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Identification of Pancreatic Glycoprotein 2 as an Endogenous Immunomodulator of Innate and Adaptive Immune Responses

Lael Werner,* Daniela Paclik,* Christina Fritz,† Dirk Reinhold,‡ Dirk Roggenbuck,† and Andreas Sturm*  

Pancreatic autoantibodies are Crohn disease-specific serologic markers. The function and immunological role of their recently identified autoantigen, glycoprotein 2 (GP2), are unknown. We therefore investigated the impact of GP2 on modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses to evaluate its potential therapeutic use in mucosal inflammation. Our data indicate a previously unknown function for GP2 as an immunomodulator. GP2 was ubiquitously expressed on cells vital to mucosal immune responses. The expression of GP2 was upregulated on activated human T cells, and it was further influenced by pharmaceutical TNF-α inhibitors. Recombinant GP2 significantly decreased human intestinal epithelial cells, mucosal and peripheral T cell proliferation, apoptosis, and activation, and it distinctly modulated cytokine secretion. Furthermore, intestinal epithelial cells stimulated with GP2 potently attracted T cells. In conclusion, we demonstrate a novel role for GP2 in immune regulation that could provide a platform for new therapeutic interventions in the treatment of Crohn disease. The Journal of Immunology, 2012, 189: 2774–2783.
Thus, a similar immunological mechanism may be operative in the mode of action of GP2, and this needs further scrutiny.

Elucidation of the role played by GP2 in immunity, as well as the pathophysiological involvement of the GP2 Abs in IBD, could yield great benefit for medical intervention. As defects in T cell expansion and apoptosis are major events in triggering and perpetuating mucosal inflammation in IBD (18), restricting T cell expansion by GP2 might provide a new therapeutic option to restrict inflammation. Moreover, anti-GP2 Abs might serve as a diagnostic tool for recognizing different subtypes of CD.

As an anti-inflammatory function for THP has been described, we conceived a similar immune modulation for GP2. Thus, based on the knowledge currently available and our preliminary data, we hypothesize that anti-GP2 Abs not only differentiate CD from UC and healthy controls, but also that GP2 itself modulates innate and adaptive immune responses.

Materials and Methods

Cell isolation

PBMCs from healthy volunteers were isolated using Ficoll-Hypaque (GE Healthcare, Oetlingen, Switzerland) as previously described (19, 20). Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 10% FCS (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) and 2.5% penicillin-streptomycin (Biochrom). Depletion of monocytes and regulatory T cells (Tregs, CD4+CD25+CD127dim/− cells) from PBMCs was performed by negative selection using magnetic cell sorting kits (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Approximately 95% of the isolated Tregs were CD4+CD25+. From those, 80–90% were confirmed by flow cytometry to be Foxp3+ (data not shown).

Lamina propria mononuclear cells (LPMCs) were isolated from mucosa of patients undergoing colectomy owing to noninflammatory disorders as previously described (19). Briefly, minced mucosa was digested in DTT (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) plus DNase (Worthington Biochemical, Lakewood, NJ). Forty percent Percoll (GE Healthcare) was used to separate LPMCs. Separation of human intestinal epithelial cells (hIECs) and intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) was performed on supernatant collected after the two dispase cycles, and collagenase (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640, 4˚C. Where human cells, sera, or tissues were used, signed, informed consent was obtained from each subject and the experimental protocol was approved by the Local Ethics Committees of the Charité.

IEC cell lines

T84 cells were cultured at 37˚C, 5% CO2 and maintained in DMEM/F-12 containing 10% FCS (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) plus antibiotics (0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, and 5 ml sodium pyruvate (Lonza, Cologne, Germany).

GP2 stimulation

The recombinant GP2 used in this study was either purchased from Diarect (Freiburg, Germany) or expressed in Spodoptera frugiperda 9 cells by transfection of GP2-DNA–containing baculovirus, as described previously (7). GP2 (200 μg/ml) was maintained in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). To exclude influence of the GP2 buffer, all of the abovementioned experiments were also performed with Tris buffer alone. No influence was observed by the buffer on any of the parameters (data not shown).

