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HLA-F and MHC Class I Open Conformers Are Ligands for NK Cell Ig-like Receptors

Jodie P. Goodridge, Aura Burian, Ni Lee, and Daniel E. Geraghty

Killer Ig-like receptors (KIRs) are innate immune receptors expressed by NK and T cells classically associated with the detection of missing self through loss of their respective MHC ligand. Some KIR specificities for allelic classical class I MHC (MHC-I) have been described, whereas other KIR receptor–ligand relationships, including those associated with nonclassical MHC-I, have yet to be clearly defined. We report in this article that KIR3DL2 and KIR2DS4 and the nonclassical Ag HLA-F, expressed as a free form devoid of peptide, physically and functionally interact. These interactions extend to include classical MHC-I open conformers as ligands, defining new relationships between KIR receptors and MHC-I. The data collectively suggest a broader, previously unrecognized interaction between MHC-I open conformers—including prototypical HLA-F—and KIR receptors, acting in an immunoregulatory capacity centered on the inflammatory response. The Journal of Immunology, 2013, 191: 3553–3562.

Natural killer cells are an important component of the innate immunity that allows the immune system to respond to changes in class I MHC (MHC-I) expression, which can occur with tumor transformation or viral infection (1). Changes in MHC-I expression are sensed by polymorphic receptors on NK and T cells that are specific for allelic determinants on the MHC molecule itself (2, 3). Among these, the killer cell Ig-like receptors (KIRs) show the most developed ability to differentiate between different MHC allele types (4) and are therefore of considerable interest for their involvement in transplantation (5, 6), pregnancy (7), and infectious disease (8), in which MHC allogenicity is a critical determinant. The genetic variation of KIR is extensive with respect to both gene content and allelic variation to a degree similar in magnitude to that of MHC class I and II (4, 9). The classically defined allotypic pairs are KIR2DL1 and HLA-C group 2 (C2), and KIR2DL2/3 and HLA-C group 1 (C1), defined by asparagine or lysine at position 80 of HLA-C (10). KIR3DL1-mediated recognition of the Bw4 epitope present in a subset of HLA-A and -B alleles contributes a second well-characterized interaction (11, 12). Specific interactions of these KIRs with their respective HLA ligand inhibit NK activity, which forms the basis for recognition of missing self.

NK cells also interact with nonclassical MHC-I, including HLA-F and -G. Unlike classical MHC-I, HLA-G is expressed on placental trophoblast cells and apparently does not participate in classical Ag presentation but rather may function as an important tolerogenic immunoregulator during pregnancy through interactions with ILT2 and ILT4 and KIR2DL4 (13–15). HLA-F, which has been shown to present Ags to T cells in certain circumstances (16), functions as a ligand for the CD94/NKG2 lectin receptors to regulate the activity of NK cells and subsets of NKT cells (17, 18). The third human nonclassical class I HLA-F is expressed on proliferating lymphoid and monocyte cells as a molecule devoid of bound peptide with or without β2-microglobulin (β2m) (19, 20). HLA-F associates with other MHC-I proteins as open conformers (OCs) without peptide but not with peptide-bound complexes (21). Recent data suggest HLA-F and MHC-I OCs operate together on activated lymphocytes and monocytes in a novel pathway for Ag cross-presentation (22). Together, the restriction of HLA-F expression to activated cells and the ability of HLA-F to bind free forms of MHC-I suggest that HLA-F and possibly heterodimers of HLA-F and MHC-I may represent another class of ligands for novel receptor–MHC interactions.

In consideration of the known receptor–ligand interactions between classical KIR and MHC-I complex, potential interactions between KIR and HLA-F were explored through tetramer and recombinant receptor binding, followed by specific receptor–ligand blocking to identify functional interactions. A tumor cell line expressing KIR3DL2 and NK clones expressing combinations of KIR3DL2 and other KIRs were used to demonstrate specific interactions between KIR3DL2 and MHC-I OC and HLA-F. Recombinant forms of both KIR3DL2 and KIR2DS4 were tested for interactions with HLA-F and other MHC-I as OCs. These findings were extended with NK and T cell lines in which functional responses correlated with specific interference of receptor–ligand interaction occurring between KIR3DL2 and both HLA-F and free forms of MHC-I that resembled, but did not precisely mirror, the function of inhibitory KIR. The data collectively support a model for a broader interaction between MHC-I OCs—for which HLA-F may serve as the prototypical example—and KIR receptors that was not previously recognized and thus may...
contribute to a more precise understanding of the functional interactions between MHC-I and KIR.

