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Cleaved/Associated TLR3 Represents the Primary Form of the Signaling Receptor

Florent Toscano,*1 Yann Estornes,*1 François Virard,* Alejandra Garcia-Cattaneo,† Audrey Pierrot,* Béatrice Vanbervliet,* Marc Bonnin,* Michael J. Ciancanelli,‡ Shen-Ying Zhang,§ Kenji Funami,* Tsukasa Seya,* Misako Matsumoto,* Jean-Jacques Pin,* Jean-Laurent Casanova,‡§# Toufic Renno,* and Serge Lebecque*

TLR3 belongs to the family of intracellular TLRs that recognize nucleic acids. Endosyllosomal localization and cleavage of intracellular TLRs play pivotal roles in signaling and represent fail-safe mechanisms to prevent self-nucleic acid recognition. Indeed, cleavage by cathepsins is required for native TLR3 to signal in response to dsRNA. Using novel Abs generated against TLR3, we show that the conserved loop exposed in LRR12 is the single cleavage site that lies between the two dsRNA binding sites required for TLR3 dimerization and signaling. Accordingly, we found that the cleavage does not dissociate the C- and N-terminal fragments, but it generates a very stable “cleaved/associated” TLR3 present in endolysosomes that recognizes dsRNA and signals. Moreover, comparison of wild-type, noncleavable, and C-terminal–only mutants of TLR3 demonstrates that efficient signaling requires cleavage of the LRR12 loop but not dissociation of the fragments. Thus, the proteolytic cleavage of TLR3 appears to fulfill function(s) other than separating the two fragments to generate a functional receptor. The Journal of Immunology, 2013, 190: 764–773.

Toll-like receptors belong to a family of pattern recognition receptors that sense the presence of pathogens and trigger a protective innate immune response (1). These germline-encoded type I integral membrane glycoproteins bind their ligands through their extracellular domain (ECD), which is composed of 19–25 leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) (2). In contrast with other members of the family that primarily recognize molecular patterns specific for nonself invaders, TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9 recognize nucleic acids originating from microbes, as well as from the host. Several fail-safe mechanisms prevent self-polynucleotide recognition and subsequent autoimmune disorders (3). Ligands must be recognized by cell surface receptor(s) (4) that mediate their internalization before encountering the corresponding TLR exclusively in the acidic endosysosomal compartment from which signal transduction can be initiated (5). Delivery of intracellular TLRs to the endocytic compartments is also tightly regulated by the chaperone Unc93b1 (6, 7). Finally, processing by pH-dependent lysosomal proteases is an additional checkpoint for controlling TLR9 activation (8–10).

Although several studies on intracellular TLRs have been based on TLR9 trafficking and processing, less is known about TLR3. TLR3 appears to be dedicated to the recognition of dsRNA (11), and it plays a central role in the defense against HSV-1 infection in the CNS in humans (12–15). Although endogenous mRNA can activate TLR3 in vitro (16), its involvement in the autoimmune response has not been demonstrated. It was shown that TLR3 dimerization is needed for dsRNA binding and signaling (17). Moreover, analysis of the crystal structure (18, 19) and mutagenesis (18, 20, 21) of TLR3 ECD revealed that dsRNA binding requires interaction of the negatively charged ribose backbone of dsRNA with residues of TLR3 dimers located in LRR1 and LRR3, as well as with a second region formed by LRR19–LRR21 that be-
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Materials and Methods

Cell culture and reagents

HEK293 and HEK293-TLR3-hemagglutinin (HA) cells (InvivoGen) were grown in DMEM medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin. Human bronchial epithelial cell line BEAS-2B (Sigma) was cultured in LHC-9 medium (Invitrogen) in bovine collagen.
type I (Invitrogen) and fibronectin (Sigma)-coated dishes. CD14+ monocytes were purified from peripheral blood of healthy donors: PBMCs were isolated from human peripheral blood by standard density-gradient centrifugation on Pancoll (PAN Biotech) and then mononuclear cells were separated from PBLS on a 50% Percoll solution (GE Healthcare). Monocytes were enriched by one step of adherence and differentiated in immature dendritic cells (DCs) in complete RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 200 ng/ml human GM-CSF (kind gift of Schering-Plough) and 50 ng/ml human rIL-4 (R&D Systems) for 6 d. NCI-H292 and NCI-H1703 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines (American Type Culture Collection) were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma), HEPES, NaPy, 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM glucose. THP1 and U937 cell lines were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin. IFN-α was from Schering-Plough. Z-FA-fmk, chloroquine, tunicamycin, and cycloheximide were from Sigma. Polysine-polycarboxid acid [Poly(LC)]-high molecular weight (HMW) and Poly(LC)-low molecular weight (LMW) were purchased from InvivoGen. polyadenylic-polyuridylic acid [Poly(I:C)]-high molecular weight (HMW) and Poly(I:C)-low molecular weight (LMW) were produced from InvivoGen. polyadenylic-polyuridylic acid [Poly(A:U)] was from Innate Pharma. Mouse monoclonal IgG1 anti-actin Ab was from MP Biomedicals. Anti-mouse TLR3 Ab T3.7C3 was generated by PCR with Phusion (Finnzyme), using the appropriate primers: 5’-GTGTTTGAGGACCTTAACTGGAAG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GGTTGGAGGATGCACAGACATCCCAA-3’ (reverse). Ten nanograms of plasmid DNA and 125 ng of primers were used, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two colonies from each library were sequenced.

