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Monocyte Trafficking to Hepatic Sites of Bacterial Infection Is Chemokine Independent and Directed by Focal Intercellular Adhesion Molecule-1 Expression

Chao Shi,*+‡ Peter Velázquez, ‡ Tobias M. Hohl,*† Ingrid Leiner,* Michael L. Dustin,‡ and Eric G. Pamer*+†

Recruitment of CCR2⁺Ly6C^high^ monocytes to sites of infection is essential for efficient clearance of microbial pathogens. Although CCR2-mediated signals promote monocyte emigration from bone marrow, the contribution of CCR2 to later stages of monocyte recruitment remains unresolved. In this article, we show that CCR2 deficiency markedly worsens hepatic Listeria monocytogenes infection because Ly6C^high^ monocytes are retained in the bone marrow. Intravenously transferred, CCR2-deficient Ly6C^high^ monocytes traffic normally to hepatic foci of infection and contribute to bacterial clearance. Pertussis toxin treatment of adoptively transferred monocytes does not impair their intrahepatic trafficking, suggesting that chemokine signaling, once CCR2⁺Ly6C^high^ monocytes emigrate from the bone marrow, is not required for monocyte localization to sites of bacterial infection in the liver. Expression of ICAM-1 is induced in close proximity to foci of bacterial infection in the liver, including on CD31⁺ endothelial cells, and blockade of CD11b and CD44 diminishes monocyte localization to these hepatic foci. Our studies demonstrated that Ly6C^high^ monocyte recruitment from the bloodstream to the L. monocytogenes-infected liver does not require chemokine receptor-mediated signals but instead is principally dependent on integrin- and extracellular matrix-mediated monocyte adhesion. The Journal of Immunology, 2010, 184: 6266–6274.

Monocytes are myeloid leukocytes that reside in the bloodstream, bone marrow, and spleen. Murine blood monocytes are divided into two major subsets based on their differential expression of surface markers and trafficking behaviors. One subset expresses high levels of the chemokine receptor CX3CR1 and patrols the luminal surface of blood vessels. The other subset, also referred to as inflammatory monocytes, expresses lower levels of CX3CR1 and high levels of CCR2 and Ly6C and is recruited to sites of inflammation (1). Inflammatory Ly6C^high^ monocytes can differentiate into mucosal dendritic cells (DCs) after their depletion from gut and lung (2–5) and contribute to inflammatory diseases, such as atherosclerosis and multiple sclerosis (6, 7). Ly6C^high^ monocytes make essential contributions to immune defense against microbial pathogens, including Listeria monocytogenes (8, 9), Toxoplasma gondii (10, 11), Brucella melitensis (12), and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (13).

The innate immune response during L. monocytogenes infection depends on rapid monocyte recruitment, a process that has been studied most extensively in the spleen (23, 24). Ly6C^high^ monocytes are recruited from bone marrow to spleen during L. monocytogenes infection, and they differentiate into TNF⁻ and inducible NO synthase (iNOS)-producing DCs (TipDCs) that enhance bacterial clearance (23). The recruitment of Ly6C^high^ monocytes requires CCR2, a chemokine receptor that responds to MCP-1 and -3. CCR2⁻/⁻ mice have decreased numbers of Ly6C^high^ monocytes in the periphery because monocytes are retained in the bone marrow, markedly increasing susceptibility to L. monocytogenes infection (24, 25). CCR2 deficiency also increases the severity of L. monocytogenes infection in the liver (8), but it remains unclear whether this results from defective recruitment of monocytes or other CCR2-expressing cell populations.

