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Influenza Epitope-Specific CD8+ T Cell Avidity, but Not Cytokine Polyfunctionality, Can Be Determined by TCRβ Clonotype

Jessica M. Moffat,†&-1 Andreas Handel,† Peter C. Doherty,‡* Stephen J. Turner,* Paul G. Thomas,‡ and Nicole L. La Gruta*

Cytokine polyfunctionality has recently emerged as a correlate of effective CTL immunity to viruses and tumors. Although the determinants of polyfunctionality remain unclear, there are published instances of a link between the production of multiple effector molecules and the peptide plus MHC class I molecule avidity of T cell populations. Influenza A virus infection of C57BL/6J mice induces CTL populations specific for multiple viral epitopes, each with varying proportions of monofunctional (IFN-γ+ only) or polyfunctional (IFN-γ+TNF-α+IL-2+) CTLs. In this study, we probe the link between TCR avidity and polyfunctionality for two dominant influenza epitopes (DNP366 and DPA224) by sequencing the TCR CDR3 sequence) in high-avidity DPA224-specific populations, as defined by the peptide plus MHC class I molecule-TCR dissociation rate). Preferential selection for particular clonotypes was evident for the high-avidity DPA224-specific set but not for any of the other subsets examined. These data suggest that factors other than TCRβ sequence influence cytokine profiles and demonstrate no link between differential avidity and polyfunctionality. The Journal of Immunology, 2010, 185: 000–000.

Associations of Polyfunctionality with TCR Vβ Clonotypes

Expression of multiple effector molecules has recently emerged as a useful correlate of effective CTL immunity (3). Polyfunctional T cells have been associated with delayed disease progression after HIV infection (4–7), reduced levels of viral replication (6), and the protection afforded either by priming with vaccinia virus or vaccination against Leishmania major (8, 9). Greater breadth of cytokine production has also been linked to enhanced cytolytic activity in both HIV- and tumor-specific CD8+ T cells (10–12). Although there have been several reports linking the functional profiles of T cell populations and their avidity or sensitivity to Ag (6, 13, 14), these analyses have been largely correlative. Furthermore, other evidence suggests that polyfunctional HIV-specific T cells partition preferentially with the set showing lower TCR avidity (15). Thus, the key determinants of polyfunctionality in virus-specific CD8+ T cells, particularly the role of T cell avidity, remain unclear.

Respiratory challenge of C57BL/6 (B6) mice with influenza A viruses cause an acute, localized pneumonia that begins to resolve as virus is cleared from the lungs by day 10 postinfection (16, 17). The response characteristics after both primary and secondary influenza infection have been extensively characterized for a range of epitope-specific CD8+ T cell populations (14, 18–20). In particular, cytokine production, as determined by intracellular cytokine staining, is hierarchical in character, with most of the epitope-specific CTLs producing IFN-γ, whereas some are IFN-γ+TNF-α+, and an even smaller subset is IFN-γ+TNF-α+IL-2+ (14, 18). Thus, IL-2 is only produced by IFN-γ+TNF-α+ cells (14), and so IL-2+ CTLs are referred to as “polyfunctional.” Of the two dominant epitope specificities (DNP366 [influenza nucleoprotein amino acid residues 366–374 plus MHC class I H-2Db] and DPA224 [influenza acid polymerase amino acid residues 224–232 plus MHC class I H-2Db]), the DPA224-specific population consists of significantly more polyfunctional IFN-γ+TNF-α+IL-2+ (hereon referred to as IL-2+) CD8+ T cells at all phases of the response (14) and has significantly slower TCR-pMHC dissociation rates compared with those of DNP366-specific population (14, 19), suggestive of a correlation between avidity and polyfunctionality in this model.

