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Eosinophil-Derived IL-10 Supports Chronic Nematode Infection

Lu Huang,* Nebiat G. Gebreselassie,* Lucille F. Gagliardo,* Maura C. Ruyechan,* Nancy A. Lee,† James J. Lee,‡ and Judith A. Appleton*

Eosinophilia is a prominent feature of the host immune response that distinguishes parasitic worms from other pathogens, yet a discrete function for eosinophils in worm infection has been elusive. The aims of this study was to clarify the mechanism(s) underlying the striking and unexpected observation that eosinophils protect intracellular, muscle-stage *Trichinella spiralis* larvae against NO-mediated killing. Our findings indicate that eosinophils are specifically recruited to sites of infection at the earliest stage of muscle infection, consistent with a local response to injury. Early recruitment is essential for larval survival. By producing IL-10 at the initiation of infection, eosinophils expand IL-10+ myeloid dendritic cells and CD4+ IL-10+ T lymphocytes that inhibit inducible NO synthase (iNOS) expression and protect intracellular larvae. The results document a novel immunoregulatory function of eosinophils in helminth infection, in which eosinophil-derived IL-10 drives immune responses that eventually limit local NO production. In this way, the parasite co-opts an immune response in a way that enhances its own survival. The Journal of Immunology, 2014, 193: 4178–4187.

Eosinophilia is a prominent consequence of Th2 immunity mounted in response to infections by parasitic helminths. Cytotoxic effects of eosinophils, mediated by cationic granule proteins, have been considered to be their central influence in worm infections (1, 2). Recent investigations of the roles of eosinophils in health and disease have provided new insights into their versatility of this cell population. In mouse models, eosinophils influence insulin resistance (3, 4), promote the regenerative response to toxic injury of skeletal muscle (5) and liver (6), and are required for recruitment of Th2 cells to the lung in allergy (7–9). Eosinophils constitutively express IL-4 (10), and production of IL-4 or IL-13 is key to the role of eosinophils in each of these contexts. Eosinophils also regulate adaptive immunity by producing cytokines (11), and this property has been tested in experiments that are relevant to the outcome of worm infection. In one example, eosinophils serve as an early source of IL-4, promoting Th2 cell polarization when *Schistosoma mansoni* eggs are injected into peritoneal cavities of mice (12). Moreover, eosinophils express MHC class II (MHCIIC) and costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 on the cell surface and are capable of presenting allergens and helminth Ags to T cells (13–15). Perhaps equally likely is the potential for eosinophils to promote maturation of dendritic cells (DCs) to enhance Ag-specific Th2 immune responses (16, 17). More recently, a role for eosinophils in modulating goblet cell hyperplasia and IgE responses during microfilariae infection has been reported (18). Although these studies provide evidence for immunoregulatory function of eosinophils in worm-induced Th2 immunity, the significance of Ag presentation or IL-4 secretion has yet to be confirmed in the course of infection.

Despite their prominence in the response to infection by intestine-dwelling parasitic worms, including *Trichinella spiralis*, findings from experiments in eosinophil ablated strains of mice consistently indicate that eosinophils do not contribute in a discernable way to intestinal immunity (19–21). During *Trichinella* infection, eosinophils promote the growth and survival of *T. spiralis* larvae as they colonize skeletal muscle (21, 22). In two strains of mice in which the eosinophil lineage has been ablated (PHIL and ΔdblGATA) (23, 24), growing larvae in muscle are killed by an NO-dependent mechanism (22). Providing mice with eosinophils during the first 10 d of muscle infection prevents killing (22), suggesting that eosinophils may directly regulate inducible NO synthase (iNOS) expression by local macrophages and neutrophils. Other findings document that expression of iNOS in leukocytes infiltrating sites of infection is regulated, in part, by CD4+ T cells that produce IL-10 (25, 26). The relationship between eosinophils and CD4+IL-10+ T cells has not yet been elucidated.