Although the role of CCR2 in monocyte egress from the bone marrow is established (24–29), it remains unresolved whether CCR2 also plays a role in monocyte trafficking into and within peripheral tissues. Some studies indicated that CCR2-mediated...
signaling is required for monocyte entry into the CNS (7), atherosclerotic plaques (6), ischemic myocardium (30), and the peritoneal cavity (26) in the setting of tissue inflammation. However, it was also shown that the migration of monocytes from the bloodstream into the white pulp of L. monocytogenes-infected spleen is CCR2 independent (24). In the current study, we demonstrated that neither the targeting of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes from the bloodstream to hepatic foci of infection nor their bactericidal function in the liver requires CCR2-mediated signals. Our study demonstrated that trafficking of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes within the liver is not mediated by pertussis toxin-sensitive chemokine receptors but depends on integrin- and extracellular matrix-mediated adhesion.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice and infections**

All mice used in this study were bred at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Research Animal Resources Center. The CCR2 reporter transgenic strain was previously generated in our laboratory using bacterial artificial chromosome carrying the gene encoding enhanced GFP (EGFP) under the control of CCR2 promoter (31). The CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> Tg(CCR2-EGFP) strain was generated by crossing the CCR2-reporter transgenic strain to a CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> background. Bone marrow chimeras were generated according to the standard protocol. Briefly, C57BL/6 mice were subjected to irradiation at a dose of 1000 cGy, followed by i.v. injection of 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> bone marrow cells bearing differential CD45.1/2 congenic markers. Mice were infected i.v. with 3 × 10<sup>5</sup> or 1 × 10<sup>6</sup><sup>3</sup> for L. monocytogenes strain 10403S, as described previously (32). At indicated times following infection, livers were harvested and dissociated in PBS containing 0.05% Triton X-100, and bacterial CFU were determined by plating on brain-heart infusion agar plates.

**Flow cytometry**

At various times postinfection, livers were perfused, excised, and digested in collagenase/DNase, as previously described (33). Nonparenchymal cells were further purified using 40%/80% Percoll gradient. Cells at the interface of the upper and lower layer of Percoll solution were collected and subjected to Ab staining. The following Abs were purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA): anti–CD11b-PerCP-Cy5.5 (M1/70), anti–Ly6C-FTTC (AL-21), anti–Ly6C-biotin (AL-21), streptavidin-allophycocyanin-Cy7, anti–Ly6G-PE (1A8), anti–CD45.1-allophycocyanin (A20), anti–TNF–allophycocyanin (MP6–X22), and anti–CD11c-allophycocyanin (HL.3). Anti–MHC class II-PE (36) was purchased from eBioscience (San Diego, CA). Anti–CD45-Pacific Blue (30–F11) was purchased from BioLegend (San Diego, CA). Rabbit anti–iNOS Ab was purchased from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Alexa633-F(ab′)<sub>2</sub> of anti-rabbit IgG was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). For intracellular TNF staining, liver nonparenchymal cells were incubated in RPMI 1640 medium in the presence of brefeldin A for 4 h without stimulation and processed according to the manufacturer’s protocol for Cytotox/Cytoperm (BD Pharmingen).

**Histology and immunofluorescence**

The left liver lobe was collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde; 10-μm cryosections were prepared using Leica CM1900UV microtome (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Infection foci were visualized by staining with L. monocytogenes antisera (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), followed by staining with Alexa633-F(ab′)<sub>2</sub>-anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen). iNOS primary staining was performed using anti–iNOS Ab purchased from BD Pharmingen. Nuclei were visualized with DAPI chased from BD Biosciences. CD31 was stained by anti–CD31 (MEC 13.3) primary staining was performed using anti–iNOS Ab purchased from BD Pharmingen. Alexa633-F(ab′)<sub>2</sub>-anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen). Nuclei were visualized with DAPI chased from BD Biosciences. CD31 was stained by anti–CD31 (MEC 13.3) mouse was placed on an inverted microscope platform. Animals were made along the peritoneum to expose a single lobe of the liver, and the mouse was placed on an inverted microscope platform. Animals were provided with additional anesthesia, as needed, and oxygen during imaging. Blood flow was visualized by injecting 10-kDa fluorescent dextran during the imaging. L. monocytogenes was visualized by using a 10403S strain expressing red fluorescent protein. Image analysis was conducted with Velocity Software (PerkinElmer Impromiss).

**Statistics**

Statistical validation of data was done with the Student t test when the sample size was greater than five per group; the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test was used when the sample size was three to five per group. All data are presented as the arithmetic mean ± SEM.

