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Substrain Differences Reveal Novel Disease-Modifying Gene Candidates That Alter the Clinical Course of a Rodent Model of Multiple Sclerosis

Leslie E. Summers deLuca,*1 Natalia B. Pikor,*1 Jennifer O’Leary,† Georgina Galicia-Rosas,* Lesley A. Ward,* Dustin Defreitas,* Trisha M. Finlay,* Shalina S. Ousman,‡ Lucy R. Osborne,*†‡ and Jennifer L. Gommerman*

Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) is a rodent model of multiple sclerosis that is executed in animals by immunization with myelin Ag in adjuvant. The SJL/J autoimmune-prone strain of mouse has been used to model relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. However, significant variations in peak scores, timing of onset, and incidence are observed among laboratories, with the postacute (relapse) phase of the disease exhibiting significant inconsistency. We characterized two substrains of SJL/J mice that exhibit profoundly different EAE disease parameters. Induction of EAE in the first SJL/J substrain resulted in many cases of chronic EAE that was dominated by an aggressive B cell response to the immunizing Ag and to endogenous CNS Ags. In contrast, the other SJL/J substrain exhibited a relapsing–remitting form of EAE concomitant with an elevated number of cytokine-producing CD4+ T cells in the CNS. Exploiting these interstrain differences, we performed a genome-wide copy number analysis on the two disparate SJL/J substrains and discovered numerous gene-dosage differences. In particular, one inflammation-associated gene, Naip1, was present at a higher copy number in the SJL/J substrain that exhibited relapsing–remitting EAE. These results demonstrate that substrain differences, perhaps at the level of genomic copy number, can account for variability in the postacute phase of EAE and may drive chronic versus relapsing disease. The Journal of Immunology, 2010, 184: 3174–3185.
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suited as a rodent MS model for uncovering disease-modifying genes, because they are susceptible to EAE induction without PTx coinjection.

Significant efforts have been made toward revealing genetic modifiers of disease in MS. Candidate gene association studies and genome-wide association studies revealed that the MHC region is the most important genetic region that has the capacity to confer disease risk (15). Approximately 16 non-MHC genes have also been identified that contribute to disease susceptibility but to a much lesser extent than the MHC (16). Furthermore, MS samples (peripheral blood and CNS) have also been subjected to intensive gene-expression analysis (17). However, because of the heterogeneity among MS patients, many investigators have taken advantage of animal models of MS to better assess genetic contributions to disease. These include studies using F1 crosses between susceptible and nonsusceptible rat strains (18) and similar approaches in mice (19, 20). From these studies, it is clear that susceptibility to EAE is under polygenetic control. More recently, efforts have been focused on identifying genetic modifiers of disease severity rather than disease susceptibility in EAE (21–23).

Materials and Methods

Mice

Wild-type SJL/J mice were obtained from Harlan Breeders (Indianapolis, IN) (SJL/JHsd), Taconic Farms (Germanytown, NY) (SJL/Jcrl), or The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) (SJL/J). To simplify nomenclature, we abbreviated these strains as follows: SJL/JHsd = HAR, SJL/Jcrl = TAC, SJL/JcrlCr = CR, and SJL/J = JAX. All animals were housed in specific pathogen-free conditions. In all cases, 6-wk-old age-matched female SJL/J mice from four commercial vendors. To our surprise, the source of SJL/J (vendor) represented the biggest source of variability in disease severity. Taking advantage of the large degree of genetic similarity between SJL/J substrains, we performed a genome-wide analysis of gene copy number variations (CNVs), including consistently lower Naip1 gene copies in a substrain of mice that exhibited disease severity, including the immunization dose of Mycobacterium tuberculosis adjuvant (myco) or the dose of PLP139–151. We also assessed whether there were differences in disease incidence or severity when we simultaneously immunized age-matched female SJL/J mice from four commercial vendors. To our surprise, the source of SJL/J (vendor) represented the biggest source of variability in disease severity. Taking advantage of the large degree of genetic similarity between SJL/J substrains, we performed a genome-wide analysis of gene copy number of the two in-bred substrains that displayed the most disparate clinical manifestation of EAE. We identified several intersubstrain gene copy number variations (CNVs), including consistently lower Naip1 gene copies in a substrain of mice that exhibited a chronic, rather than relapsing, EAE phenotype. Our data provide evidence that contribute to disease susceptibility but to a much lesser extent than the MHC (16). Furthermore, MS samples (peripheral blood and CNS) have also been subjected to intensive gene-expression analysis (17). However, because of the heterogeneity among MS patients, many investigators have taken advantage of animal models of MS to better assess genetic contributions to disease. These include studies using F1 crosses between susceptible and nonsusceptible rat strains (18) and similar approaches in mice (19, 20). From these studies, it is clear that susceptibility to EAE is under polygenetic control. More recently, efforts have been focused on identifying genetic modifiers of disease severity rather than disease susceptibility in EAE (21–23).