Flow cytometry

Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using FACSCalibur (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). For propidium iodide (PI; Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) analysis, cells were fixed and permeabilized with BD Fix/Perm solution (BD Pharmingen). Apoptosis was detected using annexin V (BD Pharmingen) and PI. For membrane GP2 quantification, cells were incubated with anti-GP2 (Sigma-Aldrich, clone HPA016668) for 25 min at 4˚C. Anti-GP2 was preincubated for 24 h with biotinylated Ab (Milenyi Biotec) at room temperature. Subsequently, streptavidin (SA)-FITC (eBioscience, Frankfurt, Germany) was added for 25 min at 4˚C. All other Abs, including respective IgG controls, were purchased from BD Pharmingen.

Caspase detection

Caspase 3–FITC (BD Pharmingen) was determined using BD Fix/Perm solution. Activation of caspase-8 and -9 was determined using a CaspGLOW staining kit (MBL International, Woburn, MA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Binding of GP2

For binding assays, cells were incubated with biotinylated GP2 for 40 min at 4˚C and subsequent incubation with SA–FITC. Binding was determined by flow cytometry. SA–FITC alone served as negative control.

MTT assay

Cells (5 × 10^4) were placed in 96-well plates in triplicates with 100 μl MTT (4 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, clone HPA016668), for 3 h at 37˚C. Afterward, plates were centrifuged and supernatant was removed and then lysed with 100 μl 1 N HCI/isopropanol. Plates were read in a spectrophotometer at 570 nm.

GP2 PCR

Cells were stimulated as mentioned. For RNA isolation, cells were harvested and dissolved in RNA-Be (Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX), with consequent treatment with chloroform, isopropanol, and ethanol. RNA (1 μg) was taken for cDNA production using a kit from Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany). Negative controls were without reverse transcriptase. PCR amplification was performed with TagPCR Master mix (Qiagen, Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer sequences were as follows: GP2, forward, 5'-CAATGTGGCTTACCCACTGGA-3'; reverse, 5'-ATGGCACCCATACAGCAC-3'; β-actin, forward, 5'-CTGACCTTGCGAAGAATG-3'; reverse, 5'-TGAGGTAGTTGCTTAGATGTC-3'. After predenaturation at 93˚C for 5 min, 36 cycles were performed (30 s denaturation at 93˚C, 30 s annealing at 62˚C, and 30 s elongation at 72˚C), followed by final elongation at 72˚C for 5 min. Five microliters was loaded onto 2% agarose gel and visualized under UV light.

Phagocytosis assay

Uptake of FITC-labeled E. coli was performed with a commercial kit (Vybrant phagocytosis kit; Molecular Probes, Rohtock, Germany). Briefly, monocytes or T84 cells were incubated with different doses of GP2 for 2 h. Subsequently, GP2 was removed and FITC-labeled E. coli were added to the cells for 2 h. Next, nonphagocytosed E. coli were removed and trypan blue was added for 1 min to quench the extracellular probe. Phagocytosis was measured using a spectrophotometer. Cytochalasin D and nocardazole were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Wound healing assay

T84 cells or rat IEC6 epithelial cells were grown to confluency on 60 mm dishes (BD Pharmingen) and maintained in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). To exclude influence of the GP2 buffer, all of the abovementioned experiments were also performed with Tris buffer alone. No influence was observed by the buffer on any of the parameters (data not shown).

Transwell assay

In vitro migration of cells was assessed using Costar Transwells (Costar/ Corning, Cornings, NY). Filters were precoated with fibronectin (Milli-
FACS for a fixed period of time (90 s). Percentage migration was calculated by flow cytometry or a counting grid. For flow cytometry, cells were acquired by FACS for a fixed period of time (90 s). Percentage migration was calculated as the number of migrating cells divided by the total number of cells placed in the upper wells, counted in the same time period, and multiplied by 100.

ELISA kits for anti-GP2 (Generic Assays, Dahllewitz, Germany) were performed on sera. Sera were obtained from healthy volunteers or patients suffering from CD, UC, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), or pancreatitis. Patients’ demographics, as well as medications taken, are detailed in Supplemental Table I. In mentioned experiments, supernatants were collected and ELISAs for β-defensin-2 (PeproTech, Hamburg, Germany), CXCL8, IL6, TSLP, TNF-α (R&D, Minneapolis, MN), IL-17, and IFN-γ (both from eBioscience) were performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. For TGF-β1 ELISA (BD Pharmingen) supernatants were activated for 1 h with 1 N HCl and then neutralized with 1 N NaOH.