**Results**

**Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes are recruited to foci of L. monocytogenes infection in the liver**

Hepatocytes constitute the major cell type in the liver parenchyma. Resident immune cells, including Kupffer cells, DCs, NK cells, NK T cells, and T cells, reside in the lumen of portal tracts and sinusoids (36). During steady state, Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes represent 1–3% of total CD45<sup>+</sup> cells in the liver. Systemic L. monocytogenes infection induces the influx of leukocytes into the liver, and increased numbers of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes are detectable within 1 d of infection (Fig. 1A). The number of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes in the liver increases as the infection progresses and peaks 3 to 4 d after bacterial inoculation, accounting for 30% of CD45<sup>+</sup> cells in the liver (Fig. 1B). Upon bacterial clearance 10 d following infection, the number of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes in the liver decreases, eventually returning to steady-state levels 2 wk postinfection (Fig. 1B, 1C).

Using a CCR2-reporter mouse strain (31, 37), in which 95% of EGFP-expressing cells are Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes and ~99% of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes express EGFP (Supplemental Fig. 1), we tracked Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes. EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells that are not Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes express NK1.1 and account for ~5% of total EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells. In infected mice, a small number of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells are seen within the lumen of presinusoidal venules, and very few are found within sinusoids. During L. monocytogenes infection, EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells surround foci of infection and form lesions within the liver (Fig. 1D).
**FIGURE 1.** Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes are recruited to the liver during *L. monocytogenes* infection. A, C57BL/6 mice were infected with *L. monocytogenes*, and CD45<sup>+</sup> leukocytes from livers were characterized for Ly6C and CD11b expression by flow cytometry 1, 2, and 3 d later. B, The number of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes was determined for 14 d following infection with *L. monocytogenes*. C, The mean number of bacteria per liver, from five mice per time point, was determined by culturing organ homogenates on brain-heart infusion plates. D, Livers were harvested from naive CCR2-reporter mice and CCR2-reporter mice infected for 2 or 3 d with *L. monocytogenes*, sectioned, and stained for *L. monocytogenes* with a polyclonal antiserum and with DAPI to detect nuclei. Monocytes were detected by EGFP fluorescence (original magnification ×20; scale bar, 70 μm).

However, the majority of EGFP<sup>+</sup> monocytes are not infected, as determined by quantitative culture of FACS-sorted EGFP<sup>+</sup> monocytes from infected livers. One million sorted monocytes yielded only 100–200 CFU *L. monocytogenes*, indicating that only 1 in 5,000–10,000 monocytes harbors living bacteria. Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes differentiate into TipDCs at foci of infection

Our previous studies showed that Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes differentiate into TipDCs in the spleen and contribute to immune defense (9). To determine whether recruited monocytes undergo similar differentiation in the liver, we isolated leukocytes from infected liver and analyzed the expression of characteristic markers of TipDCs. Intracellular cytokine staining revealed high levels of TNF expression in CD11b<sup>high</sup>Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes (Fig. 2A). Increased levels of iNOS expression were also detected in these monocytes by intracellular staining (Fig. 2B), and histologic analysis demonstrated that monocytes located within foci of infection express iNOS, suggesting that in vivo proximity to bacteria induces iNOS expression (Fig. 2D). These CD11b<sup>high</sup>Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes express CD11c and MHC class II, albeit at various levels (Fig. 2C).

**CCR2 deficiency results in impaired monocyte recruitment to the liver and greater bacterial burdens**

Recruitment of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes to the liver was greatly diminished in CCR2-deficient mice during the steady state and after *Listeria* infection (Fig. 3A). Impaired monocyte recruitment resulted in a greater bacterial burden in the liver as early as 1 d following infection. Three days following inoculation with a normally sublethal dose of bacteria, CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> mice had 100-fold more *L. monocytogenes* in the liver than did wild type mice (Fig. 3B) and died of infection by day 5. Recruitment of CD11b<sup>high</sup> Ly6C<sup>int</sup>Ly6G<sup>+</sup> neutrophils was not diminished by CCR2 deficiency (Supplemental Fig. 2). The absolute number of neutrophils in the liver of infected CCR2-deficient mice was twice that of their wild type counterparts, most likely in response to increased hepatic infection in CCR2-deficient mice. Of note, splenic infection in CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> and wild type mice did not differ until day 3 of infection (23), whereas we detected a 5-fold difference in the liver 1 d after infection. This may result from a relative paucity of resident Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes in liver compared with spleen prior to and during the early stages of infection.

