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Lamin B Receptor Regulates the Growth and Maturation of Myeloid Progenitors via its Sterol Reductase Domain: Implications for Cholesterol Biosynthesis in Regulating Myelopoiesis

Gayathri Subramanian,* Pulkit Chaudhury,* Krishnakumar Malu,* Samantha Fowler,* Rahul Manmode,† Deepali Gotur,* Monika Zwerger,‡ David Ryan,† Rita Roberti,§ and Peter Gaines*

Lamin B receptor (LBR) is a bifunctional nuclear membrane protein with N-terminal lamin B and chromatin-binding domains plus a C-terminal sterol Δ14 reductase domain. LBR expression increases during neutrophil differentiation, and deficient expression disrupts neutrophil nuclear lobulation characteristic of Pelger-Huët anomaly. Thus, LBR plays a critical role in regulating myeloid differentiation, but how the two functional domains of LBR support this role is currently unclear. We previously identified abnormal proliferation and deficient functional maturation of promyelocytes (erythroid, myeloid, and lymphoid [EML]-derived promyelocytes) derived from EML-icic cells, a myeloid model of ichthyosis (ic) bone marrow that lacks Lbr expression. In this study, we provide new evidence that cholesterol biosynthesis is important to myeloid cell growth and is supported by the sterol reductase domain of Lbr. Cholesterol biosynthesis inhibitors caused growth inhibition of EML cells that increased in EML-derived promyelocytes, whereas cells lacking Lbr exhibited complete growth arrest at both stages. Lipid production increased during wild-type neutrophil maturation, but icic cells exhibited deficient levels of lipid and cholesterol production. Ectopic expression of a full-length Lbr in EML-icic cells rescued both nuclear lobulation and growth arrest in cholesterol starvation conditions. Lipid production also was rescued, and a deficient respiratory burst was corrected. Expression of just the C-terminal sterol reductase domain of Lbr in icic cells also improved each of these phenotypes. Our data support the conclusion that the sterol Δ14 reductase domain of LBR plays a critical role in cholesterol biosynthesis and that this process is essential to both myeloid cell growth and functional maturation. The Journal of Immunology, 2012, 188: 85–102.

Neutrophils are the most abundant WBCs in circulation and present the first line of defense against invading pathogens. As critical mediators of innate immunity, neutrophils mount an impressive barrier to infectious microbes by undergoing two critical processes during their development in the bone marrow: rapid proliferation of myeloid progenitors that produces large numbers of circulating mature cells and dramatic changes in morphology and protein expression that support functional responses (1, 2). Myeloid progenitor proliferation is caused by signaling pathways activated by certain hematopoietic cytokines released during inflammatory responses, including G-CSF and GM-CSF (3, 4). Following proliferation, neutrophil progenitors then undergo morphologic maturation that includes lobulation of the nucleus, a process thought to facilitate the escape of mature neutrophils from capillary beds as they undergo chemotaxis toward sites of infection. Upon contact with bacteria, the neutrophils engulf the microbes by phagocytosis and then kill them via the production of proteolytic enzymes and reactive oxygen during the respiratory burst. The importance of high neutrophil numbers and their correct function is revealed by the consequences of human disorders that either block neutrophil development (cyclic or severe congenital neutropenia) or cause abnormal neutrophil functions (chronic granulomatous disease) (recently reviewed in Refs. 5, 6). Studies aimed at identifying the molecular causes of these disorders have helped to unravel the complex transcriptional mechanisms that regulate neutrophil differentiation. However, there is increasing evidence that cholesterol biosynthesis also plays a significant role in supporting myeloid progenitor proliferation and functional maturation. For example, treatment of neutrophils with the cholesterol synthesis inhibitor lovastatin, the lanosterol 14α-demethylase inhibitor SSKF 104976, or methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MβCD) dramatically inhibited multiple neutrophil functions, including adhesion and rolling, chemotaxis, phagocytosis, and activation of the respiratory burst (7–11). In each case, cholesterol levels were...
shown to influence properties of membrane microdomains termed lipid rafts. These lipid platforms facilitate the assembly of protein complexes in plasma or phagosome membranes, including the membrane-bound proteins of NADPH oxidase (11, 12). Treatment of neutrophils with cholesterol inhibitors therefore caused deficient membrane cholesterol levels, thereby disrupting the formation of membrane protein complexes critical to normal functional responses. Cholesterol bioavailability and its synthesis in myeloid progenitors are also important to proliferative responses; treatment of myeloblastic HL-60 and acute myeloid leukemia cells with lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS) and/or inhibitors of cholesterol biosynthesis severely diminished their proliferation (13–18). Together, these data indicate that levels of cholesterol directly influence both myeloid cell proliferation and functional maturation, but whether the metabolic mechanisms that drive cholesterol biosynthesis are critical to neutrophil differentiation is currently unknown.

The cholesterol biosynthesis pathway is part of the larger isoprenoid biosynthetic system, and its end product is not only an important structural lipid but also a starting metabolite for the synthesis of multiple metabolically active compounds (reviewed in Ref. 19). A major intermediate in cholesterol biosynthesis from the starting compound acetyl-CoA is lanosterol, which must undergo a series of enzymatic modifications including reductions of C7-, C14-, and C24-unsaturated bonds. Each of these reductions is catalyzed by a member of the highly related sterol reductase family. A possible association between the activities of one of these enzymes and myeloid development was recently revealed when mutations of the lamin B receptor (LBR) gene were found to cause Pelger-Huet anomaly, a disorder of neutrophil morphology characterized by mononuclear or bilobed nuclei (often referred to as pince-nez cells) (20, 21). LBR encodes a bifunctional protein with an N-terminal domain localized to the nucleoplasm that interacts with B-type lamins, heterochromatin, and chromatin-binding proteins (e.g., HP1) and a C-terminal domain with eight predicted transmembrane segments that anchor LBR to the inner nuclear membrane (INM) (22–28). The combined functions of these domains, which tether chromatin and components of the nuclear lamina to the INM, are thought to mediate reformation of the nuclear envelope after mitosis and perhaps orchestrate localization of chromatin to peripheral regions of the nucleus at distinct stages of the cell cycle. In addition to these identified domains, the C-terminal 407 aa of LBR are 58% identical to the 3β-hydroxysterol Δ14 reductase TM7SF2 (also known as DHCRI4 or SR-1), recently identified in bovine, human, and mouse tissues (29–32). This high level of homology indicates that both LBR and TM7SF2 can function as sterol reductases, which is supported by studies that demonstrated LBR can complement C14 sterol reductase mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisia and Neurospora crassa, and TM7SF2 exhibits sterol reductase activity when overexpressed in COS cells (30, 33, 34). Which of these two proteins is essential to cholesterol biosynthesis is unclear, but homozygous mutations of Tm7sf2 in mice cause no apparent abnormality, and there is no evidence to date that the gene is associated with human disorders (31, 35). In contrast, homozygous mutations in LBR cause not only severe PHA but also a fatal disorder in humans termed Hydrops-Ectopic calcification-Moth-eaten skeletal dysplasia (Greenberg/HEM dysplasia) and the ichthyosis (ic) phenotype in mice (20, 36). Furthermore, a stillborn fetus with Greenberg/HEM dysplasia exhibited increased levels of the precursors cholesta-8,14-dien-3β-ol and cholesta-8,14,24-trien-3β-ol, indicating that the loss of sterol reductase activity provided by LBR may be the underlying cause of the disease (37). Despite these data, views differ as to whether disorders caused by loss of LBR expression are more likely laminopathies (i.e., caused by a disruption of normal interactions between LBR and nuclear lamins; e.g., lamin B) or due to deficient cholesterol biosynthesis. For example, studies of mice deficient for both Tm7sf2 and Lbr indicated that these two proteins play redundant roles and that a disruption of the interactions between lamin B and chromatin with the INM underlies the cause of Greenberg/HEM dysplasia and ichthyosis (35). These data are contrasted by the recent discovery that certain missense mutations within the C-terminal sterol reductase domain of LBR caused Greenberg/HEM dysplasia with no apparent effect on neutrophil nuclear maturation (38). Thus, the importance of the two disparate functional domains of LBR during early mammalian development or adult blood cell differentiation remains to be resolved.