We have previously observed preferential enrichment of particular TCRβ clonotypes (defined by CDR3 sequence in high-avidity DPA224-specific populations, as defined by the ability to bind limiting amounts of tetramer (21). This analysis of DNP366-specific and DPA224-specific populations has now been extended by analyzing avidity based on the TCR-pMHC
dissociation rate, one of only two avidity measures that correlates with polyfunctionality in these populations (14, 19). Critically, we also analyzed TCRβ usage for the DβNP366- and DβPA224-specific CD8+ IL-2+ sets and compared this with TCRβ usage in the total epitope-specific IFN-γ repertoires within the same mice. This allowed us to determine whether the cytoplasmic profile of T cells after viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular viral infection is predominantly defined by the nature of the TCR–pMHCI interaction, as evidenced by concentration of particular

Materials and Methods

Mice and viral infections

The female B6 (H-2b) mice used in this study were bred and housed in the animal facility at the Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne (Parkville, Victoria, Australia). All experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the University of Melbourne Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee. Naïve mice (6–8 wk) were anesthetized by isoflurane inhalation and infected intranasally with 1 × 107 PFU of the A/ Hong Kong/156/31 (H3N2) influenza virus (HKx31). Single-cell preparations of spleen cells were enriched for CD8+ cells by panning for 1 h at 37°C on C coated plates coated with a mixture of anti-mouse IgG/FcγM (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Lymphocytes were obtained from the lung by bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), and adherent cells were removed by incubating on plastic for 1 h at 37°C. Four individual mice were used for each analysis of DβNP366- and DβPA224-specific TCR repertoires in total and high-avidity populations, four mice were used for the DβPA224–specific TCR repertoire analysis comparing IFN-γ and IL-2 populations, and nine mice were used for the DβNP366–specific TCR repertoire analysis comparing IFN-γ and IL-2 populations.

Intracellular cytokine staining

Stimulation and intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) of lymphocyte populations was performed using the BD Cytometric Cytometer kit (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (14). Briefly, enriched BAL cells (0.5 × 106 to 1 × 106) were incubated for 5 h in 96-well round-bottom plates in 200 μl complete RPMI 1640 medium containing 1% normal mouse serum and 1 μg/ml GolgiPlugs in the presence or absence of 1 μM NP366–374 (ASNNENMETM) or PA224–233 (SSLENFRAYV) peptides (Auspep, Tullamareena, Australia). Cells were then stained with anti–CD8α–PerCP–Cy5.5 Ab (BD Biosciences), fixed, permeabilized, and stained with anti–IFN-γ–PE or anti–IL-2–allophycocyanin detection Abs, and anti-CD8–PerCP–Cy5.5 and either anti–Vβ8.3–FITC (TRBV13-1) (DβNP366) or anti–Vγ7–FITC (TRBV29) (DβPA224) (BD Biosciences), washed, and analyzed (19). Individual CD8+DβNP366–Vβ8.3+ or CD8+DβPA224–Vβ7+ T cells were sorted, either prior to anti–H-2Dβ/Kb Ab incubation (T0) or after 60 min incubation (T60), into wells of a 96-well plate using a FACSAria Cell Sorter (BD Immunocytometry Systems).

Single-cell analysis of TCR

Reverse transcription was performed on individual sorted cells as described previously, and a nested PCR strategy was used to amplify Vβ8.3 (DβNP366) or Vγ7 (DβPA224) cDNA using published external and internal oligonucleotide primers (23–25). Second-round γ–PerCP–PCR products (2–3 μl) were then purified using the Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), sequenced using 3.2 pmol of the internal Vβ primer, and analyzed on an ABI Prism 3700 sequencer analyzer.

Statistical analyses

Unless otherwise stated, analysis of statistical significance was determined using a paired Student t test, with a p value of 0.05 used to define significance. A Fisher’s exact test was used to determine significance in Fig. 3, with p values combined using Stouffer’s method. The Morisita-Horn similarity index was used to probe the similarity between the total epitope-specific TCRβ repertoire and either the high-avidity or IL-2-producing subsets (26).