Although previous studies demonstrated that Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes accumulate in the bone marrow of CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> mice during *L. monocytogenes* infection, it remains unclear whether cell-autonomous expression of CCR2 is essential for egress from the bone marrow or whether emigration of a few CCR2-expressing monocytes is sufficient to promote the emigration of other monocytes. To address this issue, we generated bone marrow chimerical mice using irradiated wild type C57BL/6 mice as recipients of CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> donor bone marrow mixed in different ratios. Infection of these mice with *L. monocytogenes* confirmed a cell-intrinsic and essential role for CCR2 in the egress of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes from bone marrow (Fig. 3C). By changing the ratio of donor CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> to CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> bone marrow cells, we also demonstrated a correlation between bacterial clearance from the liver and the number of recruited CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes (Fig. 3D).

**CCR2 is dispensable for Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocyte trafficking from the bloodstream to hepatic foci of infection**

Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes circulating in the bloodstream express CCR2 and, thus, are presumed to be responsive to CCR2 ligands expressed...
by peripheral tissues. The expression of MCP-1 and -3, two chemokine ligands of CCR2, is readily detected in peripheral tissues, including spleen and liver (25). However, it remains unclear whether CCR2-mediated signals are required for monocyte trafficking into and within \textit{L. monocytogenes}-infected organs. To directly compare recruitment of CCR2\textsuperscript{+/+} and CCR2\textsuperscript{2/2} Ly6Chigh monocytes from the circulation to the liver, we injected mixtures of wild type and CCR2\textsuperscript{2/2} monocytes into the bloodstream of
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**FIGURE 2.** Ly6Chigh monocytes differentiate into TipDCs at foci of infection. Liver nonparenchymal cells were collected from C57BL/6 mice 3 d following \textit{L. monocytogenes} infection and incubated with brefeldin A for 4 h. A, CD11b expression and TNF production by cells obtained from uninfected and infected mice were detected by flow cytometry. B, iNOS expression by Ly6Chigh monocytes was determined by intracellular staining in uninfected and \textit{L. monocytogenes}-infected mice. CD11b\textsuperscript{bright}Ly6Chigh monocytes express CD11c and MHC class II. Dot plot is gated on CD45\textsuperscript{+} cells (gray) and CD11b\textsuperscript{bright}Ly6Chigh cells (green), showing the increased expression of CD11c and MHC class II by monocytes relative to that of other cell populations. D, Immunofluorescence staining for iNOS was performed on liver sections of infected CCR2-reporter mice. DAPI staining identifies hepatocyte nuclei, and EGFP expression identifies Ly6Chigh monocytes (original magnification x20; scale bar, 70\mu m.).

![Figure 3](image_url)