To further investigate the functions of LBR in myeloid differentiation, we previously used bone marrow of a homozygous ic mouse that lacked Lbr expression to generate an immortalized cell line that can be induced to differentiate into mature neutrophils. The cell line was generated by expressing a dominant-negative form of the retinoic acid receptor α (RARα), which previously was shown to block differentiation of bone marrow stem cells at either an early progenitor stage (erythroid, myeloid, and lymphoid [EML] cells) or at the promyelocyte stage (EML-derived promyelocytes [EPRO cells]); further differentiation of either cell type can be induced by superphysiologic levels of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) (39). We previously discovered that derived EML-icic and EPRO-icic cells exhibited aberrant proliferative responses and upon ATRA-induced differentiation displayed severe nuclear hypolobulation, loss of chemotaxis, and a deficient respiratory burst phenotype (40). We speculated that the loss of chemotaxis could be explained by the lack of lobulation, which may have impeded the migration of cells through the transwell apparatus used in our assays. However, causes for the loss of growth and respiratory burst were unresolved; although expression of gp91phox, a critical component of NADPH oxidase, was reduced in icicic cells as compared with +icic cells, significant expression was nonetheless identified, and this did not explain the dramatic effects on respiratory burst induced by opsonized zymosan (OZ). In this study, we tested our hypothesis that loss of the sterol reductase domain of Lbr may contribute to the growth and respiratory burst defects in the icicic cells and that cholesterol biosynthesis supported by Lbr is critical to myelopoiesis. Both wild-type (+/+e) and icicic cells were assayed for their capacity to produce lipids and their growth in lipid-deprived conditions. We found that loss of Lbr expression severely affected EML and EPRO responses in both assays and caused a loss of overall cholesterol synthesis in either normal or lipid-deprived medium. Expression of a full-length version of mouse Lbr rescued both lipid production and the respiratory burst phenotypes. Moreover, truncated versions of Lbr that lacked N-terminal lamin B and chromatin-binding domains also improved the responses. These studies indicate that cholesterol biosynthesis is a critical feature of myeloid cell differentiation that influences progenitor cell growth and their maturation into functional neutrophils and that expression of the sterol Δ14 reductase domain of Lbr plays an essential role in myeloid cell cholesterol biosynthesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

All EML cells lines were cultured in IMDM (HyClone, Logan, UT) supplemented with 20% horse serum (HS; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and 15% BHK-MKL–conditioned medium as a source of stem cell factor (SCF). EPRO cells from each genotype were derived from EML cells as previously described (41) and maintained in IMDM supplemented with 20% HS and 20% BHK-HMS–conditioned medium as a source of GM-CSF. EPRO
cell inductions were performed using 10 μM ATRA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 3–5 d. Generation of EML-Tm7s2-knockout (KO) and littermate control cells was performed as described for EML–i/ie and i/ie (40) using bone marrow from KO mice that were previously described (32). Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 and Phoenix retrovirus producer cells were maintained in DMEM (HyClone) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gemini, Aukland, New Zealand). Media were supplemented with 5 units/ml penicillin, 5 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate, and 0.25 μg/ml amphotericin B; all cells were maintained at 37˚C in 5% CO2.

Chemicals
For cholesterol-depletion studies, lovastatin (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 100% ethanol to make a 10 mg/ml stock solution, and MJCD was dissolved in sterile water to make a 500 mg/ml stock solution. LPDS was generated by the addition of 2% (v/v) Cab-O-Sil (Arcos, Morris Plains, NJ) to HS followed by overnight incubation at 4˚C and centrifugation at 9000 × g for 1 h. The cholesterol content in regular serum versus LPDS was determined using the Amplex Red cholesterol assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). For staining total lipids in cells, 1 mg/ml Nile red stock solution (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in acetone. Both liver X receptor (LXR) agonists T0901317 and GW3965 (Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in DMSO to yield final concentrations of 10 and 8 mM, respectively, each of which was added to growth medium of EML and EPRO cells to yield the final concentrations indicated for each assay.

Expression plasmids and transductions in EML cells
Coding sequences for all plasmids were PCR amplified from cDNA generated from ATRA-induced EPRO cells using Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). The FLAG-tagged mouse Lbr expression plasmid was generated by amplifying the Lbr cDNA using a forward primer that included a BamHI site (underlined) adjacent to the second amino acid (5′-CCGAGATCCAAATGAGAATTTGA-3′) and reverse primers with a XhoI site directly downstream from the stop codon (5′-CCGAGGTAGTCGCAG-TAAATGTAGGGG-3′), which was ligated into the pCMV-Tag2C vector (Stratagene). The FLAG-Lbr fragment was then excised with NotI (located upstream of the FLAG sequences) and XhoI, overhangs filled with Klenow Fragment (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and then the fragment was cloned into pMSCV-puro at the Hpal site. The LbrΔ71–626 mutant sequences were generated using the forward primers 5′-CGGATCCCTCCCTCTTCCGACGC-3′ and 5′-CCGGATCCCTGGAGCGGGGAGG-3′, respectively, combined with the reverse primer 5′-GGGATCCTCAGTAAATGAGAATTTGA-3′ and the fragments were cloned into the pMSCV-FLAG-Lbr expression vector using the BamHI (located downstream from the FLAG sequences) and EcoRI site located downstream from the stop site. All PCR amplifications were carried out with a Pcx2 thermocycler (Thermo Electron, Milford, MA); PCR for wild-type Lbr was carried out for 30 cycles using 94˚C for 1 min, 60˚C for 1 min, and 72˚C for 1 min, whereas amplifications of the mutant Lbr fragments employed a touchdown amplification using the following conditions: 94˚C, 58˚C, and 72˚C for 30 s each, six cycles; 94˚C, 58–46˚C gradient, and 72˚C for 30 s each, 14 cycles; and 94˚C, 45˚C, and 72˚C for 30 s each, 15 cycles. The expression vector pMSCV-puro-Tm7s2 was constructed by excising the mouse Tm7s2 cDNA from clone MM1013-7510962 (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL) via SalI and XhoI sites and constructed by excising the mouse Tm7sf2 cDNA from clone MMM1013-30510962 (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL) via SalI and XhoI sites and then ligating the cDNA into the XhoI site of the pMSCV-puro vector. Correct sequences for all inserted fragments were confirmed by double-stranded sequencing reactions (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Danvers, MA). Each plasmid was transduced into EML and EPRO cells by transiently transfecting Phoenix producer cells using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. After 24 h, EML cells were added to the adherent cells, allowed to incubate in the presence of polybrene (8 mg/ml) for 24 h, and then harvested and cultured for 24 h. Cells positive for each expression vector were selected for 3 wk in 1 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich).

Proliferation assays
For each assay, 2 × 104 cells/ml were plated in triplicate wells, and growth analysis was performed over a period of either 3 or 5 d. Viable cells were identified using trypan blue exclusion, and cells were quantified using a hemacytometer. Proliferation responses to the cholesterol-depleting drugs lovastatin and MJCD were also determined using the Non-Radiactive Cell Proliferation assay (Promega, Madison, WI), which is a colorimetric assay that identifies metabolic activity in viable cells. Briefly, 2 × 104 cells/ml were cultured in triplicate wells in a 96-well plate in medium containing increasing concentrations of the appropriate cholesterol-depleting drug (concentration range from 3.125–100 μM lovastatin and 0.3125–10 mM MJCD). After 3 d of growth, reagents were added according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the absorbance in each well was measured at 490 nm using a Spectramax M2 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). The differences in absorbance at levels shown to cause growth inhibition but not complete cell death were then graphed.

Nile red staining of total lipids
Cells were cultured in growth medium supplemented with 10% oleic acid-albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 d, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and dried onto superfrost microscope slides for 15 min. Slides were washed with PBS and stained with light-sensitive Nile Red solution (1 μg/ml: Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min. The slides were then rinsed three times in PBS, and lipid droplets were visualized using an Olympus CX41 microscope (Optical Analysis) under ×40 objective and fluorescent light.

Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry analyses
Methods used to extract sterols from cells and for gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis were adapted from previously described procedures (42, 43). Briefly, cells were sonopicated for 1 h with 4% KOH in ethanol at 60˚C. Stigmasterol was added as an internal standard. The samples were extracted with an equal volume of hexane by vortexing for 1 min. Once the samples separated into two phases, the organic layers were transferred to fresh tubes and dried under nitrogen. Dried extracts were reacted with N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide at 55˚C for 45 min to form the trimethylsilyl derivative, which was then diluted with cyclohexane prior to loading 1 μl into an Agilent 7890A GC system with 5975C inert MSD triple axis detector and a DB-5 column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA; 30 m × 0.250 mm × 0.25 μm) for sterol separation. High-purity helium gas was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min. The GC temperature was ramped from 150 to 260˚C at 10˚C/min and held for 25 min. Injector and ion source were kept at 260˚C and 230˚C, respectively. The mass spectrometer was operated in selective ion monitoring mode. Ions 368, 253, and 394 were used to identify cholesterol, desmosterol, and stigmasterol, respectively.

Morphology
Cytospons were performed on terminally differentiated EPRO cells, and the morphometric maturation was examined by Wright-Giemsa staining of the cell using an Olympus BX41 microscope under ×40 objective under bright field optics (Olympus).

