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Immunity to Pre-1950 H1N1 Influenza Viruses Confers Cross-Protection against the Pandemic Swine-Origin 2009 A (H1N1) Influenza Virus
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The 2009 H1N1 influenza virus outbreak is the first pandemic of the twenty-first century. Epidemiological data reveal that of all the people afflicted with H1N1 virus, <5% are over 51 y of age. Interestingly, in the uninfected population, 33% of those >60 y old have pre-existing neutralizing Abs against the 2009 H1N1 virus. This finding suggests that influenza strains that circulated 50–60 y ago might provide cross-protection against the swine-origin 2009 H1N1 influenza virus. To test this, we determined the ability of representative H1N1 influenza viruses that circulated in the human population from 1930 to 2000, to induce cross-reactivity to and cross-protection against the pandemic swine-origin H1N1 virus, A/California/04/09. We show that exposure of mice to the 1947 virus, A/PR/8/34, or the 1934 virus, A/PR/8/34, induced robust cross-protective immune responses and these mice were protected against a lethal challenge with mouse-adapted A/California/04/09 H1N1 virus. Conversely, we observed that mice exposed to the 2009 H1N1 virus were protected against a lethal challenge with mouse-adapted 1947 or 1934 H1N1 viruses. In addition, exposure to the 2009 H1N1 virus induced broad cross-reactivity against H1N1 as well as H3N2 influenza viruses. Finally, we show that vaccination with the older H1N1 viruses, particularly A/PR/8/34, confers protective immunity against the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus. Taken together, our data provide an explanation for the decreased susceptibility of the elderly to the 2009 H1N1 outbreak and demonstrate that vaccination with the pre-1950 influenza strains can cross-protect against the pandemic swine-origin 2009 H1N1 influenza virus.

Influenza virus is lipid enveloped, with a segmented negative sense RNA genome. The envelope of the virion contains two types of surface glycoproteins, which play essential roles in viral infection. The hemagglutinin (HA) protein is responsible for attachment of the virus to sialic acid-containing glycan receptors on the host cell surface (1, 2), whereas the neuraminidase (NA) is a receptor-destroying enzyme, which has important functions in viral release and cell-to-cell spread (3, 4). There are three distinct serotypes of influenza viruses, designated A, B, and C, with types A and B viruses playing the major role in human infection. Influenza A viruses also occur in birds, pigs, and other species, whereas types B and C are found primarily in humans. Human influenza viruses are continuously evolving owing to mutations in the viral genome RNA, resulting in variants with surface glycoproteins that have distinct antigenic properties. These mutations are responsible for seasonal epidemics that occur with both influenza A and B viruses. Less frequently, influenza A viruses occur with novel HA proteins that are unrelated to pre-existing human strains with respect to antigenic properties. These major antigenic shifts result in novel antigenic subtypes of the HA and sometimes the NA glycoproteins, which can spread rapidly, causing global disease pandemics (5–8).

The first known swine H1N1 influenza virus was isolated in 1930 (9). This virus was shown to exhibit similarities in sequence to the 1918 H1N1 virus that was recently reconstructed from preserved patient specimens (10, 11). The first human influenza virus isolates were also of the H1N1 serotype, which persisted in the human population until the appearance of the H2N2 virus in 1957 (12). In 1977, the H1N1 virus reappeared and has been cocirculating with H3N2 viruses until the present time. In April 2009, a distinct H1N1 virus of swine origin was identified in North America, and it has since spread rapidly in multiple geographic regions, resulting in the declaration of a new pandemic by the World Health Organization in June 2009. It is a quadruple reassortant virus containing a unique combination of gene segments derived from the classical swine, North American avian, human (H3N2), and Eurasian avian-like swine influenza viruses (13). Although most human infections with the 2009 swine-origin H1N1 viruses have been mild, resembling typical seasonal influenza infections, >700 deaths and numerous hospitalizations have been reported, suggesting that the new virus is more pathogenic in mammalian hosts than are seasonal H1N1 viruses that circulated in recent years. Typically, during seasonal influenza outbreaks, the elderly, persons with underlying chronic diseases, infants, and young children who have not been previously exposed to the virus manifest the most severe disease symptoms. This pattern does not seem to hold completely true for the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus; those >50 y old seem to be spared. Preliminary analysis of patients afflicted with the H1N1 virus showed that in >700 confirmed cases...
in the United States the majority were young adults and only 5% were >51 y old (14). This observation raises the possibility of pre-existing immunity to the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus in the population. In addition, Katz and colleagues (15) recently showed that seasonal influenza vaccines from 2005 to 2009 did not induce cross-reactive Abs against the 2009 H1N1 virus. Interestingly, they found that ~33% of those >60 y old in their study had pre-existing cross-reactive Abs against the 2009 H1N1 virus. As a result, the frequency of hospitalization has been highest in individuals from 24 to 60 y of age, and very low in those >60 y old.