Preparation of the LRR1-11 and 13-21 deletion mutants was described previously (23). For the TLR3-Ins12-HA mutant, mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) and primer pairs containing deletion of 24 nucleotides: 5’-CTGAAATTGGAC-GTCTTTTACTCTCCCAAGATGATGTATTTTCT-3’ (forward) and 5’-AGAAAAATCATCAATCTTGGGGAGATAGGAAAAGCCGTTTCAA-TTCAG-3’ (reverse). Ten nanograms of plasmid DNA and 125 ng of primers were used, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two colonies from each library were sequenced.

For the TLR3-Cter346-HA mutant, LRR deletion mutants of TLR3 (A252-K356) were generated by PCR with Phusion (Finnzyme), using the appropriate primers: 5’-TGGTTTGAGGACCTTAACTGGAAG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GGTTGGAGGATGCACAGACATCCCAA-3’ (reverse). PCR was performed with the following cycling conditions: 10 s at 98°C, 2 min at 72°C for 25 cycles. The PCR product was treated with DpnII to digest the template DNA, phosphorylated with T4 PNK (New England Biolabs), and ligated using a DNA Ligation kit (New England Biolabs). Deletion constructs were sequenced. TLR3-Cter346 was provided by P. Bénaroch (Curie Institute, Paris, France).

**RNA interference**

Synthetic TRIF (L-012833-00-0005) small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) were from Dharmacon. TLR3 Stealth RNAi siRNA (TLR3HS0010816) was from Invitrogen. siRNAs mix was prepared in Opti-MEM medium (Invitrogen), and cells in suspension were transfected using Hiperfect reagent (QIAGEN), as described by the manufacturer. The final siRNA concentrations were 25 nM. Transfected cells were seeded in 6-well plates or 96-well white plates (Greiner) and incubated for 24 h. Medium was replaced with fresh complete medium, and cells were incubated for 48 h before Poly(I:C) treatment.

**Reporter luciferase assays**

Cells were seeded in white 96-well plates (10,000 cells/well); 24 h later they were treated with 10 μg/ml poly(I:C) in 50 μl medium for 4 or 6 h, depending on the cell line. Then, 50 μl Steady-Glo reactive (Promega) was added to each well before reading luminescence with a Tecan Infinite 200 microplate reader using i-control software (Tecan).

**Transient expression in HEK293 cells**

Cells were seeded in 100-mm dishes to reach ~70% confluence on the day of transfection. Cells were transfected with pUNO–TLR3–wild-type (WT)-HA, TLR3–Ins12-HA, TLR3-Cter356-HA, or TLR3-Cter346-HA by incubating 8 μl Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) with 8 μg plasmid in 6 ml Opti-MEM medium for 5 h; subsequently, Opti-MEM was replaced by fresh medium. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were trypsinized and seeded in 96-well white plates and 6-well plates and incubated for 24 h.

**Stable transfections**

P2.1 cells were transfected with pUNO–hTLR3 vectors, which contain WT TLR3 cDNA, TLR3–Ins12 mutant, or TLR3-Cter356 mutant cDNA, or with an empty mock vector, in the presence of Lipofectamine Reagent (Invitrogen) and PLUS Reagent (Invitrogen), as described by the manufacturer. Stable transfectants were selected with medium containing blasticidin (5 μg/ml; Invitrogen). The presence of TLR3 was confirmed by Western blotting.

**Determination of mRNA levels by RT-quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from P2.1 cells. RNA was reverse-transcribed using Oligo-deoxy-thymidine. To determine mRNA levels for IL-29, quantitative PCR was performed with Assays-on-Demand probe/primer combinations and 2X universal reaction mixture in an ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System (all from Applied Biosystems). The β-glucuronidase (GUS) gene was used for normalization. Results are expressed according to the ΔCt method, as described by the manufacturer.
**Coimmunoprecipitation**

Cells were cultured in 150-mm dishes, collected, washed in PBS, and lysed in 750 μL cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, 0.2% Nonidet P-40, supplemented with 1 mM orthovanadate, 10 mM NaN₃, and a protease inhibitor mixture; Sigma) for 25 min on ice. Cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation (13,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C). Lysates were precleared with 50 μL Sepharose-6B (Sigma) for 1 h at 4°C and then immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C with 5 μg mouse anti-TLR3.2, anti-TLR3.3, or control IgG1 Ab (R&D Systems) and the following day in the presence of 20 μL protein G-Sepharose for 3 h at 4°C. Beads were recovered by centrifugation, and immunoprecipitates were washed extensively with lysis buffer and eluted with Laemmli buffer containing 1% SDS and 5 mM DTT and heated to 95°C for 10 min.

