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Type III IFNs in Pteropid Bats: Differential Expression Patterns Provide Evidence for Distinct Roles in Antiviral Immunity

Peng Zhou,*† Chris Cowled,* Shawn Todd,† Gary Crameri,* Elena R. Virtue,*‡ Glenn A. Marsh,* Reuben Klein,* Zhengli Shi,† Lin-Fa Wang,*‡ and Michelle L. Baker*§

Bats are known to harbor a number of emerging and re-emerging zoonotic viruses, many of which are highly pathogenic in other mammals but result in no clinical symptoms in bats. The ability of bats to coexist with viruses may be the result of rapid control of viral replication early in the immune response. IFNs provide the first line of defense against viral infection in vertebrates. Type III IFNs (IFN-λs) are a recently identified IFN family that share similar antiviral activities with type I IFNs. To our knowledge, we demonstrate the first functional analysis of type III IFNs from any species of bat, with the investigation of two IFN-λ genes from the pteropid bat, Pteropus alecto. Our results demonstrate that bat type III IFN has similar antiviral activity to type I and III IFNs from other mammals. In addition, the two bat type III IFNs are differentially induced relative to each other and to type I IFNs after treatment or transfection with synthetic dsRNA. Infection with the bat paramyxovirus, Tioman virus, resulted in no upregulation of type I IFN production in bat splenocytes but was capable of inducing a type III IFN response in three of the four bats tested. To our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the simultaneous suppression of type I IFN and induction of type III IFN after virus infection. These results may have important implications for the role of type III IFNs in the ability of bats to coexist with viruses. The Journal of Immunology, 2011, 186: 000–000.

As the only flying mammal, bats are the putative natural host reservoir for a number of zoonotic viruses, many of which cause significant morbidity and mortality in humans and other mammals (1). Most of the highly lethal viruses of bat origin that are pathogenic in humans and other mammals appear to cause no clinical signs of disease in bats under natural or experimental infection (1–3). Bats have been implicated in the spillover of some of the most deadly viruses known, including rabies and rabies-like lyssaviruses, Hendra virus, Nipah virus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Ebola virus, Melaka virus, and Marburg virus (4–13). Thus, bats appear to be able to coexist with viruses and may have evolved mechanisms to rapidly control viral replication while still allowing transmission and occasional spillover to susceptible species. Despite the central role of bats as reservoirs for viral diseases, there is a paucity of information on any aspect of bat immunology. Research on bat immunology, especially on antiviral immunology, is needed to address the question of how bats can coexist asymptptomatically with viruses and also to seek potential therapeutic strategies targeting these viruses.

One of the earliest immune responses to be initiated after viral infection is the production of IFN. IFNs provide the first line of defense against viral infection and play a role in shaping the adaptive immune response (14). Three classes of IFN have been identified, designated types I, II, and III, which differ in their amino acid sequences and the receptor complex they signal through. Type I (including α and β) and III (λ) IFNs are induced directly in response to viral infection, and thus play an important role in innate immunity. Type I IFNs engage the ubiquitously expressed IFN-α receptor (IFNAR) complex that is composed of IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 (15). Type III IFNs signal through receptors containing IFN-λR1 (also known as IL-28Ra) and IL-10R2 (also known as IL-10Rb). The type II class of IFN comprises the single IFN-γ gene product that binds the IFN-γR complex (16). Unlike the type I and III IFNs, IFN-γ is predominantly involved in the Th1 immune response, and in coordinating and establishing an antiviral state for longer term viral control (14).

The type III IFN system was discovered in 2003 by two independent groups who identified three human IFN-λ genes, IFN-λ1, -λ2, and -λ3, also known as IL29, IL28a, and IL28b in the human genome sequence based on limited sequence similarity to type I IFNs and IL-10 family members (17, 18). Unlike type I IFNs, which are single-exon genes, type III IFNs are encoded by five to six exons. Since their discovery in humans, type III IFNs
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have been characterized in mice, zebrafish, chicken, and *Xenopus* (19–22). Mice have two functional IFN-λ genes and one pseudogene, whereas zebrafish and chickens express only a single IFN-λ gene product. Five IFN-λ genes are present in the *Xenopus* genome, but only four are functional (22). Numerous type III IFNs have also been identified in the publicly available whole-genome sequence data from a variety of other species (23), but for the majority of species, no functional analysis has been performed.

