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Autoimmune Disease Risk Variant of IFIH1 Is Associated with Increased Sensitivity to IFN-α and Serologic Autoimmunity in Lupus Patients

Talin Robinson,*§ Silvia N. Kariuki,*§ Beverly S. Franek,*§† Marissa Kumabe,*§† Akaash A. Kumar,*§† Maria Badaracco,*§† Rachel A. Mikolaitis, ‡ Galen Guerrero, ‡ Tammy O. Utset,*§† Barbara E. Drevlow, ‡ Laura S. Zaacks, ‡ James S. Grober, ‡ Lewis M. Cohen, ‡ Kyriakos A. Kirou, ‡ Mary K. Crow, ‡ Meenakshi Jolly, ‡ and Timothy B. Niewold*§†

Increased IFN-α signaling is a heritable risk factor for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). IFN induced with helicase C domain 1 (IFIH1) is a cytoplasmic dsRNA sensor that activates IFN-α pathway signaling. We studied the impact of the autoimmune-disease–associated IFIH1 rs1990760 (A946T) single nucleotide polymorphism upon IFN-α signaling in SLE patients in vivo. We studied 563 SLE patients (278 African-American, 179 European-American, and 106 Hispanic-American). Logistic regression models were used to detect genetic associations with autoantibody traits, and multiple linear regression was used to analyze IFN-α–induced gene expression in PBMCs in the context of serum IFN-α in the same blood sample. We found that the rs1990760 T allele was associated with anti-dsDNA Abs across all of the studied ancestral backgrounds (meta-analysis odds ratio = 1.34, \( p = 0.026 \)). This allele also was associated with lower serum IFN-α levels in subjects who had anti-dsDNA Abs (\( p = 0.0026 \)). When we studied simultaneous serum and PBMC samples from SLE patients, we found that the IFIH1 rs1990760 T allele was associated with increased IFN-induced gene expression in PBMCs in response to a given amount of serum IFN-α in anti-dsDNA–positive patients. This effect was independent of the STAT4 genotype, which modulates sensitivity to IFN-α in a similar way. Thus, the IFIH1 rs1990760 T allele was associated with dsDNA Abs, and in patients with anti-dsDNA Abs this risk allele increased sensitivity to IFN-α signaling. These studies suggest a role for the IFIH1 risk allele in SLE in vivo. The Journal of Immunology, 2011, 187: 1298–1303.

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a complex multi-system autoimmune disease resulting from both genetic and environmental factors (1). The type 1 IFN system of antiviral immunity is pathologically overactive in many SLE patients, and multiple lines of evidence support the idea that increased type 1 IFN signaling is a primary pathogenic event in human lupus (2, 3). In some cases, SLE has been induced by rIFN-α that was given as a treatment for chronic viral infections and malignancy (4–6). Serum IFN-α activity is elevated in many SLE patients (7), and this abnormality also is found in some unaffected relatives of SLE patients, supporting the idea that high serum IFN-α level is a heritable risk factor for SLE (8, 9). Many SLE risk genetic variants that function in the IFN-α pathway result in increased IFN-α pathway signaling in patients in vivo, providing further support for this idea (3, 10–15).

IFN induced with helicase C domain 1 (IFIH1, also known as MDA5) is a DEAD box helicase that senses viral RNA and helps to induce transcription of type I IFN and IFN-induced genes when activated (16). IFIH1 is localized in the cytoplasm and shares significant similarities with retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I), another cytoplasmic RNA sensor (16). Genetic variants of IFIH1 have been associated with type 1 diabetes (17), autoimmune thyroid disease (18), psoriasis (19), and recently SLE (20, 21). A common coding-change variant in IFIH1 (rs1990760, A946T) has been associated with these autoimmune conditions, and rare variants in IFIH1 also have been associated with protection from type 1 diabetes (22). The autoimmune-disease–associated allele of IFIH1 (rs1990760 T, 946T) is not predicted to disrupt the protein structure by the PolyPhen database. In fact, the rs1990760 T risk allele is likely a gain-of-function variation, resulting in increased expression of IFIH1 (23), although this finding has not been replicated uniformly (24). The rare variants in IFIH1 that are associated with protection from type 1 diabetes result in decreased expression of IFIH1 (23). These data taken together suggest that...
increased expression or gain-of-function in IFIH1 predisposes to human autoimmunity.

