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Vaccines Targeting Tumor Blood Vessel Antigens Promote CD8+ T Cell-Dependent Tumor Eradication or Dormancy in HLA-A2 Transgenic Mice

Xi Zhao,*† Anamika Bose,*† Hideo Komita,*, Jennifer L. Taylor,* Nina Chi,† Devin B. Lowe,* Hideo Okada,†‡§ Ying Cao,‡ Debabrata Mukhopadhyay,‡ Peter A. Cohen,‡ and Walter J. Storkus*†§

We have recently shown that effective cytokine gene therapy of solid tumors in HLA-A2 transgenic (HHD) mice lacking murine MHc class I molecule expression results in the generation of HLA-A2-restricted CD8+ T effector cells selectively recognizing tumor blood vessel-associated pericytes and/or vascular endothelial cells. Using an HHD model in which HLA-A2Ab tumor (MC38 colon carcinoma or B16 melanoma) cells are not recognized by the CD8+ T cell repertoire, we now show that vaccines on the basis of tumor-associated blood vessel Ags (TBVA) elicit protective Tc1-dependent immunity capable of mediating tumor regression or extending overall survival. Vaccine efficacy was not observed if (HLA-A2Ab) wild-type C57BL/6 mice were instead used as recipient animals. In the HHD model, effective vaccination resulted in profound infiltration of tumor lesions by CD8+ (but not CD4+) T cells, in a coordinate reduction of CD31+ blood vessels in the tumor microenvironment, and in the “spreading” of CD8+ T cell responses to alternate TBVA that were not intrinsic to the vaccine. Protective Tc1-mediated immunity was durable and directly recognized pericytes and/or vascular endothelial cells flow-sorted from tumor tissue but not from tumor-uninvolved normal kidneys harvested from these same animals. Strikingly, the depletion of CD8+, but not CD4+, T cells at late time points after effective therapy frequently resulted in the recurrence of disease at the site of the regressed primary lesion. This suggests that the vaccine-induced anti-TBVA T cell repertoire can mediate the clinically preferred outcomes of either effectively eradicating tumors or policing a state of (occult) tumor dormancy. The Journal of Immunology, 2012, 188: 1782–1788.

Cancer vaccines based on tumor-associated Ags (TAA) have been extensively evaluated in both translational models and in the clinic. Although by most accounts TAA-based vaccines have been found to be immunogenic in promoting increased frequencies of Ag-specific T cell responses in a large proportion of treated patients, they have only rarely proven curative.

Abbreviations used in this article: APM, Ag-processing/presentation machinery; DC, dendritic cell; DLK1, delta-like kinase 1; IL1B, interleukin-1β; IFN, interferon; LTA, lipopolysaccharide; TAA, tumor-associated Ag; TBVA, tumor blood vessel Ag; TEM1, tumor endothelial marker 1 (CD34/CD105); Tg, transgenic; TME, tumor microenvironment; VEC, vascular endothelial cell; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.

Copyright © 2012 by The American Association of Immunologists, Inc. 0022-1767/12/$16.00 (1–3). This limitation in efficacy may relate, at least in part, to the heterogeneity of cancer cells found within a given tumor lesion, particularly with regard to subpopulation “immunophenotypes” (4–6). Indeed, many times patients that have exhibited objective clinical responses to immunomodulatory therapies ultimately progress with tumors characterized by defects in their Ag-processing/presentation machinery (APM) and altered immunophenotypes (4, 7, 8).

A theoretical means by which to promote antitumor immunity, while coordinately circumventing the (immuno)phenotypic “instability” of cancer cells themselves, involves the development of vaccines eliciting T cells capable of selectively targeting tumor-associated stromal cells, such as (myo)fibroblasts, vascular pericytes, and vascular endothelial cells (VEC) (9–20). Interestingly, prophylactic peptide-based and/or recombinant vaccines, based on tumor blood vessel Ag (TBVA) such as endoglin (CD105), NG2, platelet-derived growth factor β (PDGFRβ), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR)1, or VEGFR2, have been previously reported to provide partial protection against challenge with tumor cell lines that fail to express these Ags, presumably on the basis of T cell-mediated antiangiogenic activity in the tumor microenvironment (TME) (9–11, 21–27). However, when applied therapeutically, such vaccines have only slowed the progressive growth of established tumors and modestly extended the overall survival period of treated mice (11, 24, 27).