Results

Identification of high-avidity cells by tetramer dissociation

The tetramer dissociation assay provides a relative measure of the duration of the TCR–pMHCI interaction for polyclonal epitope-specific T cell populations (14, 19, 27). Relative rates of TCR dissociation for DβNP366- and DβPA224-specific populations were shown previously to correlate with the respective proportions of polyfunctional CTLs in these populations (14, 19). To determine whether the signatures of TCRβ clonotype usage in high-avidity DβNP366 and DβPA224-specific CTL populations was similar to that observed previously using limiting amounts of tetramer, tetramer dissociation was performed on splenocytes from mice infected intranasally with HKx31 10 d previously (Fig. 1). Analysis of DβNP366 or DβPA224 tetramer dissociation was limited to those cells expressing the dominant Vβ gene [TRBV13-1 or TRBV29, respectively (28, 29)]. Total tetramer+ cells were isolated prior to addition of the anti–H-2Dβ/Kb Ab (Fig. 1B, 1D; 0 min), and high-avidity cells were classified as those remaining tetramer-bound after a 60-min incubation with the anti–H-2Dβ/Kb Ab (Fig. 1B, 1D; 60 min). For both the DβNP366- and DβPA224–specific sets, the population isolated at 60 min represented between 13 and 20% of the maximum staining observed at time 0 (Fig. 1A, 1C).

Identification of IFN-γ and IFN-γ IL-2+ cells by CSA

Using the ICS assay (14, 18, 24), we have previously demonstrated that the production of cytokines by influenza virus-specific CD8+ T cells postinfection is hierarchical. Thus, whereas the vast majority of influenza-specific CTLs make IFN-γ after short-term in vitro restimulation, only a small subset of these cells also produces IL-2. The CSA used in the current study measures secreted cytokine that is retained on the surface of previously activated cells, allowing the specific isolation of viable cells based on their cytokine production profiles (22). This avoids the limitation of the ICS assay, which has a requisite fixation step that hinders any subsequent analysis of gene expression due to the damaging effects of formalin on nucleic acids (30). To determine whether the hierarchical nature of cytokine production observed routinely using ICS is also found by CSA, we harvested BAL cells from mice infected 10 d earlier and used both techniques to assay for IFN-γ and IL-2 production after short-term in vitro restimulation with the NP366 peptide (Fig. 2A). Generally, the sensitivity of the CSA was slightly reduced compared with that of ICS, resulting in the...
Detection of fewer IFN-γ+ cells after in vitro restimulation (Fig. 2A). Despite this, the relative cytokine hierarchy was maintained (Fig. 2C), and the proportion of IFN-γ+ cells that also produced IL-2 was similar for the two techniques (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, both the magnitude and the proportion of CD8+ IFN-γ+ cells producing IL-2 was slightly (although not significantly) larger for the DbPA224-specific set compared with that of the DbNP366-specific population (Fig. 2B), replicating previous ICS findings for acute-stage BAL cells (31).

**FIGURE 1.** Tetramer dissociation and isolation of high-avidity CTLs. Splenocytes from mice infected with influenza virus intranasally 10 d previously were bound with D\(^{\text{N}}\)P\(_{366}\) or D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\) tetramer then incubated in the presence of anti-H-2D\(^{\beta}\)/K\(^{\beta}\) (28-8-6) Ab for designated times. Cells were then stained with anti-CD8α–FITC and either anti-V\(_{\beta}8.3\)–PE (TRBV13-1; D\(^{\text{N}}\)P\(_{366}\)) or anti-V\(_{\beta}7\)–PE (TRBV29; D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\)). Shown are CD8+ tetramer+ TRBV+ cells expressed as the mean percentage ± SD of the maximum population observed at time 0 (A, C) (n = 4 mice per group). Representative dot plots show V\(_{\beta}\) and tetramer staining of CD8+ T cells at 0 min (total tetramer+) and 60 min (high avidity), at which times cells were isolated using the gates shown (B, D). Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.

**FIGURE 2.** Characterization and isolation of differential cytokine-producing CTLs by CSA. Cells isolated from the BAL of mice infected intranasally with influenza A virus 10 d previously were stimulated in vitro with NP\(_{366}\) peptide for 5 h, and cytokine production was identified by ICS or CSA. A, Shown is the percentage ± SD of CD8+ T cells that were IFN-γ+ and the proportion of those cells that were IL-2+ by each technique (n = 5 mice per group). Data reflect CSA on day-10-infected BAL cells stimulated with NP\(_{366}\) or PA\(_{224}\) peptide. B, Shown is the percentage ± SD of CD8+ T cells that are IFN-γ+ and the proportion of those cells that are IL-2+ (n = 4 mice per group). C, Representative dot plots showing IFN-γ and IL-2 staining on CD8+ T cells using CSA. The gates used to isolate the two cell populations are shown. For all quantitation of epitope-specific responses, values from no peptide controls have been subtracted. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.