Flow cytometric evaluation of CNS-resident infiltrates

Mice were sacrificed during the peak of the acute or postacute phase of disease (Supplemental Table I). Brain and spinal cord were homogenized in collagenase D buffer (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 5 mM KCl, and 1.8 mM CaCl2 in HBSS), and tissue was digested following the addition of 1 mg/ml collagenase D and 60 μg/ml DNase I and incubation for 45 min at 37°C. Lymphocytes were purified using a 30% Percoll solution and re-suspended in 10% media (10% FBS, L-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, penicillin, Streptomycin, and 1% b-mercaptoethanol). Cells for extracellular cytokine analysis were restimulated with PMA and ionomycin in the presence of GolgiPlug and incubated at 37°C for 5 h. Cells were permeabilized and fixed using the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm kit and incubated with 1 μg/ml Fc-block in PBS + 2% FBS. Cells were then stained with CD4-PE-Cy5, TNF-α-APC, IL-17-PE, or IFN-γ-APC. Purified CNS cells intended for extracellular cytokine staining were stained with CD8-FTC, CD11c-PE, CD80-PECy5, CD69-APC, CD11b-PE-Cy7, CD11b-Biotin, CD45.1-Pacific Blue, or CD45-Pe-Texas Red. Flow cytometry analysis was performed using the FACSCanto, and fluorescence-minus-one controls were used to judge background stain (Supplemental Fig. 4).
Silver staining for axonal damage quantification

Silver staining was applied to paraffin-embedded spinal cord sections to quantify the degree of axonal loss. Slides were deparaffinized in xylene and hydrated in distilled water. Slides were then placed in 20% silver nitrate for 20 min in the dark, washed in water, and evaporated ammonia was added, drop by drop, and stirred until the precipitate cleared. Slides were kept in this solution for 20 min in the dark and then immersed in ammonia water (0.2% ammonium hydroxide). Slides were developed in an ammonia silver solution until nerve fibers appeared black. Slides were washed in water, placed in a sodium thiosulfate solution, washed again, dehydrated, and mounted. Axonal loss was quantified in a blinded manner by examining images at ×100 and using the following scale: 0 = normal/even silver stain throughout the white matter compared with unimmunized mice; 1 = small spurious areas in the white matter that lack silver stain; 1.5 = small, but frequent, areas in the white matter that lack silver stain; and 2 = extensive loss of silver stain throughout the white matter. For visualization of axonal swelling, the same region of the cervical section of the spinal cord white matter was imaged for each sample under high-power magnification (×400). For all quantifications, four independent blinded measurements were compared and averaged.

CNV analysis

Tail tissue was collected from CR and HAR female mice. DNA was isolated using standard procedures, and DNA copy analysis was performed according to the instructions for Oligonucleotide Array-Based CGH for Genomic DNA Analysis, Version 4.0. (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Briefly, 2 μg genomic DNA from tail clips was digested with AluI and Rsal and labeled using BioPrime Total for Agilent aCGH system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Test (CR SJL/J mice) and reference DNA (HAR SJL/J mice) samples were labeled with cyanine 5- or cyanine 3-deoxyuridine triphosphate, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Cyanine 5- and cyanine 3-labeled DNA samples were combined and mixed with mouse Cot-1 DNA, Agilent 10X Blocking Agent, and Agilent 2X Hybridization Buffer. Labeled target solution was hybridized to Agilent’s 244K Mouse Genome CGH Microarray (G4414A). After hybridization, microarrays were washed and dried according to the procedures described in Agilent Technical Support. Microarray slides were scanned using an Agilent microarray scanner. Data for individual features on microarray were ex- tracted from the scan image, using Agilent’s Feature Extraction Software. Output files were imported into Agilent’s DNA Analytics 4.0 software (version 4.0.73) for DNA copy number analysis. The aberration detection method (ADM) was used to identify CNVs. The ADM algorithm identifies all aberrant intervals in a given sample with consistently high or low log ratios based on the Z statistical score implemented in the software. The algorithm searches for intervals in which a statistical score based on the average quality weighted log ratio of the sample and reference channels exceeds a user-specified threshold (ADM-1 uses a threshold of 6.0, and ADM-2 uses a threshold of 5.0). ADM-2 differs from ADM-1 by using probe-quality information to weight the log ratios before calculating the score. Interpretation of CNV expression was performed at the Centre for Applied Genomics, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Validation of CNVs

Genes within the five regions identified by ADM-2, plus two potentially functionally relevant genes identified with ADM-1 ([I7] and Ccr2) were chosen for validation by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR). qPCR CNV validation was performed on purified genomic DNA from 15 CR mice and 14 HAR mice using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Bi- osystems, Foster City, CA) on the AB PRISM 7000HT sequence-detection system (Applied Biosystems), as described previously (30). Genomic ratios were determined by comparing the absolute copy number of each gene of interest with that of the reference gene Sph. Primers for test and control genes are described in Supplemental Table I.

Expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted from an appropriate tissue using TriReagent (Sigma-Aldrich Canada, Oakville, ON, Canada), following the manu- facturer’s instructions. Following DNase treatment (Turbo DNA-free, Ambion, Austin, TX), 5 μg RNA was converted to cDNA using the Su- perScript First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) and random hexamer primer. Samples were diluted 1/100 with sterile water and used directly in real-time assays using the Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix and ABI Prism 7900HT sequence-detection system, as described previously (31). Each test gene was normalized to Hprt, and the results are presented as an expression ratio of the test gene to Hprt. Primers for test and control genes are described in Supplemental Table I. For each gene of interest, expression analysis was performed on five mice from each group (CR and HAR). The same 15 CR mice and 14 HAR mice used for the CNV validation were used for Naip1 expression analysis. Pair-wise comparison of CR Naip1 expression and HAR Naip1 expression was performed using a two-tailed Student t test in PAST (Paleontological Statistics) (http://folk.uio.no/ ohammer/past/).