Statistical analysis
Data are means ± SEM. Statistical analysis for significant differences was performed using ANOVA, with the Student’s t test for parametric samples (GraphPad Prism version 4; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Results
Anti-GP2 levels are significantly elevated in CD
Initially, we confirmed GP2 as an autoantigenic target by assessing anti-GP2 IgG and IgA levels in IBD patients and different control groups. As shown (Fig. 1), Abs to GP2, of both IgG and IgA isoforms, are significantly elevated in CD compared with healthy controls, UC, and patients with pancreatitis or IBS. We were unable to observe any correlations of anti-GP2 levels with medications taken (Supplemental Table I).

GP2 is distinctly expressed on various cell types and is regulated on T cells
Because anti-GP2 Abs are elevated in CD, we next investigated expression profiles of the respective Ag GP2 on key cells involved in mucosal inflammation (epithelial, monocytes, T cells, B cells) using a commercial Ab. The specificity of the Ab was verified by preincubating cells with serum of rabbits hyperimmunized with recombinant GP2 (Supplemental Fig. 1). These sera contain Abs against GP2 are in-dexed. *p < 0.002.

Further evidence for the specificity of the Ab was deduced by preincubation of the Ab with recombinant GP2 itself for 24 h at 4˚C. Staining of cells (T cells, monocytes, and epithelial cells; Supplemental Fig. 2) with the preincubated protein-Ab mixture negated expression of surface GP2. Additionally, a further negative control was conducted using isotype-matched IgG control, which confirmed no unspecific binding as observed by lack of staining (data not shown).

As shown, GP2 expression was detected to varying degrees on all cells investigated (Fig. 2A). Approximately a third of peripheral blood and lamina propria T cells expressed GP2. A high percentage of B cells (80.5 ± 4.2%) and monocytes (92.9 ± 7.1%) also expressed GP2. Also, about three quarters of primary hIECs expressed GP2, in contrast to two epithelial cell lines (Caco-2 and T84) that exhibited only modest GP2 expression (12.5 ± 7.2 and 18.8 ± 2.1%, respectively). Our control groups also exhibited variable GP2 expressions. Percentage of pancreatic (PANC1, ASPC1, and DANG), esophageal (KYSE180), and neuronal cell lines (Sy5y) expressing GP2 ranged from 8 to 46% (Supplemental Fig. 1). As the aim of our study was to investigate the biological relevance of GP2, we next investigated whether GP2 expression can be regulated on T cells. As depicted in Fig. 2B and 2C, GP2 expression was significantly upregulated after 48 h T cell activation via the TCR, both at the RNA as well as the protein expression levels.

GP2 regulates epithelial cell phenotype and function
Having shown that GP2 is expressed and regulated on cells critically involved in mucosal immunity, we went on to evaluate the effect of GP2 itself on central mechanisms of innate and adaptive immunity. Epithelial cells are the first cells to encounter pancreas-originating GP2 in the gastrointestinal lumen (10). Moreover, they represent the first line of defense and are central elements of innate immunity (23). To elucidate the influence of GP2 on epithelial cells, we incubated hIECs or epithelial cell line T84 with recombinant GP2 for 24 and 48 h, after which cells and supernatant were acquired for the different experiments. As the pattern by which GP2 influenced epithelial function was similar at these two time points, results shown are after 48 h GP2 stimulation.

Examination of expression of key receptors in IEC function using flow cytometry showed, to our knowledge, for the first time that GP2 differentially modulates key molecules involved in epithelial cell function (Fig. 3A). A decreased percentage of cells expressed E-cadherin and an increased percentage expressed α6 integrin (CD49d). GP2 also increased the percentage of cells expressing molecules used in Ag presentation (HLA-DR and CD40). Moreover, MICA, which presents Ag to γδ T cells, was also upregulated.
by GP2, suggesting that GP2 has a function in bridging epithelial-to-T cell responses. In contrast to the demonstrated capability of GP2 to modulate other epithelial cell receptors, TLR2 and TLR4 expression were not altered by GP2 (data not shown).

