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The Nature of an In Vivo Anti-Capsular Polysaccharide Response Is Markedly Influenced by the Composition and/or Architecture of the Bacterial Subcapsular Domain

Swadhinaya Arjunaraja,*† Paola Massari,‡ Lee M. Wetzler,§ Andrew Lees,§ Jesus Colino,* and Clifford M. Snapper*

In vivo anti-polysaccharide Ig responses to isolated polysaccharide (PS) are T cell independent, rapid, and fail to generate memory. However, little is known regarding PS-specific Ig responses to intact Gram-positive and Gram-negative extracellular bacteria. We previously demonstrated that intact heat-killed Streptococcus pneumoniae, a Gram-positive bacterium, elicited a rapid primary pneumococcal capsular PS (PPS) response in mice that was dependent on CD4+ T cells, B7-dependent costimulation, and CD40–CD40L interactions. However, this response was ICOS independent and failed to generate a boosted PPS-specific secondary IgG response. In the current study, we analyzed the murine meningococcal type C PS (MCPS)-specific Ig response to i.p.-injected intact, heat-killed Neisseria meningitidis, serogroup C (MenC), a Gram-negative bacterium. In contrast to S. pneumoniae, the IgG anti-MCPS response to MenC exhibited delayed primary kinetics and was highly boosted after secondary immunization, whereas the IgG anti-MCPS response to isolated MCPS was rapid, without secondary boosting, and consisted of only IgG1 and IgG3, as opposed to all four IgG isotypes in response to intact MenC. The secondary, but not primary, IgG anti-MCPS response to MenC was dependent on CD4+ T cells, CD40L, CD28, and ICOS. The primary and secondary IgG anti-MCPS responses were lower in the IgG anti-MCPS response to isolated MCPS was rapid, without secondary boosting, and consisted of only IgG1 and IgG3, as opposed to all four IgG isotypes in response to intact MenC. The secondary, but not primary, IgG anti-MCPS response to MenC was dependent on CD4+ T cells, CD40L, CD28, and ICOS. The primary and secondary IgG anti-MCPS responses were lower in intact, heat-killed MenC, capsular type 14 (Pn14), a GP extracellular bacteria, is dependent on CD4+ T cells, B7-dependent costimulation, and CD40–CD40L interactions and comprises all four isotypes of IgG (as opposed to predominantly IgG3 and some IgG1 for isolated PS Ags) (9, 10), similar to that observed for the IgG anti-protein response. In contrast to the anti-protein response, the IgG anti-PPS14 response to intact, heat-killed Streptococcus pneumoniae, capsular type 14 (Pn14), a GP extracellular bacteria, is dependent on CD4+ T cells, B7-dependent costimulation, and CD40–CD40L interactions and comprises all four isotypes of IgG (as opposed to predominantly IgG3 and some IgG1 for isolated PS Ags) (9, 10), similar to that observed for the IgG anti-protein response. In contrast to the anti-protein response, the IgG anti-PPS14 response to intact Pn14 exhibits a rapid primary IgG response, dependent upon a shorter period of T cell help and B7-dependent costimulation, and fails to generate a boosted secondary response (11). Further, the IgG anti-PPS14, in contrast to the IgG anti-protein response to S. pneumoniae, is ICOS independent, extrafollicular (10), and more apoptosis prone (12). Thus, PPS14 in the context of intact Pn14 combines certain features of both an isolated PS Ag and a PS–protein conjugate vaccine (11).

Studies on the anti-PPS14 response to intact Pn14 indicate that the bacterium can markedly influence the immunobiology of the expressed PS Ag. These studies, however, left unresolved whether the nature of the PPS14-specific Ig response to intact Pn14 was characteristic of intact PS-expressing extracellular...
bacteria in general or perhaps represented a characteristic feature of PPS14, the underlying structure and/or composition of intact S. pneumoniae, or perhaps a more general dichotomy between GP and GN bacteria. Thus PPS14, among several other pathogen-derived substances, can bind to SIGN-R1, a scavenger receptor present on marginal zone macrophages (13). Capsular PS may additionally vary based on m.w. (14), charge characteristics (15), sialic acid content (16), or unique immunomodulatory properties (17), which may influence the nature of the associated immune response. Further, bacteria may express components within the cell wall, such as phosphorylcholine expressed by S. pneumoniae, and other pathogens, which may inhibit immunity (18).