In this study, we determined the extent to which pre-existing immunity to representative H1N1 viruses that appeared in the human population from 1930 to 2000 protects against the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus. Our findings suggest that exposure to pre-1950 H1N1 influenza strains can offer protection against the 2009 H1N1 virus, limiting disease severity in this population. We also find that exposure to the 2009 swine-origin H1N1 virus induces broad cross-reactivity against H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses and this is dependent on both Abs and CD8 T cells.

Materials and Methods
Mice, viruses, and immunization
BALB/c mice, purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA), were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions at the Emory Vaccine Center vivarium. The wild-type A/California/04/09 virus was a kind gift from Dr. Richard Webby, St. Jude Hospital, Memphis, TN. All the H1N1 (A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/99) and H3N2 (A/Aichi/2/68, A/Adorn/307/72, A/Victoria/375/75, and A/Aridon/2/80) influenza viruses were propagated in embryonated eggs, as described (16). All the mouse-adapted strains were generated by eight serial passages in BALB/c mice with the exception of A/California/04/09 virus, which was passaged five times. We then determined LD50 for mouse-adapted virus using the Reed–Muench equation (17). For the challenge and infection studies, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and then infected with 0.1 ml virus with 3 × 10^6 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) of the old strains (1930–2000), followed by challenge with 3 × 10^6 50% of the swine-origin A/California/04/09, or they were infected with 0.5 × 10^6 50% of A/California/04/09 and challenged with 3 × 10^6 50% of the mouse-adapted A/PR/8/34 (H1N1), A/FM/1/47 (H1N1), A/Aichi/2/68 (H3N2), or A/Victoria/375 (H3N2) virus.

Challenge of mice with influenza virus
To determine postchallenge survival rates and immune responses, mice were challenged 1 mo after either primary immunization or infection by intranasal instillation of 30 μl virus with 3 × 10^6 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) of the mouse-adapted virus and monitored for 14 d. As a negative control group, we included naive mice. A weight loss exceeding 25% was used as the experimental end point, and mice reaching this end point were euthanized according to Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines. The challenged mice were monitored daily for signs of morbidity (body weight changes, fever, and hunched posture) and mortality.

Evaluation of humoral immune responses
Sera were collected from individual mice, and anti-influenza–specific Ab levels were determined quantitatively by ELISA, as described (16). The reagents for ELISA were purchased from Southern Biotechnology Associates (Birmingham, AL).

We determined the hemagglutination inhibition (HAI) titers based on the World Health Organization protocol, as described previously (18). Sera were treated with receptor-destructing NA (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) overnight at 37°C, heat inactivated for 30 min at 56°C, and incubated with packed chicken or turkey RBCs for 1 h at 4°C to remove any cryoglobulins interfering with the agglutination reaction. They were then serially diluted and preincubated at room temperature with 4 HA Units/50 μl of the panel of 1930–2009 viruses, for 30 min. An equal volume of 0.5% chicken or turkey RBCs was then added to each well for 30 min incubation at room temperature.

Depletion of CD8 T cells in vivo
To deplete CD8 T cells in vivo, we used a rat IgG2a anti-mouse CD8 mAb produced from the hybridoma, YTS169.4 (19). We administered the mAb i.p. twice, at a dose of 500 μg 3 d and 1 d prior to viral challenge. We tested the effectiveness of the mAb to deplete the CD8 population by flow cytometry using fluochrome-labeled rat anti-mouse CD8 mAb (clone 53-6.7; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The anti-CD8 mAb treatment depleted CD8 T cells completely (data not shown).