**FIGURE 3.** CCR2 deficiency results in impaired monocyte recruitment to the liver and higher bacterial burdens. A, Representative FACS plots of liver cells gated on CD45\textsuperscript{+} nonparenchymal cells and stained for CD11b and Ly6C. Cells were collected from C57BL/6 or CCR2\textsuperscript{2/2} mice that were uninfected or mice that were infected with \textit{L. monocytogenes} for 3 d. B, CCR2 deficiency results in greater bacterial burdens in the liver. Liver CFU of C57BL/6 and CCR2\textsuperscript{2/2} mice were quantified 1 and 3 d following \textit{L. monocytogenes} infection. C, CCR2 is required for the egress of Ly6Chigh monocytes from bone marrow. C57BL/6 mice were lethally irradiated and reconstituted with a mixture of bone marrow cells from CCR2\textsuperscript{+/+} mice (CD45.1\textsuperscript{+}CD45.2\textsuperscript{+}) and CCR2\textsuperscript{2/2} mice (CD45.1\textsuperscript{+}CD45.2\textsuperscript{+}). Cells from bone marrow and liver of uninfected and \textit{L. monocytogenes}-infected chimeral mice, gated on Ly6Chigh monocytes, were characterized by flow cytometry. D, Lethally irradiated C57BL/6 mice were reconstituted with different mixtures of CCR2\textsuperscript{+/+} and CCR2\textsuperscript{2/2} bone marrow cells. The ratios following reconstitution were confirmed by staining for congenic markers. Mice were infected with \textit{L. monocytogenes} 6 wk following bone marrow transplantation, and the numbers of live bacteria in livers were determined 3 d following infection.
*L. monocytogenes*-infected recipient mice and monitored their targeting to the liver. First, CCR2-reporter mice were crossed to the CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> background to obtain the CCR2<sup>−/−</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) strain. We sorted EGFP<sup>+</sup> bone marrow cells, in which NK1.1<sup>+</sup> cells had been depleted, from CCR2<sup>+/+</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) mice that had been infected 6 h previously with *L. monocytogenes*, differentially labeled them with fluorescent dyes in vitro, and then mixed cells at a 1:1 ratio prior to transfer into infected C57BL/6J recipient mice (Fig. 4A). We retrieved comparable numbers of transferred CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> or CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> Ly6C<sup>+</sup> monocytes from the liver 12 h after cell transfer (Fig. 4B). Histologic analysis indicated CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> monocytes localized equivalently to foci of infection (Fig. 4C).

We monitored trafficking of monocytes in the livers of infected CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> CCR2-reporter mice by intravital microscopy. EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells accumulated at foci of infection in both mice, although the density of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells was greater in CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> mice, reflecting markedly decreased emigration of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells from the bone marrow of CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> mice. The movement of EGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in areas proximal to foci of infection was similar in CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, suggesting that CCR2 is not required for movement within the liver (Supplemental Videos 1, 2). Of note, monocytes that entered the center of foci of infection became less mobile, potentially as a result of decreased blood perfusion to these regions. To further characterize the relative trafficking of CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> monocytes in the *L. monocytogenes*-infected liver, we adoptively transferred bone marrow monocytes from CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> or CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> donor mice, each expressing EGFP under the CCR2 promoter, into the bloodstream of infected wild type recipient mice and recorded their trafficking in the regions adjacent to infected hepatic foci (Supplemental Videos 3, 4). Quantitative analysis of EGFP<sup>+</sup> donor-cell trafficking showed no difference in the speed, arrest, or linearity (meandering index) between monocytes sufficient or deficient for CCR2 (p = 0.57, p = 0.37, and p = 0.84, respectively) (Fig. 4D). These results indicated that CCR2-mediated signals do not contribute to trafficking of monocytes within the *L. monocytogenes*-infected liver.

**FIGURE 4.** CCR2 is dispensable for the recruitment of Ly6C<sup>+</sup> monocytes from the circulation to foci of infection in the liver. A, Ly6C<sup>+</sup> monocytes were sorted from the bone marrow of infected CCR2<sup>+/+</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) mice based on their expression of EGFP, and NK1.1<sup>+</sup> cells were depleted by MACS sorting. Monocytes were differentially labeled with CFSE or CMTMR fluorescent dyes in vitro, mixed at a 1:1 ratio, and transferred into infected C57BL/6J recipient mice. Livers of recipients were harvested 12 h later. B, Representative FACS plot gated on liver Ly6C<sup>+</sup> monocytes, showing the percentage of transferred CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> and CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> cells. C, Immunofluorescence analysis of liver sections of recipients. CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> monocytes were labeled with CFSE (green), whereas CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> monocytes were labeled with CMTMR (red) (original magnification ×20; scale bar, 70 μm). D, EGFP<sup>+</sup> monocytes sorted from the bone marrow of infected CCR2<sup>+/+</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) or CCR2<sup>−/−</sup>Tg(CCR2-EGFP) mice were injected into infected C57BL/6J recipient mice. Liver intravital microscopy was conducted following cell transfer. Individual cells were plotted based on trafficking-behavior indices from image analysis.
CCR2 is dispensable for the bactericidal function of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes in the liver