In the studies reported in this article, we aimed to elucidate the specific activity of eosinophils that regulates local NO production. We found that eosinophils are rapidly and specifically recruited to sites of infection, and that the presence of eosinophils at the earliest stage of muscle infection is necessary for larval survival 4 wk later. Neither Ag presentation nor production of IL-4 by eosinophils is essential for preserving the parasite. In contrast, by producing IL-10, eosinophils expand IL-10+ myeloid DCs (mDCs) and CD4+IL-10+ T cells that suppress local NO production, and thus preserve larvae in muscle. Our results provide evidence that a parasitic worm co-opts the regulatory capacity of eosinophils in a way that supports its own survival.

Materials and Methods

**Rats and mice**

Adult Albino Oxford strain rats were produced and maintained in the Baker Institute vivarium. ΔdblGATA (eosinophil-ablated), PHIL (eosinophil-ablated), VertX (IL-10 reporter), Rag1−/−, IL-5–expressing transgenic (NJ.1638) (IL-5Tg), IL-5Tg×MHCIIC−/−, and IL-5Tg×IL-4−/− mice
were bred at Cornell Transgenic Mouse Core Facility, and offspring were transferred to the Baker Institute. IL-5tg* × IL-4−/− and IL-5tg* × MHCIId−/− mice were generated by crossing and backcrossing on the deficient strains, and genotype was confirmed by PCR. IL-10−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Rag2−/− mice were purchased from Taconic. Arg1flx/flox; Tie2cre (Arginase 1 [Arg1] specifically ablated in myeloid cells) mice were a gift from Dr. Thomas Wynn (Laboratory of Parasitic Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH). PHIL, mice were genotyped as described previously (23). All strains were on a C57BL/6 background.

Parasite and Ags

Trichinella spiralis first-stage larvae (L1) and newborn larvae (NBL) were recovered from rats as described previously (21). For oral infection, L1 were suspended in 2% nutrient broth (Difco), 0.6% gelatin (Fisher Scientific), and doses of 300 L1 were administered by gavage. For synchronous infection, 25,000 NBL were suspended in 0.25 ml serum-free DMEM (Mediatech) and delivered by retro-orbital injection. Mice were euthanized by CO2 inhalation at the times indicated in each experiment. Body-length muscle larvae burdens were assessed 28 d postinfection (dpi) as described previously (21). In some experiments, larvae were recovered from diaphragms 17 dpi by digesting minced tissue for 15 min at 37˚C in 5 mg/ml collagenase I (Sigma-Aldrich), a method that allows evaluation of the cellular response at a time that is relevant to larval survival. Crude somatic Ags from L1 were prepared by digestion of minced tissue for 15 min at 37˚C in 5 mg/ml collagenase I (Sigma-Aldrich), a method that allows evaluation of the cellular response at a time that is relevant to larval survival. Crude somatic Ags from L1 were prepared as described previously (21).

Eosinophil transfer experiments

Eosinophils were recovered from infected IL-5tg*, IL-5tg* × MHCIId−/−, IL-5tg* × IL-4−/−, or infected IL-10−/− mice 12–20 dpi as described previously (22). Cells were pooled from spleens and peritoneal lavage fluid, and purified by magnetic bead selection as previously described (22). In brief, eosinophils were labeled with PE-conjugated anti-Siglec-F Ab (BD) and anti-PE microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec). Average purity of eosinophils from this procedure was >99%. After washing twice with PBS, 5 × 10^6 eosinophils were resuspended in 200 μl sterile PBS and injected i.v. into ΔdblGATA mice every 48 h for 6 d.

Similar results were obtained by transferring eosinophils into PHIL and ΔdblGATA mice as described previously (22). Because ΔdblGATA mice are more vigorous and productive, we elected to pursue the question of immune regulation, requiring complex adoptive transfer protocols (transfer of eosinophils, CD4+ T cells, or bone marrow–derived DCs [BMDCs], as described later) in that strain.