Immunohistochemistry
For examining expression of Lbr mutants in HEK293 cells, transient transfections were performed using cells cultured on Lab-Tek chamber slides (Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY), which were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min followed by a PBS wash. Cells were then permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1.4 mM CaCl2, and 1% BSA, adjusted to pH 7.4) for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were then rinsed in TBS and blocked with TBS plus 5% FBS for 30 min at 37˚C. This was followed by incubation with Anti-FLAG primary Ab (Stratagene) at 1:1000 dilution in blocking buffer for 30 min at 37˚C. Slides were rinsed three times with 0.1% Tween in PBS, 5 min each, then incubated with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary Ab (Millipore, Danvers, MA) at 1:5000 dilution in blocking buffer for 30 min at 37˚C. Slides were washed three times with 0.1% Tween in PBS for 5 min each and then viewed under ×40 objective and fluorescent light using an Olympus BX41RF-5 microscope (Olympus); images were taken using an Olympus DP71 digital camera with imaging software (Olympus).

Western blot analyses
Phosphorylation of STAT5 and Erk1/2 was analyzed in EPRO cells by first serum starving the cells in IMDM plus 2% HS for 60 min and then stimulating the cells with 10 ng/ml recombinant murine GM-CSF (PeproTech) for 15 min. For all Western blot analyses, cells (1 × 106) were collected, washed with PBS supplemented with Complete protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science) and PMSF (1 mM, Sigma-Aldrich), and then lysed in 1 × Laemmli buffer as described previously (44). Equal amounts (∼5 μg) of each lysate were gel electrophoresed using 4–12% precast gradient gels (Invitrogen) and electroblotted onto polyvinyldiene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Membranes were probed using the following primary Abs: anti-STAT5, anti–phospho-STAT5, anti-Erk1/2, and anti–phospho-Erk1/2 (Cell Signaling Technologies, Beverly, MA), each at 1:1000 dilution; anti-Akt and anti–phospho-Akt (Millipore)
at 1:1000 dilution; anti-Flag (Stratagene) at 1:1000 dilution; anti-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:2000 dilution; mouse monoclonal anti-lamin A/C at a dilution of 1:5 (45); and guinea pig serum against LBR at 1:1000 dilution (kindly provided by Prof. Harald Herrmann, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany). HRP-conjugated secondary Abs were used to detect primary Ab binding, and chemiluminescence was detected using Immobilon Western HRP substrate solutions (Millipore).

Respiratory burst assays
Terminally differentiated cells (1 × 10^7) were washed in PBS, suspended in 400 μl HBSS (135 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.3 mM Na2HPO4·7H2O, 0.4 mM KH2PO4, 4.2 mM NaHCO3, 1 mM MgCl2, 1.3 mM CaCl2, and 0.6 mM MgSO4·7H2O; Sigma-Aldrich) with 0.1% glucose plus 100 μl cellular luminescence enhancement reagent Diogenes (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA) and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were then stimulated with PMA (3.2 μM; Sigma Aldrich) and chemiluminescence emission was recorded at minute intervals for a period of 5 min. For assays of cells incubated with O2, the washed cells were resuspended in 300 μl HBSS plus 100 μl Diogenes, incubated for 15 min at 37°C, and then stimulated with zymosan particles (4 mg/ml final concentration; Sigma-Aldrich) that were previously opsonized for 30 min at 37°C with fresh mouse serum; chemiluminescence emission was recorded at 2-min intervals over 60 min.

Northern blot analyses
Total RNA was isolated from 1 × 10^7 cells using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. For northern blot analyses, ~5 μg total RNA was electrophoresed and blotted on Nytran Plus membranes as performed previously (40). Radiolabeling of labeled probes were generated from cDNAs encoding mouse Lbr (amplified from EPRO cells), Tm7s2 (clone MM1013-7510962; Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL), lactoferrin, neutrophil collagenase, neutrophil gelatinase, or β-actin. Staining of cells with cholera toxin B-FITC
EPRO cells of each genotype (+/+, +/+ic, ic/ic, and ic/ic-FLAG-Lbr) were induced with ATRA (10 μM) for 3 d, and then 5 μl α-2 × 10^6 cells/ml was dried onto chambered microscope slides coated with 0.01% poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) by incubating the slides at 37°C for 20 min. Cells were then stained with 5 μl 50 μg/ml cholera toxin B (CTB)-FITC or FITC (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min in the dark and on ice. The slides were dipped in 1× PBS, and cells were fixed using 2% paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS for 10 min followed by dipping the slides in 1× PBS. The chambers were covered with a coverslip, and the cells were imaged using fluorescence microscopy with a ×40 objective.

Statistical Analyses
All statistical analyses for calculations of SDs were performed using Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) and the Student two-sample t test where significance (p value) is indicated.

Results
Cytokine signaling in EPRO-ic/ic cells
The model EML cell lines derived from +/+ , +/ic, ic/ic, and ic/ic-FLAG-Lbr were used to culture in ATRA plus IL-3 followed by selection for GM-CSF–dependent cells; EPRO cells can then be induced to mature neutrophils by a second dose of ATRA, allowing analysis at essentially three stages of neutrophil development (Fig. 1A). We previously showed that Lbr-deficient EML-ic/ic cells exhibited a small decrease in growth response to SCF, but that EPRO-ic/ic cells displayed an ~50% decrease in proliferation as compared with heterozygous EPRO-/+ic cells (40). To further investigate the cause of defective growth of EPRO-ic/ic cells, we determined whether proliferative signals activated by the GM-CSF receptor might be disrupted in these cells. Activation of the GM-CSF receptor results in the phosphorylation of several transcription factors critical to myeloid cell proliferation, including STAT5, the ERK proteins Erk1/2, and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway (3, 46, 47). We therefore analyzed the phosphorylation states of STAT5, Erk1/2, and Akt in EPRO-/+ versus -/+ic and -ic/ic cells, each of which were cultured in the absence of GM-CSF and then induced with GM-CSF for 15 min; cell lysates for Western blot analyses were recovered before and after the induction. The results showed that GM-CSF caused rapid phosphorylation of STAT5 in all three cell types (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, increased levels of Erk1/2 phosphorylation were also detected in lysates from all three genotypes (Fig. 1C), whereas levels of phosphorylated Akt remained unchanged (Fig. 1D). Lysates of EML cells initially starved and then stimulated with SCF showed similar results: phosphorylation of Erk1/2 and Akt, both known targets of the SCF signaling pathway (48), were equivalent in all three genotypes (data not shown). Together, these data indicate that signaling pathways downstream of either GM-CSF or SCF are not affected by the loss of Lbr expression in either ic/ic or ic/ic cells.

Effects of sterol deprivation on the growth of wild-type versus Lbr-deficient myeloid cells
To determine if the decreased growth of ic/ic cells may be associated with disrupted cholesterol biosynthesis, we next subjected
each of the +/-, +/ic, or ic/ic cell lines at both EML and EPRO stages to sterol-starvation conditions. Treatments were based on previous studies performed with human HL-60 cells in which combinations of LPDS plus the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase inhibitor lovastatin or the cholesterol-binding agent MβCD were used to induce sterol starvation (16, 17). We began by first comparing the effects of LPDS (confirmed to contain 0.85 µg/ml of cholesterol compared with 23 µg/ml in normal serum) versus two concentrations of lovastatin (5 and 10 µM) and two concentrations of MβCD (5 and 10 mM) on wild-type EML cell growth. The results indicated that expansion of the number of EML+-/+ cells by 3 d of culture decreased by 18% when treated with 5 µM lovastatin, whereas cell numbers decreased by ~40% when treated with 10 µM lovastatin or 5 mM MβCD (see Fig. 2A–C for typical growth profiles of EML+-/+ cells in each condition; all differences were significant, with p < 0.01). Treatment with 10 mM MβCD induced rapid cell death and therefore was not further used. We next tested the proliferation of EML-ic/ic and +/ic cells versus +/- cells in regular medium or medium with LPDS. As graphed in Fig. 2A, both EML-ic/ic and -ic/ic cells exhibited growth profiles in regular medium similar to that of +/- cells by 3 d of culture, although growth of EML-ic/ic cells lagged behind that of the other two cell types (the difference between ic/ic versus +/- was significant, with p = 0.001). This result was consistent with our previous studies showing that EML-ic/ic cells exhibit a small decrease in proliferation compared with EML+/+ cells (40). Growth profiles of EML-+-/+ versus -ic/ic cells in LPDS, lovastatin, and MβCD were similar: both cell lines exhibited decreased proliferation in each condition. In contrast, each treatment either blocked proliferation of EML-ic/ic cells or caused cell death (Fig. 2B, 2C).