**FIGURE 3.** Analysis of CDR3b length and J\(\beta\) usage within high-avidity and IL-2-producing CTL subsets

Analysis of CDR3b lengths and J\(\beta\) usage in the total IFN-γ+ or tetramer+ populations (Supplemental Tables I–IV) confirmed the previously identified biases of 9 aa and TRBV13-1 for D\(^{\text{N}}\)P\(_{366}\)-specific populations, and 6–7 aa and TRBJ1S1 and 2S6 usage for D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\)-specific CD8+ T cell populations (Fig. 3, tetramer+ and IFN-γ+ columns) (23, 25).

For the high-avidity D\(^{\text{N}}\)P\(_{366}\)-specific subset, CDR3b length and J\(\beta\) distributions paralleled the total tetramer+ CD8+ set (Fig. 3A, 3B). By contrast, the high-avidity D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\)-specific population showed a significant divergence from its corresponding total tetramer+ set (p < 0.0001). The contribution of clonotypes (i.e., unique TCRb sequences) expressing a CDR3b length of 6 aa decreased from 74% of the total D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\)+ cells to 44% for the high-avidity subset, with a corresponding increase in clonotypes with a 7-aa CDR3b length (18–39%) (Fig. 3A). Scrutiny of the TCR CDR3b amino acid sequence data established that this increase correlated with a substantial increase in the prevalence of clonotypes with a 7-aa length in three of the four mice analyzed, with the fourth mouse showing a substantial overrepresentation of an 11-aa length clonotype (Supplemental Table II). A less pronounced but still significant difference (p < 0.005) was also observed for J\(\beta\) usage between the total and high-avidity D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\)-specific populations (Fig. 3B).

Notably, analysis of the D\(^{\text{N}}\)P\(_{366}\)- and D\(^{\text{P}}\)A\(_{224}\)-specific IL-2+ subsets showed profiles that were very similar to the respective IFN-γ+ populations, both with respect to CDR3b length and J\(\beta\).
usage (Fig. 3C, 3D). There was, in fact, no evidence that differential cytokine production profiles reflected any pattern of TCR repertoire selection for either $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$ or $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific CD8$^+$ T cells isolated based on tetramer binding (A, B) or on cytokine production (C, D). Data are summarized in pie charts where each slice of the pie represents the proportion of sequences from each sample group ($D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific: total tetramer$^+$ $n = 232$, high-avidity $n = 202$, total IFN-γ$^+$ $n = 203$, IL-2$^+$ $n = 159$; $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific: total tetramer$^+$ $n = 166$, high-avidity $n = 106$, total IFN-γ$^+$ $n = 225$, IL-2$^+$ $n = 149$) using a particular CDR3 length (A, C) or β region (B, D). *Significance determined using Fisher’s exact test on the total population, with the $p$ values combined using Stouffer’s method.

**Dominant clonotypes in high-avidity and IL-2$^+$ populations**

If, given sufficient TCR-pMHC I avidity to achieve tetramer binding or trigger IFN-γ production, the TCR contributes significantly to differential CTL avidity and/or function in mice, one might expect to see enrichment of particular TCRβ clonotypes in the high-avidity or polyfunctional IL-2$^+$ subsets, respectively. Clonotypes from the four sample groups were arbitrarily divided into those that contributed to ≤10% (minor), 11–40% (intermediate), or ≥41% (dominant) of the total repertoire analyzed within individual mice and were plotted as a proportion of the total clonotypes (Fig. 4A–D). As expected, analysis of the total tetramer$^+$ or IFN-γ$^+$ $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific cells confirmed that they were predominantly composed of minor clonotypes, with virtually no dominant species evident (Fig. 4A, 4C, closed circles) (23). In contrast, the total tetramer$^+$ or IFN-γ$^+$ $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific sets showed a greater contribution of intermediate and dominant clonotypes (Fig. 4B, 4D, closed circles). These $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific populations were also more variable between mice, reflecting the smaller number of large clonotypes identified. As a consequence, those that were found represented a larger percentage of the total.