Cell isolation from lymph node for DC phenotyping

Cells from cervical lymph nodes for DC phenotyping were isolated as described previously (27). In brief, draining lymph nodes (dLN)s were digested at 37˚C on a shaker for 15 min with 1.75 Wünsch U/ml Liberase Cl (Roche) and 80 U/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich) in Hanks’ buffered saline (Cellgro) containing 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies). A total of 100 μl 0.1 M EDTA (pH 7.3) per milliliter was then added to stop the reaction, and the tube was immediately diluted to 15 ml with DMEM containing 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. Undigested tissue was manually dispersed on a stainless-steel tea strainer, using 12-ml syringe pestle. Cell preparations were passed through 70-μm filters into a 50-ml tube to obtain a single-cell suspension. Cells were washed with PBS containing 2% FCS and analyzed by flow cytometry for DC phenotyping as described later.

CD4+ T cell isolation and transfer

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from dLNs recovered from dLNs of infected WT or IL-10−/− donor mice on 17 dpi as described previously (25). CD4+ T cells were enriched by negative selection on magnetic beads using CD4 T Cell Isolation Kit II (Miltenyi Biotec) and an AutoMACS magnetic cell separator. Average purity was 94%. A total of 5 × 10^5 CD4+ T cells were suspended in PBS and injected i.v. into ΔdblGATA recipients that had been infected with 300 L1, 4 d previously.

Culture of BMDCs

BMDCs were generated as described (28). In brief, bone marrow cells were isolated from naïve WT or IL-10−/− mice. Cells were plated (5 × 10^5/ml) in complete RPMI media in the presence of recombinant murine GM-CSF (20 ng/ml; Peprotech) for 3 d. Fresh medium containing GM-CSF (20 ng/ml) was added to cultures on days 3 and 6. On day 9, nonadherent cells were harvested and stained for CD11c, MHCIId, and CD11b to evaluate the purity (>90% CD11c+CD11b+MHCIId+ cells) by flow cytometry. BMDCs were then primed with 50 μg/ml L1 crude somatic Ag for 18 h. Primed cells were washed in PBS, suspended in PBS, and 5 × 10^6 BMDCs were injected i.v. into ΔdblGATA mice that had been infected with 300 L1, 4 d previously.

Flow cytometry

Cells from individual diaphragms were recovered after perfusion of blood from tissues, as described previously (22), and cultured ex vivo for 6 h with 250 ng/ml ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 ng/ml PMA (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1 μg/ml brefeldin A (BD Pharmingen). After a 15-min incubation with Fc block (bioBioscience) and 10% normal mouse serum, cells were incubated for 15 min with PE-Cy5-conjugated anti-CD4 (eBioscience). Samples were then treated with fixation/permeabilization buffer (eBioscience), and permeabilized cells were incubated for 1 h with PE-conjugated anti-IL-4 (eBioscience) or anti-IL-10 (eBioscience).

For DC phenotyping, cells from dLN’s and diaphragms were incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-CD11b, PE-conjugated anti-CD11c, and Pacific blue–conjugated anti-MHCII. Data were acquired using a Gallios flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed with Flowjo software (Tree Star).

In vivo cell proliferation assay

BrdU was injected (i.p.) to mice on 13 dpi, and dLN’s were removed 24 h later. dLN’s were recovered and cultured ex vivo for 6 h with 250 ng/ml ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 ng/ml PMA (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1 μg/ml brefeldin A (BD Pharmingen). BrdU incorporation into T cells was assessed by colabeling cells with PE-Cy5–conjugated anti-CD4 and PE-conjugated anti-IL-4, and following the manufacturer’s protocol for the FITC BrdU Flow Kit (BD Pharmingen).

Parasite measurement

Area of parasites was measured as described previously (22). In brief, developing L1 larvae were recovered from mice (17 dpi) by digesting minced diaphragms for 15 min at 37˚C in PBS containing 2% FCS and 5 mg/ml collagenase I (Sigma-Aldrich). Larvae were treated with 70% ethanol (warm up at 56˚C) overnight. Larvae were then centrifuged and resuspended in 5% glycerol/70% ethanol for 1 d before cytospin. The cytospin slides were fixed with methanol and stained with HEMA-3 (Fisher Healthcare), and measurements were performed using a BX51 microscope. The area of each larva was calculated using Microsuite Basic Olympus software. At least 25 larvae were measured per mouse, and values are expressed in micrometers squared.