When we examined modulation of apoptosis and proliferation by GP2 on epithelial cells using annexin V/PI staining and MTT incorporation, we observed that GP2 dose-dependently decreased apoptosis and proliferation of both hIECs and T84 (Fig. 3B, 3C). We also determined the influence of GP2 on epithelial migration over a lesion using the wound-healing assay with two different epithelial cell lines. Interestingly, but confirming the differences between cell migration and cell proliferation (24), cell migration over the wound edge was not influenced by GP2 coculture (Fig. 3D). In inflammatory responses, such as IBD, CXCL8 is upregulated and serves as a potent chemoattractant. Using ELISA, we also revealed that GP2 dose-dependently decreases CXCL8 secretion from epithelial cells (Fig. 3E). However, β-defensin-2 secretion, which is dysregulated in CD (25), and TSLP were unaffected by GP2. IL-6 was not detected in T84 cells (data not shown).

**Phagocytic potential of GP2**

Previously, GP2 was shown to assist IECs in bacterial handling and uptake (26). Therefore, we wanted to confirm this function in our experimental settings. When we examined the capacity of epithelial cells to phagocytose *E. coli* as described in Materials and Methods, we observed that GP2 did not influence the phagocytosis of *E. coli* (Fig. 4A) by T84 cells. Interestingly, isolated human monocytes incubated with increasing doses of GP2 exhibited significantly increased uptake of *E. coli* compared with cells cultured in the absence of GP2, confirming a unique effect of GP2 on monocyte phagocytosis. Further experiments revealed that neither cytochalasin D nor nocodazole altered GP2-mediated *E. coli* phagocytosis by monocytes (data not shown).

**Chemotactrant potential of GP2**

As epithelial cells attract cells to sites of inflammation, and as Ag presentation receptors were upregulated in response to GP2, we examined the ability of GP2 to chemoattract T cells, a critical step in mucosal inflammation. To do so we performed Transwell migration assays and determined how many PBMCs migrated toward GP2-stimulated epithelial cells. Migration was determined using flow cytometry for a fixed time of 90 s, and only gated mononuclear cells on forward versus side scatter were counted. As depicted in Fig. 4B, GP2 significantly increased mononuclear cell migration toward GP2-treated T84 cells. CXCL8-treated T84 cells served as positive control. Importantly, PBMCs did not migrate toward GP2 alone (data not shown).

**GP2 binds directly to epithelial and T cells**

Because our results so far suggest that GP2 modulates key cell functions, we sought to delineate whether GP2 can bind directly to these cells, suggesting a possible mode of action for GP2. To investigate this important question, we incubated PBMCs with biotinylated GP2 for 40 min at 4˚C, followed by secondary SA-FITC incubation. As shown (Fig. 4C), GP2 bound to unstimulated T cells to some extent, but CD3 stimulation of the cells greatly increased this attachment. Furthermore, GP2 was also shown to bind potently to the T84 IEC cell line.
GP2 modulates T cell activation, proliferation, apoptosis, and cytokine secretion

Having discovered the effect of GP2 on critical cells of innate immunity, we went on to explore the influence of GP2 on the adaptive immune system. We isolated PBMCs from healthy volunteers, as well as LPMCs and IELs, and stimulated with anti-CD3 or anti-CD2, respectively. Flow cytometric analysis revealed that in all T cell populations examined, GP2 significantly and dose-dependently decreased activation as determined by CD25 expression (Fig. 5A). Of note, CD69 expression was not influenced by GP2. Moreover, annexin V/PI staining uncovered that apoptosis is decreased by as much as 25–50% upon GP2 stimulation in PBMCs, LPMCs, and IELs in comparison with CD3-stimulated cells (Fig. 5B). Further exploring underlying apoptosis pathways, we found that GP2 decreased activation of caspase-3 and -8, but not caspase-9 (Fig. 5C).

Proliferation of CD3-activated PBMCs was also significantly inhibited by GP2 as verified by PI staining (Fig. 5D). However, in LPMCs and IELs we were unable to induce or observe any proliferation, using both PI staining and MTT incorporation.

Similar to epithelial cells, GP2 coculture of activated PBMCs did not influence TLR2 and TLR4 expression on CD3⁺ cells, compared with cells stimulated in the absence of GP2 (data not shown). Importantly, as outlined in Materials and Methods, all experiments were performed with two different sources of recombinant GP2, showing identical patterns of effects.