Given the importance of this protein in type I IFN responses and the pathogenic importance of IFN-α in human SLE, we investigated the impact of the IFIH1 rs1990760 polymorphism on the IFN-α pathway in SLE patients in vivo. Autoantibodies against dsDNA and small nuclear RNA-binding proteins such as Ro, La, Sm, and ribonucleoprotein are strongly associated with serum IFN-α levels in SLE patients (7), and frequently SLE-associated loci demonstrate associations with particular autoantibodies (13, 25, 26). Therefore, we also investigated potential associations between IFIH1 rs1990760 T and SLE-associated autoantibodies.

Materials and Methods

Patients and methods

We studied serum and genomic DNA samples from 563 SLE patients from the University of Chicago Translational Research in the Department of Medicine registry, the Hospital for Special Surgery Lupus Registries, Rush University Medical Center, and the NorthShore University Health System. The SLE cohort consisted of 278 African-American, 179 European-American, and 106 Hispanic-American SLE patients. All of the patients met the revised 1982 American College of Rheumatology criteria for the diagnosis of SLE (27). PBMCs were obtained from 80 anti-dsDNA–positive SLE patients and 24 anti-dsDNA–negative SLE patients selected from the subjects above. The subjects in this study were not related to each other. Informed consent was obtained from all of the subjects at each site, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at each institution.

Single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping

SLE patients were genotyped at IFIH1 rs1990760 and STAT4 rs7574865 using Applied Biosystems Taqman Assays-by-Design primers and probes on an Applied Biosystems 7900HT PCR machine with >98% genotyping success. All of the scatter plots were reviewed individually for quality, and genotype frequencies did not deviate significantly from the expected Hardy–Weinberg proportions (p > 0.01 in all of the ancestral backgrounds).

Reporter cell assay for IFN-α

The reporter cell assay for IFN-α has been described in detail elsewhere (8, 28). In this assay, reporter cells were used to measure the ability of patient sera to cause IFN-induced gene expression. The reporter cells (WISH cells, American Type Culture Collection CCL-25) were cultured with 50% patient sera for 6 h and then lysed. cDNA was made from total cellular mRNA, and cDNA then was quantified using real-time PCR. Forward and reverse primers for the genes IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 (IFIT1), myxovirus resistance 1 (MX1), and dsRNA-activated protein kinase, which are known to be highly and specifically induced by IFN-α, were used in the reaction (8).

PBMC processing and transcript analysis

PBMCs were processed immediately after phlebotomy. Cells were lysed, and cDNA was made from total cellular RNA. cDNA transcripts then were quantified using real-time PCR with the same primers for the IFIT1 and MX1 genes in the PLINK program, version 1.07 (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/) (32). Each ancestral background was analyzed separately initially. When similar tendencies were observed across ancestral backgrounds, a meta-analysis was performed using a fixed-effects model, and testing for homogeneity between data sets was performed using Cochrane’s Q statistic. The serum IFN-α activity score data were distributed nonnormally, and nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare quantitative serum activity IFN-α data between two genotype subgroups. Relative expression data for each individual IFN-α–induced gene was log-normally distributed, and when data were compared for individual gene expression these data first were log10-transformed. The individual gene relative expression data then passed statistical testing for normality by the D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test (p > 0.05), and parametric t testing was used to compare differences between columns of individual gene relative expression data. Linear regression also was used to analyze the relative expression of individual IFN-α–induced genes in the reporter cell line versus the relative expression of the same transcript in PBMCs from the same blood sample. p values for this analysis were calculated using the extra sum-of-squares F test for a difference in slope or y-intercept of the best-fit line.