Preparation of cell lines, CNS cell cultures, and sorted CNS-resident leukocytes for Naip1 expression analysis

N2A cells (ATCC #CCL-131) were grown in high-glucose DMEM (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% FBS (HyClone, Waltham, MA) and penicillin-streptomycin (Life Technologies) and cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2. N2A cells were differentiated to a neuronal phenotype by transferring to 2% FBS media and by adding retinoic acid (20 μM; Sigma-Aldrich Canada). Cells were harvested 72 h after treatment. Murine neurons were isolated from day-15 SJL/J mouse embryos. Briefly, the cerebral hemispheres were dissected out, and the meninges were removed. The cortices were minced and gently homogenized by stirring for 15 min at 37°C in supplemented (0.035% sodium bicarbonate, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 10 mM HEPES) HBSS, without calcium and magnesium, and containing 0.025% trypsin (Invitrogen). A single-cell suspension was then generated by crushing the tissue through a 130-μm nylon mesh using supplemented (0.035% sodium bicarbonate, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 10 mM HEPES) HBSS with calcium and magnesium. A total of 3 × 106 cells were plated on poly-ornithine (10 μg/ml; Sigma)-coated six-well plates in growth media (NEUROBASAL media, 2% B27 supplement, 2 mM-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2. At day 2 following isolation, cells were treated with or without 0.5 μM staurosporine (Sigma) for 24 h and then harvested in 1 ml TRIZol reagent. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells were isolated by se- quential immunopanning (32) from postnatal day 10 (P10) brains. The brains, excluding the optic bulbs, were dissected out, diced, and dissociated in papain (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ) at 37°C for 90 min. A single-cell suspension was sequentially immunopanned on Thy1.2 (30 min, antigliactocerebrosideboid (45 min), and Q4 (45 min)-Ab coated plates to select GaC-O4 oligodendrocyte precursor cells. Cells were removed from the O4 plate with 0.125% trypsin (Invitrogen), plated in poly-ly-ly-sine (Sigma)-coated 6-cm petri dishes, grown in proliferation media (DMEM, BSA, putrescine, progesterone, sodium sele- nite, glutamine, N-acetyl-cysteine, sodium pyruvate, penicillin/streptavdin, trace elements B, d-biotin, insulin, B27, forskolin, ciliary neurotrophin factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and neurotrophin-3) for 4–5 d, and then switched into differentiation media (DMEM, BSA, putrescine, progesterone, sodium selenite, glutamine, N-acetyl-cysteine, sodium pyruvate, penicillin/streptavdin, trace elements B, d-biotin, insulin, B27, forskolin, ciliary neu- rotrophin factor, and triiodothyronine) for 2 d at 37°C and 10% CO2. In all cases, cells were harvested using 1 ml TRIZol reagent.

Statistical analysis

All diseased mice (score ≥ 1 for at least 2 consecutive days) were included in the statistical analyses of scores/weight loss. The statistical significance of clinical differences was first determined by Kruskal–Wallis statistical analysis for non-Gaussian distributions; a post hoc analysis was sub- sequently applied. The unpaired two-tailed Student t test was applied for immunopathological analyses. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. For ELISA and FACS analysis, the Mann–Whitney U test and statistical significance was noted for p < 0.05.

Results

Clinical manifestation of acute EAE in SJL/J mice differs significantly between SJL/J substrains but is largely independent of immunization conditions

Several variables have been shown to affect the clinical manifestation of EAE in SJL/J mice (26–28). Two components are used to induce EAE in SJL/J mice: PLF139–151 and myco emulsified in oil. The consequence of different doses of immunogen/adjuvant was reported in SJL/J substrains but is largely independent of immunization conditions. Accordingly, mice
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were immunized with an IFA-PBS emulsion containing 75, 130, or 200 µg of myco. Mice immunized with any of the doses of myco had equivalent disease incidence, cumulative score, day of onset, peak acute-phase score, and average acute-phase score (Fig. 1A, Table I). Furthermore, no difference was found in mice immunized with increasing doses of PLP139–151 (Fig. 1B, Table I). Similar weight loss was also observed in all cases (Supplemental Fig. 1). The same results were observed when different doses of myco were tested against different doses of PLP139–151, suggesting that no combinatorial effect of the two immunization agents affected clinical the scores for EAE (data not shown). Therefore, the dose of myco and PLP139–151 does not represent a major source of variance in the clinical manifestation of EAE in SJL/J mice.

We next investigated whether potential differences in disease incidence or severity might be introduced by environmental or genetic variation between SJL/J mice from different suppliers. We simultaneously immunized female SJL/J mice from Charles River Laboratories, Harlan Breeders, The Jackson Laboratory, and Taconic Farms and compared the ensuing disease head to head. The onset of disease in SJL/J mice from all four suppliers was at approximately day 10–11, and disease incidence did not vary among strains (Fig. 2A). However, the source of SJL/J vendor contributed significantly to disease-severity parameters, including the cumulative score, the average acute-phase score, and the peak acute-phase score (p < 0.0001 for all parameters by Kruskal–Wallis test; Table II). A post hoc Dunn test revealed that CR mice exhibited the most severe disease, exhibiting significantly different clinical parameters compared with all three other strains (Table II), and this correlated with the most pronounced weight loss (Supplemental Fig. 1). HAR and TAC mice exhibited similar disease severity. JAX mice displayed an intermediate EAE clinical phenotype, as well as significant intra-cohort variability, with elevated cumulative disease scores compared with HAR and TAC mice; however, these differences were not statistically significant. Nevertheless, JAX mice remained significantly less sick than CR mice for all clinical parameters, with the exception of cumulative disease score (Table II). However, the difference in cumulative disease score between JAX and CR mice reached statistical significance when historical CR and JAX data were added to the cohort, thereby increasing the n (data not shown). Therefore, the statistical difference in cumulative disease between CR and JAX mice was likely influenced by the high degree of variability within the JAX cohort. Taken together, with respect to EAE, the SJL/J substrain is a significant source of variance, with the CR mice proving to be the outlier strain.

