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The Actin-Bundling Protein L-Plastin Dissociates CCR7 Proximal Signaling from CCR7-Induced Motility


Chemokines promote lymphocyte motility by triggering F-actin rearrangements and inducing cellular polarization. Chemokines can also enhance cell–cell adhesion and costimulate T cells. In this study, we establish a requirement for the actin-bundling protein L-plastin (LPL) in CCR7- and sphingosine-1-phosphate–mediated T cell chemotaxis using LPL−/− mice. Disrupted motility of mature LPL−/− thymocytes manifested in vivo as diminished thymic egress. Two-photon microscopy of LPL−/− lymphocytes revealed reduced velocity and motility in lymph nodes. Defective migration resulted from defective cellular polarization following CCR7 ligation, as CCR7 did not polarize to the leading edge in chemokine-stimulated LPL cells. However, CCR7 signaling to F-actin polymerization and CCR7-mediated chemotaxis was intact in LPL−/− lymphocytes. The differential requirement for LPL in CCR7-induced cellular adhesion and CCR7-induced motility allowed assessment of the contribution of CCR7-mediated motility to positive selection of thymocytes and lineage commitment. Results suggest that normal motility is not required for CCR7 to function in positive selection and lineage commitment. We thus identify LPL as a molecule critical for CCR7-mediated motility but dispensable for early CCR7 signaling. The requirement for actin bundling by LPL for polarization reveals a novel mechanism of regulating actin motility during T cell motility. The Journal of Immunology, 2010, 184: 3628–3638.

Lympocyte motility is critical for the development and function of T cells. Receptors for chemotactic proteins, such as CCR7 and the receptor for sphingosine-1-phosphate, S1P1, regulate appropriate migration of T cells through and out of lymphoid organs. In thymic development, CCR7 is upregulated during positive selection and is thought to mediate the corticomedullary migration of positively selected thymocytes (1). Overexpression of CCR7 promotes commitment to the CD8 single-positive (SP) lineage (2). CCR7−/− thymocytes also demonstrate defective negative selection (3). Following maturation of SP lymphocytes, CCR7 and S1P1 enable thymic egress (4–10). In the periphery, CCL19 and CCL21, the ligands for CCR7, decorate dendritic cells in lymph nodes and induce the intranodal motility of T cells. CCR7−/− T cells demonstrate defects in intranodal velocity and motility (6, 11, 12). In addition to promoting T cell motility, CCR7 can mediate TCR costimulation by increasing conjugation of T cells and APCs. (13, 14). Whether CCR7 participates in positive selection and/or lineage commitment through increasing cellular adhesion or inducing thymocyte motility is currently unclear.

T cells move toward chemotactic proteins by polarizing and protruding lamellipodia at the leading edge, whereas formation of uropods at the trailing edge provide contractile force (15–17). Chemotactic receptor signals through the activation of small GTPases, such as Rac, that trigger actin polymerization, formation of lamellipodia, and subsequent T cell polarization. Several cytoskeletal elements, such as mDia1 and coronin, have been identified as molecules required for actin polymerization and subsequent lymphocyte motility (18–22). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying actin rearrangement and cellular polarization have not been fully elucidated.

Although the actin-bundling protein L-plastin (LPL) is upregulated during positive selection and is expressed in mature T cells (23), participation of LPL in T cell motility has not been previously described. LPL is one of three isoforms of the widely conserved actin-bundling protein plastin (also called fimbrin) found in humans. LPL expression is restricted to leukocytes and some transformed cell lines (24). Plastin-mediated bundling of actin filaments enables the maintenance of structures such as lamellipodia and filopodia (25). Different cell types use LPL in a variety of cellular processes. We have previously described a requirement for LPL in integrin signaling in neutrophils using LPL−/− mice. Generation of an adhesion-dependent respiratory burst was absent in LPL−/− neutrophils, whereas integrin-mediated adhesion and neutrophil chemotaxis to FMLP was intact (26). In contrast to its function in neutrophils, ectopically expressed LPL has been linked to motility in cancer cells through stabilization of filopodia (27).

In this study, we report that LPL is required for CCR7- and S1P1−/− induced motility of SP thyocytes and naive T cells, without a role in chemokine-induced cell adhesion. LPL−/− thyocytes failed to exit the thymus normally, leading to increased mature SP thyocytes in LPL−/− mice. LPL−/− mature T cells demonstrated defects
in intranodal motility strikingly similar to those of CCR7−/− T cells. Despite normal expression of the receptors CCR7 and S1P1, LPL−/− thymocytes exhibited diminished chemotaxis in vitro toward the ligands CCL19 and S1P, and mature LPL−/− T cells did not move efficiently toward CCL19. Defective migration resulted from defective cellular polarization following CCR7 ligation. LPL is thus required for the establishment or maintenance of cellular polarity that enables directed chemotaxis, without being required for initial adhesion that is required for cell motility.

The differential requirement for LPL in CCR7-induced cellular adhesion and CCR7-induced motility allowed assessment of the contribution of CCR7-mediated motility to positive selection and lineage commitment. Results suggest that normal motility is not required for CCR7 to function in positive selection and lineage commitment. We thus identify LPL as a molecule critical for CCR7-mediated motility but dispensable for early CCR7 signaling. Furthermore, the requirement for the actin-bundling protein LPL for polarization following CCR7 ligation reveals a novel mechanism of regulation of actin dynamics, at a step downstream from actin polymerization and the cytoskeletal changes required for adhesion, but nonetheless necessary for T cell motility.