Influenza HA cloning from allantoic fluid or mouse lung homogenate
We cloned and sequenced the HA gene segments from all the influenza viruses used in this study. Briefly, viral RNA was extracted from cell-free allantoic fluid derived from infected eggs or infected mouse lung homogmate, using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RT-PCR was performed using the Quagen One-Step RT-PCR Kit and primers complementary to the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions specific to the HA RNA segment (primer sequences). Amplified HA segments were cloned using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Plasmids were first confirmed by restriction mapping and then sequenced (Operon-MWG; Huntsville, AL). Protein sequences were deduced from DNA sequences, using the standard genetic code.

Influenza hemagglutinin multiple sequence alignments and homology modeling
Multiple sequence alignments were performed using the MegAlign software (Lasergene, v7.0) and the BioEdit software (Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA) using the DUSTW alignment algorithm. Homology modeling of mouse- and egg-adapted influenza hemagglutinin proteins was performed using SWISS-MODEL (http://swiftmodel.expasy.org/) and analyzed using Chimera (University of California, San Francisco, version 1.3).

Statistics
The statistical significance of the difference was calculated by two-tailed unpaired Student t test and one-way or two-way ANOVA (including the Bonferroni multiple comparison test). Values were considered significant for p < 0.05. Unless otherwise stated, data were pooled from at least two independent experiments.

Results
Sera from mice sublethally infected with pre-1950 influenza strains cross-react with the swine-origin H1N1 influenza virus, A/CA/04/2009
To evaluate the degree of cross-reactivity of the older influenza strains to the new swine-origin H1N1 virus, A/California/04/09, we sublethally infected cohorts of BALB/c mice with live mouse-adapted H1N1 viruses, A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/99. These are representative of H1N1 viruses that circulated in the human population from 1930 to 2000. One month later, we collected sera and tested their ability to cross-react with the novel 2009 H1N1 virus by determining their ability to inhibit hemagglutination of turkey RBCs by A/California/04/09 virus in a HAI (Fig. 1). Immune sera from mice infected with A/FM/1/47 viruses exhibited the highest HAI titers, and this was followed by A/PR/8/34. In the group of mice infected with the 1957 H1N1 virus, A/Denver/1/57, half of them exhibited mean HAI titers >32.5. A/PR/8/34-immune mice had a mean HAI titer of 38, and most interestingly, A/FM/1/47-immune mice had significantly higher HAI titers than all the other groups (p < 0.001). In contrast, mice exposed to H1N1 viruses from 1957 to 2000 exhibited minimal cross-reactivity; the mean HAI titers for these groups were <13. This finding was not due to a lack of Abs against the homologous viruses; all sublethally infected mice exhibited HAI titers >640 against the homologous viruses. Taken together, these data demonstrate that mice immune to pre-1950 H1N1 viruses, especially A/FM/1/47 and A/PR/8/34, had cross-reactive Abs against A/California/04/09 virus.

Mice exposed to 1934 and 1947 H1N1 viruses are protected against lethal challenge with mouse-adapted swine-origin H1N1 influenza A/California/04/09 virus
Because the A/PR/8/34 and A/FM/1/47 viruses induced significantly higher cross-reactive HAI titers than the other groups, we
determined the kinetics of their cross-reactive responses. Briefly, we infected cohorts of BALB/c mice intranasally with 0.1 × LD₅₀ of live mouse-adapted influenza viruses spanning from 1930 to 2000 (A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/09). A month following infection, we collected their sera and tested their ability to inhibit hemagglutination of turkey RBCs by the 2009 swine-origin A/California/04/09 virus. The HAI titers were read as the reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum that conferred inhibition of hemagglutination. The values are expressed as mean ± SD. Each data point represents an individual animal.