The p values shown in the article are uncorrected for multiple comparisons. When testing differences in the serum IFN-α activity score between different genotype groups, a p value of 0.016 is sufficient to control the family-wise type 1 error rate at 0.05. In the t tests comparing the differences in IFIT1 and MX1 expression between reporter cells and PBMCs comparing CC versus CT or TT genotypes, p values of 0.025 or less would control the type 1 error rate at 0.05. For the serum IFN-α versus IFN-α–induced gene expression in PBMC regressions, df are taken into account in the determination of the p value, and further statistical correction for the number of lines plotted on the graph is not necessary.

Results

IFIH1 rs1990760 T allele is associated with serologic profile in SLE patients

We used logistic regression to test for associations between IFIH1 genotype and serum autoantibodies in the SLE cohort. There was a similar trend toward association of rs1990760 T with anti-dsDNA autoantibodies in each ancestral background, which was statistically significant in the meta-analysis (Table I, meta-analysis using fixed effects model odds ratio = 1.34, p = 0.026, Cochrane’s Q = 0.99, indicating no evidence for heterogeneity in the association between groups). We did not detect any other significant consistent associations between IFIH1 rs1990760 T and autoantibodies in our cohort.

rs1990760 T is associated with lower serum IFN-α levels in anti-dsDNA–positive SLE patients

In patients stratified by IFIH1 genotype, serum IFN-α levels were higher in CC subjects than those in CT subjects (p = 0.034, Fig. 1A). Due to the association that we had detected with anti-dsDNA Abs and the large impact that these autoantibodies have upon serum IFN-α levels, we performed an analysis stratified by dsDNA Abs (Fig. 1B). In this analysis, subjects with anti-dsDNA Abs clearly show a dose-response decrease in serum IFN-α in the presence of the IFIH1 rs1990760 T allele (p = 0.0026 for CC versus TT). In
contrast, subjects lacking anti-dsDNA Abs showed no relationship between IFIH1 genotype and serum IFN-α level. This pattern was consistent across all of the studied ancestral backgrounds.

IFIH1 rs1990760 T is associated with increased IFN-α–induced gene expression for a given amount of serum IFN-α activity in SLE patients with dsDNA Abs

Finding a decrease in serum IFN-α levels in subjects with the risk allele of IFIH1 seemed somewhat paradoxical, given the role for increased IFN-α signaling in SLE pathogenesis. We have noted this pattern once previously with the STAT4 autoimmune disease risk allele (STAT4 rs7574865) (13). In this case, the STAT4 autoimmune disease risk allele was associated with increased IFN-α–induced gene expression in PBMCs for a given amount of serum IFN-α activity in vivo. This suggested that the STAT4 allele increased sensitivity to IFN-α, because risk allele carriers had more robust IFN-α–induced gene expression at lower levels of serum IFN-α. This could explain lower serum IFN-α levels in patients carrying this allele, if IFN-α signaling results in risk of lupus in a dose-effect manner.

We looked for a similar sensitivity effect in relation to the IFIH1 allele in our SLE patients. Simultaneous serum and PBMC samples were available for 80 anti-dsDNA–positive patients, and we compared the relative expression of the IFN-α–induced IFIT1 and MX1 genes in these samples. The relative expression of IFIT1 and MX1 induced by patient serum in the WISH reporter cell line was subtracted from the relative expression of the same gene in PBMCs, and the results were analyzed in the context of the IFIH1 rs1990760 genotype. As shown in Fig. 2, after controlling for serum IFN-α activity in this way, greater IFN-α–induced gene expression was observed in the presence of the IFIH1 T allele. In anti-dsDNA–negative patients, no pattern was observed in IFN-α–induced gene expression related to IFIH1 genotype (data not shown).