In our recent paper (28), we reported that IL-12 cytokine gene therapy of established HLA-A2Ab B16 melanomas growing in HLA-A2 transgenic (Tg) mice results in CD8+ T cell-mediated protective immunity directed against host HLA-A2+ stromal cells within the TME. We now show that therapeutic vaccination of HLA-A2 transgenic (HHD) mice with TBVA-derived...
peptides defined in this previous study results in CD8+ T cell-dependent regression of colon carcinoma and melanoma and long-term protection against disease relapse.

Materials and Methods

Mice

HHD mice are DBA/2 × β2-microglobulin null Tg for the modified HLA-A*0201 human β2-microglobulin single chain (HHD) gene; Ref. 29) and exhibit CD8+ T cell responses that recapitulate those observed in HLA-A2+ human donors (28–30). C57BL/6 wild-type mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Female 6- to 8-wk-old mice were used in all experiments and were handled in accordance with an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee-approved protocol.

Cell lines

MC38, a methylcholanthrene-induced (HLA-A2neg) murine colon carcinoma cell line, and B16, an HLA-A2neg melanoma cell line (syngenic to the H-2b background of HHD mice), have been described previously (31, 32). The T2 cell line is a TAP-deficient T cell/B cell hybridoma that constitutively expresses HLA-A2 (33). All cell lines were free of mycoplasma contamination.

Peptides

All peptides were synthesized using 9-fluorenlymethoxy carbonyl (Fmoc) chemistry by the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute’s Peptide Synthesis Facility (a Shared Resource). Peptides were >96% pure based on HPLC profile and mass spectrometric analysis performed by the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute’s Protein Sequencing Facility (a Shared Resource).

Production of murine bone marrow-derived DCs and DC.IL12

DC were generated from bone marrow precursors isolated from the tibiae/femurs of HHD mice, as described previously (28). The Ad.mIL-12p70 and Ad.Δ/5 (empty) recombinant adenoviral vectors were produced and provided by the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute’s Vector Core Facility (a Shared Resource), as reported previously (34). Five million (day 5 cultured) DCs were infected at multiplicity of infection = 50 with Ad.mIL-12p70 or the control, empty vector Ad.Δ/5. Although control DC produced <62.5 pg IL-12p70/ml/48 h/106 cells, DC.IL12 cells produced 1–10 ng IL-12p70/ml/48 h/106 cells (34).

Vaccine experiments

For prophylactic experiments, HHD mice were immunized s.c. on the right flank with 100 µl PBS or PBS containing 10⁵ syngenic DC.IL12 cells that had been untreated or pulsed for 4 h at 37°C with 10 µM synthetic peptide(s). Immunizations occurred on days −14 and −7, with mice subsequently receiving injections of MC38 (2 × 10⁶) tumor cells in the left flank on day 0. In all cases, treatment groups contained five mice per cohort.

Results

Vaccines incorporating peptide epitopes derived from TBV A are immunogenic and protect HHD mice against HLA-A2neg MC38 tumor challenge

To assess the immunogenicity of TBV-A-derived (HLA-A2-presented and evolutionarily conserved 9- or 10-mer; Ref. 28) peptides, female HLA-A2 Tg (HHD; lacking murine H-2b class I molecules) mice were vaccinated twice on a weekly schedule with 10⁶ peptide-pulsed (HHD) DC.IL12 cells. DC.IL12 cells were chosen as a standard “adjuvant” for these studies, based on our previous work demonstrating the capacity of this vehicle to effectively promote robust T helper-independent priming of CD8+ T cells when using only class I-presented peptides in the vaccine formulation (34). One week after the booster immunization, CD8+ splenocytes were isolated and analyzed for their ability to secrete IFN-γ in response to peptide-pulsed HLA-A2+ T cells in vitro. As shown in Fig. 1A, the majority (17 of 20; p < 0.05 versus T cells stimulated with DC only) of TBV-A-derived peptides analyzed primed Tc1 responses in vivo that could be detected in vitro.