In addition to the above considerations, the structure of intact GP and GN extracellular bacteria are significantly different, and these differences may influence the nature of the anti-PS response to the intact bacteria. Thus, capsular PS expressed by GP bacteria are covalently linked to a thick, underlying cell wall peptidoglycan to which a number of proteins are also covalently attached (19, 20). Capsular PS expressed by GN bacteria, which express a thin peptidoglycan cell wall, is attached through a labile covalent linkage to the acyl glycerol moiety of the outer membrane. The outer membrane is known to have multiple immunomodulatory properties, in part due to the presence of porin proteins (TLR2 ligand) and LPS (TLR4 ligand) (21, 22). Notably, immunization of mice with either 1) crude outer membrane complexes from intact Neisseria meningitidis, serogroup C (MenC) (containing both LPS and capsular PS), 2) purified complexes (lacking LPS), or 3) outer membrane complexes (lacking meningococcal type C polysaccharide (MCPS)) all resulted in significant boosting of the IgG anti-MCPS response after secondary immunization (23). In addition, mice primed systemically with live MenC exhibited a highly boosted IgG anti-MCPS response after secondary infection (24). Collectively, these data suggest that the nature of the PS-specific Ig response to intact S. pneumoniae and N. meningitidis may differ.

In this report, we used intact, heat-killed MenC to determine how the biochemically complex bacterial particle influences the nature of the anti-MCPS response relative to that elicited by isolated, soluble MCPS. In addition, these experiments were performed in a manner analogous to those previously conducted using intact heat-killed Phn14 to determine whether bacteria comprising different structures may influence the Ig anti-PS responses in distinct ways. We demonstrate that the anti-MCPS response to intact MenC is strikingly different from that elicited by isolated MCPS and is also different from the anti-PPS14 response to intact Phn14. We conclude that the nature of the in vivo anti-PS response is markedly influenced by the composition and/or architecture of the subcapsular domain.

Materials and Methods

Mice

CD28−/− mice (C57BL/6 background; B6.129S2- Cd28tm1Mic/J; cat. no. 002666), ICOS−/− mice (C57BL/6 background; B6129P2-Icos/J; cat. no. 004839), C3H/Hej mice (cat. no. 000659), C3H/HeOuJ mice (cat. no. 000655), TLR2−/− mice (C57BL/6 background; B6.129-Tlr2tm1Rep/J; cat. no. 004650), and MyD88−/− mice (C57BL/6 background; B6.129-Tlr2tm1Rep/J; cat. no. 004650), and MyD88−/− mice (C57BL/6 background; B6.129-Tlr2tm1Rep/J; cat. no. 004650) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). C57BL/6, BALB/c, and athymic nude (BALB/c background) mice were purchased from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD). Female mice were used between 7 and 10 wk of age. These studies were conducted in accordance with the principles set forth in the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council, revised 1996) and were approved by the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Bacterial strains

MenC (strain M1883; ATCC 53414) was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). The encapsulated MenC strain FAM18 C+ and its isogenic unencapsulated variant strain FAM18 C− was a kind gift of Dr. Mustafa Akkoyunlu (Food and Drug Administration, Bethesda, MD) (23). Lyophilized or frozen stocks of bacteria were grown overnight on BBL blood agar plates (WVR International, Bridgeport, NJ). Isolated colonies on blood agar were grown in Brain Heart Infusion media (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) to midlog phase, collected, and heat-killed by incubation at 65˚C for 2 h or inactivated by overnight UV irradiation. Sterility was confirmed by subculture on blood agar plates. After extensive washings, the bacterial suspension was adjusted to PBS to give an absorbance reading at 650 nm of 0.30, which corresponded with 1010 CFU/ml. Bacteria were then aliquoted at 1010 CFU/ml and frozen at −20˚C until their use as immunogen for mouse immunizations. Phn14 (strain R614) was prepared as described previously (26).

