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Analysis of Complex Biomarkers for Human Immune-Mediated Disorders Based on Cytokine Responsiveness of Peripheral Blood Cells

John M. Davis, III,* Keith L. Knutson,† Michael A. Strausbauch,‡ Cynthia S. Crowson,§ Terry M. Therneau,* Peter J. Wettstein,‡ Eric L. Matteson,* and Sherine E. Gabriel,*‡

The advent of improved biomarkers promises to enhance the clinical care for patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and other immune-mediated disorders. We have developed an innovative approach to broadly assess the cytokine responsiveness of human PBMCs using a multistimulant panel and multiplexed immunoassays. The objective of this study was to demonstrate this concept by determining whether cytokine profiles could discriminate RA patients according to disease stage (early versus late) or severity. A 10-cytokine profile, consisting of IL-12, CCL4, TNF-α, IL-4, and IL-10 release in response to stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28, CXCL8 and IL-6 in response to CMV and EBV lysate, and IL-17A, GM-CSF, and CCL2 in response to human heat shock protein 60, easily discriminated the early RA group from controls. These data were used to create an immune response score, which performed well in distinguishing the early RA patients from controls and also correlated with several markers of disease severity among the patients with late RA. In contrast, the same 10-cytokine profile assessed in serum was far less effective in discriminating the groups. Thus, our approach lays the foundation for the development of immunologic “signatures” that could be useful in predicting disease course and monitoring the outcomes of therapy among patients with immune-mediated diseases. The Journal of Immunology, 2010, 184: 7297–7304.

Among the greatest challenges in managing patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and other immune-mediated disorders is how to determine whether an individual patient has attained an optimal response to therapy (1–3). This is important because patients with suboptimal control of inflammation may suffer chronic pain (4), impaired quality of life (5), disability (6), progressive joint damage (7), extra-articular complications and comorbidity (8), and increased mortality (9). Evaluating the adequacy of treatment response in RA is problematic because the conventional assessments of disease activity suffer from limited sensitivity to detect low levels of articular as well as systemic inflammation (10). Consequently, patients judged clinically to be in “remission” over time can have structural joint deterioration because of subclinical disease activity (11). The limited capacity to detect systemic inflammation may explain in part the increased burden of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease suffered by patients with RA and other rheumatic diseases (12, 13). Thus, therapeutic decision-making for individuals with RA might be enhanced by the development of improved biomarkers, which could assist clinicians in assessing disease activity, the outcomes of therapy, and predicting long-term disease outcomes.

In an effort to identify a multivariate immunologic biomarker for use in managing RA, we have developed an approach to broadly assess the functional activity of PBMCs based on the responsiveness of cytokine production ex vivo. A previous study by de Jager et al. (14) discussed the potential use of simultaneously detecting the release of 15 cytokines by PBMCs into culture supernatants after stimulation with Ag or mitogens to monitor cellular immune responses in patients with inflammatory diseases. In this study, we advance a sophisticated and comprehensive analytical approach, which makes several contributions to studying human immunology. First, the methodology involves a unique panel of stimuli designed to elicit diverse responses of the innate and adaptive immune systems, which may optimize the identification of discerning cytokine profiles. As suggested by de Jager et al. (14), we used high-throughput technology to analyze ex vivo cytokine release with multiplexed immunoassays. Second, we developed a statistical methodology to account for variability because of extraneous patient and assay effects and to identify the most informative cytokine profile. Third, we integrated the resulting cytokine data to create an immune response score that may have enhanced discriminative and predictive power.

In this study, our objective was to demonstrate that multiplexed analysis of ex vivo cytokine responsiveness could identify an immunologic signature that discriminates patient groups, according to clinical differences as well as theoretical constructs of disease severity (construct validity). Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that a distinct cytokine response profile can differentiate patients,
both with early and late RA, from controls. We also tested the hypothesis that an immune response score can stratify a relatively diverse group of patients with long-standing RA, according to clinical and laboratory indicators of disease severity.