We noted that the delta-like kinase 1 (DLK1), EphA2, hemoglobin-β (HBB), NG2, neuropilin (NRP1), NRP2, PDGFRβ, prostate-specific membrane Ag (PSMA), regulator of G protein signaling 5 (RGS5), tumor endothelial marker 1 (TEM1), VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 Ags were expressed in situ by blood vessel cells in the MC38 colon carcinoma TME (Supplemental Fig. 1). These findings were similar to our previous observations in the B16 TME (28). This led us to next analyze whether immunization with TBV-A-derived peptides on days −14 and −7 would protect HHD mice against a subsequent challenge with HLA-A2neg MC38 tumor cells injected s.c. on day 0.

On the basis of the data provided in Fig. 1, vaccine immu-
nogenicity and efficacy were not always correlated with one another in the MC38 prophylaxis model (Supplemental Fig. 2), a finding in accordance with reports for peptide-based vaccines in human clinical trials (1–3).

Protective vaccines incorporating TBVA peptides promote enhanced infiltration of the TME by CD8+ T cells in association with an inhibition of tumor vascularity

Because a cohort of the protective vaccines allowed for a transient period of tumor growth (prior to ultimate tumor regression), we isolated MC38 tumor lesions from all cohorts of animals with evidence of disease on day 14 (after tumor inoculation) and performed immunofluorescence microscopy on tumor sections. We observed that although control (untreated or vaccinated with DC.II12/no peptide) mice contained few CD8+ T cells in the TME, the majority of the peptide vaccinated cohorts exhibited a variable but significantly elevated number of CD8+ TILs (Fig. 2A, 2B). In marked contrast, CD4+ T cell infiltration in the TME was sparse, and the data were indistinguishable when comparing control versus vaccinated mice (data not shown). An analysis of vascular structures in these tumors revealed that mice prevaccinated with peptides derived from the TBVA EphA2, RGS5, or TEM1 had the greatest degree of suppression in CD31+ vessel counts in the MC38 TME, with somewhat less pronounced effects also noted for groups vaccinated against HBB or VEGFR2 ($p < 0.05$ versus untreated mice).
vaccinated with DC.IL12/no peptide; Fig. 2C, 2D). Correlative analyses indicated an association between the antitumor efficacy of vaccines and their ability to promote CD8+ T cell infiltration and reduced vascularity in the TME (Supplemental Fig. 2).

Therapeutic vaccines incorporating TBVA-derived peptide epitopes are effective against established HLA-A2neg MC38 colon carcinomas and HLA-A2neg B16 melanomas in HHD mice

Given the robust antitumor activity noted for vaccines based on a subset of TBVA in the prophylactic model, we next studied how well these vaccines would perform as immunotherapies in mice bearing established day 7 s.c. MC38 or B16 tumors. In the MC38 model, we treated HHD mice with DC.IL12 cells pulsed with (an equimolar mixture of) peptides derived from an TBVA shown most capable of regulating tumor growth under prophylactic conditions (Fig. 1B) and exhibiting the highest degree of immunogenicity, based on data provided in Fig. 1A (i.e., DLK1326–334, EphA2883–891, HBB31–39, NRP1869–877, PDGFRβ890–898, RGS55–13, and TEM1691–700). As shown in Fig. 3A, the combination peptide vaccine effectively promoted the regression of established MC38 tumors. Furthermore, on the basis of the Ab–depletion analyses, therapeutic benefit was largely due to the action of CD8+, but not CD4+, T cells (Fig. 3A).

Therapeutic vaccines applied to mice bearing B16 melanomas were also effective in suppressing tumor growth if: 1) the vaccine incorporated peptides derived from the stromal Ags DLK1, EphA2,
HLA-A2+ T2 cells (control or pulsed with the indicated peptides) as described in the Materials and Methods. Hence, none of the vaccines evaluated perturbed B16 tumor growth in syngenic B6 mice, which fail to express the relevant HLA-A2 class I restriction element required for CD8+ T cell recognition of the immunizing peptides. We did not evaluate therapeutic vaccines using the NRP2 or PSMA peptides in the B16 model on the basis of their poor performance in the preliminary MC38 protection model (Fig. 1B).