Materials and Methods

Cells and cell lines

B-LCL cell lines were previously collected and analyzed by the International Histocompatibility Workshops and Conference and obtained directly from the International Histocompatibility Working Group in Seattle, WA (www.ihwg.org). Cell lines were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 15% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 U/ml streptomycin. The B-LCL/T cell hybrid cell line T2 (hemizygous chromosome 6 derived from 0.174, MHC class II deficient) was the generous gift of Thomas Spies (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA). The KIR3DL2+ T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia line TALL104 was obtained from American Type Culture Collection—cultured in complete medium (American Type Culture Collection—formulated IMDM with 20% FCS; 2.5 μg/ml human albumin; 0.5 μg/ml t-mannitol; 100 U/ml-2) at a minimum cell density of 5.0 × 10^5 cells per milliliter.

Pall filters and random blood donors were provided by the Puget Sound Blood Center (Seattle, WA) with institutional review board approval. PBMCs were separated from whole blood using density gradient centrifugation with Lymphocyte Separation Medium (Cellgro, Manassas, VA) and ACCUSPIN columns (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). NK and T cells were negatively selected using either the NK Isolation Kit II (Miltenyi Biotec, Cambridge, MA) from enriched PBMCs or the RosetteSep Human NK, CD4+ T cell, or CD8+ T cell Enrichment Kit (STEMCELL Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada) from whole blood. Purified NK cells were incubated with 100 U/ml-2 for 5–7 d prior to use. NK cell cloning was performed as previously described (23) after prior enrichment for KIR3DL2+ NK cells. Negatively enriched NK cells were labeled with mAb Q66 and separated using Anti-Mouse IgM Microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Cambridge, MA). Enriched KIR3DL2+ cells were seeded at a constant concentration per well with 1 × 10^5 γ-irradiated T2 cells as feeders in complete media supplemented with 2 μg/ml PHA and 50 U/ml-2. Cells from wells with an enlarged pellet were expanded, confirmed as NK cells (CD3−/CD56+), and screened for expression of KIR.

Functional assays

Cytotoxicity. B-LCL cell lines were prelabeled with 50 μCi [35S]Cr for 1 h at 37°C. Incubated with blocking mAb or recombinant protein, and plated at 5 × 10^4 with effector cells at the indicated E:T ratio. After 16 h, 30 μl supernatant was collected and applied to lumaplates, dried, and counted at 37°C, incubated with blocking mAb or recombinant protein, and plated (Pierce) was used for 3D11 and 6A4 Fab fragmentation. Briefly, Abs were digested by immobilized ficin in the presence of 25 mM cysteine at 37°C for 4.5 h. Sample preparation, purification, and quantification were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Protein analysis

Refolding and purification. KIR3DL2 cDNA encoding residues 96–319 (D1D2 domains and stem region) was synthesized (Blue Heron, Bothell, WA) and cloned with a C terminus His-tag in pET22b vector. KIR2DS4 and KIR3DL2 cDNA, both expressed in BADA and His+ (pET22b and stem region), were constructed without tags for use in surface plasmon resonance (SPR) experiments. The KIR2DS4 construct was also tagged with an N-terminal His-tag for pull-down experiments. BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells carrying the plasmid were grown to logarithmic phase, induced with 1.0 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside, and lysed by freezing and thawing. Inclusion bodies were washed extensively to remove contaminating proteins, dissolved in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, and refolded by dilution into 100 ml refolding buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.2; 500 mM L-arginine HCl; 2 mM EDTA; 6.4 mM cysteine; 3.6 mM cysteamine-2 HCl; and 0.1 mM PMSF) to a final concentration of 4 μM and incubated with stirring at 4°C for 72 h. Refolded protein was dialyzed at 4°C against 100 mM urea and then against 10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MES, and 100 mM NaCl before being concentrated using a 0.22-μm filter. KIR recombinant protein was purified by ion metal affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA resin (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) and then on a Superdex 200/300 GL (GE Healthcare) liquid chromatography gel filtration column.

Biotinylation. The following were added stepwise to 3.3 ml KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-bio: 10 mM MgOAc, 10 mM ATP, 50 μM D-thiogalactoside, and lysed by freezing and thawing. Inclusion bodies were washed extensively to remove contaminating proteins, dissolved in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, and refolded by dilution into 100 ml refolding buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.2; 500 mM L-arginine HCl; 2 mM EDTA; 6.4 mM cysteine; 3.6 mM cysteamine-2 HCl; and 0.1 mM PMSF) to a final concentration of 4 μM and incubated with stirring at 4°C for 72 h. Refolded protein was dialyzed at 4°C against 100 mM urea and then against 10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MES, and 100 mM NaCl before being concentrated using a 0.22-μm filter. KIR recombinant protein was purified by ion metal affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA resin (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) and then on a Superdex 200/300 GL (GE Healthcare) liquid chromatography gel filtration column.