Multiplexed cytokine assay

Cytokines were measured in serum using the Bio-Plex 200 multiplex system (Bio-Rad) and the Bio-Plex Pro TM mouse cytokine 23-plex Assay Kit (M60-009RDP), following manufacturer’s instructions.

NO determination

Total NO end products (nitrates and nitrites) were measured in dLN culture supernatants prepared as described previously (25) using the Total NO Assay Kit (EMSNOTOT; Thermo Scientific), following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Quantitative RT-PCR for selected chemokines and cytokines

Total RNA was isolated from masseter tissue using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription of the RNA (1 μg) was performed using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed for IL-13, CCL17, CCL22, and CCL11 using the Applied Biosystems PRISM 7500 Sequence Detection System and its analysis software, SDS 2.3 and RQ Manager. The reactions were performed using the TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix. All primers were purchased from Applied Biosystems.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed two to four times with similar results. Means ± SD were calculated from data collected from individual mice unless otherwise indicated. Significant differences were determined using Student t test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test for multiple means. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 5 software.
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Results

Arg1 is required for the suppression of NO-mediated larval clearance but does not support larval growth

Infected L$_3$ of T. spiralis colonize the intestine, develop into adult worms, and release migratory NBL, that eventually enter skeletal muscle, invade myotubes, grow rapidly, and establish chronic, intracellular infection. Diaphragm and masseter are preferred muscles for infection in mice, and the life cycle is complete in 28 d. At this time, L$_4$ in muscle are fully infectious. In the absence of eosinophils, larval growth is impaired and larval survival is reduced (21, 22).

We have reported previously that Arg1 expression is decreased in skeletal muscles of eosinophil-ablated mice at 17 dpi (22), and that infiltrating neutrophils and macrophages were iNOS$^+$ in WT mice. With the knowledge that Arg1 competes with iNOS in controlling the production of NO, and that NO is toxic for growing T. spiralis larvae (22), we first aimed to reinforce the importance of production of NO in mediating the killing of muscle larvae. Therefore, we tested larval clearance using Arg1$^{lox/lox};$Tie2cre mice that lack Arg1 in myeloid cell populations (29). Compared with WT mice, larval burdens were reduced in Arg1$^{lox/lox};$Tie2cre mice (Fig. 1A), although larvae grew normally (Fig. 1B). The reduction in muscle burden was associated with enhanced NO production in Ag-stimulated dLN cell cultures (Fig. 1C). These results, together with those obtained previously (21, 22), support a role for NO-producing myeloid cells in larval clearance. Importantly, this toxicity is separable from the compromise of larval growth that also occurs in eosinophil-ablated mice.

IL-10 derived from CD4$^+$ T cells compensates for eosinophil deficiency

We have shown previously that iNOS in infected muscles of IL-10–deficient mice was reduced by adoptive transfer of IL-10–competent CD4$^+$ T cells (25). By testing the impact of eosinophil ablation on CD4$^+$ T cells at sites of infection, we found that reduced larval survival correlated with impaired recruitment of CD4$^+$IL-10$^-$, as well as CD4$^+$IL-4$^-$ T cells, to sites of infection (compare WT with PBS-treated eosinophil-ablated mice in Fig. 2A–C). When CD4$^+$ T cells from dLNs of WT infected mice were transferred to ΔdblGATA mice, they infiltrated skeletal muscle and promoted leukocyte infiltration (data not shown), documenting that the local environment in ablated mice was not deficient in chemoattractants or endothelial cell-surface molecules necessary for T cell and leukocyte recruitment. Indeed, local gene expression of chemokines that recruit Th2 cells was unaltered in ablated mice (Fig. 2E). Furthermore, expression of markers of T cell activation was similar in cells recovered from diaphragms of T. spiralis–infected WT, PHIL, or ΔdblGATA mice (Fig. 2F, 2G). Thus, the local environment was compatible with CD4$^+$ T cell recruitment, and CD4$^+$ T cells were being activated, but they were compromised in their abilities to produce IL-4 and IL-10. In vivo labeling with BrdU revealed that expansion of CD4$^+$ IL-4$^+$ T cells in dLNs was diminished in ΔdblGATA mice and significantly impaired in PHIL mice (Fig. 2H), suggesting that production rather than recruitment of effector CD4$^+$ T cells was deficient in the absence of eosinophils. Transfer of CD4$^+$ T cells from infected WT donors to eosinophil-ablated mice (4 dpi) improved larval burdens, promoted infiltration of CD4$^+$IL-10$^+$ cells, and inhibited production of NO (Fig. 2A, 2B, 2D). In contrast, transfer of CD4$^+$ T cells from infected IL-10$^{-/-}$ donors did not have these effects (Fig. 2A, 2B, 2D). CD4$^+$IL-4$^+$ cells were recruited independently of the IL-10 status of donor cells (Fig. 2C). Finding that CD4$^+$IL-10$^+$ T cells were capable of compensating for the absence of eosinophils in controlling local NO production supports the conclusion that the direct action of eosinophils on NO-producing leukocytes was not required to protect larvae from killing.