Reagents

A covalent conjugate of MCPS and tetanus toxoid (MCPS–TT) was prepared as previously described (9). Purified MCPS was obtained from the Serum Institute of India (Pune, India). Purified PPS14 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Rat IgG2b anti-mouse CD40L mAb (clone GK1.5) was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Hamster Ig mouse anti-CD40L mAb (clone MR1), polyclonal hamster IgG, rat IgG2a anti-mouse CD275 mAb (HK5.3), and rat IgG2a isotype control (clone 2A3) were purchased from BioXcell (West Lebanon, NH). Alum (Alhydrogel 2%) was obtained from Brenntag Biosector (Frederikssund, Denmark). A stimulatory 30mer CpG-containing oligodeoxynucleotide (CpG-ODN) was synthesized as previously described (27).

Purification of native PorB protein from N. meningitidis

Neisseria meningitidis serogroup B (strain H44/76) (28) was grown on gonococcal-agar plates containing 1% (v/v) Isovitalex overnight at 37˚C in a humidified incubator with 5% (v/v) CO2. The next day, colonies were inoculated in liquid gonococcal medium and grown to exponential phase as previously described (29). After overnight expansion of the cultures, the bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 3900 × g for 15 min at 4˚C, and the total protein content was extracted with the CaCl2-Zwittergent method. PorB was purified using an AktaPrime protein chromatography machine as previously described (29). Briefly, the protein suspension was first subjected to ion-exchange chromatography on two DEAEcarboxymethyl columns in tandem, followed by gel filtration chromatography on a Sephacryl S-300 column and finally a Matrix Cellulinite Sulfate column for removal of endotoxin traces.

Preparation of MenC whole protein extract

Unencapsulated FAM18 C− bacteria were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min, and the supernatant was discarded. The B-PER bacterial protein extraction reagent from Pierce (Rockford, IL) was added to the bacterial pellet (1:10 ratio), mixed well by shaking, and incubated at room temperature for 15 min and again centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant containing the soluble proteins was obtained, and the concentration was determined by the BCA assay (Pierce).

Production and purification of recombinant pneumococcal surface protein A

Recombinant pneumococcal surface protein A (PspA) was expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae BJ3505. The supernatant was then passed through a Q FF column (Amersham Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) and eluted with 0.2 M NaCl solution containing 20 mM Tris pH 9. Eluted PspA was then added to a Phenyl HP column (Amersham Pharmacia) equilibrated with 40 mM phosphate buffer containing 1.3 M ammonium sulfate, pH 7. PspA was then eluted from this column using a 1.3 to 0.4 M ammonium sulfate gradient. Pooled PspA was dialyzed against 20 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.7, 300 mM NaCl, and loaded into an S15 column (Amersham Pharmacia). PspA was then eluted with an 0.3 M NaCl solution containing 20 mM sodium acetate. Eluted PspA was dialyzed and concentrated and found to be >95% pure by Coomasie staining.

Immunization

Depending on the experiment, groups of seven mice were immunized with 2 × 108 CFU heat-killed or UV-inactivated MenC in saline (i.p. or i.v.), 5 × 108 CFU live MenC (i.p.), 10 μg purified MCPS in saline (i.p.), or 1 μg
MCPS–TT adsorbed on 13 μg alum mixed with 25 μg CpG-ODN (i.p.). Serum samples were prepared at different time points from blood obtained through the tail vein.

**ELISA**
For measurement of serum titers of MCPS-specific Ig, Immulon 4 ELISA plates were precoated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma) (5 μg/ml, 100 μl/well) in PBS for 1 h at 37°C. The plates were then washed three times with PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20 and then coated overnight at 4°C with purified MCPS (10 μg/ml, 100 μl/well) in PBS. To measure the serum titers of PorB- or whole-protein-specific Ig, the plates were directly coated with PorB or whole protein extract (2 μg/ml, 50 μl/well). Plates were then washed three times with PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20 and were blocked with PBS plus 1.0% BSA for 1 h at 37°C. Three-fold dilutions of serum samples, starting at a 1/50 serum dilution, in PBS plus 1.0% BSA were incubated overnight at 4°C, and plates were then washed three times with PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20. Alkaline phosphatase-conjugated polyclonal goat anti-mouse IgM, IgG, IgG3, IgG1, IgG2b, IgG2a, or IgG2c Abs (200 ng/ml) in PBS plus 1.0% BSA were then added, and plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Plates were washed three times with PBS plus 0.1% Tween 20. Substrate (nitrophenyl phosphate, disodium; Sigma) at 1 mg/ml in 1 M Tris plus 0.3 mM MgCl2 (pH 9.8) was then added for color development. Color was read at an absorbance of 405 nm on a Multiskan Ascent ELISA reader (Labsystems, Finland). Serum titers of PPS14- and PspA-specific Ig were measured as described previously (10).