To test whether monocytes lacking CCR2 control infection in the liver, we transferred sorted EGFP<sup>+</sup> bone marrow cells from CCR2<sup>2/2</sup> or CCR2+/+ Tg(CCR2-EGFP) donors into infected CCR2<sup>2/2</sup> recipients and measured the intensity of infection 24 h later. We observed significant and similar reduction of liver bacterial counts in recipients receiving CCR2<sup>+/+</sup> or CCR2<sup>2/2</sup> monocytes compared with mice receiving no transferred monocytes (Fig. 5). This indicated that monocytes that emigrated from the bone marrow and entered the bloodstream traffic to hepatic sites of infection provide protection against L. monocytogenes in a CCR2-independent manner.

Chemokine-independent adhesion mechanisms mediate the targeting of monocytes to foci of infection

Because CCR2 is not required for the targeting of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes to foci of hepatic infection, we asked whether chemokine receptor-mediated signals in general are required. To address this, we treated EGFP<sup>+</sup> bone marrow cells from CCR2-reporter mice in vitro with pertussis toxin, which blocks G protein-coupled receptors, prior to transfer into a L. monocytogenes-infected recipient mouse (Fig. 6A, 6B). Retention and targeting of pertussis toxin-treated and untreated monocytes to the liver and to foci of infection were comparable, as determined by flow cytometry and histologic analysis (Fig. 6C).

Chemokine receptor-independent targeting of monocytes from the bloodstream to the liver suggested that monocytes might be targeted to foci of infection by other mechanisms. Stimulated monocytes express high levels of surface Mac-1 integrin (38), which consists of CD11b and CD18 subunits and can serve as a receptor for ICAM-1 (39). To determine whether L. monocytogenes infection induces ICAM-1 expression in the liver and whether induction in the liver is localized to foci of infection, we...
performed immunofluorescence staining of infected livers and observed induction of ICAM-1 in regions immediately adjacent to foci of *L. monocytogenes* infection (Fig. 7A). ICAM-1 expression partially colocalizes with the endothelial marker CD31 (Fig. 7B), suggesting that sinusoidal endothelial cells upregulate ICAM-1 expression in response to bacterial molecules. Ab-mediated blockade of CD11b or ICAM-1 reduced retention of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes in liver sinusoids and their accumulation at infected foci. In contrast, Ab-mediated blockade of CD11a, a subunit of LFA-1 that also binds ICAM-1, did not reduce monocyte recruitment to the liver (Fig. 7C, 7D). Focal staining for ICAM-1 persisted when monocyte recruitment was inhibited by CD11b blockade or in CCR2-deficient mice (Fig. 7C), indicating that induced ICAM-1 is expressed by nonmonocytes. Nevertheless, because monocytes also express ICAM-1, it is possible that recruited monocytes enhance trafficking of circulating monocytes to foci of infection in a positive feedback loop. CD44 was also demonstrated to function as a surface molecule that sequesters neutrophils in inflamed liver sinusoids (40). By blocking CD44, we observed 50–60% reduction of monocyte recruitment to the liver (Fig. 7D). Ab-mediated blockade of CD11b, ICAM-1, or CD44 did not result in the accumulation of monocytes in the bone marrow, indicating the trafficking was not blocked at the interface between bone marrow and circulation. Thus, our results suggest that monocyte recruitment within the liver is mediated by chemokine-independent adhesion mechanisms involving CD11b, CD44, and ICAM-1.

**Discussion**

Recruitment and targeting of inflammatory cells during infection is a complex process involving multiple steps that are enabled, in part, by chemokine-dependent signals. In this study, we demonstrated that Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes are rapidly recruited from bone marrow to the liver during *L. monocytogenes* infection. CCR2 deficiency impairs recruitment and leads to a greater bacterial burden in the liver. We provided evidence that CCR2-mediated signals principally enable monocyte egress from bone marrow and that once Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes migrate into the circulation, they are targeted to hepatic foci of infection and provide immune defense, without a requirement for additional CCR2-mediated signals. Our study indicated that monocyte recruitment to hepatic foci involves the focal induction of adhesion molecules.