Eosinophils promote accumulation of IL-10$^+$ mDCs, and IL-10–producing DCs compensate for eosinophil deficiency

The finding that eosinophils influence CD4$^+$IL-10$^+$ T cells, together with the knowledge that eosinophils influence DCs in allergy (16), prompted us to test the impact of eosinophil ablation on DCs in T. spiralis infection. Quantification of CD11c$^+$ cells in dLNs of infected WT, PHIL, and ΔdblGATA mice revealed no differences on days 7, 9, and 11 of infection (data not shown); however, fewer CD11c$^+$CD11b$^+$MHCII$^{high}$ cells were recovered from dLNs and diaphragms on day 11 in eosinophil-ablated mice (Fig. 3A–C). The results are consistent with earlier findings that eosinophils were required for DC trafficking and accumulation in lung dLNs after aeroallergen provocation (30). Indeed, accumulation of CD11c$^+$CD11b$^+$MHCII$^{high}$ cells (mDCs) in T. spiralis–infected larvae significantly improved when eosinophils were restored to ΔdblGATA mice (Fig. 3C), confirming that recruitment or expansion of mDCs was dependent on eosinophils. Moreover, the percentage of diaphragm mDCs that were IL-10$^+$ was reduced in eosinophil-ablated mice (Fig. 3D). WT BMDCs (>90% CD11c$^+$CD11b$^+$MHCII$^{high}$) that were incubated with larval Ags before transfer to ΔdblGATA mice on 4 dpi increased survival of larvae (Fig. 3E) and improved recruitment of CD4$^+$IL-4$^+$ and CD4$^+$IL-10$^+$ T cells to diaphragms (Fig. 3F, 3G). Production of NO was also suppressed upon transfer (Fig. 3H). In contrast, transfer of larval Ag-primed BMDCs from IL-10$^{-/-}$ donors only improved the number of CD4$^+$IL-4$^+$ T cells in diaphragms without affecting CD4$^+$IL-10$^+$ T cells, larval survival, or NO production (Fig. 3 E–H). Thus, eosinophils both recruit mDCs and support their production of IL-10. Such cells likely drive expansion of...
CD4+IL-10− cells that limit iNOS expression (25) and NO-mediated larval killing.

Eosinophils arrive and exert their influence immediately post muscle infection

To further investigate the function of eosinophils, we sought to determine the time at which eosinophils normally extravasate at sites of skeletal muscle infection. To synchronize infection of the muscle, we injected NBL i.v. into WT mice. Eosinophil numbers increased dramatically in the diaphragm between 6 and 12 h after injection (Fig. 4A) preceded by increases in serum IL-5, RANTES, and KC (Fig. 4B), three important drivers of eosinophil chemotaxis. IL-6 in serum also increased upon injection (Fig. 4A) preceding db yi n c r e a s e si ns e r u mI L - 5 , important, larval burdens were similar in the two strains of mice (Fig. 5C). Taken together, the results indicate that muscle eosinophilia and larval survival are not dependent on ILC2.