**Statistics**
Serum Ig isotype titer was expressed as the geometric means ± SEM of the individual serum Ig isotype titer. Significance was determined by the Student t test, and p values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant. All experiments were performed at least two times.

**Results**
The primary and secondary IgG anti-MCPS responses to intact MenC are similar to that elicited by a meningococcal conjugate vaccine
We previously demonstrated that the anti-PPS14 response to intact heat-killed Pn14, a GP bacterium, is distinct from that elicited by a soluble covalent conjugate of PPS14 and PspA (PPS14–PspA), in that the former exhibited rapid primary kinetics (peak day 6) with no secondary boosting, despite the CD4+ T cell dependence of the IgG anti-PPS14 responses to both Pn14 and PPS14–PspA (11). Based on the distinct subcapsular composition and/or structure of GP and GN bacteria, we hypothesized that the PPS14-specific IgG response to Pn14 might be immunologically different from a PS-specific IgG response induced by a GN bacterium. In this regard, we chose to study the nature of the anti-MCPS response to intact MenC, a GN bacterium, in comparison with that elicited by a meningococcal conjugate vaccine. We immunized BALB/c mice i.p. with either UV-inactivated MenC (strain M1883) (2 × 10⁹ CFU/mouse) in saline or MCPS–TT conjugate (1 μg/mouse) in alum plus CpG-ODN and boosted the mice 28 d later in a similar fashion. As illustrated in Fig. 1A, there was minimal induction of serum IgM anti-MCPS in the primary response to M1883, with a 4-fold significant boost in serum titers after secondary immunization. In contrast, MCPS–TT elicited a significant though transient primary induction of IgM anti-MCPS and no boosting after secondary immunization. The primary IgG anti-MCPS responses to both M1883 and MCPS–TT were relatively modest and peaked on day 21 (M1883) or day 14 (MCPS–TT) (Fig. 1A). In contrast to IgM, the secondary IgG anti-MCPS responses to both M1883 and MCPS–TT were highly and rapidly boosted. The two MCPS-specific IgG responses were similar in kinetics and boosting to the associated anti-protein responses (PorB for M1883, TT for MCPS–TT). Similar primary and secondary IgM and IgG anti-MCPS responses were observed in response to 5 × 10⁸ CFU/mouse of live M1883 (injected i.p.) or 2 × 10⁸ CFU/mouse of heat-killed M1883 (injected i.v.) (data not shown) or i.p. (all experiments below). Immunization i.p. with heat-killed M1883 at 2 × 10⁸ CFU/mouse versus 1 × 10⁹ CFU/mouse gave similar results (data not shown).

The specificity of the MCPS-specific ELISA was established using a different strain of encapsulated MenC (FAM18 C+) versus its unencapsulated isogenic mutant (FAM18 C−) (25) (Fig. 1B). Thus, FAM18 C− failed to elicit a detectable IgG anti-MCPS response, in contrast to FAM18 C+, the latter exhibiting detectable primary and highly boosted secondary IgG anti-MCPS responses, whereas both strains induced comparable IgG anti-PorB responses. Collectively, these data demonstrate that the kinetics and boosting characteristics of the IgG anti-MCPS response to intact MenC is similar to that of a corresponding conjugate vaccine but distinct from the previously reported IgG anti-PPS14 response to intact Pn14. In addition, the weak IgG anti-MCPS response to M1883 contrasts with the previously reported high-titer IgM anti-PPS14 response to Pn14.