Interaction between KIRs and HLA-F/MHCs was analyzed by SPR using a Biacore 3000 system at 25°C in HBS-EP buffer (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% surfactant P20, pH 7.4). SPR ligands—HLA-F, MHC-I, and KIR3DL2—were biotinylated through an engineered C-terminal BirA biotinylation site. Biotinylated MHCs were purified at the National Institutes of Health Tetramer Core Facility or the Immune Monitoring Laboratory at Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (Seattle, WA). Ligands were capture immobilized (at 10 μl/min) on an SA sensor chip (~1000 RU) immediately following repurification by size exclusion...
chromatography to remove any aggregation products. Reference flow cells were left blank. SPR analytes—KIR3DL2-D1D2stem, HLA-F, KIR2DS4-D1D2stem, KIR2DL2-D1D2stem, ILT2-D1D2, and ILT4-D1D2—were repurified by size exclusion chromatography in HBS-EP buffer within 48 h of use.

Experiments were performed in duplicate and run at 20 μl/min. After running analytes over the captured MHC surface, a mild acid treatment consisting of two consecutive injections of 10 mM glycine-HCl at pH 2.0 (flow rate of 100 μl/min for 5 s) was performed, followed by a 15-min HBS-EP buffer stabilization, and then all analytes were injected again. Analytes and buffer blank injections were randomized before and after acid treatment and between the two sets. Abs known to bind to the surfaces were injected at the end of each injection set. Sensograms obtained from SPR measurements were analyzed using the double-subtraction method described by Myszka (24). The specific immobilization conditions, analyte concentrations, and interaction parameters are summarized in Supplemental Table I. DNA encoding the first two extracellular domains of ILT2 and ILT4 were a gift from Dr. Katsumi Maenaka (Laboratory of Biomolecular Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan). ILT2-D1D2 and ILT4-D1D2 were purified as described (13).

Results
HLA-F tetramer binds specifically to KIR3DL2-expressing cells

The well-studied receptor–ligand relationship between MHC-I and KIR, combined with putative structural similarities between HLA-F and MHC-I OCs, suggested a potential relationship between HLA-F and KIR. On the basis of prior data implicating interactions between HLA-F and KIR, we anticipated that HLA-F and KIR might form a complex. To test this, HLA-F tetramer was used to query cell lines that expressed KIRs but that did not express MHC-I OC, as measured by binding to mAb HCA2 or HC10. Binding of HLA-F tetramer to cells that express MHC-I OC owing to a natural physical interaction between these molecules had been previously established (21). Specific staining with HLA-F tetramer was observed with human leukemic T cell line TALL-104, shown in gray. The KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-BirA recombinant protein bound to an activated T-cell clone expressing both HLA-F and MHC-I OC, but not to the same cell in the resting state (Fig. 2A). Binding of recombinant KIR3DL2 could be blocked with either anti–HLA-F mAb 3D11 or anti–MHC-I HC mAb HCA2 (Fig. 2A), suggesting an interaction with both HLA-F and MHC-I OC either individually or possibly as a heterodimer. We next tested the same recombinant KIR3DL2-D1D2stem protein for the ability to bind and precipitate ligand from cell lines with and without HLA-F and MHC-I OC expression.

The KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-BirA pull-down precipitate from this panel of cells was fractionated and probed for the presence of MHC-I and HLA-F, using Western blot analysis. Detectable levels of both MHC-I and HLA-F were precipitated only from cells that expressed both HLA-F and MHC-I OC (Fig. 2B), consistent with
surface binding of KIR3DL2-D1D2stem and the respective specific mAb blocking. Although these experiments confirmed binding between MHC-I OC/HLA-F and KIR3DL2, they do not distinguish between direct binding to each individually or an interaction dependent upon dimerization between HLA-F and MHC-I, a possible structure suggested by the physical binding observed between these molecules.

**SPR confirms interactions of MHC-I and HLA-F with KIR3DL2**

To further test the interactions between HLA-F and KIR3DL2, we performed SPR measurements using either recombinant KIR3DL2-D1D2stem as analyte over immobilized HLA-F surfaces or refolded HLA-F as analyte over biotinylated KIR3DL2-D1D2stem surface. SPR measurements were performed with multiple concentrations of KIR3DL2-D1D2stem as analyte and two surface densities of HLA-F and with multiple concentrations of HLA-F as analyte and a single surface density of KIR3DL2-D1D2stem (Fig. 3A). The results of these experiments were consistent with concentration-dependent binding of both HLA-F and KIR3DL2-D1D2stem. The findings are also consistent with the ability of HLA-F ligand to bind KIR3DL2 receptor directly without dependence on other MHC-I.