Growth of each genotype at the EPRO stage was next tested using either regular medium or the three different cholesterol-stressed conditions, which yielded very different results as compared with EML cells. First, EPRO-ic/ic cells exhibited much slower growth in regular medium as compared with EPRO+-ic cells, as was previously observed (Fig. 2D) (40) (p < 0.001). We also discovered that differences between the growth of EPRO+-ic cells versus +/- cells were greater than differences observed at the EML stage, indicating that loss of one genomic copy of Lbr has a greater affect on proliferation at this later stage of differentiation. Second, growth of EPRO-+-ic cells in LPDS medium was substantially less than that of +/- cells (after 3 d, total cell numbers of EPRO-+-ic cells were approximately half that of +/- cells). As previously observed for EML-ic/ic cells, each treatment completely inhibited growth of EPRO-ic/ic cells, many of which stained positive for trypan blue by day 2, indicating cell death. Third, all cells treated with either 10 µM lovastatin or 5 mM MβCD ceased to proliferate, again with the most dramatic affect on ic/ic cells (Fig. 2E, 2F). Finally, a nonradioactive proliferation assay for metabolic activity (the MTS assay) confirmed growth-inhibitory effects of cholesterol inhibitors on each cell type at the EML or EPRO stages: specifically, EML-ic/ic cells exhibited little change in absorbances as compared with +ic/ic or +/- cells in regular medium but significant differences in medium treated with lovastatin, and EPRO-ic/ic cells treated with eitherLovastatin or MβCD exhibited a dramatic loss of metabolic activity, whereas EPRO-+-ic cells exhibited an intermediate phenotype as compared with +/- cells (data not shown). Altogether, these data indicate that EPRO cells are more susceptible to the growth-inhibitory effects of lipid starvation as compared with EML cells, deficient Lbr expression inhibits the growth of EML cells under sterol-deprivation conditions, and deficient Lbr expression in EPRO cells inhibits their growth in either regular medium or sterol-deprivation conditions.

Production of lipids and cholesterol during neutrophil development is dependent on Lbr expression

Neutrophils are dependent on cholesterol synthesis for the formation of lipid rafts in membrane microdomains, which support multiple functional responses (7, 8, 11). Based on this observation and previous studies demonstrating that LBR expression increases during neutrophil differentiation, we reasoned that as myeloid cells differentiate toward mature neutrophils they may exhibit

![FIGURE 2](http://www.jimmunol.org/) Loss of Lbr expression in EML and EPRO+-ic and -ic/ic cells suppresses growth responses in regular medium or under cholesterol-deprivation conditions. EML cells were plated at 2 × 10⁵ cells/ml in 2 ml medium that contained normal serum (Cntl) or LPDS (A), normal serum plus 10 µM lovastatin (B), or normal serum plus 5 mM MβCD (C), and daily counts of viable cells were performed. Data shown are from multiple assays performed simultaneously, in which cells were plated in 2 ml of medium with each condition using triplicate plates per assay; the data from cells grown in regular medium are displayed in A as black lines and then shown as gray lines in B and C to highlight the changes of growth in cholesterol-depleted conditions. Similar studies were performed with EPRO cells derived from the EML cells of each genotype, using normal serum versus LPDS (D), lovastatin (E), or MβCD (F). Viable cells were identified by trypan blue exclusion, and increases in total cell numbers were determined manually using a hemacytometer at 24-h intervals for 3 d. Data represent results from at least two independent assays.
increasing cholesterol production during differentiation. Moreover, this cholesterol production may be disrupted due to loss of Lbr expression in EPRO-ic or -ic cells. Previous studies have shown that certain fatty acids, including oleic acid, can modulate neutrophil functions, some of which may be mediated by the accumulation of lipid droplets in the cytoplasm or cell membranes (49–51). We previously observed that Nile Red effectively stained membrane lipids that accumulate in oleic acid-stimulated PLC/PRF/5 liver hepatoma cells (ATCC number CRL-8024, M. Zwerger and H. Herrmann, unpublished observations). Based on these results, we tested EML, EPRO, and ATRA-induced EPRO cells for oleic acid-induced production of lipid droplets, visualized by staining the cells with Nile Red. We found that neither EML nor EPRO cells exhibited detectable lipid staining when cultured in normal medium (data not shown). However, induction of these myeloid cells with oleic acid caused lipid production that could be easily visualized under fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Fig. 3A, oleic acid induced lipid production at all three stages of differentiation, but levels increased as EML cells differentiated into mature neutrophils. By comparison, the number of cells positive for lipid production was reduced in heterozygous +/ic or homozygous icic cells, with only 20% of ATRA-induced EPRO-icic cells showing lipid production as compared with 80% of mature +/+ neutrophils (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, the average number of lipid droplets identified per cell also increased as EML cells differentiated toward mature neutrophils, but this increase was less pronounced in icic cells compared with +/+ cells, and no change was observed during differentiation in icic cells (Fig. 3C). These results indicated that the capacity of neutrophils to synthesize lipids increased during their differentiation from progenitor EML cells toward mature neutrophils, and this capacity was dependent on the expression of Lbr.

To confirm that differences in lipid staining induced by oleic acid in EML and EPRO cells reflects the ability of these cells to drive cholesterol biosynthesis, we also performed GC/MS analyses on cells of each genotype using either proliferating EML cells or EML cells stressed with medium that contained LPDS. The addition of stigmasterol provided an internal control. Assays of both +/+ and +/ic cells in regular medium revealed a major peak at ~16 min retention time that was consistent with cholesterol, as determined by a control run with pure cholesterol (Fig. 3D, upper panels, peak 1). However, this peak was dramatically reduced in icic cells, whereas two additional peaks were observed (Fig. 3D, upper panels, peaks 2 and 3). Because standards for identifying where cholesta-8,14-dien-3β-ol or cholesta-8,14,24-trien-3β-ol (previously identified in mice tissues that lacked DHCR14) (35) are not available, it remains unclear as to the identity of these two peaks, but the retention time of peak 2 was very near that of desmosterol (17 min, data not shown). Interestingly, all cell types produced this same peak when stressed by growth in LPDS medium (Fig. 3D, bottom panels), and icic cells also exhibited less relative levels of cholesterol (peak 1). Combined with the oleic acid studies, these data indicate that both overall lipid levels and cholesterol biosynthesis are impaired by loss of Lbr and that lipid-deprived conditions produce a similar defect in cholesterol biosynthesis.

![Image](http://www.jimmunol.org)
Expression of Tm7sf2 does not change during neutrophil differentiation but increases in response to lipid deprivation

The observations that oleic acid-induced production of lipids increased upon ATRA induction in EPRO cells suggest that sterol biosynthesis may be upregulated during neutrophil differentiation. Because Tm7sf2 gene expression is controlled by cellular sterol levels via activation of the sterol-sensing transcriptional regulator SREBP-2 (52), and LBR transcription increases during neutrophil differentiation in both human and mouse myeloid cells, we next examined how expression levels of the endogenous Tm7sf2 and Lbr genes compared in differentiating EML cells and how they may be affected by cholesterol starvation. Lbr transcription increased during ATRA-induced differentiation of EML cells toward mature neutrophils in both +/+ and icic cells, with icic cells expressing approximately half the transcript levels of +/+ cells, whereas Lbr expression was completely lacking in icic cells (Fig. 4A). By comparison, Tm7sf2 expression did not increase; rather, expression remained steady throughout neutrophil differentiation and may have actually decreased in ATRA-induced EPRO-icic cells. Consistent with our previous findings (40), loss of Lbr expression did not affect the typical increased expression of multiple transcripts indicative of neutrophil differentiation, including lactoferrin, neutrophil gelatinase, and neutrophil collagenase. Lipid-stressed conditions also did not affect neutrophil-specific gene expression in ATRA-induced EPRO cells (Fig. 4B). EPRO cells were cultured in regular medium plus ATRA, LPDS plus ATRA, or pretreated in LPDS for 3 d and then induced in LPDS plus ATRA). Interestingly, expression of Tm7sf2 increased when all three EML genotypes were treated with lovastatin, whereas Lbr expression was not affected (Fig. 4C). Similar results were found for icic and +/+ cells treated with MβCD (icic cells could not be analyzed due to rapid cell death caused by MβCD) and for human HL-60 cells treated with the LPDS or MβCD (data not shown). Thus, during the differentiation of myeloid cells toward neutrophils, Lbr transcription is upregulated, whereas Tm7sf2 expression remains in general unaffected. In contrast, sterol deprivation caused activation of Tm7sf2 expression in both human and mouse myeloid progenitors, but did not affect the expression of Lbr nor did it disrupt transcriptional activation of neutrophil-specific genes.