The MCPS-specific IgM and IgG isotype responses to isolated MCPS and intact M1883 are distinct
Isolated PS are poorly immunogenic, eliciting IgG responses largely of the IgM isotype, with smaller amounts of IgG3, and sometimes IgG1, and fail to show boosted IgG anti-PS responses after secondary immunization (5). To determine the effect of M1883 on the associated anti-MCPS response, we directly compared the MCPS-specific IgM and IgG isotype responses to isolated, soluble MCPS...
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CD28-, ICOS-, and CD40L-dependent costimulation is critical for the induction of the secondary, but not primary, IgG anti-MCPS response to M1883

ICOS is a member of the CD28 family that is induced on CD4+ T cells upon TCR cross-linking and CD28-mediated signaling (30, 31). CD28, which is expressed constitutively on CD4+ T cells, is critical for initiation of CD4+ T cell activation, whereas ICOS plays a role in the subsequent T cell effector response such as the germinal center reaction and the generation of memory (32, 33). We previously demonstrated that the CD4+ T cell primary IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14 is CD28/B7-2–dependent but ICOS-independent (10). In light of the CD4+ T cell dependence of the boosted secondary IgG anti-MCPS response to M1883, we wished to determine whether it was CD28- and/or ICOS-dependent. Thus, mice genetically deficient in CD28 (CD28−/−), ICOS (ICOS−/−), and strain-matched C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) mice were immunized i.p. with M1883 and boosted 3 wk later. As illustrated in Fig. 4, M1883-immunized WT mice elicited a detectable primary IgG anti-MCPS and anti-PorB response and, after secondary immunization, significant boosting in both MCPS- and PorB-specific IgG serum titers. The primary IgG anti-MCPS responses in CD28−/− and ICOS−/− mice were similar to that observed in WT control mice, consistent with the TI nature of the response (Fig. 3), whereas the CD4+ T cell secondary IgG anti-MCPS responses were essentially abrogated in both mutant mouse strains (Fig. 4). In contrast, both the primary and secondary IgG anti-PorB responses
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TLR4 in the IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14 (data not shown), although genetic deficiency of TLR2 resulted in a significant reduction in IgG3, IgG2b, and IgG2a but not IgG1 PPS14-specific serum titers (41). C3H/HeJ (Tlr4<sup>−/−</sup>) mice that carry a spontaneous mutation in the TLR4 gene and C3H/HeOuJ control mice were immunized i.p. with M1883 and boosted on days 21 and 35. As illustrated in Fig. 6A, both the primary, secondary, and tertiary IgG anti-MCPS responses in C3H/HeJ mice were significantly reduced, though not eliminated relative to control mice. Of note, the absence of TLR4 signaling did not prevent a secondary boost in the IgG anti-MCPS response. In contrast, there were no significant differences in the primary, secondary, or tertiary proteinspecific IgG serum titers between the two groups (data not shown).

Further analysis of serum titers of IgM and IgG isotypes after tertiary immunization indicated that TLR4 deficiency significantly reduced MCPS-specific IgM and IgG2a (Fig. 6A). Thus, endogenous TLR4 signaling plays a significant role in the magnitude of both the TI and TD components of the IgG anti-MCPS response but is not critical for the generation of memory. Of note, in additional experiments using TLR2<sup>−/−</sup> (Fig. 6B) and MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> (Fig. 6C) mice, no significant reductions in serum titers of MCPS-specific IgM or IgG were observed, although a significant 4-fold reduction in the IgG2b anti-MCPS titer was noted in MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> relative to control C57BL/6 mice.


