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Modulation of Nonneutralizing HIV-1 gp41 Responses by an MHC-Restricted TH Epitope Overlapping Those of Membrane Proximal External Region Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies

Jinsong Zhang,*† S. Munir Alam,*†‡ Hilary Bouton-Verville,*† Yao Chen,*† Amanda Newman,*† Shelley Stewart,*† Frederick H. Jaeger,*§ David C. Montefiori,*§ S. Moses Dennison,*† Barton F. Haynes,*†§* and Laurent Verkoczy*†‡

A goal of HIV-1 vaccine development is to elicit broadly neutralizing Abs (BnAbs), but current immunization strategies fail to induce BnAbs, and for unknown reasons, often induce nonneutralizing Abs instead. To explore potential host genetic contributions controlling Ab responses to the HIV-1 Envelope, we have used congenic strains to identify a critical role for MHC class II restriction in modulating Ab responses to the membrane proximal external region (MPER) of gp41, a key vaccine target. Immunized H-2d–congenic strains had more rapid, sustained, and elevated MPER + Ab titers than those bearing other haplotypes, regardless of MPER+ serum Ab responses depended on CD4 T H interactions with class II (as revealed in immunized intra–H-2 d/b congenic or 2014, 192: 1693–1706.

Aspartate residue in the neutralization core of BnAb 2F5. Unexpectedly, H-2d–restricted MPER + responses functionally mapped to a core TH epitope partially overlapping the 2F5/z13/4E10 BnAb epitopes as well as nonneutralizing B cell–Ab binding residues. We propose that class II restriction contributes to the general heterogeneity of nonneutralizing gp41 responses induced by Envelope. Moreover, the proximity of TH and B cell epitopes in this restriction may have to be considered in redesigning minimal MPER immunogens aimed at exclusively binding BnAb epitopes and triggering MPER* BnAbs. The Journal of Immunology, 2014, 192: 1693–1706.

An efficacious, protective HIV-1 vaccine will likely require the robust induction of Abs capable of neutralizing a wide array of HIV-1 isolates (i.e., broadly neutralizing Abs [BnAbs]) (1). This notion is corroborated by experiments demonstrating sterilizing protection upon passive transfer of BnAbs at physiological levels, preceding SHIV challenge in nonhuman primates (2–4), or via their retroviral transduction in humanized mice, prior to HIV-1 infection (5). Unfortunately, efforts to elicit relevant BnAb titers by vaccination have been unsuccessful. Furthermore, in chronically infected HIV-1 individuals, BnAbs arise in a minority of subjects, typically years after transmission, transiently, or both (6, 7). In contrast to these rare (subdominant) BnAb responses, robust (dominant) Ab responses to nonneutralizing envelope (Env) HIV-1 epitopes are induced early in HIV-1 infection, followed by varying degrees of strain-specific or limited neutralizing Ab responses (6, 8, 9). Recently, efforts to develop vaccine strategies for inducing BnAbs have been reinvigorated by advances in high-throughput recombinant Ab technology; this has led to the isolation of many novel BnAbs from chronically infected subjects and the definition of new vulnerable Env epitopes for targeting by vaccines (10).

One such vaccine target is the membrane proximal external region (MPER) of gp41, a conserved region containing contiguous epitopes of several BnAbs, including 2F5, 4E10, Z13, and 10E8 (11–16). Explanations for the dearth of MPER-specific BnAbs have included limited BnAb epitope accessibility because of topological constraints in the MPER (17–22; reviewed in Refs. 23–25). We have recently demonstrated the depletion, inactivation, or modification of MPER BnAb epitope* B cells via immunologic tolerance (26), based on two of the better-studied MPER* BnAbs, 2F5 and 4E10, exhibiting autoreactivity/polyreactivity in vitro (27). Supporting this latter hypothesis are several observations we have made in knock-in (KI) mice expressing the original (somatically mutated) 2F5 or 4E10 V(D)J and VJ rearrangements (2F5/4E10 Vq x Vl, KI mice): 1) expression of these rearrangements results in profound deletion of bone marrow B cells expressing them
as BCRs (28, 29), akin to other KI models expressing BCRs with high affinities for self-antigens (30–32); 2) residual 2FS/4E10 KI B cells poorly express, and flux calcium through, their BCRs (28, 29, 33), thus resembling unresponsive (anergic) B cells (34, 35); 3) residual anergic B cells from 2FS KI mice can be reawakened by a TLR agonist-MPER peptide-liposome conjugate immunogen to produce clinically relevant serum Ab responses (36), suggesting that immunogen conformation is not limiting to elicitation of pre-existing B cells expressing BnAbs targeting the 2FS neutralization epitope; and 4) KI mice expressing germline (unmutated) 2FS H chains exhibit a developmental blockade at least as early and profound as those carrying the original 2FS Ab (33, 36), suggesting that B cells in the human preimmune repertoire express unmutated 2FS BCRs would be subjected to similar, early tolerance checkpoints.