**TLR3 ECD modeling**

The MacPyMOL software (DeLano Scientific) was used to generate the 3D representation of the TLR3 structure shown on Figs. 1C and 5A (PDB:1ZIW).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was determined using the Student t test.

**Results**

**Profiling endogenous TLR3 expression**

To analyze the biology of endogenous TLR3, we generated three new mAbs (designated as TLR3.1, TLR3.2, and TLR3.3) raised against the ECD of the receptor. First, the Abs were validated using HEK293 cells stably expressing TLR3 tagged with a C-terminal HA epitope (HEK293-TLR3-HA). In this model, Western blots probed with anti-HA, TLR3.2, and TLR3.3 Abs revealed an ∼130 kDa band corresponding to the expected molecular mass of highly glycosylated TLR3 (Fig. 1A) (24). The stronger signal observed with TLR3.2 suggested that this Ab has a higher affinity for TLR3 than does TLR3.3. In addition, anti-HA and TLR3.2 Abs stained a second band at ∼72 kDa similar to the C-terminal fragment of TLR3 observed after cleavage by cathepsin. In addition, TLR3.3 Ab detected a third band (Fig. 1A) not recognized by anti-HA mAb and with a size ∼60 kDa that could represent the N-terminal fragment of cleaved TLR3. TLR3.1 Ab did not detect TLR3 by Western blot, but it showed the same staining by immunofluorescence as observed with anti-HA Ab (Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. 1A). To unequivocally identify the different bands revealed by TLR3.2 and TLR3.3 Abs on Western blot, we mapped the recognized epitopes using 20 single LRR-deleted forms of the ECD of TLR3 (LRR1–11 and LRR13–21) (23). Fig. 1C establishes that TLR3.2 Ab recognizes an epitope present in LRR20, whereas TLR3.3 binds to an epitope formed by residues present in LRR7 and LRR8. We next verified whether similar expression profiles could be observed in human cells of different origins and wondered how treatment with IFN-α, which is known to upregulate the expression of TLR3 (25), would modify this pattern. We determined TLR3 expression by immunoblot of lysates from mDCs (Fig. 1D), from human mononuclear cell lines U937 and THP1 (Supplemental Fig. 1B, 1C), and from human bronchial epithelial cells transformed by SV40-T Ag (BEAS-2B; Supplemental Fig. 1D) or derived from NSCLC (NCI-H292 and NCI-H1703; Fig. 1E). The three forms of TLR3 (∼130, 72, and 60 kDa) were present in every lysate with the exception of THP1, which did not appear to express TLR3 (Supplemental Fig. 1B) or respond to Poly(I:C) (Supplemental Fig. 1E). Resting MRC-5 cells were also devoid of TLR3, but kinetic analysis showed that IFN-α treatment led first to the detection of the high molecular mass bands (∼130 and ∼135 kDa) of TLR3, followed by an increase in the intensity of the lower ∼72-kDa molecular mass band detected by TLR3.2 mAb (Fig. 1F), suggesting that the former might

**FIGURE 1.** Profiling endogenous TLR3 expression. (A) Immunoblot analysis of HEK293 cells stably expressing an empty vector (EV) or TLR3-HA; lysates were analyzed with monoclonal anti-HA, TLR3.2, TLR3.3, and anti-actin Abs. (B) Immunofluorescence of HEK293 cells stably expressing TLR3-HA; cells were stained with anti-HA or TLR3.1 Abs, followed by DAPI nuclear staining (blue). Original magnification ×63. (C) Left panel, Epitope mapping of TLR3.2 and TLR3.3 Abs on HEK293 cells stably transfected with TLR3-HA WT (−) or TLR3-HA mutants carrying LRR deletions (1–11 and 13–21, as indicated). Lysates were analyzed with monoclonal TLR3.2, TLR3.3, and anti-Flag Abs, as indicated. Right panel, Schematic representation of epitopes recognized by TLR3.2 and TLR3.3 Abs on TLR3 ECD. (D) Immunoblot analysis of mDCs treated (+) or not (−) for 18 h with IFN-α (1000 IU/ml); lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2, TLR3.3, and anti-actin Abs. (E) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 and NCI-H1703 cells treated (+) or not (−) for 18 h with IFN-α (1000 IU/ml); lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2, TLR3.3, and anti-actin Abs. (F) Immunoblot analysis of MRC-5 cells treated (+) or not (−) for the indicated times with IFN-α (1000 IU/ml); lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. Values in (A) and (C)–(F) represent molecular mass (kDa). All data are representative of at least three independent experiments. NS, Nonspecific band.
represents the precursors of the latter. In other cell lines, the absolute and relative intensities of the three bands varied depending on the origin of the cells, the Ab used, and the treatment with IFN-α. However, under basal conditions, all cells primarily expressed the 72 and 60 kDa TLR3 forms. Treatment with IFN-α increased the intensity of the three bands and allowed the detection of a higher molecular mass form ~135 kDa in mDCs and in the four cell lines analyzed (asterisk in Fig. 1D–F and Supplemental Fig. 1D). In conclusion, our data suggest that human TLR3 is spontaneously cleaved into a C-terminal fragment ~72 kDa recognized by TLR3.2 and a C-terminal fragment ~60 kDa recognized by TLR3.3, and the relative abundance of cleaved versus uncleaved TLR3 appears to vary with the cell under consideration.