FIGURE 1. Sera from mice sublethally infected with pre-1950 influenza strains cross-react with the swine-origin H1N1 influenza virus, A/CA/04/2009. Cohorts of BALB/c mice were infected intranasally with 0.1 × LD₅₀ of live mouse-adapted influenza viruses spanning from 1930 to 2000 (A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/09). A month following infection, we collected their sera and determined the kinetics of their cross-reactive responses. Briefly, we infected cohorts of BALB/c mice intranasally with 0.1 × LD₅₀ of live mouse-adapted A/FM/1/47 and A/PR/8/34 viruses. Both viruses induced robust immune responses; 28 d postexposure, the mean (±SEM) HAI titers against A/PR/8/34 and A/FM/1/47 were 896 ± 156 and 640, respectively. Mock infected mice served as naive controls. At days 7, 14, and 28 postinfection, we collected their sera and tested their ability to inhibit cross-react with A/California/04/09 virus. A month later, we bled them and tested the sera for cross-reactive IgG Abs specific for A/California/04/09 virus could be detected by ELISA as early as day 7 and significant levels were induced by day 28 (Fig. 2A). Exposure to the older viruses also induced significant levels of HAI activity against the A/CA/04/09 virus (Fig. 2B). To determine the extent to which immunity to A/FM/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 could cross-protect against lethal challenge with A/California/04/09, we lethally challenged the A/FM/1/47 and A/PR/8/34 immune mice (shown in Fig. 2A, 2B) with 3 × LD₅₀ of live mouse-adapted A/California/04/09 virus and monitored them for survival (Fig. 2C) and morbidity (Fig. 2D) for the next 14 d. Of the mice previously exposed to A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47, 100% survived lethal challenge with the 2009 pandemic H1N1 strain. In contrast, all of the naive control mice succumbed to the mouse-adapted A/California/04/09 virus challenge and either died or lost >25% of their initial body weight and had to be euthanized per IACUC guidelines by days 8–9 postchallenge. Following challenge, their morbidity, as measured by weight loss, was minimal in the A/FM/1/47- and A/PR/8/34-immune groups. These mice lost ~8–10% of their body weight by day 6 and exhibited no outward signs of morbidity, such as hunched posture, ruffled fur, or failure to thrive. All of these mice regained their weights by 2 wk (Fig. 2D). Taken together, our data show that previous exposure to A/PR/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 virus gives protective immunity against lethal challenge with A/California/04/09 virus.

Abs produced in response to the 2009 H1N1 A/California/04/09 virus cross-react with a large spectrum of H1N1 influenza viruses

We also tested whether exposure to A/California/04/09 could induce responses that cross-react with older H1N1 influenza strains. We infected cohorts of BALB/c mice with 0.5 × LD₅₀ live mouse-adapted A/California/04/09 virus. A month later, we bled them and tested the sera for cross-reactive IgG Abs against A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/09, using HAI assay (Fig. 3A). Serum samples exhibited high HAI titers against all the older H1N1 strains tested, except for the A/New Caledonia/20/1999 strain. The cross-reactivity was highest for A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/FM/1/47, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/09, using HAI assay (Fig. 3A). Serum samples exhibited high HAI titers against all the older H1N1 strains tested, except for the A/New Caledonia/20/1999 strain. The cross-reactivity was highest for A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/FM/1/47, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/09. We tested whether A/California/04/09-immune mice could be protected against lethal challenge with 3 × LD₅₀ mouse-adapted A/PR/8/34 and A/FM/1/47 virus; the survival was 100% for both these viruses (Fig. 3B). In contrast, 100%
of the naive control mice challenged with either $3 \times \text{LD}_{50}$ A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47 succumbed to the infection and either died or had to be euthanized by day 6 owing to excessive weight loss. In both the A/PR/8/34- and A/FM/1/47-challenged mice, the weight loss was only $\sim$8–10% at its peak (day 8) (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these data show that mice exposed to A/California/04/2009 are protected against lethal challenge with either A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47 viruses.

Abs produced in response to the 2009 H1N1 virus cross-react with H3N2 influenza viruses

Because Abs produced in response to the 2009 H1N1 virus cross-reacted with a large spectrum of H1N1 viruses, we also tested whether these Abs would cross-react against H3N2 influenza viruses, A/Aichi/2/68, A/Udorn/307/72, A/Victoria/3/75, and A/Arizona/2/80. Interestingly, we observed cross-reactivity against all four H3N2 viruses (Fig. 4A). Next we determined whether this heterosubtypic immunity could induce protection from a lethal challenge with mouse-adapted H3N2 viruses. We infected cohorts of BALB/c mice with $0.5 \times \text{LD}_{50}$ live mouse-adapted A/California/04/2009 virus. A month later, we lethally challenged these animals with $3 \times \text{LD}_{50}$ of mouse-adapted H3N2 viruses, A/Aichi/2/68 or A/Victoria/3/75, and monitored them for survival (Fig. 4B) and morbidity (Fig. 4C). Of the mice previously exposed to A/California/04/2009, 100% survived lethal challenge with A/Aichi/2/68 or A/Victoria/3/75. In contrast, all naive control mice that were lethally challenged with the two H3N2 viruses succumbed to the infection and, by days 8–11, either died or lost $>25\%$ of their body weight and were euthanized per IACUC guidelines. Morbidity, as measured by weight loss (Fig. 4C), hunched posture, ruffled fur, and failure to thrive, was minimal in mice previously exposed to A/California/04/2009 virus. These mice lost $<5\%$ of their body weight following lethal challenge with H3N2 viruses. Taken together, our data show that previous