Although the above-mentioned results in our 2FS KI model support its physiologic relevance to assess how anergic B cells can be targeted via immunization, it does not address other potentially important contributory factors limiting BnAb induction in normal, outbred animals, or healthy individuals (i.e., those with polyclonal germline [unmutated] preimmune repertoires). In this context, vaccination in rhesus macaques, using the same regimen that breaks anergy and triggers robust BnAb responses in 2FS complete KI mice, induces Abs focused to 2FS’s core neutralization epitope DKW (14) but fails to elicit BnAbs responses (37), at least partly because vaccine-elicited Abs lack somatic mutations required to either bind lipids (38, 39) or alter Ig framework regions, which might enhance neutralization breadth and potency by increasing flexibility, Env binding, or both (40). Furthermore, immunization of BALB/c mice with a similar regimen aimed at breaking tolerance induces nonneutralizing MPER+ serum IgGs (41) such as mAb 13H11, which lacks lipid reactivity (38, 41), has an MPER epitope that only partially overlaps 2FS’s (42), and binds the postfusion six-helix bundle of gp41 (43). Thus, these results reinforce the notion that overcoming B cell tolerance, although necessary, is not sufficient for overcoming subdominant MPER+ BnAbs responses to immunization, and that other contributory factors are involved. One such factor may be activation of naive B cells that recognize dominant, nonneutralizing MPER epitopes by existing immunogens in preference to those recognizing subdominant Ab epitopes (9, 10, 44). This notion is consistent with Ab responses early in HIV-1 infection being predominantly nonneutralizing, gp41 Abs* (45–47); however, the mechanisms by which such dominant, nonneutralizing MPER+ Ab responses are preferentially triggered are unknown.

In this study, we elucidate a key genetic determinant controlling nonneutralizing Ab responses directed against the 2FS nominal MPER epitope: MHC class II–restricted MPER+ Ab responses. Unexpectedly, this restriction involves presentation by I-E/-A- alleles, to CD4 T14 cells, of a core epitope found in the MPER that overlaps the 2FS/4E10 BnAb epitopes (11, 14, 16) and residues associated with nonneutralizing Ab binding (39, 42, 48). We propose that this dominant class II–restricted MPER+ response contributes to the general heterogeneity of nonneutralizing gp41 responses seen in acute HIV-1–infected patients and vaccinated animals. Furthermore, understanding the collaboration of T14 and B cell epitopes involved in this restriction will likely be critical for engineering gp41 MPER immunogens with modified T14/B cell epitopes, to selectively drive subdominant MPER+ BnAb responses.

Materials and Methods

Immunogen production/formulation, immunizations, and mice

Liposome or peptide immunogen components, or both, were produced, purified, formulated, and used in immunization formulations described below, based on previously described methods (36, 37). Peptide synthesis and purification was performed by CPC Scientific (Sunnyvale, CA) and TLR agonist-containing MPER peptide-liposome conjugates were constructed using the adjuvant MPL-A (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL), POPC/POPE/DMA/CH-containing liposomes, and the 2FS nominal epitope-containing MPER peptide GTH1-MPER 656 peptide (NEQELLELDK-WASLWNWFNITNWLWYIK YKRWIILGLNKIVRMYS), a version of MPER 656 synthesized containing the C-terminal hydrophobic lipid membrane-anchor tag GTH1 (YKRWIILGLNKIVRMYS). Female C57BL/6, BALB/c, BALB.B, B10.D2, B10, B10.D2.BR, B10, D2.S, inbred mouse strains were purchased from Charles River Laboratories or the Jackson Laboratory. 2FS complete (VH4* and VL4*) KI mice were described previously (28). All strains used in this study were 8–12 wk old at the start of immunization protocols and housed in the Duke University Animal Care Facility in a pathogen-free environment with 12-h light/dark cycles at 20–25°C under American Association of Laboratory Animal Care guidelines and in accordance with all institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and Duke University Institutional Biosafety Committee–approved animal protocols. For all immunizations, a minimum of 4 mice per group were used, and single-site injections were administered intraperitoneally at day 0 and weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10. Prior to immuninations, animals were dosed with antibiotics (37). Other adjuvants and peptide–protein immunogen formulation/production/adjuvant peptide immunogen prepared for most studies unless otherwise noted in the main text, 200–1000 μl injection volumes of JRFL/Emulsigen/oCGP for priming (corresponding to 25 μg JRFL and 10 μg oCGP), and for control studies unless noted in the methods. Some immunogens contained 25 μg nonneutralizing MPER 656 peptide-MPLA4-liposome conjugates for boostings (corresponding to 25 μg GTH1-MPER 656 peptide, 10 μg MPLA-4, and 10 μg oCGP). For control TNP immunizations, naive animals were infected with 2FS KI model from Genetech, LLC, by guest on July 28, 2017 http://www.jimmunol.org/ Downloaded from
from naive (unimmunized) mice. Peptide-specific T Effector (CD26L, CD44CD69) numbers were calculated by subtracting those restimulated with peptide from those that were not stimulated.

Flow staining measurements of IFN-γ secretion and BrdU incorporation in CD4 T Effector subset was performed using a BrdU Flow Kit (BD Biosciences). Splenocytes (10^6/ml) 10 d after fifth boosts were incubated with MPER 656 peptide in complete RPMI media for 48 h. During the last 6 h before harvest, BrdU and GolgiStop (BD Biosciences) were added to the media. Cells were harvested, incubated with the Live/Dead Yellow for 30 min, and washed with PBS. CD4 T cell subsets were then fractionated by surface staining, as described above. After fixation and permeabilization, cell pellets were digested with DNase and stained with anti-BrdU-V450 (3D4) and anti–IFN-γ–APC (XMG1.2) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences). Peptide-specific T Effector cells were calculated by determining total numbers of CD26L CD44 BrdU or CD26L CD44 IFNγ cells (restimulated with peptide, subtracted from background).

