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Genetic Evidence That the Differential Expression of the Ligand-Independent Isoform of CTLA-4 Is the Molecular Basis of the Idd5.1 Type 1 Diabetes Region in Nonobese Diabetic Mice

Manabu Araki,² Denise Chung,² Sue Liu,*, Daniel B. Rainbow,§ Giselle Chamberlain,‡ Valarie Garner,† Kara M. D. Hunter,‡ Lalitha Vijayakrishnan,∗ Laurence B. Peterson,§ Mohamed Ouakka,∗ Arlene H. Sharpe,† Raymond Sobel,‡ Vijay K. Kuchroo,3,4∗ and Linda S. Wicker3,4‡

Idd5.1 regulates T1D susceptibility in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice and has two notable candidate genes, Cita4 and Icos. Reduced expression of one of the four CTLA-4 isoforms, ligand-independent CTLA-4 (liCTLA-4), which inhibits in vitro T cell activation and cytokine production similarly to full-length CTLA-4 (flCTLA-4), has been hypothesized to increase type 1 diabetes (T1D) susceptibility. However, further support of this hypothesis is required since the Idd5.1 haplotypes of the diabetes-susceptible NOD and the resistant B10 strains differ throughout Cita4 and Icos. Using haplotype analysis and the generation of novel Idd5.1-congenic strains that differ at the disease-associated Cita4 exon 2 single-nucleotide polymorphism, we demonstrate that increased expression of liCTLA-4 correlates with reduced T1D susceptibility. To directly assess the ability of liCTLA-4 to modulate T1D, we generated liCTLA-4-transgenic NOD mice and compared their diabetes susceptibility to nontransgenic littermates. NOD liCTLA-4 expression of one of the four CTLA-4 isoforms, ligand-independent CTLA-4 (liCTLA-4), which inhibits in vitro T cell activation and cytokine production similarly to full-length CTLA-4 (flCTLA-4), has been hypothesized to increase type 1 diabetes (T1D) susceptibility. However, further support of this hypothesis is required since the Idd5.1 haplotypes of the diabetes-susceptible NOD and the resistant B10 strains differ throughout Cita4 and Icos. Using haplotype analysis and the generation of novel Idd5.1-congenic strains that differ at the disease-associated Cita4 exon 2 single-nucleotide polymorphism, we demonstrate that increased expression of liCTLA-4 correlates with reduced T1D susceptibility. To directly assess the ability of liCTLA-4 to modulate T1D, we generated liCTLA-4-transgenic NOD mice and compared their diabetes susceptibility to nontransgenic littermates. NOD liCTLA-4-transgenic mice were protected from T1D to the same extent as NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice, demonstrating that increased liCTLA-4 expression alone can account for disease protection. To further investigate the in vivo function of liCTLA-4, specifically whether liCTLA-4 can functionally replace flCTLA-4 in vivo, we expressed the liCTLA-4 transgene in CTLA-4−/− B6 mice. CTLA-4−/− mice expressing liCTLA-4 accumulated fewer activated effector/memory CD4+ T cells than CTLA-4−/− mice and the transgenic mice were partially rescued from the multiorgan inflammation and early lethality caused by the disruption of Cita4. These results suggest that liCTLA-4 can partially replace some functions of flCTLA-4 in vivo and that this isoform evolved to reinforce the function of flCTLA-4. The Journal of Immunology, 2009, 183: 5146–5157.
humans have identified CTLA4 as the most likely causal gene, although the true causal SNP(s) and the molecular basis of disease have yet to be identified definitively (19). Taken together, these studies suggest that at least some common genetic pathways contribute to both human and mouse autoimmune diseases. This commonality highlights these shared pathways and has focused attention on the definitive identification of the causal variant(s) and understanding their functional consequences. Such goals can be achieved in mice where hypothesized causal genetic variation can be tested by generating appropriate congenic or transgenic (tg) mice and examining the effects on autoimmune disease development and other immune-related phenotypes.