**TLR3 ECD cleavage by cathepsins generates two remarkably stable fragments**

To further explore the processing of endogenous TLR3 and its functional consequences, we selected the NCI-H292 and NCI-H1703 NSCLC cell lines, which triggered an innate immune response when stimulated with Poly(I:C), as indicated by cytokine secretion (Supplemental Fig. 2A) and by activation of ISRE-dependent luciferase reporter genes (Supplemental Fig. 2B). We ascertained that this response was mediated exclusively by TLR3 by showing its strict dependence on TRIF, the only known adaptor molecule. Treatment with chloroquine, which prevents cathepsin activity, led to the accumulation of TLR3FL+ within 3 h and to the reciprocal disappearance of the two TLR3 fragments in NCI-H292 (Fig. 2H) and NCI-H1703 (Supplemental Fig. 2G) after 24 h. These results confirm that cathepsins are necessary for TLR3 cleavage in epithelial cells (22). In NCI-H292 cells, the accumulation of full-length TLR3 was observed as early as 120 min after the addition of Poly(I:C) (Fig. 2C, Supplemental Fig. 2C, respectively), as well as in HEK293-TLR3-HA cells (Fig. 2B). These results indicate that cathepsins are necessary for TLR3 cleavage in epithelial cells (22). In NCI-H292 cells, the accumulation of full-length TLR3 was observed as early as 120 min after the addition of Poly(I:C) (Fig. 2C, Supplemental Fig. 2C), whereas in the three cell lines both C-terminal (TLR3C-ter) and N-terminal (TLR3N-ter) TLR3 fragments disappeared with an apparent t1/2 > 24 h (Fig. 2A, 2B, Supplemental Fig. 2C). Of note, Z-FA-fmk induces a shift of TLR3 full-length (TLR3FL) from 130 kDa to 135 kDa (TLR3FLp) in both NSCLC cell lines, which is more visible after prolonged gel migration (Fig. 2D). This TLR3FLp could represent the fully glycosylated form of TLR3 leaving the post-Golgi cisternae and not cleaved yet. Published data with regard to the effects of cathepsin inhibitors on TLR3 signaling seem contradictory (8, 9). In this study, we observed that ISRE- and NF-kB–dependent responses to Poly(I:C) were not modified after prolonged treatment with Z-FA-fmk in NCI-H292 cells (Supplemental Fig. 2D), whereas they were significantly, but not completely, suppressed in NCI-H1703 cells (Supplemental Fig. 2E). However, considering the much higher level of TLR3 expression in resting NCI-H292 cells than in NCI-H1703 cells (Fig. 1E), the amounts of TLR3C-ter detected in NCI-H292 cells after 72 h of treatment with Z-FA-fmk was still comparable to the basal level in NCI-H1703 cells. Therefore, these results suggest that cleaved TLR3 is important for signaling, although uncleaved TLR3 might still transduce some signal. Importantly, Z-FA-fmk treatment blocked TLR3 cleavage and Poly(I:C)-induced cytokine secretion in mDCs (Fig. 2E, 2F) and TR3 signaling in macrophages U937 cells (Fig. 2G, Supplemental Fig. 2F), whereas the response to TNF-α was unaffected. Like with Z-FA-fmk treatment, exposure to the lysosomotropic weak base chloroquine, which prevents cathepsin activity, led to the accumulation of TLR3FLp within 3 h and to the reciprocal disappearance of the two TLR3 fragments in NCI-H292 (Fig. 2H) and NCI-H1703 (Supplemental Fig. 2G) cells after 48 h. The same results were obtained with the specific inhibitor of vacuolar H+ ATPase Bafilomycin (data not shown). Furthermore, short-term blockade of de novo protein synthesis with cycloheximide confirmed the relative high stability of endogenous TLR3C-ter (apparent t1/2 > 24 h) (Fig. 2I, 2J) compared with TLR3FLp (apparent t1/2 < 4 h). Despite a weaker signal, a half-life similar to TLR3C-ter was estimated for TLR3N-ter (Fig. 2H, Supplemental Fig. 2G). Altogether, our data indicate that, in resting cells, TLR3 is actively transcribed and rapidly cleaved by cathepsins upon its transfer in endolysosomes into two highly stable proteolytic fragments, in agreement with a very recent report (26).