**MHC blocking Ab analysis and T Effector epitope mapping**

Abs specific for MHC I H-2Dd (34-1-12), H-2Kd (SF1-1.1), or MHC II I-A/E (M5/141.15.2), I-A/E (AMS-32.1), I-E (14-4-45), as well as the corresponding isotype controls rat IgG2b (A95-1), mouse IgG2b (G115-178), and mouse IgG2b (MPC-11), were purchased from BD Biosciences. ACK-treated splenocytes were incubated with the above Abs for 1 h, followed by MPER 656 peptide incubation in complete RPMI media at 37°C with 5% CO2 for an additional 1.6 h. Ab inhibition was calculated by the percentage decrease of CD69+ MPER 656 peptide-specific T Effector cells, relative to those in the absence of blocking Abs. For T Effector epitope mapping studies, ACK-treated, primed splenocytes from immunized BALB/c (H-2d) or BALB.B (H-2b) mice were incubated with single amino acid overlapping 15-mer MPER peptides spanning gp141 132-159 (as denoted in Fig. 5D) in complete RPMI media at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 24 h. T Effector and total B Cells from primed splenocytes were then subfractionated by cell surface staining analysis as described above, and the relative ability of peptides to restimulate each population was measured by CD69 expression, also as described above. Overlapping peptides were synthesized and purified by CPC Scientific (Sunnyvale, CA); to improve solubility, one or two K residues were added to the C terminus of MPER peptides spanning the gp141 115-159 region (i.e., T Effector gp141 156-159). T Effector gp141-155 could not be synthesized because of solubility issues.

**ELISA, surface plasmon resonance, and HIV-1 neutralization assays**

2F5 epitope-specific MPER-specific serum Abs ELISAs and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) measurements of mAbs or serum interactions with the 2F5 epitope were determined as described previously (28, 36, 37, 39, 48). ELISA measurements of MPER-specific serum Ab titers were determined using high-binding microtiter plates coated at 0.2 µg/well with the 2F5 nominal epitope-containing peptide SP62 (QQEKNE-LPDKWASLWN) corresponding to residues 659–678 of the HIV-1 envelope, and SP62-specific total Ig, IgG, or IgGs were detected using AP-conjugated anti-mouse κ, μ, H, or H-specific reagents, respectively. For SPR assays, the mouse IgG1 anti-gp41 MPER-specific cell line 13H11 was grown and maintained in DMEM media (Life Technologies) containing 10% FCS, 2-ME and penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics. Peptide-specific Ab titers were determined by ELISA using plate-coated TNP-BSA (0.2 µg/well; Biosearch Technologies, Novato CA) and AP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Southern BioTech, Birmingham, AL).

HIV-1 neutralization was determined using the TZM-b/l pseudovirus infectivity assay as described previously (28, 49), using the HIV-1 isolate B.MN.3, which we previously showed is a reliable and sensitive method for screening M2F5 IgG neutralization activity in mouse serum (36).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistics were performed with either Excel (Microsoft) or GraphPad (Prism) software to determine p values by paired Student t test.

**Results**

**MPER-specific serum Ab responses to HIV-1 immunization are MHC haplotype-restricted**

We initially observed differences in the magnitude of 2F5 nominal MPER epitope-specific (herein designated MPER*) Ab responses in a series of parallel immunization studies in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mouse strains, using various combinations of adjuvants and HIV-1 immunogens (Table I). In these studies, BALB/c mice invariably had a 2-6 log higher peak MPER* serum Ab titers than those of C57BL/6 mice, despite variations in peak titers of both strains that depended on the adjuvant being used (oCpG, Ribi, MPLA, Alum), the presence or absence of priming gp140 Env immunogen, or the form of MPER immunogen being used (peptides or peptide-liposome conjugates). Because we reported previously that the IgH allotype restricts the degree of naive IgM β2M cell interactions with the 2F5 nominal epitope (48), we first tested whether IgH allotype drives MPER* Ab responsiveness. We found no significant differences between immunized IgH congenic strains in titers of MPER* total serum Ig (Supplemental Fig. 1A) or MPER* serum IgM and IgG (Supplemental Fig. 1B), nor in distributions of total serum Ig isotypes and subclasses (Supplemental Fig. 1C).

From these results, we conclude that magnitude and isotypic distribution of MPER-specific Ab responses to Env immunization are not effected by IgH allotype.

Because we previously noted that several other strains sharing the same MHC haplotype (H-2b) as BALB/c mice also exhibited robust MPER* serum Ab responses, we formally tested whether MHC restriction was involved in driving the magnitude of MPER* Abs. For this test, we compared MPER* serum Ab responses in BALB/c (H-2d) and BALB.B (H-2b) strains (the latter sharing the same haplotype as the C57BL/6 “low responders”; Table I), immunized with either a placebo (saline) regimen or an experimental regimen (involving priming with gp140 Env, then boosting with a [TLR4/9 agonist-MPER peptide-liposome] conjugate immunogen; Fig. 1A), a regimen which elicited the highest peak MPER* serum Ab titers across C57BL/6 and BALB/c strains (Table I; lower row). As expected, significantly lower titers of MPER* serum Abs were seen in immunized BALB.B, relative to BALB/c mice (Fig. 1B). As in our comparisons of C57BL/6 (H-2b) and BALB/c (H-2b) strains, the same relative differences in MPER* Ab magnitude were observed in BALB.B (H-2d), and BALB/c (H-2b) strains, regardless of adjuvant and immunogen combinations used for immunizations (Table II), but the experimental (JRF prime/TLR-MPER peptide-liposome boost) regimen again induced the highest peak MPER* serum Ab titers across both MHC congenic strains (Table II; lower row). This regimen has also been shown to induce robust serum MPER* Ab titers in 2F5 KI mice (36), as well as MPER* Ab responses focused on the 2F5 (DKW) neutralizing residues in rhesus macaques (37); therefore, it is used in all subsequent immunizations in this study (unless otherwise noted).

Since it has been reported that HIV-1 Env responses to immunization are Th2-polarized (50-53), that we note differential responsiveness in MHC congenic strains on a BALB (Th2) background demonstrates that Th2-related effects cannot exclusively be responsible, but it does not rule out their involvement. To formally exclude this possibility, and to extend our results across several other MHC haplotypes, we immunized a separate series of congenic strains, on a Th1-biased background (B10), bearing H-2d, H-2b, and two other MHC haplotypes (H-2a and H-2d). Only B10. D2 (H-2b) mice had high MPER* serum Ab responses, whereas those bearing other haplotypes had low or no responses (Fig. 1C). From these experiments, we conclude that in normal mice, MPER*...
serum Abs elicited in response to immunization with MPER immunogens are restricted by MHC haplotype, with H-2d specifying more rapid and higher titers, regardless of the regimen being used.