**HHD mice cured of B16 tumors by TBVA peptide-based therapeutic vaccines exhibit extended survival and durable Tc1 responses against tumor-associated pericytes and/or VEC**

We followed mice treated in Fig. 3B through 60 d after tumor inoculation and observed significant survival benefits if the animals had been treated with vaccines containing peptides derived from the TBVA DLK1, EphA2, HBB, NRP1, RGS5, or TEM1 (Fig. 3C, Supplemental Table I). To analyze the status and specificity of Tc1 cells, HHD mice rendered free of B16 melanoma after therapeutic vaccination with DLK or RGS5 peptide-based vaccines were sacrificed 60 d after tumor inoculation. Fresh MACS-isolated spleen CD8+ T cells were then analyzed for reactivity against HLA-A2*PDGFRα*CD31neg pericytes, HLA-A2*PDGFRα*CD31neg VEC, or HLA-A2neg tumor cells flow-sorted from day 19 B16 tumors growing progressively in untreated HHD mice. As shown in Fig. 4, splenic Tc1 cells isolated from mice cured after vaccination with DLK1 peptides recognized tumor-associated pericytes and VEC in an MHC class I-restricted manner. They failed to recognize pericytes or VEC isolated from the tumor-uninvolved kidneys of these same donor animals. These type 1 CD8+ T cells strongly recognized the DLK1 peptides used in the protective vaccine formulation, but also (to a variable degree), a number of additional TBVA-derived peptides that were not included in the therapeutic vaccine. Similarly, B16-bearing HHD mice cured using a vaccine on the basis of the RGS55–13 peptide, demonstrated clear Tc1 recognition of tumor (but not tumor-uninvolved kidney) pericytes, as well as statistically significant responses against HLA-A2+ T2 cells pulsed with peptides derived from the TBVA DLK1, EphA2, NG2, NRP1, PSMA, RGS5, or TEM1 (Fig. 4).

**HHD mice cured of B16 tumors by TBVA peptide-based therapeutic vaccines either exhibit true “molecular cures” or a state of CD8+ T cell-mediated tumor dormancy**

Despite the high frequency of complete tumor regressors as a consequence of treating B16-bearing HHD mice with TBVA peptide-based vaccines, it was conceivable that TBVA-targeting T cells limit tumor expansion yielding occult disease rather than the complete eradication of cancer cells (i.e., “molecular” cure). To assess this possibility, effectively treated HHD mice with no evidence of (macroscopic) disease were depleted of CD8+ or CD4+ T cells, resulting in the re-establishment of melanoma growth at sites of the primary tumor placement in seven of nine mice (i.e., 78% for depletions on day 60 or 67) and three of eight mice (i.e., 38% for depletions on day 180 or 187) cases, respectively. Interestingly, two of nine (22%) mice in the day 60 or 67 CD8+ T cell-depleted group exhibited transient tumor expansion and recurrence of disease at the site of primary tumor inoculation. HHD mice harboring established s.c. B16 melanomas received vaccines consisting of syngenic DC.II12 pulsed with a mixture of TBVA peptides on days 7 and 14 (after tumor inoculation) as outlined in Fig. 3A, resulting in tumor regression in 100% of treated animals. On days 60 and 67 or days 180 and 187 (after tumor inoculation), mice were depleted of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells by l.p. injection with specific Abs as described in Materials and Methods. Control animals received i.p. injections of isotype control Abs. Specific T cell subset depletions were confirmed by flow cytometry analyses performed on peripheral blood obtained by tail puncture (data not shown). Animals were then monitored for the reappearance of melanomas every 4–7 d. The number of animals evaluated per cohort is indicated within a given panel, with each line representing longitudinal data from a given animal. Data are cumulative from three experiments performed.

**FIGURE 4.** HHD mice cured of B16 melanoma by treatment with DC.II12/peptide vaccination exhibit polyspecific anti-TBVA Tc1 responses. HHD mice bearing established day 7 B16 melanomas were therapeutically vaccinated with peptides derived from the TBVA DLK1 or RGS5 as described in Fig. 3B. Tumors regressed completely over the next 2 wk. Sixty days after tumor inoculation, CD8+ T cells were MACS isolated from the spleens of these animals and evaluated for IFN-γ production (by ELISA) in response to pericytes and VEC (flow-sorted from day 19 untreated B16 tumors or tumor-uninvolved kidneys of B16-bearing HHD mice) as well as HLA-A2+ T2 cells (control or pulsed with the indicated peptides) as described in Materials and Methods. *p < 0.05 versus anti-class I mAb blockade (when evaluating responses against pericytes, VEC, or B16 tumor cells) or T2 cells only (when evaluating antipeptide responses). Data are representative of responses observed in three independent experiments.