Ag presentation by eosinophils is not required to preserve larvae

The requirement for eosinophils during the earliest phase of the IL-10−dependent immune response suggested that, in addition to promoting IL-10 production by mDCs (Fig. 3D), eosinophils may themselves present Ag. To better understand whether larval survival is a consequence of MHCI-dependent Ag presentation by eosinophils, we transferred MHCI−/−/− eosinophils isolated from infected IL-5Tg+ mice to ΔdbiGATA mice (5–9 dpi). Both MHCI−/− and MHCI+−/− eosinophils supported larval burdens, larval growth (Fig. 6A, 6B), and accumulation of CD4+IL-4− cells in diaphragm (Fig. 6C). Thus, Ag presentation by eosinophils is not required to promote larval survival or to drive Th2 responses.

Eosinophil-derived IL-10, but not IL-4, is required for larval survival

We next examined whether eosinophil-derived IL-4 promotes larval survival in skeletal muscle. Transfer of IL-4−/−/− eosinophils isolated from IL-5Tg+ × IL-4−/−/− mice to infected ΔdbiGATA mice improved larval burdens, and this correlated with an increase in the number of CD4+IL-10− cells in diaphragms (Fig. 7A, 7B), but not CD4+IL-4− T cells (Fig. 7C). In other experiments, IL-4−/−, IL-13−/−, and WT mice supported similar larval burdens.
postinfection with *T. spiralis* (data not shown). More importantly, production of NO in both IL-4^{2/2} and IL-13^{2/2} mice was comparable with WT mice (data not shown). Thus, the results support the conclusion that both IL-4 and IL-13 are dispensable in eosinophil-mediated regulation of immunity that prevents killing of *T. spiralis* larvae by NO.

We detected eosinophils producing IL-10 in infected reporter mice (VertX strain) (32), confirming that eosinophils in dLNs and diaphragms expressed the gene (Fig. 7D). Furthermore, transfer of eosinophils from IL-10^{-/-} mouse to ΔdblGATA recipients failed to improve larval burdens, recruit CD4^{+}IL-10^{+} T cells, or reduce NO production, whereas IL-10^{+} competent eosinophils rescued larvae, enhanced recruitment of CD4^{+}IL-10^{+} (but not IL-4^{+}) T cells, and suppressed production of NO (Fig. 7E–H). Thus, the mechanism of action of eosinophils in preserving larvae in muscles depended on intrinsic production of IL-10 that directed the adaptive immune response toward IL-10^{+} mDCs and CD4^{+}IL-10^{+} T cells, ultimately inhibiting expression of *iNOS* and preventing NO-mediated killing of the parasite.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to bring clarity to the striking and unexpected observation that eosinophils protect intracellular, muscle-stage *T. spiralis* larvae against NO-mediated killing. Testing two strains of eosinophil-ablated mice, engineered by two very different approaches, reduced the likelihood that the results are artifactual, and our previous experiments consistently replicated results in PHIL and ΔdblGATA mice (21, 22). In our studies, we used these strains in adoptive transfer experiments that are powerful tools for examining the contribution of effector cell populations. We set out to address two questions: 1) what are the crucial regulators that prevent larval killing by NO? and 2) how do eosinophils exert their influence? We discovered a dominant role for IL-10 throughout the immune response that culminates in the protection of larvae in muscle.
Our previous findings showed that growing larvae were at greatest risk for NO-mediated killing and suggested that the combination of impaired growth and local NO that was evident in eosinophil-ablated mice was responsible for the high rate of larval clearance. Although the mechanism by which eosinophils support growth of *T. spiralis* is not well understood, it is noteworthy that eosinophils also promote development and fecundity of filarial worms (33). Our evidence suggests that impaired growth and killing of *T. spiralis* are mediated by distinct mechanisms. Specifically, infection of Arg1-deficient mice showed that larval killing can occur in the absence of impaired growth. Similarly, growth is normal in IL-10−/− mice, whereas local *iNOS* expression is high, and larval killing is evident (22, 34). Reduction in larval burdens at 28 d post oral infection is similar in these two strains (44% in Arg1<sup>flkox/flkox:Tie2cre</sup>, 50% in IL-10−/−) and in ΔdblGATA (48%). PHIL mice consistently show a higher rate of clearance (67–77%), a finding that is unexplained. We speculate that IL-10 and Arg1 deficiencies yield much higher local NO that overcomes the contribution of impaired growth to net larval clearance that may occur in eosinophil-ablated mice. The relationship between impaired growth and larval clearance requires further investigation. We have shown previously that CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells are critical sources of IL-10 that limit NO production by cells that infiltrate *Trichinella*-infected muscle (22, 25); however, the functions of eosinophils in supporting larval growth appear to operate independently of adaptive immunity (L. Huang and J.A. Appleton, unpublished).