**H-2d2-restricted MPER Ab responses are MHC class II-Th dependent and correspond with MPER-specific in vitro activation and proliferation of primed CD4 T h subsets**

The fact that serum Abs elicited in BALB/c and B10.D2 “high responder” strains were predominantly IgG (Supplemental Fig. 1) and were long lasting, as determined by their persistence several weeks after immunization suggested a requirement for Thy1-MHC class II interactions in H-2d-restricted MPER Ab responses. To test this possibility genetically, we examined MPER serum Ab responses in MHC H-2d2 congenic B10 strains (i.e., differing in H-2d and H-2b haplotype at class I and II alleles; Fig. 2A). Indeed, MPER serum Ab responses in immunized B10.HTG mice (an intracongenic strain bearing I-Aβ/E class II and Dd/Ld class I alleles) were comparable to those in the parental responders (B10. D2), whereas those in immunized B10.D2-H2-mice (an intracongenic strain bearing I-Aβ/E class II and Dβ/Lβ class I alleles) were comparable to MPER serum Ab responses in the nonresponder (B10) strain (Fig. 2B). These results strongly suggest involvement of MHC class II–restricted, CD4 T cell in MPER serum Ab responses, but they cannot formally rule out a role for class II haplotype-specific interactions with CD4 T cell help (Fig. 3A). Collective results demonstrate a role for class II haplotype-specific interactions with CD4 T cell epitopes in specifying MPER Ab responses.

To characterize further the role of MHC class II–Th interactions in MPER Ab responses, we examined primed CD4 T cell responses restimulated in vitro with MPER (2F5 epitope-containing) peptides. First, we isolated splenocytes from immunized BALB/c (H-2b) and BALB.B (H-2b) mice at peak serum Ab induction (i.e., 5–10 d after fourth boosts; Fig. 1B), restimulated them in vitro for 4 d with MPER peptides in the presence of haplotype-matched accessory cells, and compared their ability to proliferate in 3H incorporation assays. Only H-2d-restricted CD4 T cells, in the presence of MPER peptides and LPS-activated accessory splenocytes, exhibited significant proliferation, suggesting a requirement for activated B cells as APCs (Fig. 4A). H-2d-restricted proliferation in CD4 T cell subsets was then further examined by obtaining total splenocytes from BALB/c and BALB.B mice (also 5–10 d after fourth boosts), restimulating them in vitro with MPER peptides, and measuring CFSE dilution in CD4 T naive, effector, and memory subsets, which were differentiated by gating on surface expression of CD44 and CD62L (t-selectin) by flow cytometry (Supplemental Fig. 2B). We found that primed CD4+ T effector (CD44hi, CD62Llo) and memory (CD44int, CD62Lhi) subsets from H-2d-restricted mice had significantly elevated MPER-specific and baseline proliferation (i.e., low CFSE dilution), relative to those from H-2b-restricted mice (Fig. 4B).
As the primed T_\text{H} effector subset had the highest percentage of peptide-specific, proliferating cells, and were therefore readily trackable, we examined several other well-described functional parameters of proliferation and activation in this subset by flow cytometry, after restimulation of primed splenocytes (taken 4–7 d after fourth boosts from both haplotype congenic strains). These parameters included IFN-\gamma production by intracellular cytokine staining, surface expression analysis of the early activation marker CD69, and BrdU incorporation (an additional measure of cell proliferation/t turnedover). As expected, all were elevated in

FIGURE 1. MHC-dependent MPER\textsuperscript{+} Ab responses are observed in two independent series of MHC haplotype-congenic mouse strains. (A) Experimental design of immunization studies. Shown are the placebo (saline) and experimental (JRFL prime, [TLR4/9-MPER peptide-liposome] conjugate immunogen boost) arms and the study schedule, including timing of prime or boosts, serum collections, and harvests. Note that because the highest peak MPER\textsuperscript{+} Ab titers were observed in all strains using the experimental regimen shown (i.e., relative to other adjuvant–immunogen combinations tested; Tables I, II), it is used in all other results in this study (i.e., unless otherwise noted). (B) ELISA measurements of total MPER (2F5 epitope)-specific serum Ig (k) responses (mean \pm SEM) in BALB/c and BALB.B congenic mice, were measured against plate-bound 2F5 nominal epitope-containing peptide SP62, and calculated as reciprocal endpoint titers, as described previously (28) and in the Materials and Methods. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM reciprocal endpoint titers of individual mouse serum samples at various serum collection times (using greater than three individual mice per time point) during course of immunization protocol. (C) ELISA measurements of MPER-specific serum Ig levels in a B10.D2 series of MHC haplotype congenic mice, measured and represented as in (B).
MPER peptide–restimulated, primed H-2d–restricted T\(_H\) effector populations, relative to those that were H-2b–restricted (Fig. 4C). Consistent with T\(_H\)-dependent proliferation and activation of B cells, increases in the proportion of dividing cells (i.e., having low CFSE incorporation) and CD69 expression by total (CD19+B220+) B cells from primed, MPER peptide-restimulated H-2d–restricted splenocytes was also observed (Supplemental Fig. 3).