**TLR3 transits steadily through the Golgi before being cleaved in the endolysosomal compartiments**

Although TLR3, like other intracellular TLRs, depends on the chaperone proteinUnc93b1 for proper trafficking, it is unclear whether its transfer to the endolysosomes occurs constitutively or in response to its ligand. Using TLR3.1 Ab, we observed by immunofluorescence microscopy that TLR3 colocalizes extensively with Lamp1 (a lysosome marker) but not with EEA1 (an early endosome marker) (Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. 3) in resting epithelial cells and that the level of colocalization remained unchanged after stimulation with dsRNA (Supplemental Fig. 3). We next addressed the trafficking of TLR3 by analyzing the N-glycosylation status of the protein, which represents ~35% of its total mass (24). After treatments of cell lysates with PNGase, which removes all N-glycans, TLR3FL and TLR3FLp shifted from 130 and 135 kDa, respectively, to 95 kDa (Fig. 3B, 3C), corresponding to the expected molecular mass of nonglycosylated neosynthesized TLR3FL (904 aa). The TLR3C-ter band shifted from 72 to 50 kDa, indicating that both cleaved and noncleaved TLR3 are glycosylated. Treatment with EndoH, an endoglycosidase that cleaves N-glycans before their further modification in the Golgi apparatus, indicates that noncleaved TLR3FL is EndoH sensitive, whereas TLR3FLp and TLR3C-ter are partially EndoH resistant. This was similar to the presence of hybrid glycans on TLR9 even after trafficking through the Golgi (27). Cell treatment with tunicamycin, a de novo N-glycosylation inhibitor, caused the rapid fading of TLR3FL (apparent t1/2 < 8 h) and the appearance of a band at ~95 kDa representing neosynthesized nonglycosylated full-length TLR3 (Fig. 3D, 3E). Altogether, our data indicate that TLR3FLp corresponds to the small amounts of TLR3 present in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which is steadily translocated to the Golgi in resting cells, converted into fully glycosylated TLR3FLp, and exported to the endosomes/lysosomes, where it is rapidly cleaved.

**The endolysosomal pool of cleaved TLR3 is sufficient for signaling**

To determine which forms of endogenous TLR3 are functional, we started using specific siRNA and took advantage of the prolonged stability of cleaved fragments versus TLR3FL. We observed that 24 and 48 h after transfection, TLR3FL had completely disappeared, whereas the two cleavage fragments were still abundant (Fig. 4A, Supplemental Fig. 4A). Under these conditions, the Poly(I:C)-induced ISRE-dependent response was not reduced (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the uncleaved TLR3FL does not contribute significantly to downstream signaling, probably because of its weak expression compared with the cleaved fragments from the beginning of the experiment. Indeed, ISRE activation faded away gradually with time as the presence of cleaved TLR3 decreased (Fig. 4A, 4B). Similar results were obtained with a NF-kB–dependent reporter gene (Supplemental Fig. 4B). These data show that cleaved TLR3 can signal in the absence of uncleaved TLR3FL and may even represent the predominant signaling form of the receptor.
The N- and C-terminal fragments of TLR3 ECD are needed for efficient signaling

To definitely establish the functionality of uncleaved versus cleaved TLR3, we expressed three mutants of TLR3 in HEK293 cells. Given the apparent molecular mass of deglycosylated TLR3 C-ter and TLR3FL (50 and 95 kDa, respectively; Fig. 3B, 3C), the highly conserved insertion within LRR12, which protrudes on the glycosylation-free side of LRR12 (residues 335–342) (28–31), was a likely site for proteolysis. Thus, the first mutant lacked the entire LRR12 insertion (TLR3-Ins12-HA), whereas the two others represented the C-terminal fragment starting just at the end of the LRR12 insertion (aa 346: TLR3-Cter346-HA), as established and