Like type I IFNs, type III IFNs have antiviral activities in all species in which they have been characterized (15, 18, 20, 24). Both type I and III IFNs share similar production and signaling pathways, and result in the production of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) that, in turn, are responsible for much of the antiviral action of IFNs (25–27). Although it is unclear why two IFN systems with similar antiviral activities have evolved, differences in their receptor distribution suggest that the type I and III IFNs do not merely duplicate each other. The type III IFN receptor is predominantly expressed by epithelial cells consistent with a more specialized role in the immediate immune response in tissues that represent the sites of virus entry (17, 18, 25, 28). In most circumstances, type I and III IFNs are simultaneously expressed (26, 27). However, recent evidence suggests that there may be differences in the mechanisms involved in the regulation of these cytokines resulting in differential expression in some circumstances (29, 30). However, because of their recent discovery, the type III IFNs are poorly characterized, and more work remains to determine whether type III IFNs have functions not shared with type I IFN, and whether these two types of IFNs exert antiviral activity with different kinetics (5, 25).

The black flying fox, *Pteropus alecto*, has become a model bat species for studies on host–virus interactions (31, 32). To gain insight into the mechanisms responsible for the control of viral replication in bats, we have begun an investigation of innate and adaptive immunity in this species, including the recent characterization of IgH diversity (33) and the repertoire of TLRs (34). In this article, we describe the characterization of type III IFNs in *P. alecto*. Type I IFNs were recently described in two species of fruit bats, the Egyptian Rousette, *Rousettus aegyptiacus*, and the Malaysian flying fox, *Pteropus vampyrus* (35, 36). Evidence for the presence of type III IFNs in bats has been reported in an earlier investigation describing the in silico identification of IFN-γ genes in the publicly available microbat genome (23). However, before this study, no reports have described the characterization of bat IFN-λ genes. Our results demonstrate that *P. alecto* has two expressed IFN-λ genes that are conserved with other mammalian IFN-λ sequences. Similar to other mammalian IFNs, *P. alecto* IFN-λ displays antiviral activity in vitro and induces the production of ISGs. Furthermore, these results provide evidence for differential induction of type III IFNs relative to each other and to type I IFNs after dsRNA stimulation and viral infection. These results are consistent with type III IFNs playing an important role in the early innate immune response to viral infections in bats.

Materials and Methods

**Cell lines**

The establishment and culture conditions for the *P. alecto* cell lines have been described previously (31). The cell lines used in this study included two immortalized and cloned cell lines, lung PaLuT02 and fetus PaFeT05, and seven nonimmortalized primary cell lines of lung, liver, heart, kidney, small intestine, brain, and salivary gland origin, respectively. All of the bat cell lines consist of adherent tissue cell types. Bat cell lines were cultured in DMEM/F12-Hams (Sigma), each supplemented with 15% FCS (HyClone), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin, and 50 mg/ml gentamicin (Sigma). Vero cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. All cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air at 37°C.

**Isolation of bat splenocytes**

Wild caught *P. alecto* bats were trapped in Southern Queensland, Australia, and transported alive by air to the Australian Animal Health Laboratory in Victoria, where they were euthanized for dissection using methods approved by the Australian Animal Health Laboratory Animal Ethics Committee. Spleen cell suspensions were prepared by pressing spleen tissue through a cell strainer using a syringe plunger. Mononuclear splenocytes were isolated by density centrifugation over Lymphoprep (Axis-Shield). Culture media for mononuclear splenocytes consisted of DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 15 mM HEPES, 15 mM L-glutamine, 100 mg/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin.

**Genome analyses**

IFN-λ, ISG56, and retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-I) were identified in the whole genome sequence of the Malaysian flying fox, *P. vampyrus*, available in the Ensembl database (assembly pteVam1, 2.63× coverage, July 2008) using a combination of key word searches and the BLAT, BLASTN, and BLASTX algorithms. GenBank TRACE archives were also used to search for the *P. vampyrus* IFN-λ genes. For comparative purposes, the current genome assemblies from human (NCBI 36), macaque (MMUL_1), gorilla (gorGor3), mouse (NCBI m37), chicken (WASHUC2), rabbit (RABBIT), dog (CanFam2.0), cat (CAT), horse (preEnsembl EquCab2), hedgehog (eriEur1), elephant (BROAD E1), armadillo (ARMA), platypus (OANAS), opossum (BROADOS), Xenopus tropicalis (JGI4.1), and zebrafish (Zv8) were searched for IFNA genes using the BLAST algorithms.

**Virus culture**

Rousettus aegyptiacus virus (38), and Pulau virus (PulV), a bat orthoreovirus (38), were grown in Vero cells for 48 h in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air at 37°C. The virus-containing supernatant was harvested and stored at −80°C until the RNA was extracted. The seven bat primary cell lines from lung, liver, heart, kidney, small intestine, brain, and salivary gland were cultured at a concentration of 106 cells/well in six-well tissue culture plates in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air at 37°C.