Because the SJL/J substrain proved to be a significant source of variance in the clinical parameters of EAE, we selected the two most disparate substrains (CR versus HAR) for subsequent head-to-head experiments, including all immunopathological readouts. Indeed, in addition to the differences in the other disease parameters, these two strains displayed a statistically significant difference in terms of day of disease onset, a parameter that was not changed between any other pairing of substrains. Analysis of the postacute phase for these two substrains confirmed the difference in disease severity noted with the four-strain experiment (Fig. 2B) and, importantly, revealed an interesting tendency for CR mice to develop chronic EAE (C-EAE). Specifically, the frequency of CR mice that exhibited C-EAE (absence of a remission, see Materials and Methods for clinical definitions) were 29%, 33%, and 25% in three separate experiments, whereas HAR mice always underwent a relapse and never exhibited C-EAE in any experiment. Based on clinical criteria, CR mice can be subdivided into C-EAE (Fig. 2C) and RR-EAE (Fig. 2D) subgroups.

Collectively, these results highlight significant differences between SJL/J mice from different suppliers, with a disease-severity hierarchy of CR > JAX > TAC = HAR, and identify CR mice as the SJL/J substrain.

Table I. Impact of differing amounts of M. tuberculosis and PLP139–151 on EAE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Incidence of Disease (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative Score</th>
<th>Day of Onset</th>
<th>Mean Acute-Phase Score</th>
<th>Peak Acute-Phase Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75 µg myco</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 µg myco</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>31.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 µg myco</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 µg PLP139–151</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>33.9 ± 6.1</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125 µg PLP139–151</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>38.2 ± 6.1</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 µg PLP139–151</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>39.0 ± 5.0</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values indicate mean ± SEM.

*Myco titration covers days 9–25.
PLP titration covers days 0–38.

FIGURE 1. Dose of myco or PLP peptide has little effect on EAE. A. Three doses of myco were used to induce EAE; no difference in clinical scores was observed. Mean clinical scores ± SE of 20 mice/myco dose are shown. Data are representative of two similar experiments. B. Differing doses of PLP peptide were used to induce EAE; no obvious change in clinical scores emerged. At least 8–15 mice were immunized for each PLP dose. Mean clinical scores ± SE are shown. The experiment was performed two times with similar results, and a representative experiment is shown.
an outlier substrain that always demonstrates exacerbated, and sometimes chronic, disease compared with any other substrain.

Histopathological analysis of CNS tissue during the postacute phase in CR versus HAR SJL/J substrains

To further characterize possible differences between strains, we assessed various attributes of cellular infiltration and axonal damage during the postacute phase of EAE in the CNS of HAR versus CR SJL/J substrains: the two substrains with the most disparate clinical manifestations of disease. We first examined the extent of axonal damage in HAR versus CR spinal cord sections using silver staining. We found that, on average, CR mice exhibited greater levels of axonal loss at the beginning and at the peak of the postacute phase (days 21 and 29, respectively), as evidenced by dimmer/absent silver staining in the white matter of spinal cord sections (Fig. 3A, representative images of cervical spinal cord slices). All mice selected for quantification were experiencing a relapse or were chronically sick (see Supplemental Fig. 3 for individual disease profiles of a subset of mice). We quantified axonal loss at both time points during the postacute phase (Fig. 3C, 3D) and found this parameter to be significantly increased for CR mice. We also observed axon swelling in the CR spinal cords (Supplemental Fig. 2, representative images), suggestive of significant stress and damage to the CNS environment.

We next examined the size of infiltrates and the extent of demyelination in the spinal cord by H&E staining of multiple spinal cord sections. In terms of infiltrating leukocytes, we did not observe a consistent difference in the size of infiltrate in HAR versus CR substrains (Fig. 3B for representative images; Fig. 3C, 3D for composite scoring of the entire spinal cord). Areas with infiltrating leukocytes were always associated with demyelination, with the exception of examples of demyelination in the absence of infiltrates that occurred exclusively in the CR mice that exhibited chronic disease (approximately two thirds of C-EAE mice showed evidence of demyelinated plaques; see Supplemental Fig. 2 for an example).

### Table II. Head-to-head comparison of EAE parameters between two SJL/J substrains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrain</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative Score</th>
<th>Day of Onset</th>
<th>Mean Acute-Phase Score</th>
<th>Peak Acute-Phase Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>100 (13/13)</td>
<td>86.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR</td>
<td>100 (15/15)</td>
<td>39.1 ± 5.0</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAX</td>
<td>100 (14/14)</td>
<td>60.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>100 (14/14)</td>
<td>39.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance
Post hoc analysis

CR versus HAR NS p < 0.001 p < 0.05 p < 0.001 p < 0.001
CR versus JAX NS NS NS p < 0.05 p < 0.05
CR versus TAC NS p < 0.001 NS p < 0.001 p < 0.001
HAR versus JAX NS NS NS NS NS
HAR versus TAC NS NS NS NS NS
JAX versus TAC NS NS NS NS NS

All values indicate mean ± SEM.

*Incidence of acute phase.

*Cumulative score days 0–37.