Materials and Methods

**Mouse**

The generation of mice deficient for LPL has been previously described (26). Mice were backcrossed to generate LPL−/− mice on a B6 background. n3.L2 transgenic mice were a gift from J. Cyster (University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA) (30). The clonotypic Ab for the n3.L2 TCR has been described (31). Directly conjugated Abs were commercially available: CD8-FITC, CD45.2-allophycocyanin, CD4-allophycocyanin/Alexa Fluor 750, CD45.1-PE/Cy7 (eBioscience, San Diego, CA), Vs2-FITC, CD24-PE, CD62L-PE, CD69-PE, CCR7-PE, CD4-allophycocyanin, (Biolegend, San Diego, CA), β2-integrin-PE, CD8-PerCP (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Staining for CCR7 was performed either at 37°C/mice with the indicated number of replicate experiments. Postinjection for 3 h at 37°C, cells were recovered from the lower chamber and counted using a hemocytometer with subpopulations determined by flow cytometry. Percentage of migrated cells was determined by dividing the number of migrated cells, gated as indicated, by the total number of equivalently gated input cells.

**Two-photon microscopy**

CD8+ T cells were purified from the lymph nodes of OT-1 wild-type (WT) and OT-1 LPL−/− mice by MACS bead negative selection (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA), then labeled for 30 min at 37°C with 20–50 μM CMAC or 10 μM CMTPX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). In the experiment depicted in Fig. 3H, OT-1 WT cells were labeled blue (CMAC) and OT-1 LPL−/−T cells were labeled red (CMTPX). In a replicate experiment, OT-1 WT T cells were labeled red (CMTPX) and OT-1 LPL−/− T cells were labeled blue (CMAC) without any alteration in results. T cells (3×104–2×105) were resuspended in 200 μl PBS, adoptively transferred by tail vein injection into CD11c-YFP mice (29), and allowed to home for 2 h. Explanted lymph nodes were secured to coverslips with a thin film of VetBond (3M, St. Paul, MN) and placed in a flow chamber and maintained at 37°C by perfusion with warm, high-glucose DMEM bubbled with a mixture of 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Time-lapse imaging was performed with a custom-built two-photon microscope, fitted with two Chameleon Ti:Sapphire lasers (Coherent Radiation, Santa Clara, CA) and an Olympus XLUMPlanFI 20× objective (Olympus, Melville, NY; water immersed; numerical aperture, 0.95) and controlled and acquired with ImageWarp (A&B Software, New London, CT). For imaging of YFP, the excitation wavelength was 890–915 nm; for CMTPX and CMAC, 780–800 nm was used. Signals from fluorescent dyes and YFP were separated by dichroic mirrors (490 nm, 515 nm, and 560 nm). To create time-lapse sequences, we typically scanned with 2 Z-steps of 2.5 μm each at 45–55 s intervals for up to 60 min. For data analysis, cells were detected based on fluorescence intensity and cell tracks obtained with Velocity (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) or Imaris (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland) software. Only cells that could be tracked for at least eight time points were included in the analysis. The median of instantaneous velocities in each cell track was reported as the velocity for that cell. Motility coefficients (mm²/min) were calculated for individual tracks by linear regression of displacement versus time plots with T Cell Analysis (John Dempster, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland, U.K.).

**Generation of bone marrow chimeras**

Bone marrow was harvested from WT and LPL−/− mice, mixed in a 1:1 ratio, and injected retro-orbitally into sublethally irradiated (500 rad) RAG1−/− mice. After 5 to 6 wk, mice were sacrificed, and thymocytes, thymic lymph node cells, and splenocytes were assessed for expression of CD4, CD8, CD45.1, CD45.2, CD69, and CD24 by flow cytometry.

**Rac assays**

CD4+ T cells isolated from WT and LPL−/− mice were rested overnight in reduced serum medium (Opti-MEM, Invitrogen), then stimulated with CCL19 and CCL21 (100 ng/ml; R&D Systems) for 15 s. Cell lysates were generated and assayed for GFP-Rac using the Rac1,2,3 Activation Assay G-LISA kit (Cytokeleton, Denver, CO).

**F-actin content**

CD4+ T cells were isolated from lymph nodes with magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec) and were stimulated in suspension with CCL19 (100 ng/ml). Thymocytes were stained with CD8-PerCP and CD4-allophycocyanin and sorted (FACS/Aria; BD Biosciences) to isolate CD4SP cells, which were then stimulated in suspension with CCL19 and CS-L1 (100 ng/ml each). Cells were fixed in 3.6% paraformaldehyde, then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X 100. F-actin content was determined by incubation with Alexa Fluor 488-phalloidin (Invitrogen) followed by flow cytometry (33).

**Cell conjugation assays**

Cell conjugation assays were performed as described (13) with minor modifications. CH27 B cells (H-2b, I-Ek) were used as APCs (34). MACS bead-purified CD4+ T cells from n3.L2 WT and n3.L2 LPL−/− mice were labeled with CellTrace Far Red DDAO (Invitrogen), and CH27 cells were labeled with CFSE (Invitrogen). Cells were mixed and incubated with or without Hb(64–76) peptide, with or without CCL21 (100 ng/ml), and with or without blocking anti–LFA-1 Ab (anti–CD11a clone M17/4; Biologic; 10 μg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and percentage of T cells that formed conjugates determined by flow cytometry.
**CD69 upregulation**

The agonist Hb(64–76) peptide, sequence GKKVITAFNEGLK, was synthesized, purified, and analyzed as previously described (31). MACS bead-purified CD4+ T cells isolated from n3.L2 WT and n3.L2 LPL−/− lymph nodes were incubated overnight with congenic splenocytes with or without the indicated concentration of Hb peptide and with or without the chemokines CCL21 or CCL19 (100 ng/ml). Upregulation of CD69 on n3.L2+ CD4+ T cells was assessed by flow cytometry.