To examine MHC-I binding to KIR3DL2, we tested two different methods to generate MHC-I OC, given our inability to refold stable OCs. Previous work had shown that OC could be formed from complex refolded with conditional ligand after UV treatment and used as analyte in SPR experiments (21), suggesting that similarly treated MHC-I could be immobilized directly on surfaces. Acid treatment was used as an alternative means of generating OCs on surfaces to include MHC-I alleles for which allele-specific conditional ligands have not been designed. Biotinylated HLA-A*03 and HLA-B*07 proteins refolded with conditional ligand peptides before and after UV treatment were immobilized on different surfaces. KIR3DL2-D1D2stem binding was examined before and after acid treatment. KIR3DL2-D1D2stem bound specifically to both HLA-A*03 and HLA-B*07 after either acid treatment or UV exposure but had reduced or absent binding to complex (Fig. 3B). In parallel with the observed KIR3DL2 binding, examination of surfaces with control proteins and mAbs that recognized complex (ILT2, ILT4, W6/32, and anti-β2m BB2M) and OC (ILT4, HCA2, and HC10) confirmed the structures and showed that acid treatment was quantitatively more effective at producing OC (Supplemental Fig. 1A).

To examine additional MHC-I alleles, including alleles that had previously been implicated as ligands for KIR3DL2, we immobilized different surfaces with recombinant HLA-A*03, A*11, and A*74, each refolded with an allele-specific high-affinity peptide (see Supplemental Table I). Again, analyte KIR3DL2-D1D2stem bound only HLA-A*03 acid-treated surface and not complex, consistent with the results found using conditional ligand peptide (Fig. 3C). Similar results were obtained with HLA-A*11 surfaces; however, no binding was apparent on HLA-A*74 surfaces either as complex or after acid treatment as OC. Control experiments confirmed that complex and OC surfaces exhibited essentially similar binding parameters for all three alleles (Supplemental Fig. 1B, Supplemental Table I). Allele-specific binding of MHC-I to KIRs has been clearly defined in the KIR2DL1/2/3 and HLA-C1, C2 receptor–ligand pairs and differential binding of the HLA-Bw4, Bw6 ligands with KIR3DL1.

**FIGURE 2.** Cell surface binding of recombinant KIR3DL2-D1D2stem to HLA-F and MHC-I. (A) Soluble KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-bio binding to activated T cell clone 7D9 is blocked by anti–HLA-F and anti–MHC-I mAbs. FACS profile of refolded KIR3DL2-D1D2 staining activated 7D9 without (solid lines) and following addition (dashed lines) of anti–HLA-F mAb 3D11 (indicated within brackets). Control is clone 7D9 before activation stained with KIR3DL2-D1D1stem-bio construct (gray). To the right is a FACS showing the same clone stained with 3D11 (dashed lines) and HCA2 (solid lines), with control 16G1 in gray shading. T cells were stimulated with IL-2 and anti-CD3 for 4 d before staining. The graph immediately below shows a titration of KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-bio staining of clone 7D9 before and after activation with blocking by anti–MHC-I mAb HCA2 or anti–HLA-F mAb 3D11 (conditions indicated in the legend). (B) Western analysis with the indicated mAbs of gel fractionated after pull-down with KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-His. Five cell lines were incubated with KIR3DL2-D1D2stem-His and pull-downs performed followed by Western blot analysis with HCA2 and 3D11. In the panels below, cell lines were examined for surface expression of MHC-I HC (HCA2, dashed line), HLA-F (3D11, solid line), and MHC-I complex (W6/32, dotted line). Control mAb (QA-1) is in gray fill.
Recombinant KIR2DS4 binds to HLA-F and MHC-I OC

As mentioned above, a limitation of the biophysical measurements of ligand interactions with KIR3DL2-D1D2stem was the use of a truncated protein. Thus, although the biophysical interactions observed confirmed the biochemical and functional interactions we observed with intact KIR3DL2 expressed on cells, we further examined other KIRs that could be refolded as intact proteins, as an indirect means to confirm the biophysical measurements and to extend our knowledge of HLA-F and KIR interactions. We examined the KIR2DS4-stem recombinant protein based on the structural similarity between KIR2DS4 and KIR3DL2 in the putative ligand binding domains and functional binding with common allelic MHC-I, which suggested the possibility of overlapping ligands (28). It was possible to refold recombinant KIR2DS4-stem, including the complete extracellular domains and stem structure, which was tested in biophysical measurements essentially similar to those using KIR3DL2-D1D2stem. Pull-down experiments using KIR2DS4-stem-His and the HLA-F and MHC-I OC+ and MHC-I OC- cell lines used in the experiments described in Fig. 2B were performed as a first measurement of the interaction of KIR2DS4-stem with native HLA-F and MHC-I OC. As with KIR3DL2-D1D2stem, only cells expressing HLA-F and MHC-I OC yielded detectable MHC-I and HLA-F protein upon pull-down with KIR2DS4-stem (Fig. 4A).