Comparative analyses of CTLA-4 mRNA expression by the B10 and NOD alleles revealed that an isoform of CTLA-4, ligand-independent CTLA-4 (liCTLA-4) is differentially expressed by protective and susceptible alleles of Cita4: NOD mice have the G allele at residue 77 of Cita4 exon 2 that is hypothesized to be responsible for the reduction in liCTLA-4 mRNA transcript levels due to its position in an exon splicing silencer motif (19, 21). In contrast, autoimmune-resistant B6 and B10 strains carry the A allele, which results in an increased expression of liCTLA-4 transcripts relative to that of the NOD strain (19, 21). Functional analysis of liCTLA-4 revealed that it is constitutively expressed in memory T cells in mice and ectopic expression of liCTLA-4 in T cells limits T cell activation, cytokine production, and proximal memory T cells in mice and ectopic expression of liCTLA-4 in T cells limits T cell activation, cytokine production, and proximal TCR signaling (30), making liCTLA-4 a logical candidate for cells limits T cell activation, cytokine production, and proximal memory T cells in mice and ectopic expression of liCTLA-4 in T cells limits T cell activation, cytokine production, and proximal TCR signaling (30), making liCTLA-4 a logical candidate for.

Using these new congenic strains of mice and liCTLA-4-tg mice, we clearly show that increased levels of liCTLA-4 correlate with more protection from TID and can account for the alteration of disease susceptibility mediated by the Idd5.1 region and, in CTLA-4-deficient mice, liCTLA-4 can replace some, but not all, of the functions of flCTLA-4. Expression of liCTLA-4 partially rescued CTLA-4+/− mice from multiorgan inflammatory autoimmune disease and the early lethality normally observed in the CTLA-4−/− mice.

Materials and Methods

Mice

B6, CAST, and SWR mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, NOD/MkrTac mice were obtained from Taconic Farms. NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice (N15) have been described previously (21) and obtained as line 2193 from the Taconic Farms Emerging Models Program. The 10.37-Mb congenic interval and the Idd5.1 region within its boundaries are derived from the B10 strain and have been detailed previously (31) and are depicted at the following web site: http://tidbase.org/page/DrawStrains display (and then select 2193). All mice were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions and the appropriate institutional review committee approved experimental procedures. To develop the NOD strains congenic for the Idd5.1 regions of SWR and CAST, mice were backcrossed to the NOD strain and at the N6 generation were confirmed to be NOD homozygous at Idd5.3, Idd5.2, and Idd5.1 (31). The Idd5.2 and Idd5.1 regions on chromosome 1 are in close proximity (31); therefore, incipient congenic mice were created during the backcrossing phase of strain development (17). The strain that had inherited a recombinant chromosome 1 in which the Idd5.1 region remained non-NOD and the closely linked Idd5.2 region was derived from the NOD genome. This was done to prevent the allelic status of the linked Idd5.2 region from influencing the planned frequency study. Subsequent to the development of the NOD CAST Idd5.1- and NOD.SWR Idd5.1-congenic strains, we discovered a third Idd5 subregion located between Idd5.1 and Idd5.2, called Idd5.3 (31). Idd5.3 had not been previously observed because a protective allele at Idd5.1 masks the effect of a protective allele at Idd5.3; in other words, having a protective allele at both Idd5.1 and Idd5.3 is no more protective than having a protective allele at Idd5.1 only. Therefore, determining if an Idd5.3 allele is TID protective or susceptible must be ascertained by examining the Idd5.3-congenic region in the context of NOD alleles at the flanking Idd5.1 and Idd5.2 gene regions. Thus, in the context of the current study, the status of the linked Idd5.3 allele should not affect the interpretation of the T1D frequency. As depicted in supplemental Fig. 1, the congenic region in the NOD CAST Idd5.1 strain is defined at the proximal boundary by SNPs rs13475864 and rs13475866, which map to 51.12 and 51.45 Mb on chromosome 1, respectively. The distal boundary is defined by D1Mit177 and D1Mit180 which map to 73.76 and 73.98 Mb on chromosome 1, respectively. The NOD.SWR Idd5.1 strain is defined at the proximal boundary by SNP rs13475866 and D1Mit18, which map to 51.45 and 52.47 Mb on chromosome 1, respectively. The distal boundary is defined by rs3699077 and D1Mit179, which map to 69.31 and 71.72 Mb on chromosome 1, respectively. Thus, the CAST- and SWR-congenic intervals extend through the Idd5.3 region. The gene encoding long-chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase (ACADL) is the primary candidate gene in the Idd5.3 region due to its differential expression by the NOD and B10 alleles (31). We have obtained ACADL mRNA expression data showing that CAST has a B6-like ACADL expression pattern, which predicts that CAST has a protective allele at Idd5.3, whereas SWR has a NOD-like ACADL mRNA expression pattern, which predicts a susceptible allele at Idd5.3 (data not shown). To determine the position of the markers used in this study, Mouse Ensembl release 54 was used (www.ensembl.org/Mus_musculus).