Loss of Tm7sf2 expression does not affect the growth of EML cells

Previous studies demonstrated that loss of Tm7sf2 expression does not cause any observable phenotype in KO mice, therefore we predicted that myeloid progenitors generated from these KO mice will lack the same growth defect in lipid-starved conditions as observed with EML-icic cells. To investigate this possibility, we generated EML-like immortalized progenitors from bone marrow of a Tm7sf2 KO mouse and from bone marrow of a littermate that was genotypically normal (32). After transduction with the dominant negative RARα and a prolonged growth in SCF, we identified populations of cells from each genotype that morphologically resembled EML cells (Fig. 5A). Both of the resulting cell lines were able to form EPRO-like cells after culture in IL-3 plus ATRA to and further differentiate into morphologically mature neutrophils upon ATRA induction. The EML-Tm7sf2-KO cells were confirmed to have the neomycin resistance cassette inserted in exon 5 of the Tm7sf2 gene (data not shown) and to lack Tm7sf2 gene expression (Fig. 5B). By comparison, Tm7sf2 expression in the littermate control cells was equivalent to that of the original EML/EPRO-++/+ cells. All lines examined expressed similar levels of Lbr and displayed activation of both lactoferrin and neutrophil gelatinase, each hallmarks of normal neutrophil maturation (41).

We then tested the EML cells for growth in lipid-deprivation conditions and found that there was no significant difference between the growth of Tm7sf2 KO cells compared with that of the littermate-derived cells (Fig. 5C). In other words, loss of Tm7sf2 expression did not cause the dramatic growth defect as observed for EML-icic cells in lipid-starved conditions. These data support the notion that the combined sterol reductase activities provided by Lbr and Tm7sf2 are important for normal myeloid cell growth, but that Lbr may be a more critical regulator of cholesterol biosynthesis in myeloid cells as compared with Tm7sf2.
Phenotypes caused by loss of Lbr expression are not due to effects on the LXR nor can they be rescued by overexpression of Tm7sf2

Previous studies have demonstrated that loss of Tm7sf2 causes an increase in cellular levels of the cholesterol precursor cholesta-8,14,24-trien-3β-ol, which is also a ligand for the nuclear LXR (35, 53). LXR is similar to RARα, in that they both function by forming obligate heterodimers with the retinoid X receptors and bind to the promoters of genes that are critical to the proliferation and/or function of macrophages, which are highly related to neutrophils as professional phagocytes (54–57). Because we found cholesterol precursors in our GC/MS analyses of icic cells, one of which may be cholesta-8,14,24-trien-3β-ol, it is conceivable that an abnormal accumulation of cholesta-8,14,24-trien-3β-ol levels in EML/EPRO-icic cells contributes to the observed phenotypes via aberrant activation of the LXR signaling pathway. To test this possibility, we cultured wild-type EML and EPRO cells in different concentrations of the synthetic LXR ligands T0901317 and GW3965, both shown to affect macrophage proliferation or gene expression (54, 58, 59). Our results showed that neither LXR agonist consistently affected the proliferation of EPRO cells (Fig. 6A), similar results were found with EML cell growth, and morphologic maturation of EPRO cells was normal in medium that contained the maximum concentration of either agonist (data not shown). Furthermore, the LXR antagonist fenofibrate failed to improve the lack of nuclear maturation or deficient growth profiles of EML/EPRO-icic cells (data not shown). We also tested whether simply increasing the expression of Tm7sf2, which may decrease levels of cholesta-8,14,24-trien-3β-ol by increasing sterol Δ14 reductase activity, might rescue the growth or differentiation defects of EPRO-icic cells. Using an expression vector with the full-length Tm7sf2 cDNA (pMSCVpuro-Tm7sf2), EML-icic cells that overexpress Tm7sf2 were derived, ectopic expression was confirmed (Fig. 6B), and the cells were cultured in regular or LPDS medium or induced to differentiate with ATRA. As shown in Fig. 6C, the Tm7sf2 expression vector failed to affect deficient growth of EML-icic in LPDS, whereas this was rescued by full-length FLAG-Lbr (see next section for a complete description of this expression protein). In addition, the derived EPRO-icic-Tm7sf2 cells exhibited severe hypolobulation upon ATRA induction and deficient respiratory burst responses similar to those observed with cells transduced with an empty vector (data not shown). These data indicate that the observed phenotypes in icic cells are most likely due to the lack of unique functions provided by Lbr and not mediated by disruption to LXR activities or functions that can be readily provided by high-level expression of Tm7sf2.
Full-length and C-terminal domains of Lbr rescue morphologic maturation and lipid-starved growth of EML-ic/ic cells

To determine which domains of Lbr support growth of EML or EPRO cells in lipid-starved conditions, we aimed to express truncated variants of Lbr that contained either the N-terminal chromatin/lamin B binding domains or the C-terminal sterol reductase domain in the EML-ic/ic model cells and then examine their responses to differentiation and sterol deprivation. We began by transducing a full-length version of Lbr into EML-ic/ic cells to demonstrate that expression of wild-type Lbr proteins can rescue the observed phenotypes. For expression of full-length Lbr, a mouse cDNA that encodes a FLAG-tagged version of Lbr was cloned into the pMSCV-puro retroviral expression vector, previously shown to drive abundant protein expression in the EML cell line (60). Both EML-+/+ and -/-/ic cells were transduced with the expression vector or an empty vector. Expression in each cell type was confirmed by Western blot analysis of whole cell lysates using a commercially available anti-FLAG Ab (Fig. 7A). To compare levels expressed from pMSCV-FLAG-Lbr to endogenous Lbr levels in +/- versus +/ic and ic/ic cells, protein lysates also were probed with an anti-LBR Ab, which demonstrated that ectopic expression in transduced cells was similar to endogenous expression in +/- cells (Fig. 7B). Importantly, ATRA-induced EPRO-ic/ic cells expressing FLAG-Lbr exhibited normal nuclear lobeulation, with total numbers of cells with multilobed or ring-shaped nuclei equivalent to that of differentiated +/- cells (Fig. 7C, 7D). In contrast, very few ic/ic cells transduced with the empty pMSCV vector showed any signs of lobulation (see Fig. 7D for compiled data). These data indicated that the full-length mouse Lbr completely rescued the defective nuclear lobeulation phenotype of differentiated EPRO-ic/ic cells.

We next generated two different expression vectors that encoded N-terminal domains of Lbr but that lacked portions of the C-terminal sterol reductase domain, specifically residues 1–387 and 1–544 (Fig. 8A). Each were transfected into Phoenix retrovirus producer cells, but after coculture with EML-+/+ or ic/ic cells, expression of either C-terminal truncated proteins were undetectable, despite successful selection in puromycin (data not shown). This suggested that ectopic expression of these N-terminal residues in the absence of the C-terminal region disrupted the survival or growth of EML cells. While performing these experiments, studies being conducted by our collaborators demonstrated that the corresponding human constructs caused severe disruptions to the nucleus in three different cell lines (61). We therefore focused on transducing EML-ic/ic cells with two truncated variants of Lbr that lacked N-terminal sequences, one that lacked residues critical to lamin B binding in the first 70 aa (Lbr145–626; Fig. 8A) (25–27). Expression of both truncated proteins in transduced EML-ic/ic cells was confirmed by Western blot and an anti-FLAG Ab (Fig. 8B). To confirm nuclear expression, we also analyzed nuclear versus cytoplasmic lysates from the transduced EML-ic/ic cells, but the analysis failed to identify proteins at the correct size for Lbr (data not shown). This problem was previously observed in earlier studies with these cells (D. Olins and A. Olins, personal communication) and may suggest that myeloid cells express enough proteases to cause excessive protein degradation in this particular assay, despite the use of protease inhibitors. We therefore isolated nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins from HEK293 cells transected with each construct and successfully identified expression of each protein, including the full-length FLAG-Lbr, in the nuclear lysates (Fig. 8C, left panel).
Expression of each variant was also detected in the cytoplasm. This result was consistent with immunohistochemistry analyses performed with transfected HEK293 cells, in which expression was identified in both the cytoplasm and the nuclear rim (Fig. 8C, right panel). Nuclear morphology was then examined in differentiated EPRO cells derived from the transduced EML-ic/ic cells (Fig. 8D). A small but significant number of cells transduced with either the Lbr71–626 or Lbr145–626 mutant proteins exhibited morphologic maturation (see Fig. 8E for summary of percentages in each category of nuclear morphology; asterisks indicate that differences between the cells expressing mutant Lbr proteins versus cells expressing the empty vector are significant, with \( p < 0.01 \)). This result was surprising, given that the interactions of LBR with lamin B and/or chromatin are thought to be critical to the functions of LBR in mediating neutrophil nuclear lobulation (62).