**Culture of cell lines and cells with polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid**

The *P. alecto* lung cell line, PaLuT02, was seeded at 1 × 106 cells/well in six-well tissue culture plates (Nunc). The cells were stimulated by transfection with 33 μg/ml polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (polyI:C; Invivogen) using 10 μl Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions and incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air at 37°C. Cells were harvested in RLT buffer (Qiagen) at 0, 0.5, 1.5, 3, 6, 9, 15, and 24 h after transfection and stored at −80°C until the RNA was extracted. The seven bat primary cell lines from lung, liver, heart, kidney, small intestine, brain, and salivary gland were cultured at a concentration of 106 cells/well in six-well tissue culture plates and stimulated with 33 μg/ml polyI:C with (transfected) or without transfection reagent (treated) for 3 h. After stimulation, the cells were collected into buffer RLT (Qiagen) for RNA extraction.

**PCR amplification of IFN-λ genes**

For virus infection in splenocytes, 5 × 106 cells/well were seeded into 24-well tissue culture plates. Cells were infected with TiopPV at a multiplicity of infection of 0.1 and incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air at 37°C for 3 h. Cells were collected into buffer RLT (Qiagen) for RNA extraction.
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sequences for IFN-α were obtained using 5’ and 3’ RACE PCRs using the GeneRacer Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with Long-amp DNA polymerase (New England Biosystems) and the manufacturer’s recommended PCR conditions on total RNA. Primers were designed based on sequences obtained from the *P. vampyrus* whole-genome sequence deposited in the Ensembl Genome Browser and are listed in Table I. RT-PCR using primers based on the RACE sequences to amplify full-length cDNAs was also performed on RNA extracted from freshly isolated bat splenocytes and polyIC transfected PaLuT02 cells. To confirm the length of intron two of IFN-α, we performed PCR on genomic DNA extracted from *P. alecto* spleen and liver.

### Sequencing and sequence analysis

PCR and RACE-PCR products were cloned into the pCR4-TOPO vector using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit for sequencing (Invitrogen). M13 primers were used for sequencing using BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit v3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with nonisotopic dye terminators and 1:20-mix reactions, according to the manufacturer’s instructions and analyzed on an Applied Biosystems 3130 XL Genetic Analyzer. Sequences were assembled manually using Seqman PRO (Lasergene) and Clone Manager 9.0 (Sci-Ed Software), and compared with sequences in the GenBank database using the BLAST algorithm (20). Sequence alignments were performed using the Clustal X program (39) and visualized using GeneDoc (http://www.nrbsc.org/gfx/genedoc/index.html). Signal peptides were identified by SignalP version 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP). To determine the exon-intron boundaries of the *P. alecto* IFN-α genes, we aligned the full-length coding sequences with the corresponding genomic sequences in the *P. vampyrus* whole-genome sequence. Intron-exon maps were drawn using Fancy Gene v1.4 (http://host313.bioinf3.ifsom-ieu-campus.it/ fancygene/).

### Phylogenetic and pairwise analysis

Based on the amino acid alignments, phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor joining method of Saitou and Nei (40), the neighbor joining method of Saitou and Nei (40), maximum parsimony, and the neighbor joining method of Saitou and Nei (40). The GenBank accession numbers for sequences used in the phylogenetic analysis are as follows: IFN-α1—AAI26184.1, Homo sapiens (human); NP_001108325.1, Canis lupus familiaris (dog); XP_001301239.1, Equus caballus (horse); NP_001156309.1, Sus scrofa (pig); IFN-α2/3—AN28263.1 and AAN28264.1 (human); XP_855366.1 (dog); NP_001159062.1 (pig); AA510797.1 and AAX58715.1, Mus musculus (mouse); NP_001364424.1, Monodelphis domestica (opossum); XP_001517931.1, Ornithorhynchus anatinus (platypus); NP_001121968.1, Gallus gallus (chicken); XP_001165235.1, Xenopus (Silurana) tropicalis (frog); IFN-α (unclassified)—XP_002711513.1, Oryctolagus cuniculus (domestic rabbit); AA954325.1, Danio rerio (zebrafish).

### Generation of an IFN-α-producing stable cell line (L2-293T)

The bat IFN-α2 gene was cloned into the pQCXIX retroviral expression vector (Clontech) using the primers listed in Table I. Correct cloning was confirmed by sequencing. The IFN-α2-containing plasmid was then transfected into 293T cells by calcium phosphate transfection (Invitrogen). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the transformed cells were selected by the addition of 100 μg/ml hygromycin. Antibiotic-resistant cells were selected using 200 μg/ml hygromycin. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) targeting IFN-α2 gene was used to measure the mRNA level of IFN-α2 in the cell line.