FIGURE 2. SJL vendor has a significant effect on acute and postacute disease course. A, Clinical mean EAE scores in a head-to-head comparison of acute phase of EAE in SJL/J mice from four vendors. Mean clinical scores ± SE for 13–15 mice per substrain are shown. The experiment was performed two times with similar results, and a representative experiment is shown. B, Disease course of the two most disparate substrains: CR and HAR. Mean clinical scores ± SE of 19–20 mice per substrain are shown. The experiment was performed three times with similar results, and a representative experiment is shown. Distinct postacute disease course is observed when CR mice in B are subdivided into chronic (C) and relapsing (D) groups.
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These demyelinated plaques likely account for the increased demyelination we observed in the CR cohort, which was significantly higher at day 21 and modestly increased at day 29 (Fig. 2C). Taken together, CR mice exhibit exacerbated axonal damage/swelling and demyelination, but the size of infiltrates in the spinal cords of the two strains was equivalent.

Analysis of infiltrating T cells and dendritic cells by flow cytometry

To further assess the composition of infiltrating leukocytes in the CNS of the SJL/J substrains, we homogenized CNS tissues and analyzed leukocytes by flow cytometry (controls and examples shown in Supplemental Fig. 4; clinical parameters of mice analyzed are provided in Supplemental Table I). We did not observe differences in the frequency of CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, or CD11c⁺ dendritic cells (DCs) during the acute phase of disease (Fig. 4A, 4B). However, during the postacute phase, we noticed an increase in the frequency of CD4⁺ T cells in the spinal cord and brain of HAR mice (Fig. 4C, 4D); this was also true for the absolute numbers of these cells in both CNS compartments of CR versus HAR mice (0.75 × 10⁷ ± 3.5 × 10⁵ versus 4.5 × 10⁷ ± 1.1 × 10⁵ in the brain, 1.3 × 10⁶ ± 8.6 × 10⁴ versus 4.7 × 10⁵ ± 3.0 × 10⁵ in the spinal cord; data not shown).

The increased number and frequency of CD4⁺ T cells in the HAR SJL/J mice prompted us to examine whether there were also differences in cytokine-secreting CD4⁺ T cells in the CNS of these two different substrains. In terms of the frequency of cytokine-secreting cells as a proportion of the CNS-infiltrating CD4⁺ T cells, we did not observe differences between the two SJL/J substrains in the acute or the postacute phase of disease (data not shown). During the acute phase of disease, we observed increased numbers of IL-17⁻, IFN-γ⁻, and TNF-α⁻ secreting CD4⁺ T cells in the spinal cord of HAR mice; however, there were no observed differences in the brain (Fig. 5A, 5B). During the postacute phase, the differences in cytokine-secreting CD4⁺ T cells between the two strains in the spinal cord were largely normalized; however, there was a notable increase in IFN-γ⁻ secreting cells in the brains of HAR SJL/J mice (Fig. 5C, 5D). Taken together, the HAR mice,
which exhibit RR-EAE, seem to have a greater number of CD4+ T cell infiltrates in the CNS; this is particularly true for CD4+ IFN-γ-secreting T cells in the brain during the postacute phase.

Analysis of B cell aggregates and PLP- and MOG-specific Abs in CR versus HAR SJL/J substrains

B cells and Abs play a significant role in the pathogenic process of EAE and MS (33–37). We evaluated the number of B cells in the spinal cords of postacute EAE mice and found that there was a statistically significant increase in B cell frequency in the CR mice and a corresponding increase in B cell numbers (Fig. 6A). B cell aggregates can form in the brain stem/cerebellum of EAE mice, and these aggregates are thought to be proinflammatory mediators of disease, in particular because pharmacological neutralization of these aggregates is accompanied by therapeutic efficacy (34). B cell aggregates are also found in secondary progressive MS (SPMS) and, to a lesser extent, in PPMS but not RRMS; thus, it is hypothesized that these aggregates drive chronic rather than relapsing disease (38, 39). Using fluorescence microscopy, we quantified the size of B220+ aggregates (red stain) in the brain stem/cerebellum and near the ventricles of postacute-phase EAE mice. These ectopic follicles were typically dominated by B220+ cells, with some CD4+ T cells interspersed within the follicles (Fig. 6Bi). Using morphometric software, the average area of B cell follicles in the CNS was found to be greater for the CR substrain compared with the HAR substrain (Fig. 6Bii). The fewer data points for the HAR mice is indicative of the reduced number of follicles that could be enumerated. Because these structures can develop functional germinal centers capable of driving the production of high-affinity class-switched Ab (39, 40),

FIGURE 4. Composition of CNS immune infiltrates. Mean frequency (mean and SE) of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and DCs (CD11c+) in the spinal cord and brain of the acute and postacute phase of CR (black bars) versus HAR (white bars) SJL/J substrains. Results are representative of six mice/group with three mice pooled for each FACS sample. *p < 0.05; Student t test.

FIGURE 5. Enumeration of cytokine-secreting CNS-resident CD4+ T cells. Mean numbers (mean and SE) of cytokine-secreting CD4+ T cells in the spinal cord and brain of the acute and postacute phase of CR (black bars) and HAR (white bars) SJL/J substrains. Results are representative of six mice/group with three mice pooled for each FACS sample. TP, triple positive (IFN-γ+IL-17+TNF-α+). *p < 0.05; Student t test.
we evaluated the level of anti-PLP Abs in the serum of each SJL/J substrain during the postacute phase of EAE. Although titers of PLP-specific IgM Abs were found to be quite low and comparable between the two SJL/J strains, we observed a significant increase in PLP-specific IgG2a Ab for the CR mice versus HAR mice (Fig. 6C).