**Confocal microscopy**

Coverslips were coated with 10 μg/ml recombinant mouse ICAM-1/Fc chimera (R&D Systems). Cells were incubated on coated coverslips with or without CCL19 as indicated, then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at RT. Cells were stained with anti-CD43 (unconjugated; BD Pharmingen) or anti-CCR7 [either unconjugated (eBioscience) or biotinylated (Biolegend)] for a minimum of 30 min at RT prior to permeabilization. Either goat anti-rat Ig Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen) or streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 546 was used as a secondary. Cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 4 to 5 min at RT. Actin was stained with Alexa Fluor 488-phalloidin (Invitrogen). LPL was stained with mAb 12A2 (16.5 μg/ml). The mAb 12A2 was generated by immunizing LPL−/− mice with recombinant human LPL and screened for binding to recombinant murine LPL by ELISA. Specificity of binding was confirmed by immunoblot and immunofluorescence, with WT and LPL−/− cells as positive and negative controls (data not shown). The secondary Ab for anti-LPL was goat anti-mouse–Alexa Fluor 546. Confocal and differential interference contrast images were acquired using the Zeiss LSM 510 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) fitted with a 1.3-narrow aperture ×40 Fluar objective. For quantitation, images of each cell in at least two randomly selected fields of each sample were acquired. Cells that appeared dead or were in contact with other cells were excluded from analysis. Images were randomized, and polarization of each cell was determined by an independent, blinded observer.

**Statistics**

For normally distributed data, either paired or unpaired Student t test was used to determine statistical significance, with p < 0.05 considered significant. If data were not normally distributed, then the Mann-Whitney or Wilcoxon ranked sum test was used. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 4 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA).

**Results**

Mature thymocytes accumulated in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice due to diminished thymocyte egress

LPL is upregulated as thymocytes successfully undergo positive selection along with CCR7 and other molecules associated with motility, such as gelsolin (23). Neutrophil migration was not affected in LPL−/− mice (26), but no role for LPL in T cell motility and development has yet been explored. To determine how LPL might be required for thymocyte maturation and subsequent lymphocyte motility, LPL−/− mice transgenic for the n3.L2 TCR were generated.

![FIGURE 1.](http://www.jimmunol.org/) Mature n3.L2 CD4SP thymocytes accumulate in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice. Flow cytometry of CD4 and CD8 (A) and n3.L2 TCR (β) expression on thymocytes from n3.L2 WT (gray histogram) and n3.L2 LPL−/− (solid line) mice. C, Number of total and n3.L2+ CD4SP thymocytes from n3.L2 WT (gray; n = 10) and n3.L2 LPL−/− (filled; n = 11) mice analyzed in 10 independent experiments. Each symbol represents the value for an individual mouse. Mean indicated by bar; p value determined with Wilcoxon signed rank test. D, Expression of the maturation markers CD69, CD24, CD62L, and β7-integrin on n3.L2 CD4SP thymocytes from n3.L2 WT (gray histogram) and n3.L2 LPL−/− (solid line) mice. E, CD4 and CD8 expression of lymphocytes from peripheral lymph nodes of n3.L2 WT and n3.L2 LPL−/− mice. F, Number of total and CD4+ cells isolated from four lymph nodes of n3.L2 WT (gray; n = 10) and n3.L2 LPL−/− (filled; n = 10) mice, analyzed in 10 independent experiments. Each symbol represents the value for an individual mouse. Mean indicated by bar; p values determined using Wilcoxon signed rank test. A, B, D, and E, Flow cytometry representative of at least seven pairs of mice analyzed in seven independent experiments.
Use of a transgenic TCR model enabled a more detailed analysis of the maturation of thymocytes with a defined TCR specificity. The n3.L2 TCR recognizes Hb(64–76)/I-Ek, and thymocyte development in n3.L2 mice has been well characterized (28, 31).

The number and percentage of CD4SP, TCR-high thymocytes was dramatically increased in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice compared with n3.L2 WT mice, though the total number of thymocytes was not affected (Fig. IA–C). The accumulated n3.L2 CD4SP LPL−/− thymocytes were more phenotypically mature than n3.L2 CD4SP WT thymocytes, as they were CD69neg, CD24low, CD62Lhigh, and β7-integrinhigh (Fig. 1D). The accumulation of phenotypically mature, TCR-high, CD4SP thymocytes in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice suggested a defect in thymocyte egress, as the same phenotype has been observed in other systems in which thymic egress is diminished (7, 8, 33, 35). The finding of smaller lymph nodes and fewer mature CD4+ T cells in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice was consistent with diminished, though not completely inhibited, thymocyte egress (Fig. 1E, 1F).