FIGURE 3. Abs produced in response to A/California/04/09 cross-react with a large spectrum of older H1N1 influenza viruses. We infected cohorts of BALB/c mice sublethally with live mouse-adapted swine-origin A/CA/04/2009, collected their sera 1 mo postinfection, and tested their ability to cross-react with a panel of 1930–2000 H1N1 viruses, using HAI assay (A). We then challenged these animals with $3 \times \text{LD}_{50}$ mouse-adapted A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47 strains and monitored for survival (B) and signs of morbidity (C), as reflected in the body weight changes up to 14 d postchallenge. The graphs represent the mean $\pm$ SD.

FIGURE 4. Exposure to A/California/04/09 induces heterosubtypic cross-reactivity and protective immunity against H3N2 influenza viruses. We infected cohorts of BALB/c mice sublethally with live mouse-adapted swine-origin A/CA/04/2009, collected their sera 1 mo postinfection, and tested their ability to cross-react with a panel of H3N2 viruses, using HAI assay (A). We then challenged these animals with $3 \times \text{LD}_{50}$ mouse-adapted H3N2 viruses, A/Aichi/2/68 or A/Victoria/3/75, and monitored them for survival (B) and signs of morbidity (C), as reflected in the body weight changes up to 14 d postchallenge. The graphs represent the mean $\pm$ SD of five mice per group.
exposure to A/California/04/09 virus gives heterosubtypic protective immunity against lethal challenge with H3N2 influenza viruses. Vaccination with older H1N1 viruses, especially A/FM/1/47, protects against lethal challenge with mouse-adapted swine-origin H1N1 influenza A/California/04/09 virus.

To determine whether vaccination with older H1N1 viruses—A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47 viruses—could induce protective immunity against the 2009 H1N1 virus, we immunized cohorts of mice with 10 μg (1800 HA units) of formalin-inactivated A/FM/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 influenza viruses. The immunizations induced HAI titers (mean ± SEM) of 960 ± 149 and 1180 ± 67 against homologous viruses, A/PR/8/34 and A/FM/1/47, respectively, at day 28 postvaccination. We measured the cross-reactive serum IgG levels (Fig. 5A) and HAI (Fig. 5B) against A/California/04/09 virus at days 7, 14, and 28 postimmunization. At day 28, both A/FM/1/47- and A/PR/8/34-vaccinated mice had significantly higher titers of cross-reactive IgG Abs than did control mice (p < 0.001 for A/FM/1/47 and p < 0.05 for A/PR/8/34). Similarly, immunization with A/FM/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 induced significantly higher cross-reactive HAI titers against A/CA/04/2009. Next, we sought to determine whether these cross-reactive Abs would protect immunized mice against lethal challenge. One month following immunization with inactivated A/FM/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 virus, we challenged these mice with 3 × LD50 live mouse-adapted A/California/04/09 virus. Postchallenge, the survival rates (C) and body weight changes (D), indicative of morbidity, were monitored for 14 d. The graphs represent the mean ± SEM of five mice per group at each time point.

FIGURE 5. Vaccination with inactivated A/FM/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 virus induces robust Ab responses and protective immunity against A/CA/04/2009. We immunized cohorts of BALB/c mice with 10 μg (1800 HA units) of formalin-inactivated A/FM/1/47 or A/PR/8/34 influenza virus. We collected their sera at days 7, 14, and 28 postimmunization and determined the A/California/04/09-specific ELISA (A) and HAI titers (B). Naive, unimmunized mice served as negative controls. The results are the average of two independent experiments, and error bars represent SEM. One month following immunization, we challenged them by intranasal infection with 3 × LD50 of live mouse-adapted A/California/04/09 virus. Postchallenge, the survival rates (C) and body weight changes (D), indicative of morbidity, were monitored for 14 d. The graphs represent the mean ± SEM of five mice per group at each time point.