We next performed SPR measurements using recombinant KIR2DS4-stem as analyte in 2-fold serial dilutions over two different densities of immobilized HLA-F surface, and a single analyte concentration over different MHC-I surfaces with and without acid treatment to generate OC forms of MHC-I. Overall results paralleled those for KIR3DL2-D1D2stem in showing binding of KIR2DS4-stem to HLA-F in its native OC form, whereas binding to HLA-
The interaction between KIR3DL2, HLA-F, and MHC-I was tested with other KIR receptors. HLA-F and KIR3DL2 function in the presence or absence of folded KIR and MHC-I proteins tested (Fig. 4B). Additional evidence against nonspecific interactions with the receptors included HLA-C as complex or OC, provided that the absence of binding to the expected specificity of the recombinant KIR2DL2-stem for its ligand was confirmed. These experiments demonstrated the KIR2DL2-stem and KIR3DL2-D1D2-stem experiments, with tested refolded KIR2DL2 as analyte over surfaces used for both binding curves to a simple binding model, precluding kinetic analysis. In addition, because of the fast on-and-off rates of the interaction, it was not possible to fit the binding curves to a simple binding model, precluding kinetic analysis to determine the $K_D$. However, the shape of the binding curves and a weak interaction with a $K_D$ above 20 $\mu$M, as estimated from the highest concentration tested are both essentially similar to those observed in measurements of ILT2/4 and HLA-G binding (14). As additional control for the SPR experiments, we tested refolded KIR2DL2 as analyte over surfaces used for both the KIR2DS4-stem and KIR3DL2-D1D2-stem experiments, with the addition of the natural HLA-C ligand as a positive control for the refolded KIR2DL2-stem. These experiments demonstrated the expected specificity of the recombinant KIR2DL2-stem for its HLA-C ligand as complex and not OC. The absence of binding to other MHC-I, including HLA-F as either complex or OC, provided additional evidence against nonspecific interactions with the refolded KIR and MHC-I proteins tested (Fig. 4B).

**HLA-F and KIR3DL2 function in the presence or absence of other KIR receptors**

The interaction between KIR3DL2, HLA-F, and MHC-I was tested functionally, using the KIR3DL2, CD16 T cell line TALL-104 as effector against B-LCL targets, which are known to express both HLA-F and free forms of MHC-I. Blocking was performed with tetramers and specific mAb to test for interactions between KIR3DL2 and MHC-I or HLA-F. Minimal cytolysis was observed over a wide range of E:T ratios for B-LCL targets PLH (HLA-A*03, B*47) and HOM2 (HLA-A*03, B*27), and inhibition of lysis was significantly reversed in the presence of specific mAbs used to block MHC-I or HLA-F on the target. Furthermore, reversal of inhibition also occurred in the presence of HLA-F tetramer and KIR3DL2-reactive mAb used to directly block KIR3DL2 on the effector (Fig. 5A). Reactivity of KIR3DL2 with HLA-F could also be observed using two KIR3DL2+ NK clones, coexpressing either KIR2DL1 or KIR2DL2/3. Target B-LCL expressing either HLA-C1 (BM9, HLA-A2/-B35) or HLA-C2 (KOSE HLA-A2/-B35) was used to assay KIR3DL2 function in the presence or absence of a cognate KIR2DL2–HLA-C interaction, to examine the effect of KIR3DL2–HLA-F in the absence of cognate KIR–HLA interaction on licensed NK cells. In the absence of ligand for KIR2DL on the target, lysis by the respective effector was enhanced by blocking MHC-I or HLA-F, although to a degree much milder than the classical KIR2DL–HLA-C interaction (Fig. 5B). Alternatively, when the corresponding KIR2DL receptor was present, lysis was controlled by the interaction between HLA-C ligand and KIR2DL receptor and was reversed only in the presence of W6/32, which binds HLA-C1 or C2 complex. The choice of HLA-A*02 targets in this instance demonstrated that the effect of KIR3DL2 inhibition by HLA-F can occur independently of HLA-A*03.