Materials and Methods

Study design and participants

Patients with RA as defined by the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria (15) were included from two prospective cross-sectional studies at our institution. All participants were recruited in parallel during the period August 2006 to June 2008. First, we included patients with recently diagnosed disease (early RA) from the outpatient clinic of the Division of Rheumatology. Second, we included patients with long-standing established RA (‘late’ RA) who had been recruited from the community of Olmsted County, Minnesota, to study the relationships between cytokine response profiles and cardiac disease. Healthy volunteers with no history of inflammatory or autoimmune diseases were recruited by advertisements on campus bulletin boards. The procedures for blood sampling and transport were similar for all subjects. The study was approved by the Mayo Foundation Institutional Review Board and was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent prior to participating in this study.

PBMC isolation, cell culture, and stimulation panel

A single experienced laboratory technician (M.A.S.) performed all experiments, which had identical procedures for all subjects. Venous blood samples were harvested and maintained at room temperature. Within 1–2 h, fresh PBMCs were isolated by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation. The PBMCs were stimulated in tissue culture under eight separate conditions using a panel of stimuli. mAbs to the CD3 receptor and the costimulatory molecule CD28 (anti-CD3/anti-CD28) (Dynabeads Human T-Activator; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as well as a plant lectin, Pha (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), were used to cross-link signaling receptors and thereby activate T cells under conditions not requiring Ag presentation. Staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B (Toxin Technology, Sarasota, FL) are baculovectors widely used as cross-linkers of class II molecules on APCs to TCRs, activating naive and memory T cells independently of Ag (16). Combined CMV and EBV lyses (CMV/EBVs) (Advanced Biotechnologies, Columbia, MD) were selected to induce T cell responses in an Ag-dependent manner with strong cell-mediated and milder humoral responses (17, 18).

Three molecules containing pathogen-associated molecular patterns were selected to induce cytokine production via TLR signaling pathways. CMV/EBVs contain ligands for TLR2, TLR3, and TLR9 (19, 20), and bacterial CpG oligonucleotides are ligands for TLR9 (21); these molecules activate cytokine production in B cells and innate immune effectors (i.e., monocytes and dendritic cells). Human heat shock protein 60 (HSP60) (StressGen Biotechnologies, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada) is an endogenous ligand for TLR2 and TLR4 that is released by damaged tissue (22) and is capable of cross-linking to CD28 molecules on APCs to TCRs, activating naive and memory T cells independently of Ag (16). Staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B (Toxin Technology, Sarasota, FL) are baculovectors widely used as cross-linkers of class II molecules on APCs to TCRs, activating naive and memory T cells independently of Ag (16).

For each stimulation condition, 4 × 10^5 PBMCs were cultured in 200 μl medium (RPMI 1640 medium plus 10% FBS plus 1% penicillin/streptomycin/glutamine) containing the stimulant (or media alone) in quadruplicate medium (RPMI 1640 medium plus 10% FBS plus 1% penicillin/streptomycin) containing the stimulant (or media alone) in quadruplicate. The final concentrations of each stimulant in culture were based on our established protocols and published work as follows: anti-CD3/anti-CD28, 0.5 × 10^5 beads/culture well (1:1 ratio of beads to PBMCs per manufacturer’s instructions); 5 μg/ml Pha (27); 10 ng/ml staphylococcal enterotoxin A with 10 ng/ml staphylococcal enterotoxin B (28); 1 μg/ml CMV with 1 μg/ml EBV (29); 10 μg/ml CpG (30); 1 μg/ml HSP60 (24); and 1 μg/ml PMA with 700 ng/ml ionomycin (27). The PBMCs were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 48 h; the supernatants were subsequently harvested, transferred to a storage plate, and frozen at −80°C for later analysis.

Differential leukocyte counts

The results of differential leukocyte counts were collected from electronic laboratory records when available. These results were included if the blood counts were drawn within 14 d of the blood draws for the cytokine profiles. Data were available for a sample of the RA patients but none of the controls.