---

**FIGURE 4.** Eosinophils are rapidly and specifically recruited to muscle to promote larval survival during infection. (A) Numbers of eosinophils (Siglec-F<sup>+</sup> cells) and neutrophils (Ly-6G<sup>+</sup> [clone 1A8] cells) in diaphragms of naive or WT mice 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after injection with 25,000 NBL. (B) Concentrations of IL-5, KC, RANTES, and IL-6 in sera from mice in (A). (C) Larval burdens in diaphragms, 17 dpi, of ΔdblGATA mice that received 5 × 10<sup>6</sup> eosinophils or PBS every 48 h between 5 and 9 dpi or 11 and 15 dpi (oral infection). Each data set was collected from two experiments with similar results. Values represent mean ± SD. *n* = 3–4 mice. Significant differences were determined by Student *t* test or ANOVA and Tukey’s test. *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.001, ***p** < 0.0001.

---

**FIGURE 5.** ILC2 are dispensable for muscle eosinophilia and larval survival. (A–C), Rag1<sup>−/−</sup> and Rag2<sup>−/−</sup>γc<sup>−/−</sup> mice were injected 25,000 NBL i.v. Numbers of eosinophils in (A) spleens and (B) diaphragms, 13 dpi. (C) Total body larval burdens in muscle, 24 dpi. Each data set was collected from two experiments with similar results. Values represent mean ± SD; *n* = 4 mice. Significant differences were determined by Student *t* test. ***p** < 0.001.
observations) and are being investigated separately. In this report, we describe the processes associated with eosinophil-driven adaptive immunity that give rise to control of NO production.

Taken together with earlier findings that inhibition of iNOS by drug treatment correlated with improved larval survival (21), that macrophages account for 80% of leukocytes at sites of infection, and that iNOS+ cells at sites of infection are largely macrophages and neutrophils (22), the results from Arg1 flox/flox;Tie2cre mice support the conclusion that myeloid cells are important targets of eosinophil-dependent immune regulation during T. spiralis infection. Moreover, adoptive transfer of IL-10+ mDCs or CD4+ T cells supporting the conclusion that myeloid cells are important targets of eosinophil-driven immune regulation during T. spiralis infection. IL-10 is decreased in diaphragms of eosinophil-ablated mice, cap-

FIGURE 6. Ag presentation by eosinophils is not required to preserve larvae. (A–C) ΔdbGATA mice received PBS or 5 × 106 eosinophils from infected IL-5Tg+ or IL-5Tg+MHCII−/− mice every 48 h from 5 to 9 dpi. (A) Larval burdens in diaphragms, 17 dpi. (B) Body size (area) of larvae, 17 dpi. (C) Numbers of CD4+IL-4+ cells in diaphragms, 17 dpi. Each data set was collected from two experiments with similar results. Values represent mean ± SD. n = 4 mice. Significant differences were determined by ANOVA and Tukey’s test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001.

sule formation. Normal capsule formation is normal in surviving nurse cells (21), indicat-

triggers the secretion of IL-10 from DCs (42, 43). Thus, we speculate that, in addition to promoting the accumulation of mDCs, eosinophils provide IL-10 that stimulates DCs to become IL-10 producers. This, in turn, creates a suitable microenvironment for the development of CD4+IL-10+ T cells, which limit iNOS expression and thereby protect larvae.