**FIGURE 2.** Cleavage by cathepsins generates two TLR3 stable fragments. (A) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells treated for the indicated times with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM) renewed every 24 h. Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2, TLR3.3, and anti-actin Abs. (B) Immunoblot analysis of HEK293-TLR3-HA cells treated for the indicated times with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM) renewed every 24 h. Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and TLR3.3 Abs. (C) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells treated for the indicated times with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (D) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 and NCI-H1703 cells treated for 24 h with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (E) Cytokine production in mDCs that were pretreated for 48 h with Z-FA-fmk and then treated with Poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml) for 24 h. (F) Immunoblot analysis of mDCs that were treated or not for 72 h with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM); lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (G) NF-kB reporter assay in U937 cells that were pretreated for the indicated concentrations (left panel) or with TNF-α (50 ng/ml) (right panel) for 4 h. (H) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells treated for the indicated times with chloroquine (1 μg/ml), renewed every 24 h, and then treated with Poly(I:C) at the indicated concentrations (left panel) or with cycloheximide (1.5 μg/ml). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2, TLR3.3, and anti-actin Abs. (I) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells treated for the indicated times with cycloheximide (1.5 μg/ml). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (J) Immunoblot analysis of HEK293-TLR3-HA cells treated for the indicated times with cycloheximide (1.5 μg/ml). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. Values represent molecular mass (kDa). Data are mean (G) or representative (A–F, H–J) of at least three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, untreated cells versus Z-FA-fmk–treated cells.
characterized by Garcia-Cattaneo et al. (22), or at the beginning of LRR13 (aa 356: TLR3-Cter 356-HA) (Fig. 5A). Immunoblots confirmed that all three constructs were expressed at comparable levels in HEK-293T–transfected cells (Fig. 5B), with TLR3-Ins12-HA expressed as a single 130-kDa band, confirming that the LRR12 insertion contains the cleavage site and that TLR3-Ins12-HA is a noncleavable form of the receptor. As expected, lysates from TLR3-Cter356-HA– or TLR3-Cter 346-HA–transfected cells contained a single form ~72 kDa, whose size is consistent with the predicted length of each construct (Fig. 5B). We also observed that treatment with Poly(I:C) did not modify the processing of TLR3 and, particularly, did not induce the cleavage of TLR3-Ins12-HA (Fig. 5B).

When expressed in HEK293 cells, the noncleavable form of the receptor showed the capacity to activate ISRE- and NF-kB–dependent transcription in response to 10 μg/ml of Poly(I:C) (Fig. 5C).

FIGURE 3. TLR3 transits through the Golgi before being cleaved in the endolysosomal compartments. (A) Immunofluorescence of NCI-H292 cells treated for the indicated times with Poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml) and then stained with EEA1 or Lamp1, and TLR3.1 Abs, followed by DAPI nuclear staining (blue). Original magnification ×63. (B) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells that were treated or not with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM) for 24 h. Lysates were left untreated (–) or were treated (+) with PNGase (P) or EndoH (E) and then analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (C) Immunoblot analysis of HEK293-TLR3-HA cells that were treated or not with Z-FA-fmk (20 μM) for 24 h. Lysates were left untreated (–) or were treated (+) with PNGase (P) or EndoH (E) and then analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (D) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells that were treated for the indicated times with tunicamycin (1 μg/ml). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. Values in (B)–(D) represent molecular mass (kDa). Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.

FIGURE 4. Endogenous cleaved TLR3 is sufficient to fully signal. (A) Immunoblot analysis of NCI-H292 cells at the indicated times after nonsilencing (–) or TLR3 (+) siRNA transfections (25 μM). Lysates were analyzed with TLR3.2 and anti-actin Abs. (B) ISRE reporter assay in NCI-H292 cells at the indicated times after nonsilencing (–) or TLR3 (+) siRNA transfections (25 μM) and treatment without or with Poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml) for 4 h. Data are representative (A) or the mean (B) of three independent experiments. Error bars represent SEM. *p < 0.05, untreated cells versus Poly(I:C)-treated cells.
but with significantly reduced efficiency for NF-κB compared with WT TLR3. In contrast, TLR3-Cter356-HA was unable to activate either pathway, and TLR3-Cter346-HA triggered a weak NF-κB response but no ISRE-dependent response. We next compared the levels of ISRE-dependent transcription in response to increasing concentrations of either LMW Poly(I:C) or Poly(A:U). The dose responses showed that HEK293 cells transfected with WT TLR3 were also significantly more sensitive to LMW Poly(I:C) but not to Poly(A:U) (Fig. 5D). Notably, both C-terminal fragments of the receptor were completely unresponsive to all doses of these two ligands (data not shown). Taken together, these results show that, in agreement with previous reports, uncleaved TLR3 can generate a response to dsRNA (30), whereas the isolated C-terminal fragment triggers only a weak signal (26).