The inability of Pn14 to induce a boosted secondary IgG anti-PPS14 response remains unexplained. One possibility is that Pn14 fails to provide adequate innate signaling and/or inhibits the generation and/or elicitation of PPS14-specific IgG memory after secondary immunization. We therefore wished to determine whether signals provided by MenC could promote secondary boosting to the IgG anti-PPS response to <i>S. pneumoniae</i>. Therefore, we immunized mice i.p. with Pn14 (strain R614) or M1883 alone or the two together. In all groups, 2 × 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mouse of each bacterial strain was used. Mice were similarly boosted on day 21. As shown in Fig. 7A, the primary IgG anti-PPS14 response to R614 alone peaked on day 7, with no boosting in titers after secondary immunization. In the presence of M1883, the primary IgG anti-PPS14 response to R614 was modestly reduced at day 14 but more importantly showed significant secondary boosting (3-fold increase). Of note, priming and secondary immunization of mice with as high as 1 × 10<sup>9</sup> CFU R614/mouse failed to elicit a boosted secondary IgG anti-PPS response (data not shown).
M1883 had no effect on the IgG anti-PspA response to R614 (Fig. 7). Conversely, with the exception of a modest increase in the primary IgG anti-MCPS response to M1883 on day 14, coimmunization with R614 had no other significant effects on either the IgG anti-MCPS or IgG anti-PorB response. Isolated MCPS has been reported to increase expression of CD86 and MHC class II on murine B cells (17), suggesting a possible role in augmenting adaptive immunity. In this regard, in an additional experiment, coimmunization of R614 with either FAM18 C+ or FAM18 C− similarly boosted the secondary IgG anti-PPS14 response (Fig. 7B) indicating that the boosting effect of MenC is not secondary to the presence of the MCPS capsule. Thus, these data suggest

**FIGURE 7.** Coimmunization of MenC and Pn14 promotes secondary boosting of the IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14. BALB/c mice (seven per group) were immunized i.p. with $2 \times 10^8$ CFU heat-inactivated intact M1883 or strains R614 and boosted on days 21 and 35. Serum titers of MCPS-specific IgM, IgG, and IgG isotypes were determined by ELISA from sera obtained on the indicated days. *p < 0.05 (significance between C3H/HeOuJ versus C3H/HeJ in A and significance between C57BL/6 versus Myd88$^{-/-}$ in C).
that the failure of *S. pneumoniae* to induce a boosted secondary IgG response may reflect either subcritical innate signaling by *S. pneumoniae* or an inhibitory effect that can be overcome by MenC.

**Discussion**

The inability of non-zwitterionic capsular PS to associate with MHC class II molecules for presentation to CD4⁺ T cells (3, 4) results in a limited capacity of these Ags to elicit PS-specific IgG isotypes, GC formation, and IgG-specific memory (5). However, isolated PS have been reported to induce TI IgM-specific memory that is downregulated by PS-specific IgG (42). Covalent attachment of an immunogenic protein to an isolated PS results in the induction of a TD PS-specific IgG memory response (43). This likely occurs through specific uptake of PS–protein conjugate by PS-specific B cells with subsequent presentation of protein peptide/MHC class II to CD4⁺ T cells (35), although CD4⁺ T cells specific for the PS and combined PS–protein components are also elicited (44). Capsular PS expressed by extracellular bacteria is associated indirectly with immunogenic proteins, through covalent attachment to cell wall peptidoglycan (GP bacteria) (19, 20) or the acyl glycerol moiety of the outer membrane (45). This suggests the possibility that PS-specific Ig responses to intact bacteria might behave in a manner similar to that elicited by conjugate vaccines. Indeed, somatically mutated PS-specific IgG is elicited in response to encapsulated bacteria, suggesting a role for T cells in this process (46, 47). However, BCR-mediated Ag uptake by B cells involves endocytic vesicles that are only 50–150 nm in diameter and thus would be predicted to exclude intact bacteria (48). Nevertheless, a single study demonstrated BCR-mediated uptake of intact *Salmonella typhimurium* by human B cells with subsequent display of MHC class II–peptide complexes and primary CD4⁺ T cell activation (49).

Our previous studies on the in vivo IgG anti-PPS14 response to intact, heat-killed Pn14 indicated that the bacteria significantly altered the nature of the associated PPS14-specific IgG response relative to that elicited by isolated PPS14. The IgG anti-PPS14 response to intact Pn14 was similar to that elicited by a TD conjugate vaccine, in that both responses were dependent on CD4⁺ T cells, B7-dependent costimulation, and CD40–CD40L interactions, with elicitation of all four IgG isotypes (9, 10). However, in contrast to conjugate vaccines, the IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14 was relatively rapid, failed to induce a boosted secondary response, and was ICOS-independent, similar to that elicited by TI isolated PS Ags. Nevertheless, Pn14 elicited a protein-specific (i.e., PspA) IgG response that was classically TD (9, 10). The basis for this unique immunologic behavior of intact Pn14 is a matter of ongoing investigation (26).