Multiplexed cytokine immunoassays

A panel of 17 cytokines and chemokines was analyzed using a multiplexed approach with commercially available human 17-plex kits. The following cytokines were assayed: IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, CXCL8 (IL-8), IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, IL-17A, IFN-γ, TNF-α, CCL2 (MCP-1), CCL4 (MIP-1β), G-CSF, and GM-CSF. For serum samples, we used the Bio-Plex 17-plex kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and determined the cytokine concentrations using the Bio-Plex 200 reader (Bio-Rad). For the PBMC culture supernatants, we used a customized platform obtained from Meso Scale Discovery (Gaithersburg, MD) and determined the cytokine concentrations using the Sector 2400 instrument (Meso Scale Discovery). This technology was chosen because it performed better than the Bio-Plex at values near the upper limit of detection (data not shown). Cytokine concentrations were determined based on a standard curve generated on each plate using the manufacturer-supplied reagents. For each of the cytokines, the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 9–15%, except for IL-7, which had a coefficient of variation of 22%.

Statistical analysis

The distributions of the patient characteristics were analyzed descriptively using the mean ± SD for continuous variables or numbers and percentages for categorical variables. To test for differences between the groups, we used the t test for continuous variables or the χ2 test for categorical variables. Differences in the peripheral blood leukocyte counts between the patients with early and late disease were tested for statistical significance using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

The analytic methods were required to explicitly account for the multiplicity and interrelatedness of the cytokine data, along with the blocking induced by multiple patients and assays per reaction plate. All cytokine concentrations were log transformed. Mixed effects models were used to normalize the data and to estimate and test for differences between the groups. The analyses resulted in fold differences (95% confidence intervals) in the geometric mean cytokine concentrations between the groups; p values for differences between the early RA, late RA, and controls subjects were determined using two degree of freedom tests. The models included fixed effects for age, sex, and stimulation and random effects for subject and plate. The data were analyzed using the R software environment.

We recruited 25 patients with early RA, 60 with late RA, and 15 healthy volunteers as controls (Table I). The patients with early RA, who were nearly a decade younger on average than the patients with late RA, were newly diagnosed (mean disease duration, 0.2 y) and beginning initial disease-modifying therapy. The early patients had highly active disease as demonstrated by high values for C-reactive protein (CRP), the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) disability index, and pain scores. In contrast, the patients with late RA were more diverse but, on average, had lower disease activity, pain, and disability and had normal acute phase reactants. The patients with early RA had a higher frequency of anti-citrullinated protein Abs (ACPAs) and a similar frequency of erosive disease (as

Results

Patient characteristics

We recruited 25 patients with early RA, 60 with late RA, and 15 healthy volunteers as controls (Table I). The patients with early RA, who were nearly a decade younger on average than the patients with late RA, were newly diagnosed (mean disease duration, 0.2 y) and beginning initial disease-modifying therapy. The early patients had highly active disease as demonstrated by high values for C-reactive protein (CRP), the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) disability index, and pain scores. In contrast, the patients with late RA were more diverse but, on average, had lower disease activity, pain, and disability and had normal acute phase reactants. The patients with early RA had a higher frequency of anti-citrullinated protein Abs (ACPAs) and a similar frequency of erosive disease (as
The sponsiveness of a theoretical immune compartment. Then, cytokine release by PBMCs in response to stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 and staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B, or CpG and HSP60, were often similar. The results of the analyses revealed clear, recurring profiles of immune response most characteristic of either T cell responses or myeloid lineage responses (Table II). The cytokine profiles showed consistency in the direction of effect for cytokines within canonical classes. For example, the profiles of IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-10, and TNF-α release by PBMCs in response to stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 were all significantly reduced in the early RA group as compared with controls (with the exception of IFN-γ, which was nonsignificant at \( p = 0.2 \) and hence not included in the final profile). Considerable redundancy was present in the profiles of several cytokines among the different stimuli; for example, the responses of PBMCs to anti-CD3/anti-CD28 and staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B, or CpG and HSP60, were often similar.

Our strategy was to incorporate several cytokines in the context determined by radiologist reports of available x-rays) as compared with the patients with late disease.

**Selection of the cytokine response profile**

We tested for differences in 136 values (8 stimulation conditions \( \times 17 \) cytokines) between the groups. The analyses showed statistically significant differences for 58 of 136 (43%) of the stimulated cytokine values among the three groups at a significance level of 0.05 (data not shown). The large number of statistically significant differences indicated that these profiles easily distinguished the RA groups, both early and late, from controls.