Comparing chronic CR mice (C-EAE) with relapsing-remitting CR mice (RR-EAE), we noticed that anti-PLP IgG2a Abs were significantly greater in the C-EAE subgroup of CR mice (Fig. 6D). No significant levels of PLP-specific IgG1 were detected in either strain (data not shown). Therefore, CR mice with C-EAE exhibited the most robust PLP-specific humoral response, largely consisting of class-switched Abs, and an overall hierarchy of anti-PLP titers of CR (C-EAE) > CR > HAR was noted.

We next assessed whether CR mice would also develop titers to CNS-resident Ags other than the immunizing PLP Ag. Therefore, we measured IgG titers directed toward MOG, which is expressed in the CNS. Interestingly, CR mice exhibited striking levels of anti-MOG Abs, ∼100-fold higher than the HAR mice (Fig. 6C). Furthermore, the other two substrains of SJL/J mice (TAC and JAX) did not exhibit significant anti-MOG Abs, and titers were similar to those observed for HAR mice (data not shown). Specifically, 15 of 18 CR mice exhibited anti-MOG titers compared with 4 of 18 HAR mice, 5 of 16 JAX mice, and 1 of 14 TAC mice. Collectively, CR mice exhibited an aggressive humoral immune response directed at the immunizing myelin Ag as well as endogenous CNS Ags.

Gene CNVs exist between CR and HAR SJL/J substrains, resulting in altered expression levels of Naip1

Given that SJL/J mice from Harlan Breeders versus Charles River Laboratories had distinct clinical and immunological features when induced for EAE, we reasoned that there had been genetic drift between the two substrains. To quantify possible differences in gene expression, the ADM was used to identify regions that showed CNV between the CR and HAR SJL/J substrains. ADM-2, the more stringent method, reported five contiguous aberrant genomic regions that overlapped coding exons, whereas the less stringent ADM-1 reported an additional 56 regions overlapping coding exons (see Materials and Methods for further information on ADM-1 versus ADM-2). The regions were annotated according to the National Center for Biotechnology Information Build 36 of the
mouse genome (UCSC mm8, February 2006). The five regions from the stringent analysis, plus two other potentially functionally relevant genes from the less stringent analysis (I17 and Ccr2) were chosen for further analysis. These regions are shown in Table III. CNV data were deposited in the ArrayExpress database (www.ebi.ac.uk/microarray/) under accession number E-MEXP-2534.

CNV validation confirmed changes in genomic copy number for six of the seven regions identified by array CGH. These regions encompassed multiple probes on the array and included both gain and loss of copy number in the CR mice compared with the HAR mice (Table III). For some regions, the CNV was consistent between mice within the same group (Naip1 shown in Fig. 7A, Znrf4, Olfr1431, and Mid1), whereas for some genes, copy number was variable, regardless of group (I17 and Ccr2). In the case of one gene, Znrf4, no signal from CR genomic DNA was detected on the array, and we were subsequently unable to amplify the sequence from the CR mice.

For all except one of the genes that overlapped with confirmed CNVs, we were unable to confirm different gene expression between the CR and HAR groups using the highly sensitive method of real-time qPCR. Two of the genes, Znrf4 and Mid1, were not expressed in the adult tissues available to us, whereas the remaining genes showed variable expression that did not correlate with copy number within groups or among groups. However, Naip1 showed a strong correlation between genomic copy number and gene expression (Fig. 7B). Expression was 2-fold higher in small intestine from HAR mice than in small intestine from CR mice (p < 0.001; Student t test), exactly mirroring the difference in relative genomic copy number. Therefore, there are considerable differences in the copy numbers of genes between CR mice and HAR SJL/J mice, and consistent differences were noted for Naip1. Interestingly Naip1 copy numbers in the JAX and TAC strain were similar to the HAR mice, designating the CR mice as the outlier substrain (data not shown). Relevant to EAE, we were able to detect Naip1 mRNA expression in SJL/J brain, in SJL/J neurons, and in a neuronal cell line differentiated into neurons by retinoic acid addition (Fig. 7C). Naip1 was not detected in infiltrating leukocytes (B cells, DCs, or macrophages) or in microglia or oligodendrocytes in the brains of SJL/J mice, although it is possible that the level of Naip1 mRNA in these samples is below the level of detection using our methods (data not shown). Taken together, CR SJL/J mice have half the number of Naip1 gene copies as HAR SJL/J mice, and Naip1 mRNA is expressed in neurons.

### Discussion

Immunization of SJL/J mice with PLP139-151 in myco induces RR-EAE (14). However, the incidence of relapses in these mice is seldom 100%, and several protocol variations have been reported to affect EAE, such as the season of disease induction, the age of the mice, gender, mouse handling, and housing conditions (26, 27). We varied the dose of myo and PLP139-151, as well as the source of SJL/J mice, and found that the most significant source of variability in the incidence and intensity of relapses in RR-EAE was the SJL/J vendor. We kept the sex of our mice constant (always female) to avoid any possible influence of emulsification of myco + PLP139-151, as has been observed in male SJL/J mice (41). Furthermore, our head-to-head experiment with different vendors was performed three times during different seasons with the same results, thus eliminating the season as a confounding factor in our analysis (data not shown). We also avoided the use of PTX in our model because this agent can change the contribution of EAE-modifying loci (12). In our study, we found that CR mice exhibited significantly exacerbated disease compared with any other substrain tested. Moreover, HAR mice exhibited the most reliable relapse rates, whereas ∼30% of CR mice did not undergo remission, but rather exhibited a form of C-EAE. Because the postacute phase of disease is the necessary target for therapeutic intervention in MS, it is important to understand which environmental and genetic variables result in C-EAE versus RR-EAE.

