALX over GAPDH mRNA expression levels of cells stimulated with different concentrations of IFN-γ (−, no stimulation; 10 ng/ml; 25 ng/ml; 50 ng/ml). Error bars display ±SD; n = 3.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

Inf-γ increases transcriptional activity of the first promoter via an IRSE

To locate the IFN-γ response element in the FPR2/ALX promoter, THP-1 macrophages were subjected to 24-h stimulation with IFN-γ after electroporation of the different constructs of promoters P1 and P2. As seen in Fig. 4A, IFN-γ stimulation resulted in a 1.5-fold (±0.6) increase in luciferase expression for the first promoter P1, compared with nonstimulated cells, whereas IFN-γ had no stimulatory effect on the second promoter P2. These results suggest that P1 contains transcriptional elements, which promote the IFN-γ-dependent stimulation of the FPR2/ALX gene. MatInspector located two putative binding sites for IRF4 and IRF7 within this region. These transcription factors have already been shown to induce transcription upon stimulation with IFNs (51, 52). To investigate whether one or both of these transcription factor binding sites are necessary for the IFN-γ response of the FPR2/ALX gene, their core binding sites were mutated on the shortest P1-414 luciferase construct (Supplemental Table II). Site-directed mutagenesis of the core binding site for IRF4 resulted in a 48% decrease in basal transcriptional activity, compared with that in the wild-type construct, whereas site-directed mutagenesis of IRF7 did not alter the promoter activity (Fig. 4B). In addition, when cells were stimulated with IFN-γ, the construct containing the mutant IRF4 binding site showed decreased transcription even compared with nonstimulated cells (p = 0.038) (Fig. 4C). Taken together, these results indicate that the transcription factor binding site for IRF4 is important for basal and IFN-γ–induced transcription of FPR2/ALX. EMSA confirmed the binding of proteins from nuclear extracts from nonstimulated (Fig. 4D, lane 1) and, even more, from IFN-γ–stimulated macrophages (Fig. 4D, lane 2) to the IRF4 oligonucleotide. Of interest, stimulation of macrophages with IFN-γ changed the size of DNA-protein complexes, indicating differential regulation for basal and induced transcription. This binding could be competed with unlabeled IRF4 oligonucleotide (Fig. 4D, lane 3, IRF4), but less so with a mutant version (Fig. 4D, lane 4, mIRF4), supporting a role for this transcription factor binding site in IFN-γ stimulation. However, incubation of the IRF4 oligonucleotide with nuclear extracts in the presence of an IRF4 Ab did not result in a supershift of the protein-DNA complex (Fig 4D, lane 7), arguing against an involvement of IRF4 in IFN-γ stimulation of the FPR2/ALX gene. Because members of the IRF family of transcription factors bind to IRSE (53) and the IRF4 site also matches this IRSE consensus sequence, we probed the protein-DNA complexes with several Abs against transcription factors of the IRF family. As can be seen in Fig. 4D, only incubation with the IRF1 Ab resulted in a supershift of the protein-DNA complexes (lane 10). In summary, these results indicate that IFN-γ stimulates transcription of the FPR2/ALX gene via IRF1 binding to the ISRE located in the first promoter P1.

FPR2/ALX protein is expressed in monocytes, but not in macrophages, after IFN-γ stimulation

To investigate whether mRNA expression during monocyte differentiation correlates with FPR2/ALX protein expression and function, we studied FPR2/ALX cell surface expression by FACS analysis and the receptor function by chemotaxis assays. As expected from the mRNA expression pattern, monocytes expressed the FPR2/ALX protein on their cell surface (Fig. 5A), whereas 9-d-old macrophages did not (Fig. 5B). To determine whether this loss of FPR2/ALX expression has functional consequences, we investigated the transmigration of monocytes and macrophages toward the FPR2/ALX ligand LXA₄ (18) (Fig. 6). Although both monocytes and macrophages migrated toward the FPR1 ligand fMLF, only monocytes migrated toward the FPR2/ALX ligand LXA₄. This inability of LXA₄ to stimulate macrophage chemotaxis and the lack of FPR2/ALX protein expression on macrophages argue for a loss of functional FPR2/ALX receptor in differentiated macrophages.

To determine whether the substantial increase in FPR2/ALX mRNA upon IFN-γ stimulation of 7-d-old macrophages translates into de novo FPR2/ALX membrane expression, we analyzed FPR2/ALX cell surface expression on 9-d-old M1 macrophages following IFN-γ stimulation. No membrane expression of FPR2/ALX was observed between 2 and 72 h following IFN-γ or LPS stimulation, although the mRNA was increased >80-fold after 24
null
(SV40-P1) led to an almost 50% reduction in translation of the luciferase protein (53 ± 22%). Similarly, the presence of exon 2 (SV40-P2+Ex2), which was observed in only a minor splice variant of FPR2/ALX mRNA from promoter P2, led to a drastically reduced translation of the luciferase protein. These results indicate that the preference of macrophages for promoter P1 could at least partially explain the lack of expression of FPR2/ALX in IFN-γ–stimulated M1 macrophages.