Impact of IFIH1 rs1990760 T upon sensitivity to IFN-α is independent of STAT4 genotype

Given that we had observed previously a similar phenomenon in relation to the STAT4 rs7574865 genotype, we performed a stratified regression analysis to control for the STAT4 genotype. The

---

**Table I. Association of IFIH1 rs1990760 T with anti-dsDNA Abs across multiple ancestral backgrounds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancestral Background</th>
<th>T Allele Frequency dsDNA–</th>
<th>T Allele Frequency dsDNA+</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African-Americans (n = 268)</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European-Americans (n = 179)</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic-Americans (n = 106)</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the T allele frequency, dsDNA–/dsDNA+ is the frequency of the T allele in SLE patients lacking anti-dsDNA Abs (dsDNA–) or those with a positive anti-dsDNA Ab test (dsDNA+). Meta-analysis: odds ratio = 1.34, p = 0.026; Cochrane’s Q = 0.99.

---

FIGURE 1. Serum IFN-α activity in SLE patients stratified by rs1990760 genotype and anti-dsDNA autoantibodies. A. All patients in aggregate. B. Patients stratified by presence or absence of anti-dsDNA Abs. The line indicating the central tendency represents the median, the error bars show the interquartile range, and the p value was calculated using the Mann–Whitney U test.

FIGURE 2. Difference in IFIT1 and MX1 expression in reporter cells versus PBMCs stratified by IFIH1 genotype. Relative expression values were log_{10}-transformed, and the relative expression of each transcript in reporter cells exposed to patient sera was subtracted from the relative expression of the same transcript in PBMCs from the same blood sample. A, IFIT1. B, MX1. Lines indicate the mean, and error bars show the SDs. Data were distributed normally, and the p value was calculated using an unpaired t test for the difference between CC and CT or TT genotypes.
difference in serum-induced versus PBMC gene expression was similar in the CT and TT genotypes in Fig. 3, so these were combined into one group to decrease the number of subgroups. Similarly, the rare STAT4 homozygous risk allele (TT) genotype (7 of the 80 subjects) was combined with the heterozygous risk allele carriers (GT genotype). Thus, both IFIH1 and STAT4 genotypes were binned into risk allele carrier versus nonrisk allele carriers, resulting in four genotype categories. Linear regression analysis was performed on these four subgroups of anti-dsDNA–positive SLE patients to determine the relationship between the capacity of serum to induce IFN-α–induced gene expression versus the actual IFN-α–induced gene expression observed in PBMCs from the same sample. As shown in Fig. 3, the effects of IFIH1 and STAT4 were additive, and the influence of IFIH1 was statistically independent of STAT4 genotype (in STAT4 risk allele carriers, carriage of the rs1990760 T allele resulted in a statistically significant increase in the slope of the regression line in Fig. 3, \( p < 0.05 \)).

**Discussion**

We provide evidence that the autoimmune disease susceptibility allele IFIH1 rs1990760 T is associated with anti-dsDNA Abs in SLE patients. In this anti-dsDNA–positive subgroup of SLE patients, we demonstrate a biological impact of the autoimmune disease risk allele rs1990760 T within the IFN-α pathway in vivo, suggesting that this allele is particularly important in this patient group. The rs1990760 T allele is associated with a modest increase in risk of SLE (odds ratio = 1.16–1.17) in overall case-control genetic studies (20, 21), and it is possible that these modest results reflect the heterogeneity of the SLE cohorts studied with respect to anti-dsDNA Abs. Incorporating serologic data in case-control studies of this locus may result in more robust findings, because it is quite possible that this allele is not of equal importance to all of the SLE patients.