Given the significant differences in clinical phenotype of SJL/J substrains, we focused on the two most disparate strains (HAR and CR) and asked whether clinical disparity could be accounted for by underlying differences in CNS pathology and immune infiltration. Infiltrate size in the spinal cord did not seem to correlate with clinical disease severity, whereas axonal damage was increased in the more diseased CR mice. Therefore, infiltrates appear to be a common denominator to both forms of EAE, whereas the additional axonal damage, which may be induced by soluble mediators, could be more important for manifestation of chronic disease.

In addition to these histological differences, to our surprise we saw differences in cytokine-secreting CD4+ T cells between the HAR and CR substrains. Specifically, the HAR cohort tended to have a more dominant T cell-mediated immune response characterized by a marked increase in IFN-γ+ cells in the brain during the postacute phase. It is possible that the secretion of IFN-γ is required to counterbalance other cytokines, such as IL-17, and in the HAR mice, the IFN-γ-secreting cells are particularly elevated in the brain. The elevated production of IFN-γ in the HAR mice may have encouraged remission in these mice, as well as their propensity to develop RR-EAE rather than C-EAE. In support of this concept, enhanced IFN-γ signaling in the brain was shown to be associated with reduced brain inflammation (42).

Despite the clear distinction in clinical disease course, relatively little is known about how inflammatory patterns contribute to the pathology of RRMS versus progressive MS (PPMS/SPMS). The relapsing–remitting disease course is attributed to the balance of damage and repair following influx and subsequent resolution of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>CGH Probes (n)</th>
<th>CNV</th>
<th>Real-Time PCR Validation</th>
<th>Expression Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fras1</td>
<td>96890471-96890871</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Naip1</td>
<td>101471001-101521051</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Znrf4</td>
<td>56143112-56150761</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Yes’</td>
<td>No’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Olfr1431</td>
<td>12246162-12301154</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Mid1</td>
<td>165319559-165323474</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Yes'</td>
<td>No'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I17</td>
<td>7536219-7587317</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Yes’</td>
<td>No’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ccr2</td>
<td>123951533-123956516</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Yes’</td>
<td>No’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*According to National Center for Biotechnology Information Build 36 of the mouse genome (UCSC mm8, February 2006).

*Seen in SJL/J mice purchased from Charles River Laboratories compared with SJL/J mice purchased from Harlan Breeders.

*Znrf4 did not amplify from the genomic DNA of SJL/J mice purchased from Charles River Laboratories.

*Mid1 was not detected in adult tissues.

*Copy number was variable within each supplier group.
immune infiltrates. In progressive MS, there is reduced immune infil-

that directly contribute to ongoing axonal injury. Interestingly, compared with the HAR cohort, the CR cohort had larger B cell aggregates in the brain stem/cerebellum, increased spinal cord B cells, and an accompanying increase in PLP-specific IgG2a titers, indicative of a more robust humoral immune response. Furthermore, the CR mice had extraordinarily high levels of anti-MOG titers, indicating a vigorous humoral response to endogenous CNS Ags.

Our data corroborate other studies in SJL/J mice that highlighted a role for B cells in CNS damage. For example, CNS B cell follicles were shown to be important for disease propagation (34). Furthermore, Pollinger et al. (37) showed that endogenous B cells appear to contribute to the pathology in SJL/J mice that contain T cells specific for MOG Ag. Not unlike our CR SJL/J mice, these investigators observed myelin-specific Abs that correlated with clinical severity, and the transfer of serum could induce disease in recipient mice. Intriguingly, in SJL/J mice with myelin-specific B cells, re-

FIGURE 7. Naip1 genomic copy number and expression are different between CR and HAR substrains of SJL/J mice. A, Relative genomic copy number of Naip1 was determined using real-time qPCR in CR and HAR SJL/J substrains. Copy number is presented relative to the control gene Sdha. The data are presented as the mean ± SE of triplicate qPCR re-
actions. B, Box-plot showing expression of Naip1 in the small intestine of CR (n = 15) and HAR (n = 14) SJL/J mice. Expression is shown relative to the control gene Hprt. Naip1 expression was significantly different be-
 tween the groups. **p < 0.001; Student t test. C, Left panel: PCR ampli-
fication of Naip1 in diseased SJL/J EAE brain (lane 1), N2A neuroblastoma cell line undifferentiated (lane 2), retinoic acid differenti-
ated (lane 3), and cultured SJL/J neurons (lane 4). Right panel: expression of Gapdh as a loading control.

B cells may play a role in dictating a chronic versus a relapsing form of MS/EAE.