### Antiviral activity and ISG production in response to recombinant bat IFN-α

The activity of the recombinant IFN-α protein was determined by its ability to induce the production of ISGs and inhibit virus-mediated cytolysis. The ISG response was determined using ISG56 and RIG-I, both of which are known to be induced by type I IFNs in mammals (42, 43). Supernatant collected from L2-293T cells was serial diluted and used to treat the *P. alecto* fetus cell line, PaFCT05. The PaFCT05 cell line was chosen for these experiments after it was identified as being capable of the best ISG response after IFN-α stimulation. Supernatant from normal cultured 293T cells was used as mock control. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 6 h and collected into buffer RLT (Qiagen) for extraction of total RNA. ISG56 and RIG-I expression were determined by qRT-PCR.

PaFCT05 cells were seeded into 96-well tissue culture plates at 1 × 10^5 cells/well and incubated with serial dilutions of supernatant from L2-293T or 293T cells at 37°C for 24 h to test the antiviral activity of the IFN-α2 protein. Medium was then replaced with PuIV-containing supernatant at a multiplicity of infection of 1.0 and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Virus-containing medium was then replaced with culture medium and incubated for 24 h in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air at 37°C. Culture supernatant was collected for TCID₅₀ testing in Vero cells. The PuIV-infected cells were immersed in absolute methanol for 10 min at –20°C to fix and inactivate the virus. PuIV was chosen for these experiments because infection with this virus produced a very high cytopathic effect (CPE) compared with other viruses tested, including ToxPV.

### Quantitative reverse transcription PCR

qRT-PCR was performed on total RNA extracted from cells and cell lines as described previously (34). In brief, total RNA was extracted as described for RACE PCR and used for the synthesis of cDNA using the Quantitect reverse transcription kit for real-time PCR (Qiagen). qRT-PCR primers were designed using Primer Express 3.0 (Applied Biosystems) with default parameter settings and are listed in Table I. The 18s rRNA primers have been described previously (34). Reactions were carried out using EXPRESS SYBR GreenER qPCR Supermix Universal (Invitrogen) and an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time qPCR instrument. For each reaction, 2 μl of 1:5 diluted cDNA and a final concentration of 200 nmol of each primer was used. The cycling profile consisted of an initial denaturation at 90°C for 1 min followed by 40 cycles of 90°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, followed by melt curve analysis. Expression level of the target genes was calculated using either standard curves method (IFNs, GAPDH, 18s rRNA) or fold induction compared with the mock (ISG56 and RIG-1). All data were normalized relative to the housekeeping genes, 18s rRNA or GAPDH.

### Results

#### Characterization of *P. alecto* type III IFNs

Although no whole genome is available for *P. alecto*, a low coverage genome sequence from the closely related pteropod bat, *P. vampyrus*, is publicly available in the Ensembl database. Analysis of the *P. vampyrus* genome using a combination of keyword and BLAST searches resulted in the identification of three IFN-α genes with similarity to human IFN-α1, -α2, and -α3 (IL-28A, IL-28B, and IL-29). Of the three *P. vampyrus* IFN-α genes, one contained an 80-nucleotide gap within its coding sequence. This gap is an artifact of the low coverage nature of the *P. vampyrus* genome assembly and not a reflection of the actual gene and, therefore, could not be included in further phylogenetic or sequence analysis. IFN-α2 and -α3 shared very high sequence similarity, differing by only five nucleotides within their coding regions. The *P. vampyrus* sequences were used to design oligonucleotide primers to amplify full-length cDNAs from *P. alecto* using RACE PCR (Table I). The cloned and immortalized lung cell line PaLuT02 has previously been demonstrated to produce IFN-α and IFN-β in response to transfection with the dsRNA mimic, polyIC (31). To determine the complete gene structure of the *P. alecto* IFN-α genes, 5’ and 3’ RACE PCR was performed using RNA extracted from polyIC transfected PaLuT02 cells, resulting in the amplification of two IFN-α genes. RT-PCR using primers based on the 5’ and 3’ RACE PCR sequences to amplify full-length cDNAs was also performed using RNA from both freshly isolated bat splenocytes and polyIC transfected PaLuT02 cells. These sequences further confirmed the transcription of only two IFN-α loci in *P. alecto* despite the identification of three loci in the *P. vampyrus* genome sequence. The *P. alecto* IFN-α sequences were designated IFN-α1 and IFN-α2 based on their sequence similarity to previously described human and mouse IFN-α genes (Table II). These sequences have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers HQ201955 (-α2) and HQ201956 (-α1).

Using the *P. alecto* cDNA sequences, we were able to determine the complete exon and intron organization of each locus by aligning the complete cDNA sequences with the corresponding genomic sequences identified in the whole genome of *P. vampyrus* (Fig. 1A). Bat IFN-α2 showed a similar genomic structure to human IFN-α2 and -α3, with six exons and five introns, including an
additional 5′ exon of only 10 nucleotides that contained the initial start methionine (18, 23). IFN-λ1 had five exons and four introns, which is similar to human IFN-λ1. An unusual feature of the bat IFN-λ1 gene is the presence of a 4-kb intron separating exons 2 and 3 compared with the typical size of 1.1 kb for this intron in other species (Fig. 1A). Identification of IFN-λ1 genes in the whole-genome sequences of a variety of other species available in the Ensembl database confirmed the presence of an ~1.1-kb intron in all other species available in Ensembl. This 4-kb intron was further confirmed by PCR using *P. alecto* genomic DNA.