Our histologic data and previous studies (8) showed that the distribution of bacterial infection in the liver is localized and restricted to inflammatory foci that consist of recruited leukocytes and infected hepatocytes. Intravital imaging demonstrated that blood flow to the central region of infected hepatic foci was markedly diminished. Bacterial infection seems to be restricted by the recruitment of inflammatory monocytes to the region surrounding bacterially infected foci. In contrast to previous studies, in which leukocyte recruitment to the liver was studied in the setting of widespread inflammation (29, 40), our study focused on monocyte recruitment to focal sites of infection and inflammation. We found that bacterial infection selectively increased expression of the adhesion molecule ICAM-1 in regions immediately adjacent to infected foci. Focal induction of ICAM-1 during *L. monocytogenes* infection may result from localized production and diffusion of TLR ligands from areas of bacterial infection and hepatocyte necrosis.

Leukocyte recruitment in liver sinusoids occurs by distinct mechanisms that differ from the tether-and-roll paradigm governing the adhesion and emigration in other vascular compartments (41). Hepatic sinusoidal endothelium does not express selectins, and selectins are not essential for recruitment of cells to sinusoids (42). In fact, visualization of leukocyte movement in the liver revealed that adhesion in sinusoids often occurred independent of rolling (41). Hepatic sinusoids are fenestrated and lack a basal lamina and tight junctions. Thus, the recruitment of monocytes from sinusoids to foci of infection likely follows a scheme that differs from chemokine-dependent endothelial transmigration. Although most previous studies showed that leukocyte chemotaxis induced by chemokines is inhibited by pertussis toxin, certain chemokine receptors were shown to couple to pertussis toxin-sensitive and -resistant G proteins (43). Therefore, it is possible that pertussis toxin-insensitive signals mediated by chemokine receptors contribute to monocyte recruitment.

**FIGURE 7.** Focal induction of ICAM-1 mediates targeting of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes. A, Immunofluorescence staining of liver sections from uninfected or *L. monocytogenes*-infected C57BL/6J mice with *L. monocytogenes* and ICAM-1. B, Sinusoidal endothelial cells express ICAM-1. Costaining of ICAM-1 and the endothelial marker CD31 is shown. C, Ab-mediated blockade of CD11b diminished the targeting of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes to foci of infection. Infection of CCR2<sup>−/−</sup> Tg(CCR2-EGFP) mice resulted in the accumulation of EGFP-expressing monocytes at sites of *L. monocytogenes* infection and ICAM-1 expression (left panel). Treatment with anti-CD11b blocking Ab prevented monocyte localization but not ICAM-1 expression (middle panel). Similarly, in the absence of CCR2, ICAM-1 expression surrounded foci of infection in the absence of monocytes (right panel) (original magnification ×200; scale bar, 70 μm). D, Blocking CD11b, CD44, and ICAM-1 diminished monocyte recruitment to the liver. The F(ab′)<sub>2</sub> fragments of indicated blocking Abs were injected into C57BL/6 mice 12 h following infection. Liver monocytes were quantified by FACS 6 h after Ab inoculation. The relative frequency of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes in the livers of Ab-treated mice relative to untreated control mice is plotted for three mice per treatment group.
Previous studies showed that neutrophil sequestration in inflamed liver sinusoids following systemic LPS administration is mediated by the interaction of CD44 on neutrophils with extracellular hyaluronic (HA) (40). Systemic administration of LPS, in addition to resulting in hepatic inflammation, induces the expression of serum-derived HA-associated protein, which enhances the association of HA with CD44. In our experiments, we found that Ab-mediated blockade of CD44 markedly reduced the number of Ly6C<sup>high</sup> monocytes detected by FACS in <i>L. monocytogenes</i>-infected livers (Fig. 7D). Thus, our studies demonstrated a role for CD44 in inflammatory monocyte recruitment to the liver. However, it is unclear whether in monocytes from the endothelial cell surface, suggesting that LFA-1 blockade of LFA-1 led to detachment of CX3CR1-expressing noninflamed dermis (44). In those studies, specific Ab-mediated Chigh monocytes are increasingly recognized as essential mediators and suggestions.
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