Members of the TLR-independent pathway of viral defense and type I IFN generation have been implicated in SLE, including IFIH1 (20, 21) and more recently mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS) (33). MAVS is an adaptor protein that facilitates signaling via RIG-I, which is a cytosolic DEAD box helicase nucleic acid sensor similar to IFIH1. Interestingly, the MAVS allele that was associated with SLE was loss-of-function and also was associated with a lack of autoantibodies against RNA-binding proteins and lower serum IFN-α levels in SLE patients (33). Although the MAVS allele is clearly a loss-of-function variant (33), existing data suggest that IFIH1 may be a gain-of-function variant (23). The MAVS and IFIH1 alleles associated with SLE both are associated with decreased serum IFN-α levels, but the MAVS allele is loss-of-function and is associated with a lack of autoantibodies of a particular specificity, whereas the IFIH1 allele is gain-of-function and is associated with the presence of particular autoantibodies. We do not suspect that the MAVS allele is associated with an increased sensitivity to IFN-α signaling and instead propose that this allele results in some dysfunction of the RIG-I pathway that results in SLE susceptibility via some other mechanism that is not known at present. Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated decreased IFN-β production in PBMCs from type I diabetes patients with the rare loss-of-function IFIH1 alleles that are associated with protection from type I diabetes (34). It would be of high interest to examine these polymorphisms in SLE patients as we have done with IFIH1 rs1990760, because we would predict that these variations would be associated with lower serum IFN-α levels and decreased sensitivity to IFN-α signaling.

Viruses have been proposed as potential triggers of SLE, with intriguing evidence implicating EBV (35). EBV nucleic acids have been shown to stimulate the TLR-independent system of IFN-α production (36). EBV also has been implicated in autoantibody formation in SLE, possibly via molecular mimicry (37). It is possible that viral infection could interact with gain-of-function genetic polymorphisms in cytosolic viral sensors such as IFIH1 to result in overactive IFN-α responses and risk of autoimmunity. Virus-like endogenous retroelements such as long interspersed nuclear element 1 also could provide a stimulus to the TLR-independent pathway, and these retroelements also have been implicated in SLE pathogenesis (38). Recent studies of the cytosolic RNA sensors suggest that cytosolic dsDNA may be transcribed to RNA by RNA polymerase III and that this RNA can be recognized by RIG-I (39). Circulating cell-free DNA is present in healthy individuals (40). Free circulating dsDNA could be taken up into cells via endocytosis and subsequently stimulate the cytosolic RNA sensors after transcription of the DNA to RNA.

It is currently unclear why a risk allele in an RNA-sensing viral defense protein would be associated with Abs directed at dsDNA. It is possible that overactivity in the IFN-α pathway conferred in part by IFIH1 rs1990760 T could increase the chance that tolerance is broken toward DNA and that the polymorphism results in risk of anti-dsDNA Abs. In humans, there is evidence to support the idea that IFN-α could facilitate a break in tolerance to nuclear Ags in SLE (7, 41), although this concept is not uniformly supported across all of the autoimmune disease states (42). Alternatively, it is also possible that anti-dsDNA Abs enhance the gain-of-function signaling tendency of IFIH1 rs1990760 T, resulting in pathogenic...
overactivity of the IFN-α pathway and subsequent risk of SLE. This could happen in an indirect way, because anti-dsDNA Ab immune complexes could stimulate TLR9, resulting in greater IFN-α production. This increase in IFN-α then could result in greater IFIH1 transcription that is enhanced by the rs1990760 T risk variant. In this way, the formation of anti-dsDNA Abs in SLE patients could exacerbate an underlying genetic tendency toward greater IFN-α signaling. The increased IFN-α sensitivity that we observe in the setting of the risk variant may be a result of this type of “priming,” presuming that increased expression of IFIH1 then results in increased downstream IFN-α–induced gene expression.

Our results indicate that the IFIH1 autoimmune disease risk allele modulates IFN-α–induced gene expression in SLE patients in vivo. Given the relevance of IFN-α pathway signaling in a number of different autoimmune diseases (31, 43, 44), we expect that these results will inform studies of this allele in other autoimmune disease populations. Additionally, the autoimmune diseases that have been associated with IFIH1 rs1990760 to date are characterized frequently by autoantibody formation, and we hypothesize that the IFIH1 risk variant may play a role in humoral tolerance in these conditions as well.
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