Intriguingly, analysis of CDR3β profiles in the high-avidity populations showed a significant increase ($p = 0.008$) in the proportion of dominant ($≥41\%$) $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific clonotypes, from zero in the total tetramer$^+$ population to between 11 and 25% for the four mice analyzed (Fig. 4A, open circles). Similar trends of preferential TCRβ usage were found when the classification of “dominant clonotypes” was altered to ≥20% ($p = 0.004$) or ≥30% ($p = 0.11$), confirming that there is no bias associated with this arbitrary categorization. In contrast, there was no evidence of clonotype enrichment in the high-avidity $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$ subset relative to the total population ($p = 0.55$) (Fig. 4B, open circles).

Performing the same analysis for the IL-2$^+$ subset versus the total IFN-γ$^+$ CTLs, no enrichment was evident for dominant clonotypes in the $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific set, with both populations of cytokine-producing cells showing strikingly similar profiles (Fig. 4C). Thus, unlike the situation for the high-avidity $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific population, there was no evidence of selective TCRβ usage in the IL-2$^+$ set (Fig. 4A compared with 4C). Furthermore, no significant differences in clonotype distribution were found for the IFN-γ$^+$ and IL-2$^+$ $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific sets (Fig. 4D), suggesting that neither differential avidity nor function within the $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific population is primarily determined by CDR3β clonotype.

The analyses thus far identified, among other parameters, the relative contribution of dominant clonotypes to each population. We next assessed whether the particular clonotypes that were dominant within the high-avidity or IL-2$^+$ subsets were selectively enriched from the total epitope-specific population. To this end, we determined the frequency of the single most dominant clonotype within either the high-avidity or IL-2$^+$ subsets from each mouse and analyzed the corresponding frequency of these clonotypes within the total tetramer$^+$ and IFN-γ$^+$ populations, respectively (Fig. 4E). In support of our earlier analysis (Fig. 4A–D), we found that a selectively greater prevalence of the dominant clonotype was characteristic only of the high-avidity $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific population. In contrast, neither the dominant clonotypes in the high-avidity $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific population nor those in the IL-2$^+$ subsets showed any significant enrichment over the frequency in the total epitope-specific populations (Fig. 4E), suggesting that these clonotypes play no part in determining differential function or avidity.

**Clonotype sharing in high-avidity $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific populations**

If the same clonotype(s) were responsible for conferring enhanced $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific TCR avidity in all four of the individuals analyzed, we might expect the degree of repertoire “sharing” (i.e., the proportion of an individual’s repertoire that is found in ≥50% of mice analyzed) to be selectively increased in the high-avidity set. The proportion of each total epitope-specific CTL response (based on tetramer staining or IFN-γ production) that was “shared” was found to be significantly lower in the $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific population compared with the $D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}$-specific populations, which is consistent with previous characterizations of these repertoires as “private” and “public,” respectively (23, 25) ($D^{\text{NP}}_{\text{366}}, 84 ± 14\%$ [derived from 11 primary immune mice and 723 sequences]; $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}, 13 ± 6.8\%$ [derived from 13 primary immune mice and 759 sequences]) (Fig. 5). Notably, despite clear evidence of clonotype enrichment in the high-avidity $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific populations for all four mice analyzed (Fig. 4A, 4E), the proportion of the response that was shared in this subset was similar to the total tetramer$^+$ population. These data establish, therefore, that the same clonotype(s) are not responsible for conferring a high-avidity TCR phenotype in all mice. This is confirmed by looking directly at the CDR3β amino acid sequences; of the five clonotypes identified as dominant in high-avidity $D^{\text{PA}}_{\text{224}}$-specific populations, only one (SLGGYEQ) was dominant in...
more than one individual (Supplemental Table II). Thus it appears that, within the typically diverse DbPA224-specific TCR repertoire, there are a number of clonotypes that can confer a high-avidity phenotype.