CD8+ T cells are critical for cross-protective immunity

On the basis of the data presented (Figs. 3, 4), it is clear that exposure to 2009 H1N1 virus induces cross-protection against H1N1 strains as well as H3N2 strains. Although it is evident from cross-reactive HAI titers that Abs play a critical role in cross-protection, the extent to which cross-reactive CD8 T cells participate in this is unknown.
To address this issue, we did in vivo CD8 depletion studies. Briefly, we administered rat anti-mouse CD8 mAb (clone YTS169.4) to cohorts of mice previously immune to A/California/04/09. Control immune mice received normal rat IgG. The CD8 depletion was complete, as determined by flow cytometry using fluorochrome-labeled rat anti-mouse CD8 mAb (clone 53-6.7) (data not shown). Cohorts of A/California/04/09-immune mice, either CD8 T cell depleted or nondepleted, were challenged intranasally with live mouse-adapted 3 × LD₅₀ A/FM/1/47 (H1N1) or A/Aichi/2/68 (H3N2) influenza viruses. The animals were monitored for survival (Fig. 6A) and body weight changes (Fig. 6B) for 14 d. As expected, 100% of the A/California/04/09-immune mice whose CD8 T cells were not depleted survived lethal challenge with A/FM/1/47 or A/Aichi/2/68 viruses. In contrast, 80% of the CD8-depleted mice survived lethal challenge (Fig. 6A). All of the naive control mice died or lost >25% of their initial body weight and were euthanized per IACUC guidelines by day 6 following lethal challenge. In addition, the morbidity, as measured by body weight loss, was more severe in the CD8-depleted group (Fig. 6B). The maximal weight loss in the nondepleted group was ~5%, compared with 15% in the CD8-depleted cohort. Altogether, these data show that CD8 T cells also play a critical part in cross-protection and suggest that the 2009 H1N1 virus shares CD8 T cell epitopes with the A/FM/1/47 or A/Aichi/2/68 virus.

**Discussion**

Our data provide a basis for the recent reports that people >50 y of age are more resistant to the new H1N1 pandemic virus. Using mouse-adapted influenza viruses, we demonstrate that of the animals exposed to 1930–2000 influenza viruses only those infected with strains that appeared prior to 1957 cross-react successfully with the 2009 swine-origin influenza virus. Moreover we found a reciprocal phenomenon; sera from mice infected with the A/California/04/09 strain cross-reacted with the older H1N1 strains. In addition to the in vitro ELISA and HAI studies of cross-reactivity, we further showed that exposure to A/FM1/47 or A/PR/8/34 protected against severe in the CD8-depleted group (Fig. 6B). The maximal weight loss in the nondepleted group was ~5%, compared with 15% in the CD8-depleted cohort. Altogether, these data show that CD8 T cells also play a critical part in cross-protection and suggest that the 2009 H1N1 virus shares CD8 T cell epitopes with the A/FM/1/47 or A/Aichi/2/68 virus.

**FIGURE 7.** A, Phylogenetic analysis of the 1930–2000 H1N1 influenza virus strains. The H1 HA amino acid sequence from A/California/04/09 was aligned to the HA amino acid sequences from A/Puerto Rico/8/34, A/Fort Monmouth/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/1978, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/99. The multisequence alignment and the phylogenetic analysis showed the sequence identity of the tested isolates to the swine-origin H1N1 and their relatedness. Comparative modeling of A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, and A/California/04/09 are shown in B–G. The HA proteins were superimposed onto the crystal structure of the A/PR/8/34 HA trimer. The differences in primary amino acid sequence between the A/California/04/09 HA and the A/FM/1/47 (B, D, F) and the A/California/04/09 HA and A/PR/8/34 (C, E, G) are highlighted in yellow. Antigenic sites determined by mapping on the A/PR/8/34 HA protein (22) are highlighted in cyan, and regions of overlap are highlighted in green.
lethal challenge by A/California/04/09 and that animals previously exposed to A/California/04/09 survived the lethal challenge with A/PR/8/34 or A/FM/1/47 viruses. Thus our data show that in mice, previous exposure to old H1N1 strains can provide cross-protection against the 2009 H1N1 strain.