In this report, we wished to determine whether the nature of the PPS14-specific Ig response to intact Pn14 was characteristic of intact PS-expressing extracellular bacteria in general or perhaps represented either a characteristic feature of PPS14, the underlying structure and/or composition of intact *S. pneumoniae*, or perhaps a more general dichotomy between GP and GN bacteria. To begin to address this question, we studied the nature of the MCPS-specific Ig response to intact MenC, a GN bacterium, and compared this response to that elicited by an MCPS–TT conjugate or isolated MCPS and to our previously published data on Pn14. In this report, we demonstrate the following: 1) Intact MenC elicits an IgG anti-MCPS response that, similar to the MCPS–TT conjugate, shows prolonged primary kinetics of development and significant boosting upon secondary immunization. This is in distinct contrast to the IgG anti-PPS14 response to intact Pn14 that showed rapid primary kinetics of induction and no secondary boosting. The IgG anti-PorB and total IgG anti-MenC protein response to MenC shows similar kinetics and boosting to that of the IgG anti-MCPS response. 2) In contrast to MenC, the IgG anti-MCPS response to isolated MCPS shows rapid primary kinetics of induction, no boosting upon secondary immunization, and expression of IgG3 and IgG1 in contrast to all four IgG isotypes elicited by MenC, indicating a marked effect of the intact bacterium on the associated MCPS-specific IgG response. The absence of secondary boosting observed using isolated MCPS is consistent with early studies using other soluble PS Ags (50, 51). 3) Surprisingly, the primary IgG anti-MCPS response to intact MenC is TI, although the boosted secondary response, similar to both the primary and secondary IgG anti-PorB response, is dependent on CD4⁺ T cells and requires CD28, ICOS, and CD40L. This is in contrast to the primary IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14 that was dependent on CD4⁺ T cells, CD28, and CD40L, although not on ICOS. 4) Both the TI and TD IgG anti-MCPS response to MenC is significantly reduced in TLR4-defective (C3H/HeJ) but not TLR2⁻/⁻ or MyD88⁻/⁻ mice, although boosting of the IgG anti-MCPS response is still observed after secondary MenC immunization. 5) Coimmunization of MenC and Pn14 results in a boosting of the IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14, independent of expression by MenC of MCPS.

These data, and our previously published reports, demonstrate distinct differences in the nature of the PS-specific Ig responses to intact Pn14 versus MenC. Although it is clear from these data that the intact bacterium significantly alters the nature of the PS-specific Ig response to the associated PS Ag, it remains unresolved whether the differences between intact Pn14 and MenC reflect a more general dichotomy between GP and GN bacteria, the biochemical nature of the specific expressed PS Ag and its interaction with the immune system, or unique features in the composition and/or structure of one or both of these bacteria, not manifested by other bacterial species. In this regard, isolated MCPS and type V capsular PS from *Streptococcus agalactiae*, but not (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acyetyl–Ficoll, were shown to inhibit a number of B cell functions mediated by BAFF and APRIL (17). Additionally, MCPS enhanced the expression of CD86 and MHC class II on B cells. Other bacterial PSs, such as C-polysaccharide and PPS1 of *S. pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* capsular polysaccharides types 5 and 8, and PS-A of *Bacteroides fragilis*, are zwitterionic on the basis of expression of both positive and negative charges and can stimulate CD4⁺ T cells through association with MHC class II (15). Additional differences between capsular PS such as the ability to bind to SIGN-R1, a scavenger receptor on splenic marginal zone macrophages (13, 52), capacity to fix complement (53), or expression of terminal sialic acid residues that interact with the inhibitory CD22 receptor on B cells (16), may also have potential effects on PS-specific Ig responses to intact bacteria. Thus, a determination of how differences in bacterial composition and structure may potentially impact the specific Ig response to an expressed capsular PS will require study of distinct bacteria with biochemically identical PS capsules.