**Discussion**

We identified and characterized two promoter regions in the FPR2/ALX gene separated by 224 bp, which drive FPR2/ALX mRNA expression in monocytes and macrophages. We also showed that transcription of the FPR2/ALX gene from these promoters decreases during differentiation of primary human monocytes to macrophages and that the low transcription in macrophages can be rescued by IFN-γ and LPS stimulation, leading to classically activated M1 macrophages. On the molecular level, the transcription factors OCT1 and SP1 are involved in the initiation of transcription in the TATA-less promoters 1 and 2 of the FPR2/ALX gene, respectively. We have further located the IFN-γ response element to promoter 1 and have shown that the transcription factor IRF1 binds an IRES binding site in promoter 1 for IFN-γ stimulation of FPR2/ALX mRNA. Intriguingly, the increased FPR2/ALX mRNA transcription following IFN-γ stimulation does not translate into functional receptor expression on the cell surface of M1 macrophages. This lack of translation is caused by the preferential usage of promoter P1 in macrophages, resulting in an mRNA with a longer 5′-UTR having reduced translation efficiency. In contrast, monocytes transcribe FPR2/ALX mRNA from promoter P2 and express the functional receptor on their cell surface.

Two distinct promoters are located in the FPR2/ALX gene, which result in alternative 5′-UTRs of the FPR2/ALX mRNA in monocytes and macrophages. Both promoters lack the TATA box at position −25 but have basal transcription factor binding sites present, which were previously shown to initiate transcription of TATA-less promoters. The promoter P1 contains an OCT1 binding site, which plays a critical role in the preinitiation complex recruitment of promoters that lack TATA boxes. For instance, OCT1 was able to replace TBP via its interaction with the general transcription factor

---

**FIGURE 5.** FPR2/ALX protein expression in monocytes and macrophages. FACS analysis was performed to investigate cell surface and intracellular expression of FPR2/ALX. A, Monocytes. B, Shown are 9-d-old macrophages. C, Shown are 9-d-old macrophages following 48-h stimulation with IFN-γ. Autofluorescence of the cells is shown in light gray, labeling with the isotype control Ab is shown in dark gray, and labeling with the FPR2/ALX Ab is shown in black. Representative graph of three experiments.

**FIGURE 6.** LXA4 chemotaxis assays for monocytes and macrophages. Monocytes (black) and nonstimulated macrophages (gray) were allowed to migrate toward the chemotactic factors at the indicated concentrations. Medium without chemotactic factors was used as control (Ctrl). Graphs show the mean migration index compared with control (n = 3). Error bars display ±SD. **p < 0.01.
TFIIB for transcription of the lipoprotein lipase gene (54). Similarly, the promoter P2 contains a basal transcription factor binding site for SP1, which is known to bind CpG islands and also to drive gene transcription of TATA-less promoters (55). This promoter P2 is preferentially used for basal transcription in neutrophils and monocytes expressing the FPR2/ALX receptor on their cell surface, whereas macrophages use only the first promoter P1.

Promoter P1 is responsible for low basal transcription in macrophages and is responsive to IFN-γ stimulation. The signaling pathway of IFN-γ stimulation involves either the activation of the JAK/STAT pathway or an increase in transcription of IRFs (56), both of which lead to enhanced transcription of downstream genes. In this article, we show that IRF1 supports the stimulated FPR2/ALX expression through binding to an IRSE binding site (57) in the first promoter of the FPR2/ALX gene. IRF1 is one of the highest induced members of the IRF family and was shown to be highly upregulated by IFN-γ in THP-1 monocytes (58). This upregulation resulted in a strong increase in IRF1 binding to the IRSE element in the β2-microglobulin promoter (58), similar to the binding shown in our EMSA experiment with the IRSE element in the first promoter P1 of the FPR2/ALX gene. In line with these results, we mainly observed FPR2/ALX mRNA from promoter P1 in IFN-γ–stimulated primary macrophages.

The high levels of FPR2/ALX mRNA in M1 macrophages did not translate into cell surface expression of the receptor, although the mRNA levels were comparable to those observed in monocytes expressing the receptor. We show that differential promoter usage between monocytes and macrophages leads to translational repression in macrophages. Although monocytes preferentially transcribe the FPR2/ALX gene from promoter P2, stimulation of macrophages with IFN-γ and LPS (data not shown) results in transcription from promoter P1. This alternate mRNA has a longer

![Figure 7](http://www.jimmunol.org/)