The proliferation profiles of +/ic and ic/ic cells expressing the full-length FLAG-Lbr in sterol-starvation conditions were then analyzed. EML-ic/ic cells transduced with the empty vector proliferated in regular medium at rates similar to that of parental EML-ic/ic cells (Fig. 9A; note that the analyses were performed over 5 d to accentuate the differences in growth profiles). As expected, these cells failed to proliferate in medium with LPDS. Importantly, EML-ic/ic cells transduced with FLAG-Lbr proliferated in LPDS at levels equivalent to that of cells grown in regular medium (\( p = 0.2 \) for differences at day 2), indicating that expression of the full-length Lbr protein in the ichthyosis genetic background rescued not only morphologic maturation but also the growth of EML-ic/ic cells under lipid-starved conditions. Expression of FLAG-Lbr had essentially no effect on the growth of EML-+/ic cells, which were not dramatically influenced by use of LPDS (Fig. 9B). Despite these results, expression of the full-length FLAG-Lbr was unable to rescue growth of either +/ic or ic/ic cells when induced to the EPRO stage and cultured in LPDS (Fig. 9C, 9D). This result suggested that lack of Lbr expression caused changes to the hematopoietic stem cells in the ic mouse that cannot be reversed once they are harvested and used to derive EML/EPRO cell lines.

Based on the capacity of full-length Lbr to rescue the growth of EML-ic/ic cells in LPDS, we examined the growth profiles of EML-ic/ic cells transduced with the truncated Lbr proteins FLAG-Lbr71–626 and FLAG-Lbr145–626. As shown in Fig. 9E and summarized in Table I (see Discussion), both of the truncated proteins were able to improve the growth of EML-ic/ic cells in LPDS (Fig. 9E, left panel) and increase metabolic activity in MTS assays when cells were treated with lovastatin (Fig. 9E, right panel; the asterisks indicate that differences between responses by EML-ic/ic cells expressing either FLAG-Lbr71–626 or FLAG-Lbr145–626 versus vector control cells were significant, with \( p < 0.02 \)). Finally, expression of either the FLAG-Lbr71–626 or FLAG-Lbr145–626 proteins in EML-ic/ic cells caused an increase in oleic acid-induced lipid production at either the EPRO stage or in ATRA-induced cells (Fig. 10A, 10B; the asterisks above values for cells transduced with the FLAG-Lbr145–626 indicate statistically significant differences as compared with cells with the empty vector, with \( p < 0.01 \) for both sets of graphs). It is also interesting to note that the average number of droplets produced by ATRA-induced EPRO-ic/ic cells expressing FLAG-Lbr was greater than that produced by +/ic cells. Together, these data indicate that the sterol reductase domain of Lbr plays a critical role.
in supporting both the growth and lipid production of EML cells when cultured under cholesterol-stressed conditions, and this domain alone can support morphologic maturation of EML-derived neutrophils.

The sterol reductase domain of Lbr supports respiratory burst activity in mature neutrophils

During neutrophil maturation, components of the NADPH oxidase must assemble at both the plasma membrane and membranes that surround intracellular phagosomes. Once activated, the NADPH oxidases produce oxygen radicals that play essential roles in bacterial destruction. Recent studies have demonstrated that the two membrane-bound components gp91phox and p22phox, in addition to small GTPases Rac (1 or 2) and Rap1a, become localized due to the formation of lipid rafts; a process that facilitates assembly of functional NADPH oxidase complexes (11, 12). Furthermore, membrane cholesterol levels play an integral role in facilitating the formation of lipid rafts, depletion of which can dramatically affect activation of the respiratory burst (11, 63). In our previous studies, we found that differentiated ic/ic cells exhibited a severe decrease in the respiratory burst, which we reasoned may be partly explained by the modest decrease in the expression of gp91phox. An alternative explanation is that the phenotype is due to loss of sterol reductase activity provided by Lbr that reduces the required amount of membrane cholesterol for lipid raft formation. To investigate this possibility, we began by confirming that lipid deprivation inhibited the respiratory burst of ATRA-induced EPRO-ic/ic cells. The cells were cultured in: 1) regular medium with ATRA; 2) medium with LPDS plus ATRA; or 3) first cultured in LPDS for 3 d and then in LPDS plus ATRA. As shown in Fig. 11A, cells cultured in LPDS during the 3 d of ATRA induction and then stimulated with PMA produced approximately half the level of respiratory burst compared with cells grown in regular medium, and cells precultured in LPDS produced only 35% of the normal respiratory burst response. Similar results were found with cells cultured in LPDS and stimulated with OZ (Fig. 11B). Furthermore, cells cultured in regular medium in the presence of 5 μM lovastatin exhibited little to no respiratory burst activity in either PMA (data not shown) or OZ. Similar results were obtained from the related mouse promyelocytic cell line MPRO (41), which also exhibited decreased respiratory burst responses in cells cultured in LPDS or lovastatin and stimulated

**FIGURE 8.** Expression of C-terminal domains of Lbr restores nuclear lobulation to a significant population of ic/ic neutrophils. A, Depicted is a diagram of the Lbr protein with the N-terminal binding domains and the C-terminal membrane-spanning region that is homologous to sterol Δ^7 reductase Tm7sf2 (adapted from Hoffmann et al. (20)). The black horizontal arrows represent the length of mutant variants of Lbr that were transduced into EML-ic/ic cells via the pMSCV-puro retroviral expression vector. B, An immunoblot was generated using whole cell lysates from EML-ic/ic cells transduced with FLAG-Lbr, FLAG-Lbr71–626, and FLAG-Lbr145–626 expression vectors or the empty vector and probed with anti-FLAG Ab to identify expression of each mutant construct. C, Expression of the C-terminal variants of Lbr was assessed in transfected HEK293 cells by immunoblotting nuclear versus cytoplasmic lysates and probing with anti-FLAG Abs (left panel) or by immunohistochemistry using an FITC-conjugated secondary Ab (right panel). D, Morphologic maturation of differentiated EPRO-ic/ic cells expressing FLAG-Lbr, FLAG-Lbr71–626, and FLAG-Lbr145–626 was assessed by analyzing cytosplasmic cells with Wright-Giemsa stain. Pictures shown indicate cells with the normal ring-shaped nuclei (arrows) in mature neutrophils. Scale bar, 50 μm. E, Percentages of ATRA-induced EPRO-ic/ic cells expressing FLAG-Lbr, FLAG-Lbr71–626, and FLAG-Lbr145–626 were calculated by examining >100 cells from at least three independent inductions. Values shown are percentages of cells with the indicated nuclear morphology ± SD. Differences between EPRO-ic/ic cells transduced with the empty vector versus *FLAG-Lbr71–626 and **FLAG-Lbr145–626 were significant: p < 0.01.
FIGURE 9. Expression of either full-length or C-terminal domains of Lbr rescues growth of EML-ic/ic in lipid-deprived conditions but does not improve the growth of EPRO-ic/ic cells. A and B, EML-ic/ic cells transduced with either an empty vector or the full-length FLAG-Lbr were started at a concentration of $2 \times 10^3$ cells/ml and then cultured in medium with normal serum versus LPDS, and daily cell counts were performed to assess their proliferation over 5 d. C and D, EPRO-ic/ic cells that express either the empty vector or FLAG-Lbr were assayed for growth in medium with normal serum versus LPDS. Shown in each graph is the total number of viable cells ± SD from three independent experiments. E, Proliferation assays were performed on EML-ic/ic cells transduced with FLAG-Lbr, FLAG-Lbr71–626, FLAG-Lbr145–626, or the empty vector using either viability counts of cells plated at a starting concentration of $2 \times 10^3$ cells/ml in medium with regular serum versus LPDS (left panel) or MTS reduction assays of cells cultured for 3 d in regular media containing lovastatin (right panel). Data shown are averages ± SD from three independent assays; *, ** indicate that differences compared with growth of EML-ic/ic-vector cells are significant ($p < 0.02$).

with either PMA or OZ (data not shown). Importantly, expression of FLAG-Lbr in EPRO-ic/ic cells significantly increased levels of respiratory burst to near that produced by heterozygous cells (Fig. 11C). Furthermore, both of the C-terminal variants of Lbr also increased respiratory burst responses, albeit to levels less than that observed from differentiated ic/ic cells expressing the full-length Lbr. Assays that used OZ to stimulate the cells also indicated that expression of either the full-length Lbr or C-terminal variants increased the respiratory burst response (specifically, FLAG-Lbr generated a 2.3 ± 0.2-fold increase over cells expressing the empty vector, and FLAG-Lbr71–626 or FLAG-Lbr145–626 each generated ~1.5 ± 0.2-fold increases; data not shown). Together, these data indicate that ectopic expression of Lbr is sufficient to improve the activation of NADPH oxidases that is compromised in ic/ic cells, and part of this capacity is mediated by the C-terminal region that encodes the sterol Δ^12 reductase homology domain.