EAE-modifying loci have been identified in the SJL/J strain, with female-specific quantitative trait loci located on chromosomes 2, 9, and 11 (22). In addition, male-specific quantitative trait loci have been identified on chromosomes 10, 11, 12, 16, and 19 (22), and the use of consomic SJL/J mice revealed that polymorphisms in the Y chromosome also result in age-dependent disease exacerb-

In addition to the HLA locus, other risk alleles have been identified for human MS (47). More recent work has identified genes involved in disease progression rather than disease susceptibility in EAE (21–23) and MS (24, 25). Given the genetic association with disease, we reasoned that ge-

netic drift between SJL/J substrains from the vendors tested could account for differences in disease severity. At the molecular level, CNVs (deletions or duplications of submicroscopic DNA seg-

ments) and single nucleotide polymorphisms are the two major sources of genetic variation (48). We used CNV analysis to assess genetic drift between what should be identical mouse strains. To our surprise, we identified numerous genes with CNV between SJL/J substrains. Some of the genes that we found were subject to CNV have been identified as modulators of autoimmunity. For example, Ccr2 copy number was quite variable among SJL/J mice, and CCR2 deficiency has been associated with EAE resis-
tance in some (49), but not all, genetic backgrounds (44), suggesting that the involvement of CCR2 in EAE acts in concert with other EAE-modifier genes. Ii7 gene copy number also proved variable within SJL/J cohorts, and IL-7R (CD127) was identified as a risk allele in MS (47).

Although we observed variability within substrains for many of these genes, Naip1 copy numbers were consistently elevated 2-fold throughout the HAR SJL/J cohort. Furthermore, we validated that the copy number variability of Naip1 was represented at the mRNA transcript level and, that in the brain, Naip1 was predominantly ex-
pressted in neurons. Interestingly, Naip1 copy number in the other two substrains (JAX and TAC) was similar to the HAR strain, making the CR mice the outlier strain (data not shown). This is consistent with CR mice exhibiting significantly exacerbated disease, as well as 100-fold elevated anti-MOG titers, compared with the other three SJL/J substrains. In terms of their pedigree, the CR mice are also the unique outlier, having been introduced to Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique in Orléans, France from The Jackson Laborato-

atory in 1978 and ultimately acquired by Charles River Laboratories in 1997 at the 114th generation. In contrast, the TAC and HAR SJL/J mice were received from the National Institutes of Health in 1999 and
provide a means for testing MS therapeutics in the context of logical events leading to C-EAE may help us to model progressive disease. Furthermore, it is possible that understanding immune-mediated genetic information for understanding the etiology of MS disease relapses in SJL/J mice may be influenced by CNV differences. Recurrent CNVs have been identified among substrains of C57BL/6 mice (56), although our study is the first to examine this type of genetic variability in the SJL/J strain in the context of an autoimmune disease model. Given that the triggers that drive disease relapses in SJL/J mice may be influenced by CNV differences, the genomic dissection of SJL/J substrains should provide valuable genetic information for understanding the etiology of MS. Furthermore, it is possible that understanding immunological events leading to C-EAE may help us to model progressive MS, and the strain differences that our study uncovered may provide a means for testing MS therapeutics in the context of chronic versus relapsing disease.
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Supplemental Figure legends

Figure S1. CR SJL/J mice exhibit more drastic weight loss accompanying disease. (A-B) Daily percent weight changes for the experiments in Fig. 1. Titration of immunization dose of myco (A) or PLP (B) results in similar EAE-induced weight loss. (C) Daily percent weight change for the experiment in Fig 2. Each experimental group included at least 8 - 20 mice and was performed 3 times with similar results.

Figure S2. Increased axonal swelling and de-myelinated plaques in spinal cord of CR chronic mice. (A) Silver stained cervical spinal cord sections obtained (i) from un-immunized mice, or from (ii) CR and (iii) HAR mice during the post-acute phase of disease (white matter shown at 400X). (B) H&E with LFB staining of cervical spinal cord sections of an un-immunized mouse (i), a CR relapsing-remitting EAE mouse (ii) and a CR chronic-EAE mouse (iii). Note areas of de-myelination in the absence of cell infiltration in the chronic CR example (iii; see arrow). This phenomenon was frequently observed in CR C-EAE mice. Approximately two-thirds of all CR C-EAE mice showed evidence of de-myelination in the absence of overlying infiltrates (plaques).

Figure S3. Individual disease profiles for CR and HAR mice. Daily disease scores over a 29-day experiment are shown for single CR (A) and HAR (B) mice.

Figure S4. Representative flow cytometric profiles of CNS derived leukocytes. Samples were derived from the brain or spinal cord during the acute phase of disease. FMO = “Fluorescence minus one” control samples.
Figure S1
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**Supplementary Table I.**  
Clinical scores of mice selected for flow cytometric analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mouse #</th>
<th>Peak Acute Score</th>
<th>Day of Harvest Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table II.
Real-time PCR primers for CNV validation and expression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fras1</td>
<td>GTCTTCATGTGAACGGCTCCT</td>
<td>GTGTTGGATGTTCAAGAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naip1</td>
<td>GGAACGCAGTCTCCTGGTTA</td>
<td>CACAGTGAGAAGGGAGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Znrf4</td>
<td>GCGAGTTTGAGGCTTGGAG</td>
<td>GCAACCACCTACAGGGTACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olf1431</td>
<td>AATGGATTTCCACCTTCACA</td>
<td>GGGGCTGTGGAAGTAACAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid1</td>
<td>ATGCATCCCCTCAAGAAGC</td>
<td>TCCAATAATTTGTCTTCGTTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il7</td>
<td>GGAGGCACTCCAGGACTTCT</td>
<td>GGAATAAAATTGGGGAGGAGGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccr2</td>
<td>TACGATGATGCTGGCCTT</td>
<td>TTGCCACAAACCAAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sdha</td>
<td>TGATCTTGCTGGTGGAATGTC</td>
<td>CCCACCATGTTGAAATGACAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hprt</td>
<td>TGCTCGAGATGTCATGAAGG</td>
<td>AATGTAATCCAGGAGTCAGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>