The N- and C-terminal fragments of TLR3 remain associated after cleavage

Because cleaved TLR3 was able to signal in the total absence of TLR3FL (Fig. 4A, 4B, Supplemental Fig. 4A, 4B), whereas isolated TLR3C-ter was almost ineffective (Fig. 5C), we wondered whether the two fragments of TLR3 could remain associated after proteolytic cleavage. Therefore, we compared the profiles of TLR3 on Western blot performed with lysates prepared in non-denaturing (protein lysate neither reduced nor heated) versus denaturing conditions (Fig. 6A–D, Supplemental Fig. 4C). In nondenaturing conditions, we detected the 130 kDa band, whereas bands corresponding to the proteolytic fragments were barely detectable in epithelial NCI-H292 cells (Fig. 6A, Supplemental Fig. 4C), in mDCs (Fig. 6B), as well as in HEK293-TLR3-HA cells (Fig. 6C, 6D). We ensured that nondenaturing conditions did not prevent the migration of TLR3 fragments, because the constructs corresponding to the cleaved TLR3C-ter fragment (Cter356 and Cter346) migrated at expected molecular mass (∼72 kDa; Fig. 6D). In contrast, when the same lysates were analyzed in denaturing conditions, TLR3C-ter and TLR3N-ter became clearly visible (Fig. 6A–D, Supplemental Fig. 4C), thereby revealing the presence of both uncleaved and cleaved/associated TLR3 in cells. Similarly, when nondenatured lysates were immunoblotted after running on a native gel, the same high molecular band was observed, with HEK293 cells expressing either WT or noncleavable TLR3 and with epithelial cells expressing endogenous TLR3 (Supplemental Fig. 4D). In contrast, the TLR3C-ter mutant migrated on the same gel at a much lower molecular mass. Moreover, nondenaturing conditions showed that Poly(I:C) treatment did not dissociate TLR3C-ter and TLR3N-ter (Fig. 6A–C, Supplemental Fig. 4C). To definitely confirm the association of the two cleaved fragments, we performed immunoprecipitation with C-terminal–specific TLR3.2 and N-terminal–specific TLR3.3 Abs and analyzed the precipitates by immunoblot with the two Abs. In all cases, TLR3N-ter and TLR3C-ter coimmunoprecipitated both in NCI-H292 cells (Fig. 6E) and HEK293-TLR3-HA cells (Fig. 6F). Lastly, reprecipitation after denaturation of the immunoprecipitates ob-

**FIGURE 5.** Noncleaved TLR3 can signal but the isolated C-terminal TLR3 fragment cannot. (A) Upper panel. Model of the putative location of the cleavage on LRR12 and TLR3 sequence with starting points of TLR3-Cter356 and TLR3-Cter346 mutants and deleted sequence (aa 335–342) of TLR3-Ins12 (in red). Blue framework: LRR12 loop1. Lower panel. Schematic representation of TLR3 mutants. (B) Immunoblot analysis of HEK293 cells transfected with empty vector (EV), TLR3-WT-HA (WT), TLR3-Ins12-HA (Ins12), or TLR3-Cter356-HA (Cter356) and then treated without (−) or with (+) Poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml) for 4 h. Lysates were analyzed with anti-HA, TLR3.3, and anti-actin Abs. Values represent molecular mass (kDa). (C) ISRE (upper panel) and NF-κB (lower panel) reporter assay in HEK293 cells transfected with TLR3-WT-HA, TLR3-Ins12-HA, TLR3-Cter356-HA (Cter356), or TLR3-Cter346-HA (Cter346), and then treated without (white) or with (black) Poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml) for 6 h. (E) ISRE reporter assay in HEK293 cells transfected with TLR3-WT-HA or TLR3-Ins12-HA and then treated with the indicated concentrations of Poly(I:C)-LMW or Poly(A:U) for 6 h. Data are representative (B) or the mean (C, D) of at least three independent experiments. Error bars (C, D) represent SEM. *p < 0.05, untreated versus Poly(I:C)-treated cells or response of TLR3-WT versus mutant TLR3.
tained with a C-terminal–specific Ab (either TLR3.2 or anti-HA) led to the loss of the N-terminal fragment of TLR3, confirming that the association of the two fragments was through a noncovalent bond (Fig. 6G). Taken together, our data show that the two fragments of TLR3 remain associated after cleavage and that ligand binding does not disrupt this association (Fig. 7). Therefore, the cleaved/associated TLR3 represents the relevant endogenous TLR3 responsible for the majority of immunological functions.

**Discussion**

Remarkable progress has been made recently in our understanding of the biology of nucleic acid–sensing TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9. Notably, various data now suggest a model in which exogenous nucleotides can be recognized with high sensitivity, whereas self-nucleotide–induced signaling and autoimmunity are prevented (3). Discrimination between nonself- and self-nucleotides appears to be facilitated by several levels of regulation. Recently, cleavage of TLR9 in endolysosomes was shown to be required for generating the C-terminal fragment of the receptor that binds dsDNA with high affinity and signals. Published data indicated that this mechanism might also apply to TLR3 and TLR7 (9, 22). However, our data allow us to propose an alternative model for TLR3 biology (Fig. 7), which reconciles two requisites: the need to restrict dsRNA recognition in endolysosomes (and therefore to expose the receptor to a proteolytic environment) to prevent autoreactivity, as described for other endosomal TLRs, and the requirement of the two ligand binding sites present on the ECD of TLR3—the first near the N terminus and the second close to the transmembrane region—to recognize dsRNA with high avidity. Several aspects of the trafficking and processing of TLR3 diverge from what has been described for other lysosomal TLRs (8, 10).