**Similarity of total and subset TCRβ repertoires**

We have compared clonotype abundance and sharing between the total and the subsets of epitope-specific CTL populations and have shown a significant difference only for the high-avidity DbPA224-subset. To analyze the populations in more detail, the similarity of total epitope-specific repertoires and the subsets of repertoires was compared within individual mice using the Morisita-Horn similarity index (26) (Fig. 6). The Morisita-Horn index accounts for both the number of common clonotypes and the distribution of clone sizes, giving a value of 1 for clonotype groups that are identical and a value of zero for completely distinct groups. Reference pairs were generated by randomly distributing (10,000 times) pooled clonotypes from individual mice (i.e., taken from both the total epitope-specific population and the subset) into groups of the same size. The reference pairs, showing the similarity of randomized groups (Fig. 6, white bars), are then compared with the actual pairs, showing the similarity of the total versus the subset groups found within individual mice (black bars). In all cases, the reference pairs were slightly more similar than was observed for the actual pairs, which is possibly a consequence of individual differences [e.g., mice 3 and 5 (Supplemental Table III)]. Again, despite these subtle differences, the only subset that was significantly dissimilar from the total population was the high-avidity DbPA224-specific subset ($p = 0.008$), suggesting distinct clonotype usage within this subset compared with that of the total population.

**Discussion**

The avidity of the TCR–pMHCI interaction has long been considered to heavily influence the efficiency with which CD8+ T cells respond to viral infections (32–35) and tumors (36–38). Similarly, the capacity of T cells to produce multiple effector cytokines has also emerged as a positive correlate of effective CTL immunity (4–9, 11, 12). Furthermore, those studies that investigated the link between these characteristics suggested that it was the high-avidity T cell populations that tend to exhibit cytokine polyfunctionality (6, 7). In this study, we investigated the involvement of TCRβ clonotype in determining avidity and polyfunctional phenotype for two influenza virus-specific CTL populations. To our knowledge, this represents the first analysis of TCR repertoires associated with differential CTL effector function.

We found that TCRβ clonotype appeared to influence differential TCR-pMHCI avidity only within the DbPA224-specific CTL
sets, whereas polyfunctional IL-2+ cells showed no preferential usage of particular TCRαβs in either the DNP366- or DPA224-specific CTL populations. Therefore, at least in the case of the DPA224-specific set, there is no obvious link between TCR avidity and polyfunctionality.

The observation that only the high-avidity DPA224-specific subset showed any substantial divergence from the total epitope-specific population supports data published previously, in which total and high-avidity DNP366- and DPA224-specific CD8+ T cell populations were segregated using tetramer dilution rather than tetramer dissociation (21). In that study, the selective enrichment of TCRβ clonotypes in the DPA224-specific, but not the DNP366-specific, high-avidity population was interpreted as a reflection that the more diverse (by CDR3b clonotype) DPA224-specific repertoire encoded a broader range of avidities compared with that of the more restricted DNP366-specific repertoire, thus facilitating the observation of TCRβ partitioning within this population. Data have recently emerged, however, that TCRα-chains in the TCRβ-“restricted” DNP366-specific population are more varied than the TCRβ profiles for the TCRβ-“diverse” DPA224-specific set (Day et al., unpublished data). Taking this into account, it is possible that the DNP366- and DPA224-specific CTL populations have comparable numbers of TCRαβ clonotypes (and thus range of avidities), but differential partitioning of clonotypes may only become evident when the more variable chain is analyzed (whether α or β). Although the restriction of our analyses to the contribution of TCRβ-chain to differential avidity and polyfunctionality precludes any comment on the contribution of the TCRα-chains, the current analysis does allow us to state definitively that distinct CTL populations are responsible for conferring avidity and polyfunctional phenotypes in the DPA224-specific population.