**HLA-F and MHC-I OC modulate KIR3DL2 reactivity toward target and between effectors**

Extending these functional findings, we used IL-2–stimulated purified whole NK and T cell populations gated for the KIR3DL2 single-positive subset (KIR2DL1, -S1, -L2/3 and KIR3DL1 and NKG2A+) to measure cytokine production after exposure to HLA-F targets. NK cells were exposed to target cell line T2, which, similar to other B-LCL, expresses surface HLA-F and MHC-I OC but has reduced levels of surface MHC-I complex, including HLA-E, owing to deficiency for TAP and Tapasin. Accordingly, IL-2–activated NK cells from random healthy donors...
were exposed to T2 cells with and without addition of MHC-I and HLA-F mAbs and tested for the presence of CD107A and intracellular IFN-γ. Both CD107A and intracellular IFN-γ were significantly increased when either HLA-F (mAb 3D11) or MHC complex (mAb W6/32) was blocked (Fig. 6). Blocking by W6/32 suggests that the MHC complex also acts as an inhibitory ligand, but this interpretation is confounded by the fact that W6/32 also binds to different conformational forms of peptide-bound and peptide-free MHC (29). To control for artifactual responses due to Ab-dependent cell-mediated cytoxicity, we performed a subset of the experiments with Fab produced from two HLA-F mAbs. The results were essentially similar for HLA-F blocking with whole mAb, supporting the specificity of the observed responses to the HLA-F and KIR interactions (Fig. 6).

Given that activated lymphocytes express both HLA-F and MHC-I OC, similar experiments were performed with IL-2/CD3–activated CD4+ or CD8+ T cells from random healthy donors to assess whether expression of these ligands during immune activation influences receptor–ligand cross-talk responses between effector cells. T cells were stimulated for 4 d with IL-2 and CD3, at which time the expression of HLA-F and MHC-I OC is at a peak (see Fig. 2). Even in the absence of target, significant shifts in IFN-γ responses between activated effectors were obtained when blocking HLA-F (mAb 3D11) and MHC (mAb W6/32) between the effector cells alone, whereas broader variation in responses was seen when blocking MHC-I OC (mAb HCA2). The latter result should be considered within the context that HCA2 binds to different conformational forms of peptide-bound and peptide-free MHC (35–37). In addition, blocking by W6/32 among HLA class I in being surface expressed exclusively as an OC without peptide and β₂m (19, 32)—possibly dependent on coexpression of other MHC-I OCs (21). Indeed, coexpression of MHC-I OC and HLA-F on activated cells and their potential ability to physically interact suggests overlapping or interdependent functions. Starting with these considerations, this study set out to obtain evidence for HLA-F as a ligand for immune receptors and to possibly relate the findings to other MHC-I OCs. The novel receptor–ligand relationship between KIR3DL2 and KIR2DS4 and HLA-F and other MHC-I OCs suggests a potentially broader definition of KIR function that increases in depth with the onset of an activated immune response. KIR–HLA interaction potentially extends beyond the detection of missing self to affect the dynamics of an ongoing inflammatory response as new receptor–ligand interactions come into play.

Although several receptor–ligand relationships between MHC-I OCs have been suggested (33), most of these have been envisioned as acting in cis, possibly playing a role in stabilizing the MHC-I OC and directing MHC-I internalization. Indeed, HLA-F has been proposed for such a role, including both stabilization and internalization, either as a coreceptor for MHC-I ligands acquired extracellularly or through HLA-F–specific internalization signals or both (21, 22). The trans receptor LIILRB2 (ILT4) has been found to be a receptor for peptide-free MHC-I, binding both folded and free HC forms, and the activating receptor LIILRA1, which displayed a preference for binding to HLA-C HC (34).

Early work defined a specific receptor–ligand pairing between KIR3DL2 and HLA-A*03/A*11, presumably as complex with bound peptide, and not other MHC-I allotypes (35–37). In addi-

**FIGURE 5.** Functional interactions between KIR3DL2 and HLA-F. (A) TALL-104 lysis of LCL targets is inhibited by HLA-F and KIR3DL2. B-LCLs (indicated above each graph) were subjected to lysis at varying E:T ratios. B-LCLs were incubated prior to lysis with MHC-I–specific (upper) or KIR3DL2–specific mAb and HLA tetramers (lower). Conditions are indicated in the legends presented between each set of profiles. (B) Functional stimulation of KIR3DL2+, 2DL+ NK clones is inhibited through HLA-F and MHC-I when KIR2DL ligand is absent. NK clones expressing KIR3DL2 and/or KIR3DL2-specific mAb and HLA tetramers (indicated above each graph) were subjected to lysis at varying E:T ratios in the presence of different mAbs, as indicated in the legend. 87G, an HLA-G–specific IgG2a Ab, was used as control.