The results of the analyses revealed clear, recurring profiles of immune response most characteristic of either T cell responses or myeloid lineage responses (Table II). The cytokine profiles showed consistency in the direction of effect for cytokines within canonical classes. For example, the profiles of IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-10, and TNF-α release by PBMCs in response to stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 were all significantly reduced in the early RA group as compared with controls (with the exception of IFN-γ, which was nonsignificant at \( p = 0.2 \) and hence not included in the final profile). Considerable redundancy was present in the profiles of several cytokines among the different stimuli; for example, the responses of PBMCs to anti-CD3/anti-CD28 and staphylococcal enterotoxins A and B, or CpG and HSP60, were often similar.

Our strategy was to incorporate several cytokines in the context of a particular stimulation to describe the immunologic re-

**Table I. Characteristics of the patients with early or late RA and controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Controls (n = 15)</th>
<th>Early RA (n = 25)</th>
<th>Late RA (n = 60)</th>
<th>( p ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>44.6 ± 16.8</td>
<td>50.9 ± 14.1</td>
<td>60.3 ± 9.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>18 (72%)</td>
<td>47 (78%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (47%)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
<td>13 (22%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (y)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>13.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40.3 ± 44.6</td>
<td>4.1 ± 4.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ disability index (0–3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS pain (0–100 mm)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>52.4 ± 29.1</td>
<td>30.9 ± 22.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACPA positive, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18 (72%)</td>
<td>26 (43%)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF positive, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17 (68%)</td>
<td>33 (55%)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosions, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6 (27%)</td>
<td>25 (44%)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX use, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>29 (48%)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone use, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>19 (32%)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone dose, mg</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9.2 ± 5.7</td>
<td>5.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other DMARDs, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>23 (38%)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-TNF use, no. (%)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>14 (23%)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD, except as indicated otherwise.

DMARD, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; VAS, visual analog scale.

**Table II. Distributions of cytokine concentrations for the selected ex vivo cytokine response profile among patients with early or late RA as compared with controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine</th>
<th>Stimulation</th>
<th>Controls (n = 15)</th>
<th>Early RA (n = 25)</th>
<th>Late RA (n = 60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td>Anti-CD3/anti-CD28</td>
<td>22.7 (19.0, 34.5)</td>
<td>13.7 (8.5, 22.1)</td>
<td>17.2 (11.7, 25.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-A</td>
<td>Anti-CD3/anti-CD28</td>
<td>6,689 (5,020, 12,959)</td>
<td>2,795 (1,045, 7,219)</td>
<td>4,114 (2,637, 8,029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>Anti-CD3/anti-CD28</td>
<td>63.1 (37.9, 108.6)</td>
<td>31.1 (9.8, 83.4)</td>
<td>52.6 (25.2, 114.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>Anti-CD3/anti-CD28</td>
<td>4,114 (1,570, 9,576)</td>
<td>1,460 (453, 4,966)</td>
<td>2,069 (1,007, 56,028)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL8</td>
<td>CMV/EBV</td>
<td>994 (438, 1,909)</td>
<td>414 (250, 557)</td>
<td>403 (293,1, 539,6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>CMV/EBV</td>
<td>35,288 (21,005, 57,747)</td>
<td>63,664 (29,634, 132,442)</td>
<td>70,161 (46,238, 108,898)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17A</td>
<td>HSP60</td>
<td>5.2 (0.3, 119.4)</td>
<td>13.4 (0.7, 82.7)</td>
<td>35.7 (26.8, 122.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>HSP60</td>
<td>14.0 (4.6, 196.8)</td>
<td>25.7 (34.1, 184.1)</td>
<td>82.4 (44.6, 201.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>HSP60</td>
<td>6,639 (2,211, 22,423)</td>
<td>9,475 (3,257, 31,247)</td>
<td>12,034 (7,498, 28,071)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the geometric means (25th percentile, 75th percentile) for the concentrations (picograms per milliliter) of individual cytokines in the supernatants of stimulated PBMC cultures according to stimulant and group. These results were obtained by taking the means of log-transformed cytokine concentrations using normalized data from mixed effects models and then converting back to the raw scale.
Fig. 1A), the average impairments in immune responsiveness to anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation appeared to be ameliorated in the late RA group. In response to stimulation with CMV/EBV, the production of CXCL8 was significantly decreased, yet the production of IL-6 was significantly increased as compared with controls (Fig. 1B). These responses were almost identical to those of the early RA group (Fig. 1A). In response to stimulation with HSP60, the release of IL-17A and GM-CSF were significantly increased to 12.9- and 9.6-fold higher, respectively, in the patients with late RA as compared with controls, and the release of CCL2 was also significantly elevated (Fig. 1B). As compared with the group with early RA (Fig. 1A), these HSP60 responses appeared to be higher in the group with late RA.