The deduced protein encoded by IFN-λ1 and IFN-λ2 shown in Fig. 1B contained many features that are conserved in IFN-λ genes from other species, including conserved signal peptide cleavage sites and several residues that are responsible for binding to the type III IFNR (44) (Fig. 1B). Six conserved cysteine residues important for disulphide bond formation are also conserved in the bat IFN-λ sequences (Fig. 1B).

**Bat IFN-λ1 and IFN-λ2 genes are closely related to mammalian IFN-λ genes**

Phylogenetic analysis was performed on bat IFN-λs with a group of IFN-λ sequences from a variety of other vertebrates (Fig. 2). Bat IFN-λ1 and -λ2 clustered with mammalian -λ1 and -λ2/3, respectively. The tree shown in Fig. 2 was reconstructed using the neighbor joining method; however, identical results were found when maximum parsimony and minimum evolution were used (data not shown).

**Bat IFN-λ1 and IFN-λ2 mRNAs are produced early in the response to polyI:C transfection**

To determine the production kinetics of bat IFN-λs, the cloned and immortalized *P. alecto* lung cell line PaLuT02 was transfected with polyI:C, and total cellular RNA was isolated at different time points after transfection. The production kinetics of the two type III IFNs was compared with that of type I IFNs (Fig. 3). The type I IFNs were induced as early as 0.5 h, closely followed by the induction of type III IFNs at 1.5 h after transfection. Both type I and III IFNs peaked at 6 h, decreasing by 24 h. IFN-λ2 induction by polyI:C was ~100-fold greater than IFN-λ1 at each time point tested (Fig. 3).

**Primary bat cell lines display differential type I and III IFN expression patterns after stimulation with polyI:C**

To examine the tissue distribution of IFN-λ induction, we examined the expression of bat IFN-λ1 and IFN-λ2 in *P. alecto* primary cell lines from a variety of tissues after polyI:C stimulation and

### Table I. Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primera</th>
<th>Sequence 5′–3′</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ1</td>
<td>IFN-λ1-1F</td>
<td>CTTGGGCCGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ1</td>
<td>IFN-λ1-1R</td>
<td>CTTGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-1F</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-1R</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-2F</td>
<td>GGCGATGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-2R</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-3F</td>
<td>CATGGTCCCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-3R</td>
<td>CATGGTCCCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-4F</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-λ2</td>
<td>IFN-λ2-4R</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>GAPDH-1F</td>
<td>TCCCTCCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>GAPDH-1R</td>
<td>TCCCTCCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I</td>
<td>RIG-I-1F</td>
<td>CCTGGTCCCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I</td>
<td>RIG-I-1R</td>
<td>CCTGGTCCCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG56</td>
<td>ISG56-1F</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG56</td>
<td>ISG56-1R</td>
<td>GATAGGAGGACAGTCCGTC</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α</td>
<td>IFN-α-1F</td>
<td>GAGCAGCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α</td>
<td>IFN-α-1R</td>
<td>GAGCAGCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β</td>
<td>IFN-β-1F</td>
<td>GAGCAGCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β</td>
<td>IFN-β-1R</td>
<td>GAGCAGCTGGTTTCCCAGTG</td>
<td>RACE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: F, forward; R, reverse.

### Table II. Percentage of similarity between bat, human, and mouse type III IFNs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human IFN-λ1</th>
<th>Human IFN-λ2</th>
<th>Mouse IFN-λ1</th>
<th>Mouse IFN-λ2</th>
<th>Mouse IFN-λ3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bat IFN-λ1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bat IFN-λ2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human IFN-λ1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human IFN-λ2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human IFN-λ3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse IFN-λ2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse IFN-λ3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold numbers indicate amino acid identity; non-bold numbers represent nucleotide identity.
compared them with the induction of IFN-β. Seven nonimmortalized primary cell lines from a range of tissues including lung, liver, heart, kidney, small intestine, brain, and salivary gland were tested. Each of the primary cell lines was a mixture of different types of adherent cells; therefore, they were more closely representative of the original tissue from which they were derived compared with the cloned and immortalized cell types used for the characterization of bat IFN-λ1 genes (31). Based on the kinetics of IFN-β induction in PaLuT02 cells, all seven primary bat cell lines were stimulated (both treated and transfected) with polyI:C for 3 h. The use of these two different stimulations was used to deliver polyI:C either to extracellular/endosomal compartments (treated) or intracellularly (transfected).