Building on previous observations, and supported by data that were published after the submission of our manuscript (26), our results allow improvement of our model of TLR3 biology. In contrast to TLR9, which was reported to reside principally in the ER in resting cells (32) and to reach the acidic compartments after stimulation by double-stranded DNA (5–7, 33), TLR3 is continuously exported to the Golgi and accumulates in the endolysosomal compartments where it undergoes a single cleavage by cathepsins, most likely within the short (9 aa) LRR12 external loop; however, the exact cleavage site remains unknown. In contrast, asparagine endopeptidase first cleaves the long (30 aa) LRR14–15 flexible loop of TLR9 that is secondarily trimmed by cathepsins (8–10, 34, 35). Strong conservation of the LRR12 ex-
The streamlined transfer to endolysosomes, followed by rapid cleavage, explains why endogenous TLR3 fragments were abundant in resting cells of every type analyzed, whereas TLR3FL was difficult to detect. In contrast, comparable amounts of TLR3FL and TLR3 fragments were observed in HEK293 cells, suggesting an imbalance between the high expression of exogenous TLR3 and the availability of the chaperone protein Unc93b1 in those cells (26). Indeed, exogenous TLR3 was abundant in the ER, whereas endogenous TLR3 was found mostly in the endolysosomes. Moreover, the half-lives of the fragments from transfected TLR3 were shorter compared with endogenous TLR3 (compare Fig. 2B with Fig. 2A). These differences should be kept in mind when studying the biology of endosomal TLRs in HEK293 cells.

TLR3 cleavage could increase or decrease the sensitivity of the receptor and/or modify its specificity for different ligands. Our functional studies reveal that, in TLR3-transfected HEK293 cells, the cleavage increased the sensitivity to HMW and LMW Poly(I:C). The increased sensitivity of cleaved/associated TLR3 remains perplexing. Thus, cleavage could somehow increase the affinity of the ECD for its ligands or ease the conformational change that may occur in the presence of dsRNA (39) and that may facilitate the recruitment of TRIF. In agreement with Qi et al. (26), we observed that TLR3Cter by itself was consistently unable to trigger a strong response to dsRNA. A difference in timing (6 versus 18 h) might explain, in part, the variance between those results and recently published data that showed an equal response to Poly(I:C) with either TLR3-WT or TLR3Cter (22). Whatever the residual activity of TLR3Cter, its physiological importance is uncertain, because cleaved/associated TLR3 appears to be the predominant form of the endogenous receptor present in the endolysosomes where recognition of dsRNA takes place.

The central role of cleaved/associated TLR3 highlights the importance for dsRNA binding affinity and sensitive signaling of two distinct ligand-binding sites, each present on one proteolytic fragment. Moreover, the increased sensitivity to Poly(I:C) and the remarkable stability of this form of the receptor allows the reconciliation of some apparently discordant results from the literature. Indeed, one group reported the absence of inhibition of TNF production by RAW macrophages treated for 12 h with cathepsin inhibitors and then for 2 h with 100 µg/ml of Poly(I:C) (8), whereas another group showed a strong suppression of TNF production by the same cells in response to 1 µg/ml of Poly(I:C) (9). These different outcomes may be due to differences in the concentration of ligand used, with high concentrations of dsRNA being able to activate the less efficient TLR3Cter in these cells. In addition, our data show that 12 h of Z-FA-fmk pretreatment is not sufficient to suppress the expression of TLR3 fragments in NSCLC cells, suggesting that the lack of inhibition by Z-FA-fmk of cells activated with moderate concentrations of Poly(I:C) could have resulted from the persistence of some cleaved/associated TLR3 at the time of stimulation.

In conclusion, TLR3 provides the first example, to our knowledge, of endosomal receptor maturation by cleavage followed by conversion into a functional cleaved/associated form of the protein. Considering that cleavage of WT-TLR3 is necessary for signaling, cleaved/associated TLR3 is the principal (and possibly exclusive) signaling receptor, and noncleavable TLR3 is able to signal, an intriguing conclusion of the present work is that the licensing consequence of TLR3 cleavage for signaling is not the separation of the two fragments. Further studies are required to fully evaluate the structural and functional consequences of TLR3 processing in vitro and in vivo, as well as to determine to what extent some aspects of TLR3 biology might apply to the other endolysosomal TLRs.
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