Intrathymic injection of FITC confirmed that LPL deficiency resulted in diminished thymic egress. The percentage and number of FITC-labeled n3.L2 CD4+ T cells recovered from peripheral blood, lymph nodes, and spleens of intrathymically injected n3.L2 LPL−/− mice were reduced (Fig. 2A, 2B, and data not shown). Reduction of the number of FITC-labeled cells from peripheral blood suggests that the accumulation of n3.L2 CD4SP thymocytes and relative paucity of CD4+ T cells in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice was due to decreased thymocyte egress and not to reduced entry into peripheral lymphoid organs. B cells recovered from the spleens of mice injected intrathymically with FITC were not labeled with FITC (data not shown), indicating that FITC-positive T cells from the peripheral lymphoid organs were recent thymic emigrants and not nonspecifically labeled during injection. Thus, intrathymic FITC injection confirmed diminished thymocyte egress in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice.

**Diminished in vitro motility of n3.L2 LPL−/− thymocytes**

CCR7 and the chemokine ligand CCL19 regulate thymic egress in newborn mice (4), and S1P1 and its ligand S1P are absolutely required for thymocyte egress (7, 8). Thymocytes from n3.L2 LPL−/− mice expressed normal levels of the receptors S1P1 and CCR7 (Fig. 2C). We hypothesized that the failure of thymic egress in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice was due to a failure to migrate toward S1P and CCL19 and therefore assessed in vitro motility of mature CD4SP thymocytes using transwell chemotaxis assays. Mature (CD62Lhigh) CD4SP thymocytes from n3.L2 WT mice migrated toward CCL19 and S1P as expected, based on previously published reports (8, 36). In contrast, mature CD4SP thymocytes from n3.L2 LPL−/− mice demonstrated a severe defect in migration toward both chemotacticants (Fig. 2D). Defective migration toward chemotacticants explains the observed defect in thymic egress.

**Diminished motility of mature OT-1 LPL−/− T cells**

CCR7 and its ligands have been demonstrated to regulate the motility of mature T cells in lymph nodes, as both the velocity and motility coefficient of T cells was reduced in the absence of the receptor CCR7 or its ligands CCL19 and CCL21 (5, 6). If LPL is required for naïve T cell motility in response to CCR7 ligands, then LPL−/− lymphocytes should demonstrate intranodal motility defects similar to those found in CCR7−/− lymphocytes. To test this hypothesis, we generated OT-1 LPL−/− mice. The OT-1 receptor is restricted to the H-2k background, which enabled the use of the CD11c-YFP H-2k mouse and allowed simultaneous visualization of lymphocytes and dendritic cells.

We first determined that the OT-1 LPL−/− mouse exhibited a similar phenotype to the n3.L2 LPL−/− mouse. There was a relative increase in mature (CD62Lhigh) TCR-high, CD8SP cells in the OT-1 LPL−/− thymus (Fig. 3A–C). TCRhigh CD8SP thymocytes from OT-1 LPL−/− mice were phenotypically more mature (CD69neg, CD24low) than those isolated from the OT-1 WT mouse (Fig. 3D). Fewer mature CD8+ T cells were isolated from the periphery of OT-1 LPL−/− mice (Fig. 3E). Intrathymic FITC injection demonstrated diminished thymic egress in OT-1 LPL−/− mice (Fig. 3F). CD8SP thymocytes from OT-1 LPL−/− mice exhibited defective in vitro motility toward CCL19 and S1P, despite comparable levels of expression of the

![FIGURE 2](http://www.jimmunol.org/)

Diminished thymic egress and diminished in vitro motility of n3.L2 CD4SP LPL−/− thymocytes. A. Labeling of n3.L2+ CD4SP thymocytes and mature T cells recovered from n3.L2 WT and n3.L2 LPL−/− mice 48 h after intrathymic injection of FITC (representative of four independent experiments). B. Normalized percentage of FITC+ n3.L2+ CD4+ T cells recovered from the blood and lymph nodes of mice injected with FITC intrathymically. Each symbol represents the value from one mouse. Mean represented by bar; SEM of duplicate or triplicate samples within a single experiment; representative of at least 3 independent experiments. Value of p determined by unpaired t test.
FIGURE 3. OT-1 LPL−/− mice exhibit similar phenotypic defects as n3.L2 LPL−/− mice and OT-1 LPL−/− lymphocytes exhibit diminished intranodal motility. Expression of CD4 and CD8 (A) and Vα2 (B) on thymocytes from OT-1 WT (gray) and OT-1 LPL−/− mice (solid line). C, Number of total, CD62Llow Vα2high CD8SP, and CD62Lhigh Vα2high CD8SP thymocytes from OT-1 WT (gray; n = 11 or 10) and OT-1 LPL−/− (filled; n = 12 or 11) mice. Each symbol represents the value from an individual mouse; p value from unpaired t test. D, Expression of CD69 and CD24 on Vα2high CD8SP thymocytes from OT-1 WT (gray) and OT-1 LPL−/− (solid line) mice. Data from at least six independent experiments. E, Numbers of total and CD8+ T cells from lymph nodes of OT-1 WT (gray; n = 8) and OT-1 LPL−/− (filled; n = 8) mice analyzed in four independent experiments; p value determined using Mann-Whitney test. F, Normalized percentage of FITC-labeled Vα2 CD8+ cells recovered from peripheral blood and lymph nodes of OT-1 WT and OT-1 LPL−/− mice 48 h after intrathyemic FITC injection. Each symbol represents the value from individual mouse; data from four independent experiments. p value determined using Mann-Whitney U test. G, Vα2 CD8SP thymocytes from OT-1 LPL−/− mice did not migrate efficiently in transwell assays toward CCL19 or S1P. Data shown are mean ± SEM of duplicate or triplicate samples with p values determined by unpaired t test; representative of two independent experiments. H, Two-photon time-lapse image sequences of CD8+ OT-1 WT (blue) and CD8+ OT-1 LPL−/− (red) cells in naive lymph nodes 2 h post-injection into CD11c-YFP mice. Dendritic cells appear green. Representative cell tracks are shown (white lines). Time stamp is in lower right-hand corners. Scale bar, 20 μm. Corresponds with Supplemental Video. I, Velocity (mean OT-1 WT, 7.8 μm/min; mean OT-1 LPL−/−, 5.3 μm/min), motility (mean OT-1 WT, 104 μm2/min; mean OT-1 LPL−/−, 61 μm2/min), and meandering index of CD8+ cells from OT-1 WT (gray circles; n = 56) and OT-1 LPL−/− (filled circles; n = 55) mice. Each point represents a single cell tracked for a minimum of eight frames. Mean of each population is indicated, with p values determined by Mann-Whitney U test. Data pooled from two independent experiments.
receptors CCR7 and S1P (Fig. 3G and data not shown). LPL is thus required for motility of both CD4 and CD8 T lymphocytes.