**FIGURE 5.** In vivo depletion of CD8+, but not CD4+, T cells from a cohort of HHD mice effectively treated with TBVA peptide-based vaccines results in recurrence of disease at the site of primary tumor inoculation. HHD mice harboring established s.c. B16 melanomas received vaccines consisting of syngenic DC.II12 pulsed with a mixture of TBVA peptides on days 7 and 14 (after tumor inoculation) as outlined in Fig. 3A, resulting in tumor regression in 100% of treated animals. On days 60 and 67 or days 180 and 187 (after tumor inoculation), mice were depleted of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells by l.p. injection with specific Abs as described in Materials and Methods. Control animals received i.p. injections of isotype control Abs. Specific T cell subset depletions were confirmed by flow cytometry analyses performed on peripheral blood obtained by tail puncture (data not shown). Animals were then monitored for the reappearance and size of melanomas every 4–7 d. The number of animals evaluated per cohort is indicated within a given panel, with each line representing longitudinal data from a given animal. Data are cumulative from three experiments performed.
then “spontaneous” regression over a period of weeks to months (Fig. 5), presumably as TBVA tumor-specific CD8+ T effector cells were recovered in these animals. We also noted that at the time of primary disease recurrence in CD8+ T cell-depleted animals, melanomas did not present in distal cutaneous sites and that metastases were not detected in the lung, liver, or brain based on a histopathology examination of resected tissues (data not shown).

Discussion

One major finding of the current report is that vaccines based on a subset of TBVA-derived peptides elicit protective/therapeutic immunity against HLA-A2+ (MC38 or B16) transplantable tumors in HHD mice because of the apparent CD8+ T cell targeting of HLA-A2+ pericytes or VEC in the TME. Once protective anti-TBVA immunity was established as a consequence of specific immunization, vaccinated animals exhibited durable protection against challenge with tumors of divergent histology (Supplemental Fig. 3). Similar peptide-based vaccines applied to CD8-depleted HHD mice or HLA-A2+ recipient (C57BL/6) mice failed to yield treatment benefit, arguing for the critical involvement of CD8+ T cells and the need for these effector cells to target HLA-A2+ stromal cells in vivo (Fig. 4, Supplemental Fig. 3). A second major finding is that many apparent complete responders in our therapeutic vaccine models retain occult disease, because CD8+, but not CD4+, T cell depletion of “cured” animals resulted in the rapid recurrence of tumors selectively at the site of the original primary lesion in many cases. Although in most instances, recurrent tumors grew quickly and proved lethal, in some cases (i.e., 2 of 10), tumors grew slowly and subsequently underwent spontaneous regression presumably after the Ab-depleted CD8+ T cell repertoire had recovered. These data suggest that TBVA peptide-based vaccines promote complete eradication of tumors or the establishment of a state of (occult) tumor dormancy over extended periods of time, which is regulated by vaccine-instigated CD8+ T cells.

The exact nature of residual occult disease in treated animals that recur upon CD8+ T cell depletion remains unknown. In our HHD model system, we failed to detect direct tumor cell recognition by therapeutic T cells, hence HLA-A2+ cancer cells would be afforded the possibility of maintaining microscopic nests that were limited in their expansion potential based on the anti(tumor) angiogenic activity of protective Tc1 effector cells as suggested in alternate models of immune-mediated tumor dormancy (35). Alternatively, or additionally, slowly replicating/quietenous tumor cells or tumor-initiating cell populations may persist in low numbers in close proximity to blood vessels within the primary lesion site in the occult disease setting, with such cells undergoing a proliferative switch upon removal of local anti-TBVA CD8+ T cells (36, 37). In such circumstances, combinational vaccines simultaneously targeting multiple TBVA as well as Ags expressed by tumor cells and/or tumor-initiating cells might be expected to yield higher rates of complete cures (14, 38, 39).