One might have anticipated differences between the previous analysis of avidity based on the sensitivity of TCR-pMHCI binding (which takes into account both the on- and off-rates of binding) and the current analysis that is based on the TCR-pMHCI dissociation rate, a measure that has been shown previously to correlate with different levels of polyfunctionality for DNP366- and DPA224-specific populations (14, 19). The fact that these two strategies for subsetting high-avidity cells yielded a similar difference (selectively in the DPA224-specific subset), and enrichment of the same TCRβ clonotype (SLGGYEQ) in some mice, suggests that the contribution of TCRβ clonotype to avidity that was detected in the earlier study (21) was dictated primarily by the effects on dissociation rate and not the on-rate of binding.

Previous studies have shown that clonal T cell populations, such as TCR transgenic cells, show a spectrum of cytokine production profiles, indicating that polyfunctionality in T cells cannot be solely defined by TCR clonotype (31, 39). However, it remained possible that, in a polyclonal population, TCR clonotype could be a determinant of broad-spectrum cytokine production. However, the current analysis provides no evidence of a correlation between TCRβ sequence and cytokine polyfunctionality, suggesting that TCRβ clonotype neither dictates nor influences this CTL function. This was particularly intriguing for the DPA224-specific population, where we saw clear partitioning of clonotypes in the high-avidity subset, and that polyfunctionality (at least for this epitope) is not a selective characteristic of the high-avidity population. This is supported by one study (15) in which polyfunctionality correlated more closely with the HLA restriction element and was inversely correlated with avidity, but contrasts with a number of other studies (including our own) that have indicated a link between the strength of the TCR-pMHCI interaction and the propensity to produce multiple cytokines (6, 7, 13, 14, 19). Critically, however, the nexus between avidity and polyfunctionality in the majority of these studies was correlative, leaving open the possibility that these two effects segregate independently. Further evidence that cytokine profiles are determined independently of TCR avidity comes from our earlier observation that the threshold of stimulation required for the production of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 in influenza epitope-specific CTL populations is equivalent. That is, polyfunctional epitope-specific CTLs were found at comparable prevalence when cells were stimulated with optimal or suboptimal peptide concentrations and in CD8-dependent and -independent responses (19). Although some apparent differences in clonotype usage were observed in the current study between the DNP366-specific IFN-γ versus IL-2 groups for particular mice (i.e., notably mice 3 and 5 [Supplemental Table III]), such differences were not generally characteristic of these groups, and the total analysis of all nine mice in this group did not support the contention that TCRβ clonotype is able to confer polyfunctionality at the global level.

If TCR-pMHCI avidity, beyond the minimal level to induce functional activity, plays little part in tuning the level of cytokine induction, what are the critical determinants of this effector function? Multiple studies have recently indicated that inflammatory signals have a substantial influence on the differentiation of CD8+ T cells into effector and/or memory CTLs. Notably, it seems that inflammation can promote the acquisition of CTL effector functions (including IFN-γ and granzyme B expression) and delay the progression into memory (40, 41). Recent evidence suggests that the path to an effector or memory phenotype is further regulated by a complex interplay between both inflammatory and IL-2 signals (42, 43). Relating these findings to our model of influenza virus infection, we routinely observe a significantly larger proportion of polyfunctional virus-specific CTLs at the site of infection (BAL) compared with that of the spleen at the acute stage of primary infection (14, 18). Thus, it is likely that these site-related differences in cytokine profiles reflect that the inflammatory environment of the infected lung selectively promotes the full acquisition and retention of CTL effector functions.

Taken together, our data demonstrate a clear role for TCRβ clonotype in determining the TCR avidity of one (but not another) influenza-specific CTL population, thus highlighting the differential contribution of the TCRβ-chain to pMHCI recognition mediated by diverse epitope-specific TCRs. Furthermore, our data suggest that after influenza virus infection, polyfunctional CTLs are not necessarily contained within the high-avidity population. These data suggest that a focus on the cytokine/chemokine milieu during priming rather than an emphasis on maximizing TCR-pMHCI avidity may be a better strategy for optimizing vaccine efficacy.
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