Subsequent to the completion of the studies presented in this manuscript, the genetic backgrounds of the NOD.SWR Idd5.1- and NOD.CAST Idd5.1-congenic strains were defined more precisely by genotyping DNA samples using a 5K mouse SNP chip. The assay, performed by ParAllele Biosciences, demonstrated that the NOD.CAST Idd5.1 strain has no non-NOD SNPs outside of the congenic region and the NOD.SWR Idd5.1 strain has two regions of non-NOD DNA: 8.5- and 0.5-Mb intervals on chromosomes 13 and 14, respectively. The SWR-derived region on chromosome 13 at 38.6–47.1 Mb has the possibility that it includes the Idd14 region, which has been detected in studies using two non-NOD strains, B6 and NON. The B6-derived Idd14 susceptibility allele has a linkage peak at 52–73 Mb (32) and this linkage was confirmed by the development of a
NOD.B6-congenic strain (25 Mb to the end of the chromosome at \(-116\) Mb) (33) that has an increased frequency of T1D. The NON-derived protective Idd14 allele has a linkage peak at 41–81 Mb (34). Based on these localizations, it is likely that the SWR genetic contamination on chromosome 13 is proximal to Idd14. Because the diabetes frequency of both congenic strains was determined in the progeny of F2 parents (see description below), any potential effects from the non-NOD regions on chromosomes 13 and 14 are neutralized due to the fact that they are segregating randomly.

**SNP identification for haplotype analysis**

NOD- and B6 Bac-derived sequences that are available for a portion of the Idd5.1 region (21) were aligned and SNPs and other sequence differences were identified using Sequence Search and Alignment by Hashing Algorithm (SSAHA) (35). The sequences were entered into the TIDBase (www.TIDBase.org) to generate graphic displays of any polymorphisms in the Idd5.1 region. Novel assays (supplemental Table I) to detect the allelic status at NOD/B6 SNPs in multiple mouse strains including CAST and SWR were developed by designing primers with Primer3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3). Primers were synthesized by Sigma Genosys, with the forward primer labeled with the fluorescent dye FAM. For sequence-based haplotype analysis of selected segments of the CAST- and SWR Idd5.1-congenic intervals, nested PCR primers were designed to span the region to be sequenced. Outermost forward and reverse primers generated 1500- and 500-bp products, respectively, each overlapping by 50 bp to generate a continuous sequence. Big Dye Terminator 3 (Applied Biosystems) was used to sequence the products. All sequences generated were entered into the TIDBase for SNP identification. Sequences generated for the Ctla4 and Icos genes from the CAST and SWR strains have been submitted to GenBank and have the accession numbers (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank/) GQ420694 (Icos from SWR), GQ420692 (Ctla4 from CAST), GQ420693 (Icos from SWR), and GQ420694 (Icos from CAST).