In both polyI:C treated and transfected cells lines, the induction of IFN-β was higher than IFN-λ1 or IFN-λ2. The kinetics of the IFN-β response was similar between polyI:C treated and transfected cells with the exception of the lung cell line, which displayed around 50 times higher induction of IFN-β after polyI:C transcription in the bat lung PaLuT02 cell line. Cells were stimulated with polyI:C and collected at the indicated time points. IFN-λ1 mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR. The data were normalized against the housekeeping gene 18s rRNA.

**FIGURE 1.** Comparison of bat type III IFNs with human type III IFNs. A, The gene organization of bat IFN-λ genes compared with that of corresponding human IFN-λ genes. The intron-exon structures of IFN-λs were predicted by nucleotide alignment of IFN-λ mRNA with the corresponding sequences in either the *P. vampyrus* or human genome sequence. Putative untranslated regions (UTRs) and exons are drawn as rectangles; introns are shown as dotted lines. B, Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of bat IFN-λ genes with human IFN-λ genes. The predicted signal peptides are underlined. Two of the conserved regions that are responsible for binding to IFN-AR1 are boxed. Six conserved cysteine residues are indicated by asterisks. Dashes indicate similarity; dots indicate gaps.

**FIGURE 2.** Phylogenetic analysis based on amino acid alignments of bat type III IFNs with representative vertebrate species. Branch support is indicated as the percentage of 1000 bootstrap replicates and is shown where support is >60%. The bat IFN-λs are highlighted in bold. The accession numbers of IFN-λ proteins used in this tree can be found in Materials and Methods. Asterisks indicate the proteins that have been characterized; all other sequences are predicted based on whole-genome sequences.

**FIGURE 3.** Production time course of bat type I and III IFNs on polyI:C transfection in the bat lung PaLuT02 cell line. Cells were stimulated with polyI:C and collected at the indicated time points. IFN-λ mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR. The data were normalized against the housekeeping gene 18s rRNA.
transfection (Fig. 4). The mRNA expression of the two bat IFN-\(\lambda\) genes demonstrated a differential expression pattern between treated and transfected cells and between the different cell lines. IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 was induced in polyI:C transfected cells to a 1–2 log copy change compared with the baseline. However, in polyI:C treated cells, only minor or no change in IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 was observed. IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 was induced only in the lung, heart, and liver cell lines after either transfection or treatment with polyI:C, and IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 expression was the lowest among the three IFNs in all the primary cell lines tested. In the cell lines in which IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 could be induced, the level of IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 was about 100 times lower than IFN-\(\lambda\)-2. Thus, in bat primary cell lines, a differential expression pattern was observed between type I and III IFNs, and between the two IFN-\(\lambda\) genes after stimulation with polyI:C.

**IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 is highly upregulated in polyI:C treated bat splenocytes**

The spleen is one of the most important immune tissues and contains large numbers of immune-related cells. To determine whether there were differences in the induction of IFNs between our bat cell lines and freshly isolated cells, we next analyzed the IFN response of bat splenocytes after polyI:C stimulation. The freshly isolated bat splenocytes were stimulated by treatment and transfection with polyI:C for 3, 6, and 24 h. In contrast with the primary cell lines, a differential expression pattern was observed between type I and III IFNs, and between the two IFN-\(\lambda\) genes after stimulation with polyI:C.

**IFN-\(\lambda\)-s are upregulated in bat splenocytes after TioPV infection**

To examine the production patterns of bat IFNs in response to viral challenge, we used TioPV to infect freshly isolated bat splenocytes. Fruit bats are the natural host reservoir of TioPV, which is a paramyxovirus with a typical nonsegmented negative sense RNA genome (37). Four individual bats were sacrificed, and fresh splenocytes were challenged with TioPV for 3 h. Successful infection of TioPV was confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 6, TioPV infection of primary bat splenocytes resulted in no upregulation of either IFN-\(\alpha\) or IFN-\(\beta\). Of the four bats tested, one bat displayed an IFN-\(\alpha\) and IFN-\(\beta\) response that was lower than the baseline level (bat 4), and a further two bats (bats 1 and 3) displayed an IFN-\(\beta\) response lower than baseline. In contrast, IFN-\(\lambda\), particularly IFN-\(\lambda\)-1, was upregulated to a higher level compared with type I IFN in two of the four bats (bats 2 and 4; Fig. 6). Although no upregulation in IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 occurred in bat 1 at 3 h post-infection, by 6 h this bat displayed an increase in type III IFN production above that of the type I IFNs, and this response was highest for IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 (Supplementary Fig. 1). Only bat 3 showed no upregulation in type III IFNs after TioPV infection. Overall, these results are in contrast with those obtained after stimulation with polyI:C, which caused little or no induction of IFN-\(\lambda\)-1 in the cell lines or splenocytes (Fig. 5).