Intranodal motility of OT-1 LPL$^{-/}$ T cells and OT-1 WT T cells was then compared using two-photon microscopy (Fig. 3H, I, Supplemental Video). As predicted, CD8$^+$ T cells isolated from OT-1 LPL$^{-/}$ mice demonstrated reduced velocity and motility. The degree to which the velocity and motility of LPL$^{-/}$ lymphocytes was reduced was comparable to published findings with CCR7$^{-/}$ mice.

**FIGURE 5.** Nontransgenic LPL$^{-/}$ cells demonstrate in vitro motility defects, though the in vivo phenotype of nontransgenic LPL$^{-/}$ mice varies from that of transgenic LPL$^{-/}$ mice. A. Peripheral T cells isolated from LN of nontransgenic LPL$^{-/}$ mice do not migrate efficiently in transwell assays toward CCL19 (100 ng/ml). Data shown are the mean ± SEM of triplicate samples in an individual experiment; representative of three independent experiments. $p$ values determined by unpaired t test. B. Number of total, CD4SP, and CD8SP thymocytes recovered from nontransgenic WT (gray circles; $n = 6$) and LPL$^{-/}$ (filled circles; $n = 6$) mice. Each symbol represents the value from one mouse; data from nine independent analyses; mean represented by bar. C. Expression of CD4 and CD8 on thymocytes from nontransgenic WT and LPL$^{-/}$ mice. D. Expression of the maturation markers CD69, CD24, and CD62L on CD4SP and CD8SP thymocytes from nontransgenic LPL$^{-/}$ (solid line) and WT (gray histogram) mice. E. Number of total, CD4$,^+$ and CD8$,^+$ cells recovered from four lymph nodes of nontransgenic WT (gray circles; $n = 7$) and LPL$^{-/}$ (filled circles; $n = 7$) mice. Each symbol represents the value from one mouse; data from seven independent analyses. Flow cytometry in C and D represents at least four pairs of mice.

Intranodal motility of OT-1 LPL$^{-/}$ T cells and OT-1 WT T cells was then compared using two-photon microscopy (Fig. 3H, I, Supplemental Video). As predicted, CD8$^+$ T cells isolated from OT-1 LPL$^{-/}$ mice demonstrated reduced velocity and motility. The degree to which the velocity and motility of LPL$^{-/}$ lymphocytes was reduced was comparable to published findings with CCR7$^{-/}$ mice.
lymphocytes (6). CCR7−/− lymphocytes demonstrated a minor but statistically significant reduction in the meandering index, which measures the degree to which a cell’s movement varies from a straight line. We observed a very slight decrease in the meandering index of LPL−/− lymphocytes, though the difference was not significant. LPL was thus required for normal mature CD8+ T cell motility in lymph nodes, and the reduction in LPL−/− lymphocyte motility was consistent with loss of CCR7-mediated motility.

**Nontransgenic T cells are defective in CCR7-mediated motility**

The motility of nontransgenic lymphocytes was also examined (Fig. 4). Both CD4+ and CD8+ mature T cells from nontransgenic LPL−/− mice demonstrated diminished motility toward CCL19 in chemotaxis transwell assays (Fig. 4A), indicating that defective motility in LPL−/− cells was not due to the transgenic system. However, the in vivo phenotype of nontransgenic LPL−/− mice differed slightly from that of the transgenic mice, in that the total number of thymocytes recovered from LPL−/− mice was reduced (Fig. 4B) and the percentage of CD4SP and CD8SP thymocytes was not increased (Fig. 4C). Similar to LPL−/− mice expressing transgenic TCRs, the SP thymocytes recovered from nontransgenic LPL−/− mice were CD69neg, CD24 low, and CD62L high and thus phenotypically more mature (Fig. 4D). This phenotype was observed with temporary inhibition of S1P1. Treatment with the S1P1-selective agonist SEW2871 increased the proportion of SP thymocytes exhibiting a mature phenotype without changing the total percentage of SP thymocytes (10). Furthermore, there was a paucity of mature T cells isolated from the lymph nodes of nontransgenic LPL−/− mice (Fig. 4E). Although the in vivo phenotype differed in some respects in the nontransgenic LPL−/− mouse, the core findings of the increase in commitment to the CD8SP lineage in nontransgenic LPL−/− mice were consistent with the decrease observed in transgenic LPL−/− mice.