To determine a plausible explanation for the observed cross-protection between 2009 H1N1 and other H1N1 viruses, we compared the amino acid sequences, as well as the immunodominant antigenic epitopes, between the strains. First, we aligned the H1 HA amino acid sequence of A/California/04/09 with the HA amino acid sequences from A/PR/8/34, A/FM/1/47, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/1978, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/99. Overall, the HA protein from A/California/04/09 shared <90% sequence similarity with the 1930–2000 isolates (Fig. 7A). The HA molecule comprises a membrane-distal globular head (HA1) and a membrane-proximal stalk region (HA2). The HA1 chain of A/California/04/09 exhibits the highest sequence identity to A/PR/8/34 (75.2%), followed by A/Denver/1/57 (74.2%) and A/FM/1/47 (73.7%), and the lowest identity is to the chronologically more distant strain A/New Caledonia/20/99 (72.1%). In contrast, the HA2 chain of the 1930–2000 strains shares sequence similarity to A/California/04/09, ranging from 91–93%. Overall, the HAs of A/PR/8/34 and A/FM/1/47 are 92% identical, and these two HAs are 80% identical to A/California/04/09.

The crystal structure of the A/PR/8/34 HA molecule has been solved (20). In addition, antigenic mapping of this HA has defined five immunodominant B cell epitopes: Sa, Sb, Ca1, Ca2, and Cb (21). Because we observed the highest cross-reactive HA1 titers against A/California/04/09 in mice exposed to A/PR/1/47 and A/PR/8/34 strains, we determined whether the immunodominant B cell epitopes are shared between these viruses by comparing the conservation of these B cell epitopes. We did this by superimposing pairwise the HAs of A/California/04/09 with A/FM/1/47 (Fig. 7B, 7D, 7F) or A/PR/8/34 (Fig. 7C, 7E, 7G) onto the crystal structure of the A/PR/8/34 HA trimer (PDB 1HG1) (22). We observed that the Cb, Ca1, and Ca2 antigenic sites are 100%, 75%, and 80% conserved between A/California/04/09 and A/FM/1/47 or A/PR8/34, respectively. The Sa antigenic site in A/California/04/09 was conserved 100% in A/FM/1/47 and 66% in A/PR/8/34, and the Sb site was conserved 66% in A/FM/1/47 and 50% in A/PR/8/34, respectively, as compared with A/California/04/09. Taken together, there is a high degree of conservation in the predicted immunodominant B cell epitopes between these three viruses; this could plausibly explain the observed cross-reactivity.

Our data also show that exposure to the 2009 H1N1 virus can cross-protect against H3N2 viruses. Such heterosubtypic cross-protection is not unique to mice (23, 24); it has been demonstrated cross-protect against H3N2 viruses. Such heterosubtypic cross-reactivity against the 1947 H1N1 virus, A/FM/1/47. Altogether, our data suggest that CD8 T cell epitopes are conserved between the 2009 H1N1 as well as the 1968 H3N2 and 1947 H1N1 viruses.

Our results also show that vaccination with older strains, particularly A/FM/1/47, gives protection against lethal challenge with 3 × LD50 mouse-adapted A/California/04/09. This observation is important because it suggests that pre-existing vaccine strains can be used for inducing protective immunity against the 2009 H1N1 virus. In addition, the cross-reactivity was greater in the reverse order: mice exposed to A/California/04/09 exhibited cross-reactive HAI titers to H1N1 viruses, A/Denver/1/57, A/California/10/78, A/FM/1/47, and A/PR/8/34, and, to a lesser extent, A/Chile/1/83. Abs produced against A/California/04/09 also cross-reacted with the four H3N2 influenza viruses that we tested. This finding suggests that HA1 Abs produced in response to A/California/04/09 virus can cross-react with B cell epitopes that are found in a variety of H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses. It is intriguing that the reverse is not true (i.e., recent H1N1 strains [A/California/10/78, A/Chile/1/83, and A/New Caledonia/20/99] do not induce cross-reactive Abs against the 2009 H1N1 virus). A plausible explanation could presumably be that cross-reactive B cell epitopes on these viruses are not immunogenic or immunodominant because they are immunologically inaccessible owing to mutation or glycosylation in the neighboring residues. The key to better understanding this enigma lies in the identification of these cross-reactive epitopes. Nonetheless, the fact that the 2009 H1N1 virus can induce such cross-reactive Abs raises the intriguing possibility that viruses such as A/California/04/09 can be used for vaccines to induce broadly cross-reactive humoral immune responses against influenza viruses. Identifying the mechanism behind this broad reactivity may enable us to design broadly cross-reactive universal influenza vaccines.
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