**Bat IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 was inhibitory to PulV replication in cloned bat cells**

To assess the functional activity of bat IFN-\(\lambda\), we constructed a stable cell line expressing IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 in 293T cells (\(\lambda\)-2-293T). The IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 gene was chosen for these experiments because of its high induction in bat cells and cell lines after polyI:C stimulation. Successful construction was confirmed by detection of IFN-\(\lambda\)-2 in \(\lambda\)-2-293T cell lines by qRT-PCR (data not shown).

IFN-\(\lambda\)-s exerts antiviral activities in other species against a variety of different viruses (17, 18, 21, 24). To assess whether bat IFN-\(\lambda\) could also protect cells from virus infection, the antiviral activity of bat IFN-\(\lambda\) on PulV-infected cells was examined. PulV is an orthoreovirus identified in fruit bats (38). PulV was chosen for these experiments because of its ability to infect and cause obvious CPE in the PaFeT05 cells. This combination of cells and virus was therefore considered to be most informative in terms of the ability of bat IFN-\(\lambda\) to inhibit viral replication. Supernatant collected from \(\lambda\)-2-293T cells was serial diluted and used to stimulate PaFeT05 cells. Supernatant from normal cultured 293T cells was

![FIGURE 4](http://www.jimmunol.org/) Expression of type I and type III IFNs on polyI:C stimulation in bat primary cell lines. Primary cell lines from lung (A), liver (B), heart (C), kidney (D), small intestine (E), brain (F), and salivary gland (G) were stimulated with 33 \(\mu\)g/ml polyI:C for 3 h. IFN mRNA was measured by qRT-PCR and normalized against 18s RNA. A–G. Data are mean values of two separate experiments, and the error bars represent SEs.
used as mock in this experiment. As shown in Fig. 7A, TCID<sub>50</sub> demonstrated that PulV growth was clearly inhibited in the IFN-λ2–treated cells, and this inhibition was dose dependent. Antiviral activity was significantly higher in cells treated with a 1:2 dilution of IFN-λ2 compared with mock-treated cells (p = 0.004), and this effect had disappeared by a dilution of 1:8 of IFN-λ2.

**Bat IFN-λ2 induces the production of ISGs**

The IFN-λ2 concentration showing the greatest antiviral effect was tested for its ability to induce ISGs. As shown in Fig. 7B, bat IFN-λ resulted in up to 25-fold induction of ISG56 and up to 4-fold induction of RIG-I.

**Discussion**

Bats are rich reservoirs for emerging viruses, including many that are highly pathogenic to humans and other mammals (1). One hypothesis for the asymptomatic nature of viral infection in bats is that viral replication is controlled rapidly after infection by the innate immune response. Toward understanding the innate immune response of bats, we report, to our knowledge, the first characterization of type III IFNs from any bat species, providing evidence for induction and antiviral activity of type III IFNs in pteropid bats. These results represent an important step in understanding the mechanisms that contribute to the ability of bats to coexist with viruses.

The Malaysian flying fox, *P. vampyrus*, is the first pteropid bat to have its genome sequenced and is closely related to *P. alecto*. Three IFN-λ genes with similarity to human IFN-λ1, IFN-λ2, and IFN-λ3 were identified in the genome sequence of *P. vampyrus*, but only two appear to be transcribed in *P. alecto*. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the *P. alecto* IFN-λ1 and IFN-λ2 genes were orthologous to other mammalian IFN-λ1 and IFN-λ2/3 genes, respectively. Although both IFN-λ2 and IFN-λ3 were annotated in the *P. vampyrus* whole-genome sequence, this genome has low sequence coverage (2.63), which can cause some genes to appear as multiple loci when they actually belong to a single locus (23). The pteropid bat IFN-λ2 and IFN-λ3 genes therefore either represent the same locus or highly similar loci, of which IFN-λ2 is likely a pseudogene in pteropid bats. The latter situation would be similar to other mammals and nonmammals including mice that have two transcribed IFN-λ genes and one pseudogene and the amphibian, *Xenopus tropicalis*, which has four transcribed IFN-λ genes and one pseudogene (21, 22).