We also examined the influence of GP2 on cytokine secretion from the different cell populations. Using ELISA, we observed that GP2 decreased secretion of the proinflammatory TNF-α and IL-17 (Fig. 6A, left panel) from CD3-stimulated PBMCs, but increased regulatory IL-6 (Fig. 6A, left panel) and TGF-β1 release (Fig. 6A, right panel on a different scale). A similar pattern was observed in CD2-stimulated IELs and LPMCs (Fig. 6B, 6C); that is, GP2 coculture decreased proinflammatory (TNF-α and IL-17) and increased regulatory cytokine (TGF-β1) release compared with cells cultured in the absence of GP2. In contrast to PBMCs, however, IL-6 was not detected in the mucosal cells, and concentrations of both TNF-α and IL-17 were considerably lower than in peripheral cells.

Finally, to gain an insight into the influence of GP2 in the more complex mucosal milieu, we examined cytokine secretion in response to GP2 from freshly resected mucosal specimens, using organ culture assay. A slightly different representation of cytokine secretion emerged (Fig. 6D). Proinflammatory CXCL8 secretion decreased and regulatory TGF-β1 increased in response to GP2. However, in contrast to isolated cells, IL-6 secretion in response to GP2 was decreased, and the cytokines TNF-α, IL-17, and β-defensin-2 were not observed. All the data mentioned above regarding the influence of GP2 on T cell function and phenotype were examined both 24 and 48 h after stimulation. As there were no differences in the pattern by which GP2 influenced T cell function, data shown are for 48 h after GP2 stimulation.

Tregs mediate the immunosuppressive effect of GP2

Our results so far assign a previously unknown, immunosuppressive effect of GP2 on T cell function. We were now intrigued to...
elucidate the role of specific cell populations in the response to GP2. Tregs are a specialized subpopulation of T cells that suppress the activation of the immune system and might be responsible for GP2’s effect. Therefore, we used the same experimental conditions as described above and examined the response of isolated CD3+ cells, Tregs, or monocytes to GP2. Interestingly, we detected no influence by GP2 on activation, proliferation, apoptosis, or cytokine secretion on either isolated T cells, Tregs, or monocytes (data not shown). Moreover, when we repeated these experiments on the PBMC fraction depleted from Tregs, GP2 was also unable to influence activation, proliferation, or apoptosis of the T cells (Fig. 7A, gray columns). However, when we then stimulated Treg-depleted PBMCs for 3 h in the presence of GP2, subsequently washed away the GP2, and then returned the autologous Tregs for a further 48 h, the effect of GP2 on T cell activation, proliferation, or apoptosis was restored as shown above (Fig. 7A, black columns).

FIGURE 4. GP2 influences phagocytosis and chemotaxis, possibly via direct binding to cells. (A) Phagocytosis of T84 cells (n = 4) or monocytes (n = 3) was determined using fluorescently labeled E. coli particles. Results are calculated as percentage effect in comparison with cells incubated with E. coli without GP2. (B) Chemotaxis was performed using Transwell plates (n = 4). Confluent T84 cells were pretreated for 1 h with the mentioned substances. Afterward, 5 × 10^6 PBMCs were placed in Transwell inserts and placed above the T84 cells for a further 3 h. Migrating cells were collected from the lower chamber and enumerated for 90 s in a flow cytometer. (C) Binding of GP2 to cells was evaluated by means of biotinylated GP2. Negative controls were performed with SA-FITC incubation alone (gray-shaded areas). Representative histograms are from three experiments in each group. *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01 versus 0 μg/ml GP2.