**liCTLA-4-tg mice**

cDNA encoding liCTLA-4 was cloned into the EcoR1 site downstream of the CD2 promoter using a pBluescript vector. Plasmids containing the linearized liCTLA-4 were injected directly into the pronuclei of fertilized NOD eggs at the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation Center Transgenic Core Facility, both at Harvard Medical School. Identification of tg mice was accomplished by PCR amplification of DNA obtained from tail biopsies using primers located between the CD2 enhancer and exon 1 of liCTLA-4 and another primer located between exon 1 and exon 3. The liCTLA-4 primer pairs used are as follows: 5'-GGATGTTGTCTGGATCTTCGAGAG-3' and 5'-TGTTGAGCTCCACGTCATCGGCTTTGGACGGA-3'. The samples were amplified using 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s. Amplification was also performed in combination with the β-globin housekeeping gene as an internal control for the PCR. NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice were bred with WT NOD mice from Taconic Farms. B6 liCTLA-4-tg mice were bred with triple knockout mice lacking B7.1, B7.2, and CTLA-4. Progeny carrying the liCTLA-4 knockout allele and WT alleles of B7.1 and B7.2 were identified by PCR as described previously (36).

**Analysis of mRNA levels by reverse transcription and quantitative PCR**

Total mRNA was isolated from spleen and lymph node cells using the TRizol method (Invitrogen) followed by an mRNAEasy kit (Qiagen). cDNA was prepared using oligo(dt)_15 primers and random hexamers from Applied Biosystems. The Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase was used. Quantitative PCR was performed on the Applied Biosystems Prism 7700 instrument using β-actin or β2-microglobulin as endogenous controls. Primers were used at a final concentration of 300 nM and the probe (FAM) was used at a final concentration of 200 nM. Primer and probe sequences for detecting liCTLA-4 and IICOS4 mRNA as (19) and liCTLA-4 mRNA (21) have been published previously. Quantitative PCR data were evaluated using an unpaired t test (Prism software; GraphPad).

**Western blotting**

Two \( \times 10^6 \) spleen cells from congenic and tg mice were lysed using the M2 lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 0.5% Triton X-100, 250 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 3 mM EGTA, and one complete mini protease inhibitor mixture tablet (Roche Diagnostics). Cells were run off on an 18% denaturing gel. Fractionated proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad) and probed with polyclonal goat anti-

**CTL4 Abs recognizing the cytoplasmic domain of CTLA-4 (C19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The Abs recognize the cytoplasmic tail shared by the liCTLA-4 and IICTLA-4 isoforms but the two molecules can be differentiated based on size. On a Western blot, fcLICTLA-4 and IICICTLA-4 migrate at \(-33\) and 12 kDa, respectively.

**Flow cytometric analysis**

Spleen, thymus, and lymph node cells were prepared and stained for cell surface molecules using the following Abs: CD3-FITC or allophycocyanin (clone 145-2C11), CD4-Pacific Blue (clone RM4-5), CD6-Pacific Blue (clone 53-6-7), CD25-PE (clone PC61), CD62L-FITC (clone MEL-14), CD69-FITC (clone H1.2F3), CD44-PE (clone IM7), CD28-PE (clone 37.51), and ICOS-PE (clone 7E.17G9). The CD3-FITC and CD69-FITC Abs were obtained from BD Pharmingen; the remaining Abs were obtained from BioLegend.

**T cell proliferation and cytokine assay**

Five \( \times 10^6 \) lymph node T cells per well were added to 96-well round-bottom plates and stimulated with different concentrations of anti-CD3 (clone 145-2C11; BioXCell) Ab and 1 μg/ml anti-CD28 (clone PV1; BioXCell). Cells were pulsed with 1 μCi of \(^{3}H\)Thymidine after 48 h and harvested 18 h after pulsing. The incorporated radioactivity was measured using a Wallac Beta Plate Scintillation Counter (PerkinElmer). Culture supernatants were collected 40–48 h after activation and analyzed for IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, IFN-γ, and IL-17 using standard ELISA methods (BD Biosciences).