**Discussion**

Functional interaction between KIR and MHC-I has been implicated in a range of immunological roles, from pregnancy to transplantation (30) and from autoimmunity to infectious disease (31). However, a complete picture of KIR–ligand function has yet to be fully elucidated. Our limited understanding of the expression and function of HLA-F, which goes hand in hand with the expression of free forms of MHC class I, reveals an underlayer of possible KIR–MHC interactions that may be particularly relevant to the inflammatory response in which upregulation of free forms of MHC-I occurs in its most dramatic fashion. HLA-F is unique among HLA class I in being surface expressed exclusively as an OC without peptide and β₂m (19, 32)—possibly dependent on coexpression of other MHC-I OCs (21). Indeed, coexpression of MHC-I OC and HLA-F on activated cells and their potential ability to physically interact suggests overlapping or interdependent functions. Starting with these considerations, this study set out to obtain evidence for HLA-F as a ligand for immune receptors and to possibly relate the findings to other MHC-I OCs. The novel receptor–ligand relationship between KIR3DL2 and KIR2DS4 and HLA-F and other MHC-I OCs suggests a potentially broader definition of KIR function that increases in depth with the onset of an activated immune response. KIR–HLA interaction potentially extends beyond the detection of missing self to affect the dynamics of an ongoing inflammatory response as new receptor–ligand interactions come into play.
tion, studies with HLA-A*03 tetramers and KIR3DL2 transfectants suggested that tetramer binding was dependent on the peptide used to form the tetramer (38). The data presented in this article would appear to conflict with those reports, in particular that not only HLA-A*03 and HLA-A*11 but also HLA-B*07 bind to KIR3DL2 and do so in the OC form and not as complex. However, it may be important to consider that historically most functional studies used to define KIR specificity have been carried out using B-LCL cell lines as targets, which express an activated phenotype including the expression of HLA-F and MHC-I OCs (36, 37, 39). In these in vitro experiments, restriction of KIR3DL2 to the allotypes defined might be influenced by the differential ability of allelic MHC to interact with HLA-F. An alternative interpretation of peptide-dependent tetramer binding is that refolding with peptides of varying binding affinities affects the stability of tetramer reagents. Certain peptides may confer stable structures, thus predominantly favoring the complex form of MHC-I, whereas others confer less stability leading to higher proportion of peptide free MHC-I as tetramers, as we have observed. In this case, what is being considered peptide dependent binding may in fact be OC binding, reflecting instead the pool of peptide free MHC-I in specific peptide-MHC-I tetramer preparations.

Although the functional data previously reported and presented in this article would appear to conflict with those reports, in particular that not only HLA-A*03 and HLA-A*11 but also HLA-B*07 bind to KIR3DL2 and do so in the OC form and not as complex. However, it may be important to consider that historically most functional studies used to define KIR specificity have been carried out using B-LCL cell lines as targets, which express an activated phenotype including the expression of HLA-F and MHC-I OCs (36, 37, 39). In these in vitro experiments, restriction of KIR3DL2 to the allotypes defined might be influenced by the differential ability of allelic MHC to interact with HLA-F. An alternative interpretation of peptide-dependent tetramer binding is that refolding with peptides of varying binding affinities affects the stability of tetramer reagents. Certain peptides may confer stable structures, thus predominantly favoring the complex form of MHC-I, whereas others confer less stability leading to higher proportion of peptide free MHC-I as tetramers, as we have observed. In this case, what is being considered peptide dependent binding may in fact be OC binding, reflecting instead the pool of peptide free MHC-I in specific peptide-MHC-I tetramer preparations.

Although the functional data previously reported and presented in this article support an inhibitory function for KIR3DL2, evidence suggests alternative functions are also likely (40). Previous studies reported that unlike classical KIR interactions, KIR3DL2 single-positive cells are hyporesponsive in individuals with the classical HLA-A*03 and/or -A*11 (41). This finding would contradict the idea that KIR3DL2 encounters its putative ligand as a peptide-bound complex under normal resting conditions and supports the concept that KIR3DL2+ cells may instead encounter their respective ligand under inflammatory conditions. Whether the function of KIR3DL2 and that of classical KIR overlap at all—even without considering the roles played by HLA-F and other MHC-I OCs—is therefore questionable. The expression of KIR3DL2 increases upon activation from basal levels present on resting cells (42), and the majority of functional evidence gathered for KIR3DL2 has been performed with activated effectors such as IL-2–activated NK cell populations or KIR3DL2+ NK cell clones (36, 37). Further, KIR3DL2 can bind CpG oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs), which are subsequently cointernalized with KIR3DL2 and shuttled to TLR9, resulting in cytokine release (43). Therefore, the ability of KIR3DL2 to respond to the expression of HLA-F and free forms of MHC-I, combined with its downregulation by ODN, suggests that functional responses mediated by KIR3DL2 may be of greater influence during the inflammatory response than the detection of missing self under homeostatic conditions. Downregulation by ODN could serve a dual purpose in provoking a TLR-based proinflammatory signal while also reducing a functional interaction between KIR3DL2 and HLA-F/MHC-OC.