TNF-α inhibitors regulate GP2 expression in PBMCs and IECs

Finally, owing to the immunomodulatory properties exerted by GP2, we questioned whether GP2 is involved in the mode of action of pharmaceutical TNF-α inhibitors, potent inhibitors of mucosal inflammation. To this end, PBMCs or Caco2 cells were stimulated with CD3 Abs or LPS, respectively, either with or without 10 μg/ml IFX or ADA. After 48 h, cells were collected for GP2 surface expression using flow cytometry or mRNA levels of GP2 using PCR. In PBMCs (Fig. 8A), CD3 stimulation increased expression of GP2 at both the RNA and protein levels. Addition of either IFX or ADA increased transcription of GP2 RNA in T cells, but decreased surface expression of GP2. Incubation of Caco2 cells (Fig. 8B) with either IFX or ADA increased GP2 at both the RNA and surface levels. Moreover, addition of LPS to these TNF-α inhibitor-treated IECs further increased GP2 RNA expression but decreased GP2 expression. In light of our intriguing, novel results we further inquired into the relative effect of GP2 and TNF-α inhibitors on T cell activation and survival either alone or in combination (Fig. 8C). As shown, CD3 stimulation increased both activation and apoptosis. Again, addition of GP2 decreased T cell activation and apoptosis. IFX decreased activation, but did not influence apoptosis. Combination of IFX with GP2 on CD3-stimulated PBMCs further decreased T cell activation, without influencing apoptosis. These experiments suggest that GP2 itself can indeed partake a role in downmodulating immune response, further enhancing the anti-inflammatory effect of TNF-α inhibitors.

Discussion

The major zymogen granule membrane glycoprotein, GP2, is secreted by pancreatic cells and is suggested to be involved in the “opsonization” of FimH⁺ in the intestine. Furthermore, GP2 was demonstrated to be a specific receptor on M cells facilitating translocation of FimH⁺ bacteria across the intestinal epithelium. Interestingly, GP2 has recently been identified as the main autoantigenic target recognized by the CD-specific PABs. IBD patients have a higher prevalence of PABs and consequently anti-GP2 Abs compared with controls (27–30), assuming a potential role for GP2 in mucosal immunology. An immunosuppressive effect has been reported in the mid-1980s for the urinary homolog of GP2, THP (31, 32). Thus, as the role(s) of GP2 in central mechanisms of the mucosal immune system are not defined, we hypothesized a similar mode of influence by GP2.
Indeed, two different recombinant GP2s elicited a largely downregulated immune response, revealing a novel immunomodulatory role for GP2 in modulating both innate and adaptive immune responses.

**FIGURE 5.** GP2 inhibits activation, apoptosis, and proliferation of T cells. CD3-stimulated PBMCs ($n = 6$) or CD2-stimulated LPMCs ($n = 3$) and IELs ($n = 3$) were incubated for 48 h at the mentioned GP2 concentration. Afterward, cells were acquired for activation (A) (gated on CD3+CD25+ cells from total PBMCs), apoptosis (B) (annexin V/PI staining), or proliferation (C) (PI staining). (D) Caspase activation was determined using intracellular staining as described in Materials and Methods. $^* p \leq 0.05$, $^{**} p \leq 0.01$ versus 0 μg/ml GP2.

**FIGURE 6.** GP2 downregulates inflammatory and upregulates regulatory cytokine secretion. PBMCs (A) ($n = 6$), LPMCs (B) ($n = 4$), IELs (C) ($n = 3$), and organ cultures (D) ($n = 3$) were stimulated as described in Materials and Methods and incubated with the mentioned doses of GP2. After 48 h, supernatants were collected and examined for cytokine secretion using ELISA. $^* p \leq 0.05$, $^{**} p \leq 0.01$ versus 0 μg/ml GP2.
Our findings showing that Abs to GP2 are significantly elevated in CD, but not in UC, confirm its previously reported potential for the differential serological diagnosis in IBD (27–30). Furthermore, since pancreatitis and IBS patients appear to lack Abs to GP2, our data seem to support the assumption that anti-GP2 autoantibodies do not pertain to inflammation in general, but to CD specifically.

As we speculated that GP2 not only serves as an autoantigen but also as a constituent of immune cells, we investigated whether GP2 is expressed on key cells of the innate and adaptive immune systems. Indeed, GP2 expression was detected on human epithelial cells as well as T cells and monocytes. Moreover, GP2 expression was regulated by T cell stimulation, upon TCR ligation, at the RNA as well as at protein expression levels. Our findings appear to be in contrast to a previous report that GP2 is solely expressed on M cells in the intestinal epithelium (26), which could be explained by a different epitope specificity of the anti-GP2 Abs employed. It is noteworthy that using mRNA transcripts that hybridize to GP2 cDNA, GP2-like homologs have been reported to exist in a variety of epithelial tissues known to contain regulated secretory processes (14). Thus, as the Abs we used were polyclonal, our data could pertain to these homologs. Although scarce reports exist regarding the expression of GP2 in nonpancreatic cell populations (14), our intriguing finding of GP2 expression on several cell populations investigated raised the issue of the specificity of the Ab. We were able to prove the specificity of the Ab by blocking its binding site using polyclonal rabbit Abs against recombinant GP2, as well as by preincubating the Abs with recombinant GP2, thus confirming the broad GP2 expression.