H-2d–restricted MPER+ serum Ab responses are nonneutralizing

To assess whether MPER-specific Abs elicited by immunization in high-responder (H-2d) strains had any detectable HIV-1 neutralizing activity, we compared the ability of serum Abs from immunized BALB/c and B10.D2 mice to neutralize the 2F5-sensitive, Tier 1 HIV-1 isolate B.MN.3 in the TZM-b/1 pseudovirus assay (49). As a positive control for neutralization by serum Abs from immunized mice, we used similarly immunized 2F5 “complete” KI mice, whose B cells have been engineered to express the V\(_\text{H}\)/V\(_\text{L}\) pair of the original 2F5 mAb (28) and that we have recently shown can generate robust titers of potently neutralizing MPER+ serum Abs on the B6 (H-2b) background (36). In this system, it is important to point out that equally potent responses can be generated on either CD154-sufficient or -deficient backgrounds, consistent with being generated in an exclusively T-independent manner, under conditions where immunodominant nonneutralizing responses are not favored (36). We found that although immunized H-2d congenic strains had peak MPER+ serum Ab titers comparable to those from immunized 2F5 complete KI mice (Fig. 5A; lower panel), they exhibited no significant neutralization activity (Fig. 5A; upper panels).

Table II. Summary of MPER+ serum Ab responses to various Env protein/MPER peptide immunogens in MHC haplotype congenic BALB mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization Regimen</th>
<th>BALB.B (H-2(^{b}))</th>
<th>BALB/c (H-2(^{d}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP DP178Q16L + oCpG</td>
<td>DP178Q16L + oCpG</td>
<td>— ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM Env (JRFL) + oCpG</td>
<td>Env (JRFL) + oCpG</td>
<td>— ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP Env (JRFL) + oCpG</td>
<td>GTH1-MPER 656 + oCpG</td>
<td>— +++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP GTH1-MPER 656 + oCpG</td>
<td>GTH1-MPER 656 + oCpG</td>
<td>— +++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP Liposomes (with GTH1-MPER 656/MPLA)+oCpG</td>
<td>Liposomes (with GTH1-MPER 656/MPLA)+oCpG</td>
<td>— ++/2++/2+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data were calculated and are denoted the same way as shown for Table 1 and were calculated from two independent experiments.

**FIGURE 2.** MPER+ Ab responses in MHC H-2\(^{b}\)/H-2\(^{d}\) haplotype intracongenic strains. (A) Linkage chart of strains, showing MHC haplotypes at various MHC alleles. (B) MPER+ Ab responses in immunized haplotype intracongenic strains, measured in the same manner as those shown in Fig. 1.
To determine specificity of H-2d–restricted serum Ab responses, we performed fine specificity mapping of serum samples from immunized BALB/c and B10.D2 strains at their peak induction (after fifth boosts) by surface plasmon resonance analysis. Strikingly, we found that serum Abs had significantly decreased specificity for the aspartate residue in the DKW neutralization core of 2F5 (Fig. 5B). In contrast, serum Abs derived from 2F5 complete KI mice, like the original 2F5 BnAb, exhibited fine specificity for all three neutralization core residues, consistent with potently neutralizing HIV-1 MN. Also noteworthy is that—despite their reduced specificity for gp41664 in the DKW neutralization core—serum from H-2d strains immunized with MPER peptide-liposome conjugates are more focused to the neutralization core than 13H11, a nonneutralizing mAb that was also derived from BALB/c (H-2d) mice that were immunized instead with Env protein (41, 43). This likely reflects the general ability of the MPER peptide-liposome immunogen to focus the MPER+ Ab response to the DKW core (37), because MPER epitopes, only when presented in lipids, selectively bind 2F5 and 4E10 but not 13H11 (38, 54).

MHC class II haplotype-restricted, MPER-specific CD4 T cell responses map to the TH epitope KWASLWNWF

To understand the mechanism by which MHC class II restricts MPER-specific responses, we examined MPER-specific induction of CD69 expression in TH effector CD4+ and total B cell populations within primed splenocytes from immunized BALB/c (H-2d) responder strain that were restimulated overnight with MPER peptides, either alone or in the presence of various α-H2 class I, class II, or isotype control Abs (Fig. 6A). As expected, all α-class I Abs minimally inhibited MPER-specific CD69 expression whereas a general α-class II (i.e., α-I-E/I-A) blocking Ab (as well
as Abs specific for I-A or I-E alleles) had a dramatic effect at subsaturating concentrations, indicating a critical role of both class II alleles in abrogating MPER-specific CD69 expression in TH effector CD4+ and total B cells (Fig. 6A).

To examine the region where class II haplotype-restricted MPER responses map, we used a set of overlapping peptide immunogens: DP178Q16L and MPER 656 (spanning N- and C-terminal portions, respectively) of a stretch in the gp41 MPER HR2 region (gp41145–163) overlapping the 2F5, 4E10, and z13 BnAb neutralization epitopes (Fig. 6B). Because these overlapping peptides have been used extensively in our various previous immunization studies (Tables I, II), we used them to immunize BALB/c (H-2d) responders (and as controls, BALB.B [H-2b] nonresponders) and then again to restimulate total primed splenocytes from these mice to assess relative proliferation by 3H incorporation. We found that the MPER 656 peptides could restimulate DP178Q16L-immunized responder splenocytes and, conversely, that DP178Q16L peptides restimulate MPER 656-immunized responder splenocytes (Fig. 6C). These results identified an 18-aa overlapping region (which includes the 2F5 nominal epitope and partially overlaps the z13/4E10 epitopes) that is responsible for MHC-restricted MPER+ responses (Fig. 6C, highlighted region).