**FIGURE 6.** Early CCR7 signaling and CCR7-mediated TCR costimulation are not dependent upon LPL. A, Levels of GTP-Rac in CD4+ T cells from WT (gray circles) and LPL−/− (filled circles) mice incubated with or without CCR7 ligands for 15 s. Duplicate samples indicated with symbols and mean indicated by bars; representative of two independent experiments. B, F-actin content of CD4+ cells isolated from peripheral LN of WT (CD45.1+) and LPL−/− (CD45.2+) mice reconstituted with bone marrow from WT (CD45.1+) and LPL−/− (CD45.2+) mice mixed in a 1:1 ratio. C, Conjugate formation of CFSE-labeled CD4+ T cells and DDAO-labeled CH27 cells incubated with bone marrow from WT (CD45.1+) and LPL−/− (CD45.2+) mice. D, Percent of cells positive for CD69 following overnight stimulation with APCs ± cognate peptide and ± CCL21. Table I. **Mature T cells derived from both WT and LPL−/− bone marrow could be found in mixed bone marrow chimeras following reconstitution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thymus</th>
<th>Lymph Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT-Derived</td>
<td>LPL−/− Derived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4SP</td>
<td>CD4SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 1</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 2</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 3</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 4</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 6</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 7</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 8</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse 9</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given are the number of cells (×10^6) gated as indicated derived from the thymuses and lymph nodes of sublethally irradiated RAG1−/− mice reconstituted with bone marrow from WT (CD45.1+) and LPL−/− (CD45.2+) mice mixed in a 1:1 ratio.

The participation of CCR7 in positive selection and subsequent maturation of thymocytes has been described (1, 2). Overexpression of CCR7 in thymocytes increased the commitment of positively selected thymocytes to the CD8SP lineage. Increased motility generated by increased CCR7 expression was hypothesized to contribute to the increase in commitment to the CD8SP lineage (2). To reveal stages of thymocyte development and maturation that might be affected by diminished motility toward CCR7, we...
generated mixed bone marrow chimeric mice (Fig. 5). From these prior studies, we predicted that diminished CCR7-mediated motility would result in decreased commitment to the CD8SP lineage of LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes and thus an increase in the CD4:CD8 ratio in nontransgenic LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes. Also, we wished to assess whether direct competition between WT and LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes would reveal a requirement for CCR7-mediated motility during positive selection.

Mixed bone marrow chimeras recapitulated the thymic phenotype seen in the transgenic and nontransgenic LPL<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Thymocytes derived from LPL<sup>−/−</sup> bone marrow accumulated as phenotypically mature CD4<sup>+</sup>SP and CD8<sup>+</sup>SP cells (Fig. 5A–D). The accumulation of LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes was accentuated in the competitive environment compared with the noncompetitive environment (Figs. 4, 5B). LPL<sup>−/−</sup> SP thymocytes were phenotypically more mature than WT thymocytes, with increased percentages that were CD69<sup>+</sup> and CD24<sup>low</sup> (Fig. 5C, 5D, and data not shown). Total cell numbers for thymocytes and lymph nodes for each reconstituted mouse are provided (Table I). Mature CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from both WT and LPL<sup>−/−</sup> donors could be found in the periphery, consistent with the observation that thymic egress is not completely dependent upon LPL (Table I). Increased accumulation of SP thymocytes from LPL<sup>−/−</sup> donors in WT recipients also demonstrated that defective thymic egress in LPL<sup>−/−</sup> mice is cell intrinsic.

Contrary to our prediction that LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes would shift toward the CD4SP lineage in a competitive environment due to decreased motility toward CCR7 ligands, there was no change in the CD4:CD8 ratio of thymocytes derived from LPL<sup>−/−</sup> bone marrow (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, there was no decrease in the efficiency of positive selection of LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes in a competitive environment, as assessed by the percentage of double-positive (DP) thymocytes positive for CD69 (Fig. 5F). Although LPL<sup>−/−</sup> thymocytes exhibited clear defective motility toward CCR7 ligands, not all processes during thymic development in which CCR7 has been demonstrated to play a role were affected by LPL deficiency. These results suggest that LPL is required for some, but not all, functions of CCR7.

**Proximal CCR7 signaling to Rac activation is intact in LPL<sup>−/−</sup> T cells**

Ligation of G protein-coupled receptors by chemoattractants results in a rapid burst of actin polymerization. This initial burst of polymerization is dependent upon signaling through the small GTPase
Cells unable to activate Rac in response to chemoattractant ligation demonstrate deficient initiation of F-actin polymerization and defective motility (33, 37, 38). Rapid activation of Rac following CCR7 stimulation with CCL19 was not inhibited in LPL−/− T cells (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, the rapid burst of F-actin polymerization following CCL19 ligation was observed in LPL−/− CD4+ T cells and CD4SP thymocytes (Fig. 6B). LPL deficiency did not disrupt signaling immediately proximal to CCR7 ligation, nor did it prevent the initiation of F-actin polymerization.