To test whether the observed loss of respiratory burst responses in cells that lack Lbr expression may be in part due to deficient formation of lipid rafts, we next analyzed differentiated EPRO-ic/ic cells for evidence of membrane lipid raft formation as compared with EPRO-+/+ and ic/+. This was performed by using a fluorescein-conjugated form of CTB, which is a ligand for the ganglioside raft marker GM-1 and therefore will identify concentrations of GM-1 expression in differentiated neutrophils that are associated with lipid rafts (63, 64). As shown in Fig. 12A, differentiated EPRO-ic/ic cells showed some background fluorescence but not the staining foci identified in either +/+ or ic/+ cells. Furthermore, the percentage of cells showing staining foci increased in ic/ic cells transduced with the FLAG-Lbr expression vector, as summarized in Fig. 12B. Use of FITC alone showed background staining but not the staining foci found with CTB-FITC (data not shown). Combined with the effects that deficient Lbr expression has on total respiratory burst responses, these data indicate that Lbr plays an important function in mediating the activation of NADPH oxidases, perhaps by maintaining critical levels of cholesterol required for membrane lipid raft formation during neutrophil differentiation.

Discussion

A common feature of the enzymes involved in the conversion of lanosterol to cholesterol is that loss of their expression causes severe malformation syndromes in humans that include defects in skeletal and internal organ development and in some cases skin abnormalities. This is clearly evident in Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome and the Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome-related disorder desmosterolosis, caused by mutations in the gene DHCR7 and DHCR24, respectively (65–67). Homozygous mutations of the LBR gene cause symptoms similar to these inborn errors of cholesterol biosynthesis in both humans (Greenberg/HEM dysplasia) and mice (ichthyosis), which include postaxial polydactyly, short stature, skeletal and internal organ development and in some cases skin abnormalities. This is clearly evident in Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome and the Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome-related disorder desmosterolosis, caused by mutations in the gene DHCR7 and DHCR24, respectively (65–67). Homozygous mutations of the LBR gene cause symptoms similar to these inborn errors of cholesterol biosynthesis in both humans (Greenberg/HEM dysplasia) and mice (ichthyosis), which include postaxial polydactyly, short stature, skin defects, and prenatal death (20, 36, 68). However, whether loss of sterol reductase activity provided by the membrane-spanning C terminus of LBR causes these developmental defects or they are due to loss of lamin B/heterochromatin binding domains provided by N-terminal sequences is still unclear (35, 38). We hypothesize that both domains are critical to normal development, but that sterol reductase activity provided by LBR may be par-
particularly important to the growth and differentiation of certain cells that are unusually sensitive to levels of cholesterol biosynthesis. To demonstrate that myeloid cells are in this category, we used our EML/EPRO cells that lack Lbr expression to test for possible effects that cholesterol depletion may have on their growth, the results of which are summarized in Table I. We also tested whether the C-terminal domain of Lbr alone could rescue identified defects in the growth of EML-ic/ic cells under lipid-stressed conditions.

By using three different sterol-starvation conditions, we were able to test the capacity of early progenitor EML cells versus more differentiated EPRO cells to tolerate loss of bioavailable cholesterol in serum (LPDS), inhibition of cholesterol synthesis (lovastatin), or sequestering of membrane-associated cholesterol (MβCD).

In each condition, cells that are particularly sensitive to cholesterol levels would be expected to exhibit proliferation defects. We found that growth of EML cells was only modestly affected by culturing in LPDS but was inhibited by the addition of lovastatin or MβCD to the normal growth medium. This indicated that growth of these early progenitors depends on intracellular cholesterol biosynthesis, which is sufficient to support growth in the absence of serum-supplied cholesterol but insufficient to overcome lipid deprivation caused by the two drugs. By comparison, EPRO cells exhibited significant growth inhibition in LPDS and ceased to proliferate when treated with lovastatin or MβCD. Moreover, EML cells could be induced to produce lipid droplets, but this capacity greatly increased in EPRO cells and further increased in differentiated neutrophils. These results are consistent with the view that cholesterol levels are important to the proliferation of myeloid progenitors, as was previously shown for HL-60 and acute myeloid leukemia cells (13, 14, 16–18), and their dependence on cholesterol increases as the cells differentiate into...
How myeloid cells might meet the increased demand for cholesterol was addressed by testing EML- and EPRO-ic/cic cell growth in regular medium versus treatment with the same three sterol-starvation conditions. We first found that heterozygosity for Lbr at the EML stage was sufficient to support cell growth under sterol-starved conditions, whereas homozygous mutations of Lbr in EML-ic/cic cells caused severe growth inhibition or cell death. In contrast, heterozygous or homozygous mutations of Lbr inhibited growth at the EPRO stage when cells were cultured in either regular medium or lipid-deprived conditions, with the most severe affect observed in ic/cic cells. These results indicate that even an ~50% decrease in Lbr expression observed in +/ic cells (Fig. 4) (44) was enough to disrupt normal cell growth at the EPRO stage. This effect was most likely not due to disrupted cytokine signaling pathways, because GM-CSF–induced phosphorylation levels of STAT5, Erk1/2, and Akt were normal in both genotypes as EPRO cells (Fig. 1). In addition, the percentage of cells positive for lipid production was reduced to <50% in differentiatied EPRO-+/ic cells and only 20% in induced EPRO-ic/cic cells; similar reductions in the average number of droplets per cell were also observed (Fig. 3). These results were consistent with our cholesterol assays by GC/MS: EML-ic/cic cells showed reduced overall cholesterol levels when cultured in either regular medium or LPDS medium (Fig. 3D) and expressed a precursor that was observed in both wild-type and heterozygous cells treated with LPDS. The increase in lipid production during neutrophil differentiation could not be explained by an increase in Tm7sf2 expression, which was found to remain unchanged as EML cells differentiated toward mature neutrophils (Fig. 4A). In contrast, sterol-stressed conditions induced Tm7sf2 gene expression at all stages of differentiation (Fig. 4C), as previously observed in two different hepatoma cell lines and in human skin fibroblasts (31, 35). Despite this increase, both +/ic and ic/cic cells were dramatically affected by the lipid-stressed conditions. Loss of Tm7sf2 expression did not accentuate the growth inhibitory effects of lipid starvation in EML cells, and differentiation was both morphologically and biochemically normal (Fig. 5). Thus, myeloid cells are capable of activating the feedback mechanism(s) involved in TM7SF2 gene activation, perhaps via SREBP-2 (52), but this mechanism appears to provide insufficient levels of sterol Δ7 reductase activity required to support lipid-stressed growth of early progenitors that lack Lbr expression (e.g., EML-ic/cic cells) or even normal or lipid-stressed growth of promyelocytes heterozygous for Lbr (EPRO-+/ic/cic cells).

These data indicate that Lbr plays a major role in supporting the growth of myeloid progenitors, most likely by providing critical sterol reductase activity in addition to that supplied by Tm7sf2.

Table I. Comparison of growth responses by derived EML and EPRO cells in lipid stressed conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>+/+</th>
<th>+/ic</th>
<th>ic/cic</th>
<th>Lbr</th>
<th>Lbr71-626</th>
<th>Lbr145-626</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage and Conditiona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EML</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular medium</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPDS</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovastatin</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPRO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular medium</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPDS</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data represent the percentage of growth compared to that of wild-type cells in regular medium for 3 d, with ++++, 80–100%; ++++, 70–80%; ++, 50–70%; +, 30–50%; +, 10–30%; −, <10%.

aConditions (Regular medium, LPDS, Lovastatin) are listed under each stage.

ND, no data.
Furthermore, activities of Lbr alone in early myeloid progenitors (e.g., EML cells) are sufficient to support growth under sterol-stressed conditions, whereas those of Tm7sf2 alone are insufficient.

The vast majority of studies on LBR functions have concentrated on identifying different roles for the N-terminal domains of LBR in directing the localization of chromatin to the nuclear periphery or tethering lamins to the INM. The results of these studies were the basis of the postulated role for LBR in facilitating nuclear lobulation during neutrophil differentiation (42, 44, 47, 60, 62, 69). However, such studies did not determine whether sterol reductase activity provided by the C terminus of LBR may also play an important role in supporting nuclear maturation. With this in mind, we determined how differentiation or growth of +/ic and ic/ic cells would be affected by ectopic expression of either a full-length version of mouse Lbr, two different C-terminal truncated forms (encoding residues 1–387 and 1–544), or two different N-terminal truncated forms (encoding residues 71–626 or 145–626). Expression of the full-length Lbr in ic/ic cells was demonstrated and shown to: 1) provide for normal nuclear morphologic maturation; 2) significantly improve growth responses at the EML stage in sterol-starved conditions; and 3) dramatically increase oleic acid-induced lipid production in mature cells. These results confirmed that the nuclear maturation, lipid-starved growth, and lipid production defects in ic/ic cells were indeed due to loss of Lbr expression, which could be rescued by re-establishing full-length Lbr expression in EML cells.