We then formally fine-mapped the functional responsiveness of this region using 15-mer peptides, overlapping by single residues spanning the entire 18-aa stretch to restimulate primed splenocytes from immunized BALB/c responder mice, after which CD4+ TH effector cell activation was measured using CD69 expression as the readout (Fig. 6D, left panel). Using this approach, we identified a specific region (gp41154–163) to which activation localized, thus representing the core TH epitope for H-2d restriction:

**FIGURE 4.** MPER-dependent CD4 TH activation, proliferation, and IFN-γ secretion in MHC H-2^b and H-2^d congenic strains. (A) MPER-induced proliferation of purified CD4 cells from immunized BALB/c and BALB.B mice (taken 10 d after fifth immunizations with experimental regimen). In vitro restimulations were done using 5 μM of the MPER 656 peptide with the various denoted cell fractions used as APCs, and proliferation was measured by [3H]-thymidine incorporation, as described in Materials and Methods. The CD4 T cell proliferation index shown was calculated as the cpm ratio of peptide restimulated groups: control (unstimulated) groups. (B) MPER-dependent proliferation of CD4 splenic subsets from immunized mice (10 d after fifth immunizations with the experimental regimen) was measured by CFSE analysis. Numbers shown represent the percentage of dividing cells (i.e., denoted CFSE low). (C) MPER-dependent TH effector activation (CD69 expression), proliferation (BrdU incorporation), and cytokine expression (IFN-γ intracellular staining) were measured by flow cytometry. Numbers shown are MPER-induced TH effector CD4 cells per 10^6 total CD4 cells.
This same core was observed in the activation (i.e., induction of CD69 surface expression) of primed total (CD19+B220+) B cells (Fig. 6D, right panel). The KW ASLWNWF sequence contains portions of the 2F5 (KW A) and 4E10/z13 (NWF) nominal MPER epitopes ELDKW A and NWF(N/D)IT, respectively (11–16).

**Discussion**

In this study, we uncover a key genetic determinant affecting MPER (2F5 nominal epitope)-specific IgG Ab titers elicited: MHC class II haplotype restriction of CD4 TH responses. By demonstrating that this restriction occurs in immunized MHC congenic strains on both BALB and B10 backgrounds (with Th1 and Th2-polarized responses, respectively), our study excludes effects related to the general Th2-bias of α-Env IgG responses previously noted during HIV-1 infection (55, 56), and in HIV-1 vaccination of mice and humans (50–53). Our results also rule out IgH allotypic effects, which we previously demonstrated affect nonparatopic, low-affinity interactions of the nominal 2F5 MPER epitope with IgM+ splenic B-cells/mAbs in naive mice (48). Because it is not clear whether IgH allotype affects affinity or specificity of such nonparatopic interactions, in finding no effect of IgH allotype on MPER+ Ab titers/isotypic distribution, our study does not exclude its role in nonneutralizing Ab specificity (i.e., by altering signal strength of nonparatopic interactions). In this regard, SPR fine-mapping analysis of serum Abs from immunized IgH congenics on responder (H-2d) MHC backgrounds should be informative.
FIGURE 6. MHC class II–restricted MPER responses map to the core T\textsubscript{H} epitope KWASLWNWF. (A) Inhibition of MPER-dependent activation (CD69 expression) of splenic T\textsubscript{H} effector CD4 cells or total B cells by MHC class I or II blocking Abs. Cells were taken 10 d after fifth immunizations, and pooled from five BALB/c mice. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B) Overlapping peptides DP178Q16L and MPER 656 were used as immunogens and for in vitro restimulations. The core neutralizing epitopes of the 2F5 and 4E10 BnAbs are shown in red or green lettering, respectively, and the region to which MPER restimulations map are represented in blue shading. Also shown for reference is the SP62 peptide, used for measuring ELISA serum Ig titers in this study. (C) MPER peptide-induced proliferation of splenocytes from immunized mice (10 d after fifth immunizations) was measured by [\textsuperscript{3}H]-thymidine incorporation. Data are shown as mean ± SEM of cpm (greater than three individual mice). (D) T\textsubscript{H} epitope mapping with overlapping peptides spanning the MPER region. Activation of T\textsubscript{H} effector CD4 cell or B cell populations from BALB/c (H-2\textsuperscript{d}) or BALB.B (Figure legend continues)
This study also reveals the atypical nature of T<sub>H</sub> and B cell collaboration involved in gp41-specific Ab induction. In particular, our functional mapping of the core T<sub>H</sub> epitope (KWASLNNWF) responsible for the H-2<sup>d</sup> restriction of MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responses to a region in our minimal MPER immunogens that overlaps several B cell epitopes—including those bound by BnAbs 2F5 (14), z13 (16), and 4E10 (11, 15) and residues involved in nonneutralizing, nonparatropic interactions (42, 43, 48)—contrasts the widely-held notion that T<sub>H</sub> and B cell epitopes generally do not overlap (57–59) and would be disadvantageous for B cell immunogenicity, because of either the competition of BCR and class II molecules for Ag binding (60) or the former interfering with endocytosis-Ag processing by the latter (61, 62). The generality of this notion, however, has been challenged in later studies (63–68). Regardless, given the polyreactive and/or auto-reactive nature of MPER<sup>+</sup> BnAbs (10, 27–29, 33), it is interesting that among notable examples of overlapping B-T<sub>H</sub> epitopes, those involved in autoantibody responses are prominently represented (reviewed in Ref. 69), with the best characterized of these being against the T1 diabetes autoantigen I-A<sub>2</sub> (70). Further highlighting the unusual nature of T<sub>H</sub>-B cell collaboration in MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responses to immunization, our study also demonstrates elevated MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab titers in immunized H-2<sup>d</sup> congenic strains, irrespective of whether a lipid-anchoring tag (GTH-1) derived from epitopes involved in MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responses functionally linked? In this regard, the fact that the class II–restricted T<sub>H</sub> epitope we have identified overlaps MPER<sup>+</sup> BnAb epitopes means that such re-engineering could also destroy critical residues in this overlapping H-2<sup>d</sup>-restricted T<sub>H</sub> epitope; therefore, identifying alternate functionally linked T<sub>H</sub> epitopes will be critical.