Our data suggest that the strongest “clinical” correlates for vaccine efficacy may be the degree of therapeutic type 1 CD8+ T cell infiltration into the TME and the degree to which Tc1 cells regulate the tumor blood supply. This is in keeping with current paradigms for successful immunotherapy outcome, where levels of specific TILs rather than circulating peripheral blood T cells may be predictive of better clinical prognosis (40). As we have previously suggested (41), treatment-associated vascular “normalization” in the TME may directly result from CD8+ T cell-mediated death or functional disruption of VEC or pericytes (that are required to sustain VEC) in vivo. Such antiangiogenic effects may provide a rich source of dead or dying tumor/stromal cells capable of supporting the corollary cross-priming of an evolving protective Tc1 repertoire (41–43). Indeed, we observed that TBVA-based therapeutic vaccines that were capable of inducing tumor clearance resulted in the “spreading” of the protective memory Tc1 repertoire to include specificity against TBVA unrelated to the original vaccine formulation. Our findings are consistent with the general paradigm of “epitope spreading” in the antitumor T cell repertoire as a herald of, or mechanism underlying, superior immunotherapeutic outcome (44–46) and extend this concept to include T cell specificities against TBVA.

Despite theoretical concerns that the anti-TBVA CD8+ T cell response could negatively impact normal tissue blood vessels or the normal process of neoangiogenesis/neovascularization, we failed to detect vaccine induced: 1) T cell responses against normal tissue pericytes or VEC; or 2) delay in the kinetics of skin closure after full thickness wounding (data not shown). Such differential recognition of tumor over non-tumor blood vessel cells by Tc1 effector cells may well relate to higher levels of TBVA expression (and by extension their derivative MHC-presented peptides) by tumor- versus normal tissue-associated pericytes and VEC (Supplemental Fig. 1B) (28), but this could also reflect tissue site-specific variation in blood vessel cell expression of 1) MHC class I APM components, 2) costimulatory/adhesion or coinhibitory molecules, or 3) “repulsion” molecules that inhibit CD8+ T cell–target cell interactions (4–6, 47–49).