As we hypothesized that GP2 possesses its own biological function, we next investigated whether and how recombinant GP2 affects primary human immune cell phenotype and function. The mucosal epithelium of the alimentary tract not only constitutes a key element of the mucosal barrier, but its cells also serve as important regulators of the innate immune system. Remarkably, GP2 increased the percentage of IECs expressing HLA-DR, CD40, and MICA, which are critically involved in Ag presentation toward the underlying adaptive immune system, signifying that GP2 bridges epithelial-to-T cell crosstalk. However, GP2 did not modulate cell restitution and it decreased epithelial cell proliferation and apoptosis. This suggests an arresting influence by GP2, revealing that the function of GP2 is beyond its previously known actions. Even more, as we provide evidence that GP2 dose-dependently decreased the proinflammatory chemokine CXCL8, we suggest an anti-inflammatory role for GP2 in the mucosal immune system.

GP2 binds to E. coli and appears to support defense mechanisms against potentially pathogenic bacteria (33). It also serves as an uptake receptor for commensal and pathogenic bacteria by M cells, but not other epithelial cells (34). In our experiments, we confirmed the inability of GP2 to promote phagocytosis by IECs, which are not M cells. Moreover, we showed that GP2 promoted E. coli phagocytosis by monocytes, indicating that GP2 has broader phagocytic ability than previously assumed. Note that we were unable to inhibit GP2-mediated phagocytosis by monocytes either with cytochalasin D or with nocodazole, implying that this mechanism is independent of a disruption of microfilament function (35).

THP, the renal homolog of GP2, was recently reported to increase transepithelial migration of neutrophils (36). We show that GP2 increased mononuclear cell migration toward GP2-treated epithelial cells. However, as mononuclear cells did not migrate toward GP2 alone, GP2 is not in itself a chemoattractant but induces this feature in epithelial cells.

In the lamina propria, the adaptive immune system initiates and fosters inflammation, especially IBD. In CD, T cells exhibit increased activation, proliferation, and cell cycling compared with healthy controls (18). Therefore, limiting T cell activation is an effective therapeutic approach to limit mucosal inflammation (37). By showing that GP2 potently restricted T cell activation and proliferation, our data suggest its potential therapeutic use to limit mucosal inflammation. Moreover, as GP2 also decreased the secretion of the proinflammatory chemokines TNF-α and IL-17 from intraepithelial, lamina propria, and peripheral blood T cells, its anti-inflammatory influence was further supported.

We also present data that GP2 decreased T cell apoptosis upon stimulation via caspase-3– and caspase-8–dependent pathways. In CD, T cell apoptosis is already decreased (38), indicating that this process is perhaps not desired in this type of IBD. However, in UC, T cell apoptosis is elevated (39), implying that these patients might benefit from this effect.

T cells are the backbones of the mucosal adaptive immune system, and they consist of several phenotypically distinct cell types. Regulatory CD4+CD25+ T cells are effective in the prevention and downregulation of experimental colitis and mediate mucosal inflammation (40). In contrast to its potent effect on CD3-stimulated PBMCs, GP2 had no effect on isolated Tregs, regardless of their stimulation status. Also, GP2 was ineffective on Tregs-depleted PBMCs. However, indicating the important role of GP2 in Treg function, GP2 was able to downmodulate activation, proliferation, apoptosis, and cytokine secretion when the autologous Treg fraction was reinstated.
Importantly, although apoptosis and proliferation are considered to be inversely regulated, we observed their simultaneous down-modulation by GP2. This phenomenon of coupled cell death and cycling is a hallmark of activation-induced cell death (41, 42), comparable to our in vitro anti-CD3 stimulations. Moreover, as caspase-8 is implicated in this regulation of apoptosis and proliferation (41, 43), our data are further supported, as we observed involvement of caspase-8 in GP2-mediated responses.