One potentially important, related consideration for optimizing minimal immunogens that this study raises is to understand the mechanism by which T<sub>H</sub> restriction of MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responses occurs. One interesting clue in this regard comes from our comparison of T<sub>H</sub> epitopes predicted at I-A for the H-2<sup>b</sup>, H-2<sup>k</sup>, and H-2<sup>h</sup> haplotype congenic B10 strains, all identified in this study as non- or low MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responders. In particular, although H-2<sup>b</sup> and H-2<sup>h</sup> haplotypes present poorly at I-A—the former being a generally poor MHC presenter (74), the latter selectively poor for the MPER—the H-2<sup>h</sup> presents/binds a T<sub>H</sub> epitope at I-A with an affinity/certainty that is equal to the H-2<sup>d</sup> responder strain (Supplemental Table I). Importantly, however, the predicted T<sub>H</sub> epitope presented/bound by I-A<sup>c</sup> contains the full 2F5 neutralizing epitope ELKDAKWA that is also associated with self-reactivity (33, 36, 75), whereas that presented by I-A<sup>d</sup> lacks specificity for the gp41<sub>664</sub> aspartic acid residue in the 2F5 neutralization epitope [also lacking and/or decreased in nonneutralizing Ab/nonparatropic footprints in mice (42, 48), as well as in the opossum version of the evolutionarily conserved, ELKDAKWA-containing autoantigen candidate kynureninase (75)]. Given this interesting disparity in the type of T<sub>H</sub> epitopes presented by nonresponder and responder haplotypes, it is tempting to speculate on two, non–mutually exclusive possibilities for how T<sub>H</sub> restriction of MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responses occurs: 1) certain MHC class II haplotypes (like I-A<sup>b</sup>) cannot present T<sub>H</sub> epitopes in the minimal MPER immunogen required for MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab induction, but could potentially present ones nearby in gp41 that are functionally linked to BnAb epitopes, and 2) during thymic development, T<sub>H</sub> epitopes presented at I-A by certain haplotypes (i.e., I-A<sup>b</sup>) interact with and delete self-reactive T cell precursors. Thus, in addition to considering T<sub>H</sub> epitopes capable of presentation by multiple haplotypes, immunogen design may also need to select T<sub>H</sub> epitopes based on their lack of self-reactivity. Tracking the fate of developing CD4 T<sub>H</sub> cells in immunized H-2<sup>d</sup> and H-2<sup>h</sup> congenic strains (using T<sub>H</sub> epitope-I-A<sup>b</sup>/I-A<sup>c</sup> complexed dextramer reagents, respectively) should be helpful in examining both possibilities.

Another important question our study raises is how class II–restricted T<sub>H</sub> presentation or deletion of CD4 T cells, or both, affect the subdominance of MPER<sup>+</sup> BnAb responses. One possibility is that this occurs directly, via the same mechanisms we describe here for nonneutralizing MPER<sup>+</sup> Ab responses in non-responder haplotypes. Another possibility is that this occurs indirectly, in responder haplotypes, via either 1) further amplification of B cells expressing nonneutralizing epitopes that preferentially bind Env/MPER immunogens and/or are more frequent in the preimmune repertoire, or are preferentially activated, both by virtue of lacking self-reactivity (and thus not being clonally (H-2<sup>d</sup>) primed splenocytes (pooled from five mice per strain), in vitro–stimulated with 5 μM of MPER peptides, was measured by CD69 surface staining. Shown are the numbers (mean ± SEM) of CD69<sup>+</sup> MPER-activated T<sub>H</sub> effectors per 10<sup>6</sup> total CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (left panel) or CD69<sup>+</sup> MPER-activated B cells per 10<sup>6</sup> total (B220<sup>+</sup>) B cells (right panel). Sequences of overlapping peptides for mapping are shown to the left, with residues defining the T<sub>H</sub> core epitope indicated in red letters. Results are representative of two independent experiments.
deleted or anergic, respectively) or 2) further dampening of any potential serum BnAb responses via steric hindrance at the Ab level, by nonneutralizing serum Abs specific for overlapping or nearby epitopes in the HR2 region (41). Our recent observations of Ab responses in the 2F5 KI system have features that support both possibilities. On one hand, that T-independent serum BnAb responses are elicited by vaccination with the TLR-MPER peptide-liposome conjugate immunogen in the 2F5 KI model (36), which was engineered on the C57BL/6 background (and thus bears a nonresponder [H-2b] haplotype), yet the same immunogen induces T-dependent (T-D) responses in WT strains bearing responder haplotypes in this study, argues for a direct effect on 2F5 BnAb T-D responses by class II haplotype restriction. On the other hand, we have recently also shown that spontaneous T-D BnAb responses in the 2F5 KI model are already profoundly limited in the preimmune (unimmunized) repertoire of residual, peripheral B-cells via loss of 2F5 MER neutralization epitope binding (36). Because this loss is selective (i.e., it occurs predominantly in serum IgM/mature splenic B cell fractions, and does not affect lipid binding or polyreactivity), this suggests that it is driven by reactivity for host (self)-Ag mimicked by the 2F5 neutralization epitope (33). Thus, although determining whether I-A\(^\text{b}\)-restriction of T-D MPER\(^*\) Ab responses also affects MPER\(^*\) BnAb epitopes (i.e., in addition to nonneutralizing epitopes, and independent of effects that purifying selection against self-reactivity may impart on the preimmune repertoire) is beyond the scope of this study, comparisons of 2F5 KI (C57BL/6) mice with those backcrossed onto a responder haplotype-bearing background, as well as adoptive cotransfer of 2F5 KI and WT responder or nonresponder haplotype-bearing B cells into MHC congenic recipients, will be informative in this regard.