Type I and III IFNs are believed to have a common evolutionary origin, with IFN-λ considered to be the ancestral IFN system of
The innate immune system has evolved two pathways of viral RNA recognition, one of which is present in the endosome and one in the cytosol of cells. TLR3 is expressed in endosomes and detects dsRNA after endocytosis, whereas the RIG-I-like helicases are expressed in the cytoplasm and detect viral RNA generated during replication (14). In the primary bat cell lines, IFN-α2 was upregulated only in polyI:C transfected cells, whereas both treatment and transfection caused a significant upregulation of IFN-β. The high induction of type I IFNs by both treatment and transfection with polyI:C is consistent with the activation of both endosomal and cytosolic pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). In contrast, IFN-λ2 was induced only after transfection of polyI:C, which would result in the activation of IFN predominantly as a consequence of recognition by cytosolic PRRs such as RIG-I. This result contrasts with that of mice that appear to use both cytosolic and endosomal PRRs for the induction of type III IFNs (26, 47). The differential expression pattern of the two type III IFNs also differs from other species in which both IFN-α1 and IFN-α2/3 are induced to a similar level (5, 26, 48). These results support the hypothesis that rather than being redundant to each other, the type I and III IFN systems perform complementary functions (49). However, further study of the production pathways of bat IFN-λ require to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the induction of this IFN.

Viruses have evolved a variety of mechanisms to evade the host’s IFN response, resulting in the inhibition of IFN production (14). Because many of the viruses identified in bats are ssRNA viruses, we examined the response of bat cells to the ssRNA virus, TioPV. TioPV is a member of the paramyxovirus family that includes the highly pathogenic henipaviruses, Hendra and Nipah, which are carried by *P. alecto* and *P. vampyrus*, respectively (33). Although TioPV has not been identified in *P. alecto*, its natural host reservoir, *Pteropus hypomelanus* is closely related to *P. alecto*. Infection of *P. alecto* splenocytes with TioPV caused no upregulation of either of the type I IFNs, resulting in lower than baseline levels of IFN-β in three of the four bats tested. For the type III IFNs, IFN-λ1 was upregulated in two of the four bats at 3 h postinfection, and by 6 h a third bat had responded with an increase in IFN-λ1. As these bats were wild caught individuals of unknown age and history of viral exposure, some variation between bats is not surprising. Although additional experiments are necessary to confirm these results in a larger number of bats and in response to different viruses, to our knowledge, this is the first report describing the simultaneous suppression of type I IFN and induction of type III IFN after virus infection. Furthermore, IFN-λ1, which was not induced on polyI:C stimulation of bat splenocytes, was the type III IFN that underwent the highest upregulation in three of the four bats after viral challenge. One explanation for the upregulation of IFN-λ1 by TioPV and the absence of a response observed after polyI:C stimulation is the activation of different PRRs resulting in differential activation of the IFN system. In contrast with double-stranded polyI:C, TioPV is an ssRNA virus and would be recognized by endosomal PRRs, TLR7 and TLR8. Thus, at least in the case of TioPV infection, our results are consistent with IFN-λ induction through TLR7/8 activation, thus providing evidence that the two bat IFN-λ genes are activated by different mechanisms. Ank et al. (50) speculated that IFN-λ may be important during infection with viruses that rapidly close down production of type I IFNs by host cells and may act as a slow-acting defense system designed to prolong IFN-mediated antiviral activity. Whether a similar pattern will be observed after infection with other bat viruses, including *Hendra virus*, remains to be determined, but these results may represent an important antiviral strategy used by bats that may be less susceptible to suppression by viral immune evasion mechanisms.

To determine whether bat IFN-λ has similar antiviral activity to type I and III IFNs from other species, we assessed the ability of bat IFN-λ to protect cells from viral infection. PuIV was chosen for these experiments because of the obvious CPE it is capable of producing in bat cell lines. PuIV is a dsRNA orthoreovirus, first identified in fruit bats *P. hypomelanus* on Tioman Island off the coast of Malaysia (38). Bat IFN-λ2 protected cells from viral infection, demonstrating antiviral activity against PuIV infection. To our knowledge, this is the first IFN protection assay ever reported using a bat virus. In addition, the ISGs, ISG56 and RIG-I, were induced by rIFN-λ2 in the *P. alecto* cell line, demonstrating...
that Bat IFN-α2 likely induces its antiviral activity through a similar mechanism to type I and III IFNs from other species. Together with our results demonstrating the induction of type III IFN after TioPV infection, these results demonstrate that Bat IFN-α may play an important role in inhibiting viral replication in bats.

As natural reservoirs for a variety of viral diseases, bats provide an important model for examining the evolution of antiviral immunity. Studies on antiviral immunity in bats have the potential to provide insights into new antiviral strategies and result in the identification of new therapeutic targets. Our results provide the first evidence, to our knowledge, for a type III IFN response in any species of bat, demonstrating that bat type III IFNs have antiviral activities similar to type I and III IFNs from other species. The induction of type III IFNs in bat cells after viral infection may provide an alternate antiviral strategy after the suppression of the type I IFN response and may have implications for the ability of bats to coexist with viruses. However, further work is required to fully elucidate the IFN response and induction pathways in bats to a wide variety of bat viruses to understand the role of IFNs in the asymptomatic nature of viral infections in bats.
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