Having identified a novel role for GP2 in immunity, we were finally wondering whether and how IBD therapeutics influence GP2 expression. TNF-α inhibitors, such as IFX or ADA, inhibit T cell and epithelial proliferation by inducing apoptosis and thus limit mucosal inflammation. Interestingly, both TNF-α inhibitors increased GP2 gene transcription in T cells but decreased its surface expression. It can be speculated that this seemingly opposite effect indicates an increased endocytosis of GP2. However, blocking endocytosis did not prevent GP2 downregulation by TNF-α inhibitors (data not shown).

Thus, the different regulation of GP2 at the mRNA level in comparison with the membrane level suggests either an intracellular role for GP2, or more probable secretion of GP2 from the T cells, as it acts as a secreted protein. These ideas need to be investigated in further studies, and ongoing studies in our laboratory will elaborate this observation.

Indicating a specificity of this process, in cells of the innate immune system, such as epithelial cells, addition of IFX or ADA increased both gene and surface GP2 expression.

Our novel data showing additive value of TNF-α inhibitors and GP2 in downmodulating immune response hint to the fact that GP2 could be used for future therapeutic medications.

Although it has been known for >20 y that in CD PAB levels are increased (5), it was not assumed until recently that their main autoantigenic target GP2 might have a biological function in the intestine. To our knowledge, our study revealed for the first time that this autoantigen has a physiological role in the intestinal immune system. Furthermore, it was recently shown that GP2 binds to scavenger receptor expressed on endothelial cells (44). Despite our data regarding the binding of GP2 to epithelial and activated T cells, whether GP2 exerts its biological function in the innate and adaptive immune systems via those receptors needs to be further investigated.

THP was reported to influence chemotaxis of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (45), as well as neutrophils (36), and it fosters bacterial phagocytosis (46, 47). In this study we provide clear evidence that THP and GP2 share functional and immunological resemblances. To explore whether GP2 and THP share structural similarities as well, we generated theoretically calculated three-dimensional structures of GP2 and THP based on their amino acid sequences (Supplemental Fig. 3). Although both proteins exhibit homology of 53% in protein–protein alignment (using amino acid sequences of P55259 = GP2_Human, and P07911 = Urom_Human, with BLASTP 2.2.25), and they share some distinctive structural segments, such as a single α helix and a protruding C terminus, it was difficult to deduce other homologies. However, whether these structural differences indicate an unknown physi-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>pancreatitis</th>
<th>IBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>41±12</td>
<td>36±11</td>
<td>47±16</td>
<td>29±12</td>
<td>39±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>pancreatitis</th>
<th>IBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(7%)</td>
<td>1(8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathiopurines</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(21%)</td>
<td>3(23%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-ASA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8(57%)</td>
<td>10(76%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα inhibitors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4(28%)</td>
<td>4(29%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(7%)</td>
<td>1(8%)</td>
<td>1(20%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Supplementary Figure 1. Expression of GP2 and validation of the antibody’s specificity. A. Specificity of Ab was confirmed by pre-incubation of PBTs, T84, or monocytes (all n=3) with rabbit sera containing anti-GP2 Abs for 40 min at 4°C. Subsequently, cells were stained with anti-GP2 for 30 min at 4°C followed by incubation for 20 min at 4°C with SA-FITC. IgG isotype was used as negative control. B. Expression of GP2 (full line) on pancreatic (ASPC1 and DANG), neuronal (Sy5y), or oesophageal (KYSE180) cells was determined with anti-GP2 for 30 min at 4°C, and subsequent incubation with SA-FITC. Negative control was performed using SA-FITC alone (dashed line). Representative figure of n=3-5.

Supplementary figure 2. Incubation of anti-GP2 with recombinant GP2 abolishes membranal expression of GP2. Expression of GP2 was examined on monocytes, T cells, and Caco epithelial cells (gated on CD14+, CD3+ and EPCAM+ cells, respectively) either without (left columns) or with (middle columns) the anti-GP2 antibody. Pre-incubation of anti-GP2 with recombinant GP2 for 24 hours at 4°C negates expression of GP2 on the membrane (right columns).

Supplementary figure 3. Three dimensional structures of THP and GP2. Hypothetical 3D models of GP2 (P55259 = GP2_Human) and (THP P07911 = Urom_Human) were constructed based on their amino acid sequence using the protein comparative modeling server 3D-JIGSAW. The out-coming Protein Data Bank (PDB) format files were visualized using RasMol.