"Unstimulated" cytokine profiles of PBMCs in patients with early or late RA as compared with controls

To assess the value of the multistimulant panel, we next evaluated the cytokine profiles of PBMC in media alone without any additional stimulants (Fig. 2). The profiles of the early RA group (Fig. 2A) and the late RA group (Fig. 2B) were nearly identical. In the group with early RA, the basal production of GM-CSF and CCL2 was significantly increased as compared with controls (Fig. 2A). In the group with late RA, the only differences from early RA were the significantly increased responses of CCL4 and IL-17A as compared with controls (Fig. 2B). Thus, because most of the cytokine responses in both patient groups were not significantly different from controls, the unstimulated profiles were less useful in differentiating the patient groups.

Comparison with cytokine profiles in the serum of patients with early or late RA as compared with controls

Because serum multicytokine profiles have been shown to discriminate patients with RA and controls by other investigators, we compared the profiles of the same 10 cytokines that we previously assessed in PBMC culture supernatants with the profiles in serum samples (Fig. 3). Patients with early RA had significantly elevated serum levels of IL-12, TNF-α, IL-10, IL-6, and IL-17A, between 2- and 5-fold in magnitude, as compared with controls (Fig. 3A). In contrast, patients with late RA, who had lower average disease...
activity, had no significant differences compared with controls (Fig. 3B). These data suggested that serum cytokine profiles are substantially less sensitive to low disease activity states than ex vivo profiles.

**Comparison of peripheral leukocyte differentials among the patients with early or late RA**

Next, we compared the peripheral blood leukocyte differential counts between the patients with early and late disease to evaluate the possibility that changes in the distribution of peripheral immune cell types might explain the differences in the cytokine response profiles between the groups (Fig. 4). We observed a small but statistically significant increase in total leukocytes in the patients with early RA as compared with controls (Fig. 4A). There was a nonsignificant trend toward mild increases in basophils in the early as compared with late RA group (Fig. 4B). There were no significant differences in the distributions of lymphocytes (p = 0.44), monocytes (p = 0.13), or eosinophils (p = 0.84) between the groups. These results differed from the profiles of ex vivo cytokine production, which suggested significant differences in lymphoid and myeloid-derived cytokines. Thus, the blood leukocyte differential counts could not explain the variation in cytokine profiles among the patient groups.

**Development of an immune response score**

Finally, we created an immune response score, which integrated the variation for each individual cytokine of our selected cytokine response profile into a continuous index of immune response (Fig. 5). The cutoff of ≥50 accurately classified 17 of 19 early RA patients and 12 of 13 controls, indicating that the immune response score performed very well in discriminating the early RA group from controls. Among the 60 patients with late RA group, 35 had an immune response score of ≥50 and 25 had a score of ≤50, showing
greater heterogeneity among the late RA group, with some individuals having immune profiles more similar to early RA patients and others having profiles more similar to controls.

We assessed the construct validity of the immune response score by testing whether subgroups of the patients with late RA defined by dichotomous levels of the immune response score differed in several clinical indicators of disease severity (Table III). The groups had similar distributions for age, sex, and disease duration. However, the group with higher immune response scores had higher levels of disability as defined using the HAQ (p = 0.05) and higher proportions of rheumatoid factor (RF) (p = 0.048), erosive disease (p = 0.025), and methotrexate (MTX) use (p < 0.001). The group with higher immune response scores tended to have higher CRP (p = 0.09) and to be taking TNF blockers (p = 0.08). Notably, there was no association between prednisone use and the score.