Finally, it will be of general interest to determine how the biology of MHC class II haplotype restriction of gp41 MPER\(^*\) Ab responses to immunization, elucidated here in mice, relates to those MPER\(^+\) Ab responses, it is tempting to speculate on their in-vestment in vivo. As reviewed elsewhere (42), selective (i.e., it occurs predominantly in serum IgM/mature splenic B cell fractions, and does not affect lipid binding or polyreactivity), this suggests that it is driven by reactivity for host (self)-Ag mimicked by the 2F5 neutralization epitope (33). Thus, although determining whether I-A\(^{\text{b}}\)-restriction of T-D MPER\(^*\) Ab responses also affects MPER\(^*\) BnAb epitopes (i.e., in addition to nonneutralizing epitopes, and independent of effects that purifying selection against self-reactivity may impart on the preimmune repertoire) is beyond the scope of this study, comparisons of 2F5 KI (C57BL/6) mice with those backcrossed onto a responder haplotype-bearing background, as well as adoptive cotransfer of 2F5 KI and WT responder or nonresponder haplotype-bearing B cells into MHC congenic recipients, will be informative in this regard.
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Comparison of MPER+ Ig titers and isotypic distributions in vaccinated strains congenic for IgH allotypes. 

A. Total MPER (Sp62)-specific serum Ig responses (shown as mean±sd of reciprocal endpoint titers) in immunized BALB/c and C57BL/6 series of strains congenic for IgHa and IgHb allotypes. 

B. MPER-specific IgM and IgG reciprocal endpoint titers (mean±sd) in immunized BALB/c IgH congenic strains. IgM and IgG-specific Ab responses were measured as described in Materials and Methods. Also shown as an immunization control are injections with oCpG/Emulsigen alone. 

C. Isotypic subclass distribution of total serum antibodies from immunized BALB/c IgH congenic strains were measured by Luminex analysis, as previously described (28,29,36). For all data shown, experimental groups (>5 mice) for all strains were immunized five times with oCpG/Emulsigen+MPER 656 peptide.
Purification and/or flow cytometry-based subfractionation of primed splenic CD4 T-cell subsets used for re-stimulation assays.  

A. Representative purifications of CD4 and CD8 T-cell subsets. Shown are subsets obtained from BALB.B (H-2^b) and BALB/c (H-2^d) congenic strains used in re-stimulation assays shown in Fig. 4A. Purifications were done as described in the Materials and Methods, and all experiments were done with cells that had > 94% purity.  

B. Gating strategy for differentiating naïve, effector, and memory CD4^+ T_H subsets. Shown are representative stains, showing indicated T-cell subpopulations that were gated for analysis, after in vitro re-stimulation of CFSE-labeled primed BALB/c (H-2^d) and BALB.B (H-2^b) splenocytes for 5d with 2 µM MPER 656 peptides.
**MPER-specific B-cell proliferation and activation in MHC H-2<sup>b</sup> and H-2<sup>d</sup> congenic strains.** A. H-2<sup>d</sup>-restricted proliferation of total (singlet, live, B220<sup>-</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup> gated) B-cells from priming *in vivo* and re-stimulation with MPER peptide *in vitro*, as measured by CFSE incorporation. CFSE-labeled, primed BALB/c (H-2<sup>d</sup>) and BALB.B (H-2<sup>b</sup>) splenocytes, taken 10d after 5<sup>th</sup> immunizations with experimental (JRFL prime/TLR-MPER peptide-Liposome boost) regimen were re-stimulated for 5d *in vitro* with various indicated concentrations of MPER 656 peptide. B. MPER-specific activation of total H-2<sup>d</sup> restricted B-cells, as measured by surface expression of the early activation marker CD69. Shown is the percentage of CD69<sup>+</sup> B-cells, originating from, and stimulated in the same way as splenocytes described in Figure S3A, except re-stimulations were done overnight. CD69 gates were set relative to baseline levels in B-cells from unstimulated, primed splenocytes in the non-responder (BALB.B) strain. Also shown as a positive control are re-stimulations done with the superantigen SEB in both strains. C. Same data as shown in Figure S3B, except calculated as Mean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of CD69 staining.
Table S1. Predicted core T\(_H\) epitopes in MPER\(_{145-162}\) (NEQELLELDK WASLWNWF) for various mouse MHC class II (I-A & I-E) haplotypes ¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele/Haplotype</th>
<th>Top 5 core epitopes</th>
<th>Predicted IC(_{50}) (nM)</th>
<th>Prediction Confidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-A(^d)</td>
<td>KWASLWNWF</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEQELLELD</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QELLELDKW</td>
<td>412.5</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELLELDKWA</td>
<td>762.8</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-A(^b)</td>
<td>LLELDKWAS</td>
<td>146.6</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KWASLWNWF</td>
<td>405.5</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QELLELDKW</td>
<td>430.5</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELLELDKWA</td>
<td>583.5</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-A(^k)</td>
<td>KWASLWNWF</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EQELLELDK</td>
<td>156.3</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NEQELLELD</td>
<td>160.7</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DKWASLWNW</td>
<td>204.1</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-A(^a)</td>
<td>LELDKWAS</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EQELLELDK</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDKWASLWN</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QELLELDKW</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-E(^d)</td>
<td>DKWASLWNW</td>
<td>711.2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDKWASLWN</td>
<td>1267.7</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LELDKWASL</td>
<td>3365.1</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KWASLWNWF</td>
<td>583.5</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-E(^b)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Predicted by MHCPred version 2.0 (http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/mhcpred/MHCpred/), based on the MHC2Pred algorithm (72)
² Red lettering = Potential strong binders (<20 nM)
³ Green lettering = Potential weak/intermediate binders (20-200 nM)
⁴ Black lettering = Non-binders