Our attempt to express mutant forms of Lbr that lack the C-terminal membrane spanning domains were unsuccessful, implicating that expression of such mutant Lbr proteins may severely disrupt nuclear morphology and therefore cause cell death, as demonstrated in previous studies by Zwerger et al. (61). We therefore turned our attention to analyzing the roles of the N-terminal domains and successfully expressed the two different N-terminal truncated forms in ic/ic cells. Importantly, we were able to identify ic/ic cells transduced with either construct that exhibited nuclear lobulation upon ATRA-induced differentiation, with ~19% of FLAG-Lbr71–626-expressing cells and ~12% of FLAG-Lbr145–626-expressing cells showing lobulation as compared with 1.4% of ic/ic cells expressing the empty vector. Because these numbers are substantially less than that of differentiated ic/ic cells expressing the full-length protein (~95% show lobulation), they reveal the importance of the first 71 aa of Lbr to nuclear lobulation, which encode the lamin B binding domain. The HP1 and heterochromatin binding domains may enhance the capacity for nuclear lobulation, because inclusion of these domains further increased the numbers of lobulated cells. These findings at least suggest that the first 145 aa of Lbr may be important to nuclear lobulation but not absolutely necessary. Moreover, domains provided by the N terminus also help to support both survival in lipid-stressed conditions (Fig. 9E) and lipid production in oleic acid-induced cells (Fig. 10A, 10B). It is also interesting to note that we found abundant expression of all three forms of Lbr in both the nucleus and cytoplasm in HEK293 cells, suggesting that a fraction or pool of Lbr that resides in the endoplasmic reticulum may be responsible for the sterol reductase activities required by differentiating neutrophils. These results are consistent with a very recent publication demonstrated that three different Abs to human LBR all identified its expression in the endoplasmic reticulum in certain cell types (38). This study also revealed that two different missense mutations in the sterol reductase domain of LBR caused Greenberg/HEM dysplasia, whereas nuclear maturation remained normal. Thus, the features of LBR thought to drive nuclear maturation can be uncoupled from its role in cholesterol biosynthesis. The speculated role of LBR in membrane growth may therefore rely on both its sterol reductase domain and its capacity to bind nuclear components essential to regulating the nuclear architecture (e.g., lamin B and heterochromatin, as perhaps part of functional oligomers that have been identified to form in distinct microdomains of the nuclear envelope) (23).

Despite the capacity of either wild-type or truncated forms of Lbr to rescue lipid-starved growth of EML-ic/ic cells, we found that their expression failed to rescue growth of derived EPRO-ic/ic or +/ic cells in lipid-deprived conditions (Fig. 9C, 9D). We identified expression in the cytoplasm, but several studies have now demonstrated that LBR is expressed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm, so the lack of rescue is most likely not a result of aberrant localization of the FLAG-tagged Lbr proteins (38, 61). One plausible explanation is that deficient Lbr expression in the bone marrow stem cells used to generate EML-+/ic or EML-ic/ic cells caused irreversible changes, such that the differentiation programs of the derived cells were altered in a way that cannot be reversed by reintroducing wild-type Lbr expression. This explanation is based on recent findings that have identified interactions between proteins of the nuclear lamina and genetic loci that can change specific gene expression patterns during differentiation (70–73). In the “higher-order chromatin organization” model proposed from these studies, direct contacts between specific regions of the genome and nuclear lamina proteins, including B-type lamins, can lock genes into inactive states, but these interactions are remodelled during differentiation. This was shown to affect the expression of multiple stemness genes (e.g., Oct4, Klf, and Nanog) in embryonic stem cells as they differentiated into neural precursor cells (73). Conversely, differentiation-inducing genes can be released from the nuclear lamina, thereby activating lineage specificity. In the case of our EML-ic/ic cells, lack of Lbr expression may have disrupted the anchoring of specific gene loci that are important to late-stage growth of EPRO cells, but this affect does not disrupt the growth of EML cells. Therefore, by re-establishing Lbr expression in the EML-ic/ic cells, sterol reductase activities were restored, but this was probably too late to affect the aberrant expression patterns required for normal growth of EPRO cells in either regular medium or lipid-deprived conditions. It is also important to note that our previous studies demonstrated that chromatin becomes localized to the nuclear periphery in +/ic neutrophils, but this failed to occur in ic/ic neutrophil; thus, EPRO cells may already have chromatin localization patterns that cannot be reversed (40). Finally, EML-+/+ cells were generated from a different genetic background as compared with The Jackson Laboratory ic mouse and have been maintained for many more generations as compared with our EML-+/+ or ic/ic cells, which may also influence the levels of responses between these different cell types (39).

Membrane cholesterol levels facilitate the formation of membrane lipid rafts, which are comprised of different amounts of shingolipids and cholesterol packed with phospholipids, with cholesterol comprising ~25% of the mixture (74). The lipid-ordering nature of rafts draws signaling or enzymatic proteins embedded in membranes to close proximity, thereby facilitating protein–protein interactions and complex formation. The importance of this process to neutrophil function was clearly demonstrated when detergent-insoluble membrane fractions from activated HL-60 and Ra2 cells were found to contain components of the NADPH oxidase, including the membrane-bound subunits gp91phox and p22phox, and because activated HL-60 and Ra2 cells treated with cholesterol synthesis inhibitors displayed reduced respiratory burst activities (11). Our results showing that both lipid deprivation and loss of Lbr expression inhibited levels of oxidative
bursts from mature EPRO cells indicated that the two phenotypes may be related. Moreover, expression of full-length Lbr dramatically improved the respiratory burst and improved the formation of CTB-FTTC–stained loci in EPRO-italic cells. We also showed that both C-terminal constructs improved reactive oxygen production, with cells expressing Lbr71–626 producing levels near that of those expressing the wild-type form. It is important to note that the expression of the C-terminal portions of Lbr only partially restored nuclear maturation; therefore, the levels of respiratory burst responses in these cells may primarily be generated by those cells that exhibit complete nuclear maturation. This notion lends further support to the importance of neutrophil nuclear maturation to its functional responses. These results therefore support our suggestion that sterol reductase activity provided by the C-terminal sequences of Lbr are important to cholesterol biosynthesis, which facilitates the formation of lipid rafts and thereby improves the activation of NADPH oxidase complexes.

We propose that our studies establish the importance of the C-terminal sterol reductase region of Lbr in myeloid cell growth and differentiation, but our results raise important questions regarding the evolution of Lbr versus other sterol reductases, why Lbr is particularly important to myeloid cell differentiation, and how cholesterol biosynthesis in general may be related to disorders of myeloid differentiation. Clearly Lbr is not required for cholesterol synthesis in all tissues because embryogenesis can proceed to the fetal stage in humans with homozygous KO mutations of Lbr, and some mice do survive. However, the lack of phenotypes associated with mouse Tm7sf2 KO mutations as compared with the dramatic developmental defects caused by homozygous Lbr mutations indicates that the proliferative or differentiation capacities of certain cells depend more on the activities provided by Lbr as compared with TM7SF2. Such cells may have evolved unique cholesterol requirements that depend on sterol reductase activities of Lbr but also require the N-terminal functions of Lbr in tethering lamins and chromatin to the nuclear envelope, perhaps as a mechanism to orchestrate chromatin remodeling that drives differential gene expression. We propose that several properties of myeloid cells indicate that they are in this category: 1) mature neutrophils require increased cholesterol to mediate the assembly of membrane proteins critical to functional responses; 2) their growth can be inhibited by drugs that disrupt membrane cholesterol levels; 3) nuclear maturation is coincident with a relocation of chromatin to the nuclear periphery; and 4) loss of Lbr expression disrupts functional responses, myeloid cell growth, and their capacity to synthesize cholesterol. Future studies aimed at defining whether additional components of the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway are important to neutrophil differentiation and whether additional cell differentiation processes depend on Lbr for increased cholesterol biosynthesis may further define the importance of Lbr as an authentic C14 sterol reductase. We are especially interested in testing the Tm7sf2 KO cells for growth defects at the EPRO cell stage and whether loss of Tm7sf2 expression in mature neutrophils will affect the respiratory burst; such studies are the focus of our current analyses of this new cell line. These ongoing studies may also reveal important associations between molecular mechanisms that regulate cellular cholesterol levels and hematopoietic cell growth, as was recently discovered for the ATP-binding cassette transporters that promote cellular cholesterol efflux, which suppressed the proliferation of myeloid progenitors and hematopoietic stem cells (75). Finally, our cell line that lacks Lbr expression provides a model for studying additional variants of Lbr and their functions in either cell growth or differentiation, including forms that mimic the human variants found to cause Greenberg/HEN dysplasia but not PHA (38).
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