In a sensitivity analysis, we assembled another ex vivo cytokine profile that evaluated only those 10 cytokines with the most statistically significant differences (all p < 0.01) among the patient groups and controls, without considering potential mechanisms. This profile included release of IL-12, CCL4, TNF-α, IL-4, and IL-10 in response to anti-CD3/anti-CD28; CXCL8 release in response to CMV/EBV; GM-CSF production in media alone; G-CSF release in response to HSP60; and IL-7 release in response to PMA with ionomycin. The immune response score based on this profile performed as well as the former score in discriminating the early RA group from controls but correlated poorly with markers of disease severity among the late RA group (data not shown).

**Discussion**

RA is a systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease orchestrated by diverse cellular mediators of the innate and adaptive immune systems, producing a myriad of cytokines and chemokines to initiate and amplify inflammation in diarthrodial joints, leading ultimately to destruction of articular bone and cartilage (32, 33). In this study, we have developed an innovative strategy of biomarker discovery for immune-mediated disorders based on the premise that the responsiveness of the various canonical subsets of the immune system is an important determinant of disease severity and therapeutic outcomes. We have demonstrated that multiplexed analysis of ex vivo cytokine production by stimulated PBMCs with the development of a multicytokine immune response score effectively discriminated the patients with RA, particularly the early RA group, from controls. Among the more diverse group with late RA—with wider variation in disease activity and severity—we demonstrated evidence for construct validity by showing significant correlations of the immune response score with clinical indicators of disease severity. The findings underscore the potential clinical use of our approach in identifying immunologic “signatures” for predicting disease course and monitoring the outcomes of therapy in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases.

Several points illuminate the potential significance of this approach. Circulating PBMCs experience the unique microenvironments in many body tissues of an individual. The particular milieu present likely influences the responsiveness of cytokine production by interacting with genetic variation and affecting epigenetic control of immunoregulatory pathways. In RA, environmental factors, such as tobacco smoking, are known to interact with a patient’s genotype to influence disease pathogenesis and severity (34). In view of this, it is noteworthy that the level of ex vivo cytokine production by peripheral blood immune cells can be affected by multiple environmental factors, such as infectious exposures and place of residence (35). Thus, ex vivo cytokine profiling may capture information on relevant but unknown environmental factors, which could impact disease phenotype. Our approach also assesses the systemic immune reactions to local, articular inflammation. The phenotype of the systemic immune compartment can be polarized as compared with that of the primary disease site, for example, with low Th1 cell activity in the peripheral blood of RA patients as compared with high Th1 activity in their affected joints (36). The systemic immune phenotype may therefore be closely associated, if divergently, with the underlying immunopathology. The developed approach involves mixed populations of cells and, while precluding elucidation of the cellular mechanisms involved, allows cell–cell interactions as well as autocrine and paracrine signaling to influence the cytokine responses, potentially creating biomarker assays with greater discriminative power. The use of a panel to induce “dynamic” cellular responses may offer higher sensitivity to detect abnormal immune function as compared with the use of only a few stimuli because differences in a particular cytokine can often be detected using one stimulant but not another (37). This method may be useful not only to assess current disease status, including systemic disease activity, but also to assess the potential for future disease progression. Finally, multivariate analyses can be used to construct a prediction model, such as the immune response score reported in this paper, to classify the likelihood of particular outcomes. Such a classifier could provide higher predictive accuracy as compared with any single cytokine response as has been suggested in RA and other immune-mediated diseases (38–41).

Although previous studies of RA patients have reported abnormalities for cytokines that we have evaluated individually, ours