The coincident upregulation of KIR3DL2, HLA-F, and MHC-I OC under inflammatory conditions implies communication may occur between KIR3DL2+ NK and T cells, with activated HLA-F+ lymphocytes directed through KIR3DL2 and HLA-F–MHC-I OC interactions. Although the function of KIR2DS4 has so far been inferred largely from genetic studies, our findings, combined with
the structural similarities with KIR3DL2 previously noted (28), suggest that activating KIR receptors also function in such capacity. Such communication has the potential to affect the magnitude and nature of the inflammatory response, and indicates the possibility that KIR3DL2 or KIR2DS4 cells become transiently “licensed” or experience an increase in functional capacity upon encounter with HLA-F and peptide-free MHC under proinflammatory conditions. Licensing of NK cells raises the question of why we have inhibitory receptors specific for self–MHC-I that do not have a ligand pair in certain individuals, as is often the case with the KIR2DL1/2 and HLA-C1, C2 pairings. Similarly, licensing also poses the question of why we have activating receptors that are specific for self–MHC-I that through licensing render those NK unresponsive, as in the case of KIR2DS1 and HLA-C1 individuals (44). As explanations, alternative possibilities for ligands have been suggested, including pathogen-encoded or other stress-activated signals that might provide high-affinity ligands (44, 45). The finding of HLA-F and MHC-I OC as ligands expressed on activated cells fits precisely among such possibilities.

A potentially relevant example of KIR/MHC-I OC pairing, and the only one reported outside the work presented in this article, is that measured between KIR3DL1/KIR3DL2 and HLA-B*27. HLA-B*27:05 is unusual among MHC-I alleles in being expressed constitutively not only as complex but also as a dimer without peptide or β₂m. Specifically, KIR3DL1 interacts with HLA-B*27 complex, whereas KIR3DL2 interacts with HLA-B*27 expressed as a homodimer without peptide (46). Considering the strong association of HLA-B*27 with ankylosing spondylitis and related autoimmune conditions and that KIR3DL2, expressed as a homodimer, has the potential to sense HLA-F and MHC-I OC, raises the possibility that KIR3DL2 recognition of HLA-B*27 may represent an aberrant enhancer that does not typically occur under resting conditions, leading to immune dysregulation. This possibility may relate to the expression of KIR3DL2 on Th17 CD4 T cells and their apparent increase in responsiveness in patients with ankylosing spondylitis (25).

HLA-F may also play a potential role in the immunology of pregnancy through modification of, or interaction with, specific HLA-E and HLA-G receptors. HLA-F, -E, -G, and -C are expressed in extravillous trophoblasts that have invaded the maternal decidua in contact with decidual NK cells (dNKS) (47). The ability of HLA-F to associate with MHC-I and KIR3DL2 and KIR2DS4 raises the possibility that HLA-F may be involved in stabilizing receptor–ligand interactions between extravillous trophoblasts and dNK during pregnancy, where dNK responses likely contribute to the immune regulation of pregnancy (48). We know that HLA-F and HLA-E physically interact as OCs, suggesting the possibility that HLA-F might modify the recognition of HLA-E by CD94/NKG2 heterodimers or, alternatively, that HLA-E may modify HLA-F interaction with KIR3DL2.

These findings may also be relevant to MHC-I and KIR genetics and disease, possibly extending previous knowledge of KIR ligands to include specifically HLA-F as the prototypical MHC-I OC alongside all MHC-I OCs. The evidence implicating KIR with disease is primarily derived from genetic association data (31), suggesting that HLA-F should be considered in studies of KIR in complex disease in at least two respects. First, HLA-F coding sequences are conserved but expression levels of HLA-F vary, presumably based on HLA-F genotype in which substantial noncoding variation has been identified (49). Second, because MHC-I OC and HLA-F are coexpressed and the affinities between HLA-F and different MHC-I alleles vary, the potential exists for HLA-F expression levels to be modified by allelic MHC-I OC and vice versa. Thus, not only HLA-F but also coordinated MHC-I variation may be important considerations in obtaining a precise interpretation of MHC-I–KIR genetic associations.
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