**Figure 7.** Differential transcription from promoter P1 and promoter P2 in monocytes and macrophages results in altered translation of the major FPR2/ALX mRNA isoforms. A, Quantitative RT-PCR was performed to determine the relative amount of FPR2/ALX mRNA isoforms in neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages. mRNA isoforms transcribed from promoter P1 (gray) and from promoter P2 (white) are shown. B, Observed FPR2/ALX mRNA isoforms in monocytes and macrophages. The coding region of FPR2/ALX is located in exon 3 (Ex3). P1, mRNA derived from promoter P1; P2, mRNA derived from promoter P2; P2+Ex2, minor differentially spliced mRNA from promoter P2 containing additional exon 2. C, To investigate the effect of the different 5′-UTRs from monocytes and macrophages on translation, the 5′-UTRs were subcloned into the 5′-UTR of the luciferase gene, and luciferase activity was monitored. SV40, control luciferase construct; SV40-P1, luciferase construct with the additional 5′-UTR of the FPR2/ALX mRNA from promoter P1; SV40-P2, luciferase construct with the additional 5′-UTR of the FPR2/ALX mRNA from promoter P2; SV40-P2+Ex2, luciferase construct with the additional 5′-UTR of the minor FPR2/ALX mRNA from promoter P2, including exon 2. Error bars display ±SD; n = 3, **p < 0.01.
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**Figure 8.** Deletion of the uORF does not increase translation of FPR2/ALX. The ATG of the potential uORF (position 545–547 in Fig. 1B) was mutated to ATA to investigate its role in translation of the longer FPR2/ALX 5′-UTR from promoter P1. Human THP-1 monocytes were transfected with the luciferase constructs and differentiated into macrophages, and luciferase activities were measured 48 h after transfection (n = 3). PGL3b, pGL3 basic luciferase vector; P2-1200, pGL3 construct with 1200-bp genomic region upstream of the second promoter P2; P2-1200 ATG mut, pGL3 construct with 1200-bp genomic region upstream of the second promoter P2 with the ATG of the uORF mutated; P2-639, pGL3 construct with 639-bp genomic region upstream of the second promoter P2; P2-639 ATG mut, pGL3 construct with 639-bp genomic region upstream of the second promoter P2 with the ATG of the uORF mutated.
5′-UTR and is not translated to the functional FPR2/ALX receptor in macrophages. Several elements in the 5′-UTR of mRNAs have been shown to suppress translation of cellular mRNAs in a variety of cell types, and software to predict some of these elements is available. In silico analysis by the software RegRNA (59) revealed that a large number of regulatory elements are present in the specific 5′-UTR derived from promoter P1 in macrophages, whereas none of these elements was predicted in the 5′-UTR derived from promoter P2. Within the 224 bases specific for the 5′-UTR from promoter P1 is a potential uORF (60), an IRES (61), a terminal oligopyrimidine tract (62), and two IFN-γ-activated inhibitors of translation elements (63). Furthermore, several target sequences for microRNAs are predicted, which were also shown to regulate translational efficiency independent of mRNA degradation (64). Deletion of the uORF did not increase translation of our luciferase construct (Fig. 8), excluding this mechanism for translational silencing. However, we have not yet investigated the influence of the other elements on translation silencing of the longer FPR2/ALX mRNA derived from promoter P1. Further research is necessary to dissect the element or microRNA responsible for translational suppression alone or in combination.

Our finding that FPR2/ALX is expressed to high levels in monocytes supports the role of FPR2/ALX in chemotaxis and monocyte activation, whereas the observed downregulation of the mRNA during differentiation limits its role in resident tissue macrophages. FPR2/ALX plays a part in chemotaxis of monocytes during inflammation, which results from a gradient of proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory ligands. Depending on the ligand and the environment of the invaded tissue, the monocytes may then differentiate and polarize into either proinflammatory M1 or nonphlogistic M2 macrophages. For example, SAA accelerates inflammation through FPR2/ALX and has been shown to stimulate monocytes to produce the proinflammatory chemokine CCL2 (6) and TNF-α (65).

In contrast, treatment of monocytes with the FPR2/ALX ligand LXA₄ was shown to recruit monocytes to the site of inflammation without leading to cytotoxicity and superoxide generation (18, 20). These monocytes take up apoptotic cells from inflamed tissue and TNF-α, without leading to cytotoxicity and superoxide generation (18, 20). These monocytes may then differentiate and polarize into either proinflammatory M1 or nonphlogistic M2 macrophages. For example, SAA accelerates inflammation through FPR2/ALX and has been shown to stimulate monocytes to produce the proinflammatory chemokine CCL2 (6) and TNF-α (65).

Alternatively, LXA₄-mediated chemotaxis could prime monocytes through the FPR2/ALX receptor to polarize into M2 macrophages instead of polarizing into resident macrophages without stimulation. Indeed, it appears from the macrophage cell line U937 that LXA₄ signaling leads to M2 polarization of these macrophages through FPR2/ALX (72); however, direct experimental evidence for the priming of primary monocytes by LXA₄, leading to polarized M2 macrophages, is currently lacking.

In summary, we have characterized the regulatory sequences and some components of the transcription machinery that drive expression of the FPR2/ALX gene in monocytes and macrophages. Our data also suggest that FPR2/ALX mRNA is expressed in monocytes, but not in macrophages. This finding supports the model in which FPR2/ALX is a chemotactic receptor in monocytes but has a limited role in resident tissue macrophages.
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