**Table III. A multicytokine immune response score among subjects with late RA distinguishes subgroups with different disease severity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Score &lt; 50 (n = 25)</th>
<th>Score ≥ 50 (n = 35)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>58.3 ± 9.5</td>
<td>61.7 ± 8.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no. (%) female/male</td>
<td>223 (88%) (12%)</td>
<td>2510 (71%) (29%)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, y</td>
<td>13.3 ± 6.5</td>
<td>13.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/l</td>
<td>2.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>5.0 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain VAS (0–100 mm)</td>
<td>26.8 ± 22.3</td>
<td>33.8 ± 23.2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ disability index &gt; 1</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td>10 (29%)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACPA positive, no. (%)</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>17 (49%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF positive, no. (%)</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>23 (66%)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosive disease, no. (%)</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>19 (54%)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTX use, no. (%)</td>
<td>5 (20%)</td>
<td>24 (60%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisone use, no. (%)</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
<td>13 (37%)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other DMARD use, no. (%)</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>15 (43%)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-TNF use, no. (%)</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
<td>11 (31%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD except as indicated otherwise.
DMARD, disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; VAS, visual analog scale.
is among the first to assimilate these immune abnormalities into a multivariable biomarker. We noted decreased production of IL-12, CCL4, IL-4, IL-10, and TNF-α following stimulation of the PBMCs with anti-CD3/anti-CD28, suggesting changes in the peripheral T cell compartment. Previous studies have reported abnormalities of CD4+ T cell function in patients with early RA, including defective Th1 (i.e., IFN-γ) and Th2 (i.e., IL-4) immune responses (42–45). Furthermore, we observed among patients with RA significantly increased release of IL-6 with CMV/EBV and of GM-CSF with HSP60, suggesting changes in the myeloid compartment, such as increased activity of a proinflammatory subset of monocytes or dendritic cells. Our results extend the previous observation in RA that ex vivo responsiveness to stimulation with TLR ligands (including HSP60) is increased, as indicated by proliferative capacity (46), IL-6 release (47), or TNF-α release (48, 49). Also consistent with our data, the expression of IL-17A mRNA is reported to be increased in PBMCs of patients with RA as compared with osteoarthritis (50). Prior work supports the use of ex vivo immune biomarkers to monitor treatment responses with the evidence that disease-modifying therapy may lead to recovery of peripheral T cell and innate immune responses during follow-up (37, 48, 51, 52). Finally, previous studies have shown that pretreatment cytokine responsiveness of stimulated PBMCs may be useful in predicting outcomes of antirheumatic therapy (48, 52).

Our approach appears to have several advantages over existing biomarker approaches for rheumatic diseases. As we have shown, serum biomarker profiles are prone to high variability, likely related to the complex matrix of the serum samples, including the potential for nonspecific binding by heterophilic Abs (53). In addition, many cytokines have diurnal variation, so the time of blood sampling can significantly impact the cytokine levels in serum. The degree of “leakage” of particular cytokines from the joints into the bloodstream may determine how useful they are as biomarkers (3). These factors should impact ex vivo PBMC-based assays much less. Not surprisingly, the serum profiles we observed differed significantly from the ex vivo profiles. For example, severe RA is correlated with higher serum levels of TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-10 (54, 55), whereas the production of these cytokines by stimulated PBMCs was significantly reduced in our study. We showed that the serum cytokine profiles were less discriminative than the ex vivo cytokine profiles, suggesting that PBMC-based assays are more useful as biomarkers. Additionally, the differences in routine blood leukocyte counts could not explain the differences in cytokine production observed among the groups. In future studies, we will perform immunophenotyping to determine whether differences in the distributions of lymphoid or myeloid subtypes can explain our findings. An important insight from our data is that ex vivo cytokine profiles offer unique and clinically relevant information that is not available from routine laboratory techniques.

In conclusion, we have developed an innovative approach to identify complex biomarkers for human immune-mediated disorders by analyzing the responsiveness of cytokine production by peripheral blood cells. We have demonstrated this concept with a 10-cytokine immune response score that may identify patients with more severe or less treatment responsive RA. However, this score is currently not useful as a biomarker, and additional steps are necessary to refine the approach and to establish the clinical applicability of the findings. By design, this study does not have systematically collected data for joint counts, global assessments, or composite disease activity scores, so correlative analyses of the cytokine assays with validated measures of disease activity are required. Prospective studies should determine whether the developed approach adds value beyond current clinical or serological assessments in predicting treatment outcomes for patients with RA or other inflammatory diseases. Although the assays appear to have adequate reproducibility overall, further studies should test alternative methods of blood cell isolation and stimulation to facilitate the development of practical assays with the level of standardization necessary for clinical translation. Future clinical trials of RA and other immune-mediated disorders should endeavor to collect and store PBMCs in a manner conducive to the development of similar strategies of immune monitoring.
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