In conclusion, our data support the translational use of TBVA-based vaccines and the integration of TBVA targets in immune monitoring strategies applied to patients with solid forms of cancer. In particular, the ability to immunologically target tumor-associated pericytes and VEC via specific vaccination may pave the way for combinational therapy designs integrating antiangiogenic agents (i.e., TKI, VEGF/VEGFR antagonists) that have thus far yielded promising, but frequently transient, objective clinical responses in cancer patients (48–50). In these individuals, tumor blood vessels that become refractive to therapy are characterized by a high-degree of coverage with supportive pericytes (50), potentially making these structures ideal targets for TBVA vaccine-induced, antipericyte Tc1 cells.
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Table SI. *In vivo* immunogenicity and anti-tumor efficacy of TBVA-based vaccines in HHD models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TBVA</th>
<th>AA Positions</th>
<th>Peptide Sequence</th>
<th>Tc1 Response to Peptide Vaccine (HHD)</th>
<th>Anti-tumor efficacy in MC38 protection model</th>
<th>Anti-tumor efficacy in B16 therapy model (survival: p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLK1</td>
<td>267-275</td>
<td>RLTPGVHEL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>309-317</td>
<td>ILGVLTSLV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>326-334</td>
<td>FLNKCEWTWV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EphA2</td>
<td>883-891</td>
<td>TLADFDPRV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBB</td>
<td>31-39</td>
<td>RLLVYYPWT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105-114</td>
<td>RLLGNVLVCV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG2</td>
<td>770-778</td>
<td>TLSNLSPFPV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2238-2246</td>
<td>LILPELLFYL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRP1</td>
<td>331-339</td>
<td>GLLRFVTAV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>433-441</td>
<td>GMLGMVSGL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>869-877</td>
<td>VLLGAVCGV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRP2</td>
<td>214-222</td>
<td>DIWDGIPHV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>328-336</td>
<td>YLQVDLRFV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>436-444</td>
<td>NMLGMLSGL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRβ</td>
<td>890-898</td>
<td>ILLWEIFTL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMA</td>
<td>441-450</td>
<td>LLQERGVAYI</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGS5</td>
<td>5-13</td>
<td>LAALPHSCL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM1</td>
<td>691-700</td>
<td>LLVPTCVFLV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>.0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR1</td>
<td>770-778</td>
<td>TLFWLLLTL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR2</td>
<td>773-781</td>
<td>VIAMFFWLL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are summarized from Figs. 1 and 3. *a*, p < 0.05 versus DC only. *b* Vaccines consisted of DC.IL12 pulsed with a pool of 1 or more peptides derived from the indicated TBVA. +/-, p < 0.05 versus DC only for 2 consecutive time points; +, p < 0.05 versus DC only for > 2 consecutive time points; - not significant at any time point analyzed. *c*p-value versus mice treated with DC only vaccine (from Fig. 3C). NS, not significant; NT, not tested.
**Fig. S1. Expression of TBVA in the established MC38 TME.** A. MC38 colon carcinoma cells were injected s.c. in the right flank of female HHD mice and allowed to establish progression for 14 days. Animals were then euthanized, with tumors resected, fixed, sectioned and analyzed for expression of the indicated antigens using specific Abs and fluorescence microscopy as outlined in Materials and Methods. Specific pAb against NG2 (green), the indicated antigen of interest (red), and CD31 (blue) were used to distinguish preferential antigen expression in tumor blood vessel-associated pericytes, VEC, alternate stromal cells and/or tumor cells. Images are reflective of those obtained in 3 independent experiments performed. B. MC38 colon carcinoma cell lines, as well as, flow-sorted pericytes and VEC (isolated from HHD mice bearing untreated day 14 MC38 tumors) isolated from tumors and tumor-uninvolved kidneys were analyzed for expression of the indicated mRNAs using RT-PCR as described in Materials and Methods.
Figure S2. Correlation of biologic parameters assessed in the MC38 tumor model system. Data harvested from Figs. 1 and 2 were analyzed for the correlation of indicated markers in a pair-wise manner. Individual data included: i) CD8+ TIL (day 7 post tumor inoculation in mean numbers per HPF), ii) CD31+ vessels in these same lesions (reported a mean number/10 HPF), iii) Tumor size (in mm²) on day 24 post-tumor inoculation, and iv) specific production of IFN-γ from splenic CD8+ T cells harvested from control and vaccinated mice on day 14 post-tumor inoculation. Each dot represents a control (DC only) or vaccine cohort evaluated (n = 10). For panels including in vitro 7 cell response data, each symbol reflects cumulative response against a given TBVA (i.e. for DLK1), this represents the summation of responses against each of 3 peptides, while for RGS5, this reflects response against the single peptide evaluated in these studies. Note that in all instances, except for the IFN-γ x Tumor Size comparison (n = 13), the cohorts vaccinated using DLK1-, NR1F1- or PDGF-Rα-derived peptides are not included in the indicated analysis, as these mice failed to develop lesions capable of being resected for analyses. Linear regression lines are inserted in each panel, with the associated r² values reported in each instance. Lines indicating 95% confidence intervals are also provided in each panel.
Figure S3. Mice protected against initial MC38 tumor challenge as a consequence of TBVA-based prophylactic vaccination display durable immunity against a subsequent challenge with both MC38 sarcoma and B16 melanoma cells. A, HFD mice received prophylactic vaccination as described in Fig. 1B with DC EL4 pulsed with an equimolar pool of TBVA peptides (per Fig. 3A). All animals rejected this initial MC38 tumor challenge. Fifty days after the initial challenge, animals were challenged with 2 x 10^6 MC38 sarcoma (s.c., right flank) and 10^6 B16 melanoma (s.c., left flank) cells. In B, the size of each tumor was measured every 3–4 days thereafter in those day 50 immune animals or in naïve, control mice. In C, CD8+ T cells isolated from day 50 immune animals were analyzed for reactivity against flow-sorted PDGFRα/CD31+ pericytes or PDGFRα/CD31+ vascular endothelial cells (VEC) flow-sorted (per ref. 28) from the tumor or tumor-uninvolved kidneys of MC38-bearing HFD mice. Cultured HLA-A2+ MC38 tumor cells were also analyzed as target cells. T cells and target cells were co-cultured for 24 h prior to analysis of the cell-free supernatant using IFN-γ ELISA as outlined in Materials and Methods. The MHC-restricted nature of specific T cell recognition was assessed by inclusion of no mAb; or 10 μg/ml each of anti-HLA-A2 (B7.2; ATCC, Manassas, VA) or anti-H2-Db mAb (AF6-120.1; Biologend, San Diego, CA). K = Kidney, Tu = Tumor, *p < 0.05 for tumor versus kidney, and for anti-HLA-A2 versus No block or anti-H2-Db.