The boosting of the IgG anti-MCPS response after secondary immunization with intact MenC and its dependence on CD4⁺ T cells, CD40L, CD28, and especially ICOS (30) strongly suggests that MCPS-specific B cells enter into a germinal center reaction in which MCPS-specific memory B cells are generated. This further suggests that MCPS-specific B cells engage in cognate interactions with CD4⁺ T cells that are specific for bacterial protein and that association, although not direct covalent attachment, of capsular PS and protein within the bacterial particulate is critical. It remains to be determined whether PS-specific B cells can directly internalize intact bacteria for presentation of bacterial...
protein to CD4+ T cells or perhaps obtain bacterial protein indirectly after uptake, transport, and/or processing of intact bacteria by other immune cells, including dendritic cells and macrophages (54). Of note, the primary TI IgG anti-MCPS response to MenC was independent of CD40L. Previous reports have indicated a role for CD40L–CD40 interactions in the PPS-specific IgM and IgG response to isolated PPS (36), although not to TNP–Fc (39). The reasons for these differences remain unexplained.

Previous studies from our laboratory using an in vitro polyclonal model for multivalent membrane Ig cross-linking in response to PS Ags (i.e., multiple dextran-conjugated anti-IgD Abs) indicated that multivalent membrane Ig cross-linking alone, or in concert with CD40-mediated activation, induced vigorous proliferation but no Ig secretion or isotype switching by highly purified B cells (7). However, addition of a number of cytokines or various TLR ligands such as bacterial lipopolysaccharides (TLR2), Neisseria porins (TLR2), unmethylated CpG-containing oligodeoxynucleotides (TLR9), or LPS (TLR4) to dextran-conjugated anti-IgD Ab-activated B cell cultures induced substantial Ig secretion and isotype switching (7). On this basis, we proposed that during natural infections with PS-encapsulated bacteria, the associated bacterial TLR ligands will play an especially critical role in eliciting the TI component of the anti-PS response, either through direct activation of B cells and/or via cytokine induction through innate cell stimulation (7). Our data (Fig 6) demonstrating an important role for TLR4 in enhancing the magnitude of the primary TI anti-MCPS response to intact MenC supports this hypothesis. TLR4 signaling in response to MenC is likely mediated by lipooligosaccharide (55). In this regard, the capacity of at least some isolated PS Ags to induce Ig secretory responses in vivo may reflect the presence of contaminating TLR4 ligands remaining after PS purification from the intact bacteria (56). Whether this is true for the IgM and IgG anti-MCPS to isolated MCPS remains to be determined.

TLR4-defective mice also elicited a reduced secondary TD MCPS-specific IgG response to MenC, with the reduction in IgG due to selective abrogation of the IgG2a response. The capacity of LPS potentially to induce IL-12–dependent IFN-γ (57), a switch factor for the IgG2a subclass (58), likely accounts for this effect. In addition to LPS, IFN-γ has also been shown to stimulate maturation of B cells into IgM-secreting cells (59), perhaps accounting for the reduction in the IgM anti-MCPS as well. In this regard, a recent report demonstrated the ability of isolated MCPS to inhibit IFN-γ–mediated dendritic cells’ release of BAFF (17), a mediator of B cell maturation and class switching to IgG. Perhaps the stimulating effects of intact MenC override this inhibitory property of isolated MCPS. Nevertheless, TLR4-defective mice exhibited a boosted MCPS-specific secondary IgG response to MenC, despite reduced serum titers relative to WT controls, and no changes in the more prolonged kinetics of primary MCPS-specific IgG induction. Thus, differences in TLR signaling between Pn14 and MenC per se are unlikely to account for the distinct PS-specific IgG responses between the two bacteria. In this regard, the demonstration that coimmunization of Pn14 with MenC results in a boosted secondary IgG anti-PPS14 response to Pn14 suggests the possibility that nonivable Pn14 exerts an inhibitory influence on the PPS14-specific secondary IgG response that is at least partially overcome by innate signaling in response to MenC. The comparable IgM and IgG anti-MCPS responses to MenC, observed in MyD88−/− versus WT mice, despite reduced responses in TLR4-defective mice, suggest a possible role for TLR4–dependent TRIF signaling (60). Finally, although MenC expresses porins that are TLR2 ligands and signal in a MyD88–dependent manner (22), no significant differences in Ig responses to MenC were observed in TLR2−/− versus WT mice.
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