We speculate that mice mount innate and adaptive IL-10 responses to limit tissue injury and suppress immune-mediated inflammation caused by migrating NBL, and that such a response coincidentally supports T. spiralis in establishing intra-

cellular infection. Eosinophils were selectively recruited to skeletal muscle within hours of i.v. injection of NBL. This response is distinct from the well-documented, T cell–dependent eosinophilia induced by intestinal worms several days after oral infection (44), a process that, in natural infections, would be superimposed on the innate response to injury caused by NBL. We are able to isolate the response to NBL by using i.v. infections; however, the relationship between that response and the ongoing intestinal immune response merits investigation. The innate response to NBL included increases in serum concentrations of three key mediators of eosinophil chemotaxis: IL-5, KC, and RANTES (45). The nature of the assay performed did not reveal that source of the chemokines, and it is possible that they were derived from muscle, lung, or other tissues that larvae enter during migration. The timing of detection in blood is consistent with the mediators being preformed rather than induced. We speculate that the release of these mediators is triggered by injury caused by large NBL (150 mm) that deploy a stylet to aid their exit from the blood and penetration of tissue. Endothelial cells express KC and RANTES, and rapidly secrete them in response to injury (46, 47). Moreover, NBL activate the complement system (48), which may trigger tissue resident mast cells to release IL-5, KC, and RANTES (49–52).

The cellular sources and significance of IL-10 vary among helminth infections (53–58). By infecting IL-10 reporter mice, we observed that eosinophils in dLNs and muscle produce IL-10 during T. spiralis infection. IL-10 was not detected in eosinophils in uninfected mice, indicating that it was induced by infection. The immediate release of chemokines and recruitment of eosinophils to sites of infection are consistent with an innate re-

sponse to injury caused by NBL. IL-10 is a feature of the response to injury, inhibiting inflammation and minimizing tissue damage (59). By regulating macrophage phenotype, IL-10 promotes muscle regeneration and growth (60).

Injured tissues can release mediators known for initiating the IL-10 response, including IL-6 and IL-27 (61, 62). In T. spiralis infection, serum IL-6 was dramatically increased at 6 h after NBL injection. Exercise-associated muscle injury induces increase of muscle-derived IL-6 in plasma (61), and the transient increase of IL-6 may enhance production of IL-10 (63). Both IL-6 and...
IL-27 influence T cell populations to produce IL-10 (64–67). We detected increased expression of IL-27 and increased numbers of IL-27R–expressing eosinophils at sites of infection (L. Huang and J.A. Appleton, unpublished observations), suggesting a potential role for IL-27 in triggering eosinophils to secrete IL-10; however, pilot experiments showed only a modest trend toward decreased larval burdens in IL-27R–deficient mice, with a partial improvement in larval survival after adoptive transfer of normal eosinophils to receptor-deficient mice. Thus, although IL-27 may contribute, it does not fully account for IL-10 induction in eosinophils at sites of infection. The influence of IL-6 on eosinophils merits further investigation.

IL-25 and IL-33 are found in endothelial cells and function as alarmins during inflammation. Both stimulate ILC2 (68) to release IL-5 and IL-13, which, in turn, activate Th cells and recruit eosinophils (69). The timing of eosinophil recruitment was not consistent with the notion that ILC2 nucleate this innate eosinophil response, and indeed, infection of Rag2^{-/-}γc^{-/-} mice showed normal muscle eosinophilia and larval survival. Although it has been shown that ILC2 are essential for maintaining the integrity of mucosal sites, such as clearance of intestinal helminth parasites and promoting tissue repair after helminth infections (70–72), our data support a dispensable role for ILC2 in muscle infection.

Study of T. spiralis infection in mice is particularly valuable because there are few natural animal models of either tissue-dwelling helminth infection or skeletal muscle responses to injury caused by infection. By combining eosinophil ablation and restoration with this natural infection, our findings document a novel immunoregulatory function of eosinophils in helminth infection. Eosinophils enter sites of infection immediately after tissue invasion by T. spiralis larvae, which is essential for larval survival. Furthermore, by producing IL-10, eosinophils expand IL-10–secreting DCs and CD4+ T cells, thereby controlling the
activation of proinflammatory macrophages and neutrophils that otherwise kill parasite larvae by releasing NO. We speculate that *Trichinella* has adapted to its host by exploiting a mechanism that is in place to limit tissue injury. The remarkable functional versatility of eosinophils that has become increasingly evident is an important consideration in devising strategies for new prophylactic and therapeutic approaches to reducing the burden of parasitic worm infections.
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