Costimulation of TCR signaling is intact in LPL−/− T cells

Ligation of CCR7 has been demonstrated to costimulate TCR signaling (13, 39). CCR7 costimulation depends upon CCR7-mediated increases in LFA-1 affinity. Increased affinity of LFA-1 increases the likelihood and/or duration of T cell-DC contacts that then enable TCR engagement and signaling (13). In both WT and LPL−/− n3.L2 T cells, CCR7 ligation enhanced the formation of peptide-specific T cell–APC conjugates (Fig. 6C) and increased the upregulation of CD69 following TCR engagement (Fig. 6D). The function of CCR7 as a costimulatory molecule did not depend upon LPL.

Failure of CCR7 polarization in LPL−/− T cells following CCL19 stimulation

Acquisition of a motile phenotype correlates with polarization of the T cell. Chemokine receptors, activated integrins, and a concentration of F-actin can be found at the lamellipodium of the polarized T cell, whereas markers such as CD43 and CD44 are found in the uropod (16, 40–43). Cells unable to polarize in response to chemokine stimulation exhibit motility defects (16, 43). Following chemokine stimulation, LPL colocalized with F-actin and appeared to be concentrated with F-actin in the lamellipodium of CCL19-stimulated T cells (Fig. 7A). LPL was also present in the uropod and was therefore not itself polarized. However, in the absence of LPL, many fewer T cells exhibited polarized F-actin (Fig. 7B) upon CCL19 stimulation. Colocalization of polarized CCR7 and F-actin was determined in WT and LPL−/− cells stimulated with CCL19 (Fig. 7C). Fewer LPL−/− stimulated cells demonstrated polarized, colocalized CCR7 and F-actin (Fig. 7D). Cellular polarization was further assessed in LPL−/− T cells by staining for F-actin and the uropod marker CD43 (Fig. 7E). Although some polarization of LPL−/− T cells occurred in response to CCL19 stimulation, the percentage was reduced (Fig. 7F). Without affecting Rac activation or F-actin polymerization, LPL deficiency resulted in diminished CCR7-mediated polarization of T cells (Fig. 8). Failure to polarize in response to chemokine stimulation would explain the defective motility observed in LPL−/− T cells.

Discussion

The actin-bundling protein LPL has no previously identified function beyond stabilization of higher-order structures of F-actin microfilaments. In this study, we demonstrate that LPL is required for CCR7-mediated polarization and T cell motility, but not early CCR7 signaling and CCR7-mediated increased intracellular adhesion (Fig. 8). The function of LPL as an actin-bundling protein distinguishes LPL from other actin-binding proteins required for lymphocyte motility that primarily regulate early chemokine receptor signaling (17). For instance, knockdown of cortactin resulted in diminished ERK signaling and diminished motility upon CXCR4 ligation (44). Mice deficient for the formin mDia1, which promotes F-actin polymerization, exhibited defective thymic egress and impaired lymphocyte trafficking (20). A mutation in coronin1A, a protein that regulates the Arp2/3 complex and thus actin polymerization, has recently been described as the molecular defect underlying the phenotype of delayed thymic egress in the cataract Shionogi mouse strain (19, 22).

LPL differed from these actin-binding proteins, as LPL was not required for proximal CCR7 signaling to the initiation of F-actin polymerization. Instead, LPL deficiency resulted in a failure to either generate or maintain T cell polarization. Phalloidin staining of total cellular F-actin has been sufficiently sensitive to detect the defects in actin polymerization associated with impaired chemokine receptor proximal signaling (33). However, subtle defects in actin dynamics in LPL−/− cells have not been excluded. It is possible that actin bundling is required for local maintenance or regulation of actin polymerization that is not apparent at the whole-cell level. The disruption of higher-order microfilament structures in the presence of intact Rac activation and initiation of F-actin polymerization in LPL−/− lymphocytes illustrates a previously unrecognized level of regulation of actin dynamics.

Defective motility of LPL−/− lymphocytes manifested in vivo as diminished thymic egress and reduced intranodal velocity and motility. Thymic egress is regulated by at least two chemotactic receptors, SIP1 and CCR7. Consistent with a defect in thymocyte egress, nontransgenic n3.L2, and OT-1 LPL−/− mice all demonstrated a shift of SP thymocytes to a more mature phenotype (CD69low, CD24low, and CD62Lhigh) (7, 10). The most dramatic phenotype was noted in n3.L2 LPL−/− mice, with a tripling of the number of n3.L2+ CD4SP thymocytes recovered from n3.L2 LPL−/− mice. There was no increase in percentage or number of CD4SP or CD8SP thymocytes in nontransgenic LPL−/− mice. Selective agonism of SIP1 with SEW2871 also resulted in a shift of SP thymocytes to a more mature phenotype without an overall increase in the percentage or number of SP thymocytes (10). Mixed bone marrow chimeras revealed a competitive disadvantage during egress for mature SP thymocytes derived from nontransgenic LPL−/− donors, as the percentage of LPL−/−-derived mature SP thymocytes was increased compared with WT-derived mature SP thymocytes. Defective thymocyte egress was confirmed by intrathymic FITC injection in both n3.L2 and OT-1 LPL−/− mice. Use of two distinct and well-characterized transgenic models makes it unlikely that the observed defect in thymocyte egress in LPL−/− mice is unique to a single transgenic system or restricted to the CD4 or CD8 lineage. Cells deficient for LPL from both transgenic and nontransgenic mice failed to migrate normally in transwell assays.
to the chemoattractants CCL19 and S1P, so it is unlikely that expression of transgenic TCRs altered cell-intrinsic actin dynamics. More likely, altered actin dynamics manifested differently during thymic maturation in the presence of transgenic TCRs.

Two-photon microscopy of LPL−/− mature T lymphocytes revealed motility defects that phenocopied the defects in velocity and motility of CCR7−/− T lymphocytes in lymph nodes. Velocity and motility of LPL−/− T cells were reduced to a similar degree as CCR7−/− T cells (6). We did not find as great a decrease in meandering index in LPL−/− T cells as was seen in CCR7−/− T cells. This difference in results may be dependent on different experimental conditions or possibly that LPL is required for the cytoskeletal apparatus that functions in speed or forward motion mediated by CCR7, but is not required for the apparatus required for changes in direction. In support of this latter possibility is the observation that proximal CCR7 signaling was not impaired in LPL−/− T cells. LPL was also dispensable for CCR7-mediated promotion of cell–cell interactions and costimulation of TCR signaling. LPL was required, however, for efficient polarization of T cells downstream of CCR7 ligation. Thus, LPL is essential for normal in vivo motility of T cells and for CCR7-induced cellular polarization required for directed motility.

In addition to regulating thymocyte egress in some systems and intranodal motility of mature T cells, CCR7 and its ligands CCL19 and CCL21 have been implicated in other processes critical to thymocyte development, such as positive selection, corticomedullary migration of positively selected thymocytes, lineage commitment, and negative selection (1, 2, 45–48). CCR7 is upregulated during positive selection and appears to promote thymocyte–DC contacts during this process (1). Overexpression of CCR7 promoted commitment to the CD8SP lineage, and increased motility due to CCR7 overexpression was hypothesized to drive this lineage choice (2). We used the ability of LPL to dissociate CCR7-mediated cell–cell interactions from CCR7-induced motility (Fig. 8) to probe which downstream functions of CCR7 were critical to positive selection and lineage commitment. In competitive bone marrow chimERIC mice, we found no inhibition of positive selection or alteration of lineage commitment of LPL−/− thymocytes. These results indicate that cell–cell interactions promoted by CCR7 ligation contribute to the processes of positive selection and lineage commitment. Intact positive selection in LPL−/− thymocytes confirms results in which CCR7 overexpression increased thymocyte–DC interaction during positive selection but did not increase the motility of positively selected thymocytes (1).

Although many of the molecules required for chemokine signaling and T cell polarization have been identified, the mechanisms by which proximal signaling is linked to cytoskeletal rearrangements and later stages of T cell polarization remain unclear (17, 21). Initial signaling pathways dependent upon small GTPases such as Rap1, Rac, and cdc42 have been described. Rac activation results in formation of lamellipodia. Polarization occurs after the stabilization of one of the lamellipodia and establishment of an anterior-posterior axis (17). The localization of LPL to the lamellipod suggests that a possible function for LPL is the stabilization of the lamellipod that then allows the generation of polarity. In the absence of LPL, lamellipodia cannot be adequately stabilized and polarity cannot be established or maintained. Alternatively, LPL may be required to stabilize the actomyosin cytoskeleton that supports retrograde flow toward the uropod (49). Further work will be required to investigate these possibilities. Interestingly, some polarization occurs in the absence of LPL. This partial reduction of polarization is reflected in the phenotype of LPL−/− mice, as thymic egress is reduced, as is seen in mice treated with FTY720 or SEW2871, but not completely ablated, as is seen in either KLF2−/− or S1P1−/− mice (7, 8, 10, 35). Either other actin-binding proteins can partially compensate for the loss of LPL or polarization is less stable in LPL−/− cells.

Whether LPL has a general role in T cell polarization and is therefore required for other functions dependent upon polarization, such as cytokine secretion and delivery of cytotoxic granules, is also under study. In mature human T cells, phosphorylation of LPL enabled the upregulation of activation markers following TCR stimulation (50). We did not see a requirement for LPL in the up-regulation of CD69 following TCR ligation, as might have been predicted (50). The difference in observed results is likely due to different experimental systems, as the previous work was performed by overexpression of a nonphosphorylatable LPL mutant in human PBLs, and we are examining murine cells genetically deficient for LPL.

Although T lymphocytes deficient for LPL demonstrated both in vivo and in vitro motility defects, neutrophils deficient for LPL did not (26). In fact, LPL was not required for adhesion, spreading, or in vivo or in vitro migration of neutrophils. LPL is thus similar to several other proteins involved in chemokine signaling or motility, such as ERK or dedicator of cytokinesis 2, that are used differently by neutrophils than by lymphocytes (17, 51). Nonetheless, it is intriguing to speculate that the role for LPL in cell polarization demonstrated in this study underlies the integrin signaling defect previously demonstrated in LPL−/− neutrophils.

In summary, LPL is required for maximal polarization of the chemokine receptor CCR7 in T lymphocytes in response to stimulation with ligand CCL19. In the absence of CCR7 polarization, T cells fail to fully polarize and fail to migrate toward chemokine. Failure to respond to the chemoattractants CCL19 and S1P in vitro correlated with in vivo motility defects; LPL−/− T cells demonstrated reduced intranodal motility, and thymic emigration of mature LPL−/− thymocytes was diminished. The requirement for the actin-bundling protein LPL in normal T cell motility reveals a mechanism beyond actin polymerization for regulating actin dynamics at the level of higher-order actin structures.
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