A panel of expression of activation-induced markers, spleen cells from mice were first stimulated with 1 μg/ml anti-CD3 (clone 145-2C11; BioXCell) Ab and anti-CD28 (clone PV1; BioXCell) in DMEM/10% FCS supplemented with 5 × 10⁻⁵ M 2-ME, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, nonessential amino acids, l-glutamine, and 100 U of penicillin/100 μg of streptomycin/ml for various periods of time and then analyzed for the expression of cell surface molecules as described above.

**Monitoring diabetes**

To determine whether the SWR Idd5.1- and CAST Idd5.1-congenic regions have a susceptible or resistant allele and differentially affect development of T1D, the diabetes frequency studies were performed using Idd5.1 heterozygous males and females to produce F2 cohorts from each of the two Idd5.1-congenic strains. This method was used to avoid confounding effects from any residual non-NOD-derived DNA present in the SWR Idd5.1- and CAST Idd5.1-congenic strains since such regions segregate at random in the F2 cohort. All F2 progeny (NOD homozygous at Idd5.1, heterozygous at Idd5.1, and homozygous non-NOD at Idd5.1) were monitored for disease frequency in a blinded fashion and genotyped after developing T1D or at the end of the study (7 mo). Idd5.1 congenic mice, liCTLA-4-tg mice, and nontransgenic littermate controls were screened for diabetes weekly beginning at ~3 mo of age using Diastix urinalysis strips (Bayer). A mouse was considered to be diabetic when its urine glucose concentration was >500 mg/dl. Diabetic mice also exhibited polydipsia, polyuria, and weight loss. The frequency of diabetes was compared between strains with the Kaplan-Meier log-rank test using Prism software (GraphPad).

**Histopathology**

Heart, lung, and liver were isolated from C57BL/6, CTLA-4⁻/⁻, and CTLA-4⁻/⁻ liCTLA-4-tg mice and fixed in 10% formalin. Paraffin-embedded sections were stained with H&E and examined microscopically by an observer blinded to the genotype.

**Results**

SNP haplotype analysis across the Idd5.1 region in different strains

NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice are NOD mice in which the Idd5.1 locus has been introgressed from the B10 strain. NOD.B10 Idd5.1 mice differ from the NOD parental strain in their frequency of T1D, expression of liCTLA-4 mRNA and protein (19, 21, 30), and cell surface ICOS expression following activation (37). Due to its importance in regulating T cell activation and because we discovered a putative causal SNP in exon 2 of the gene encoding CTLA-4, we proposed Ctla4 as the most likely gene in Idd5.1 to mediate diabetes susceptibility (21, 37). The Ctla4 SNP is associated with differential expression of the IICTLA-4 isoform, but this
SNP is inherited as a haplotype with other SNPs in both Ctla4 and Icos, including a SNP that causes an arginine to histidine substitution in the leader sequence of Icos (21). To determine whether the Ctla4 exon 2 SNP or other SNPs in Ctla4 or Icos alters diabetes susceptibility, we genotyped B6/NOD sequence polymorphisms located in or near Ctla4 and Icos in 11 inbred mouse strains (B10, B6, A/J, CAST, PWK, CZECH, SWR, BALB/c, NOD, NON, and SJL) (Fig. 1A and supplemental Table I). The A/J haplotype was identical to that of B10 and B6, whereas the BALB/c, NON, and SJL had identical SNPs with NOD. Four strains, CAST, SWR, PWK, and CZECH, had haplotypes that appeared to be hybrids of the B10-like and NOD-like SNP patterns. The results from two of the inbred strains, CAST and SWR, were particularly interesting because their SNP haplotypes in the Ctla4-Icos region were more similar to each other than to either NOD or B10. In addition, despite their similarity, the CAST and SWR haplotypes differ at the SNP in exon 2 of Ctla4 (position 77, marker 6 in Fig. 1A and supplemental Table I). In exon 2 of Ctla4 (position 77), CAST
mice harbor the SNP (A) present in strains such as B10, B6, and A/J, whereas SWR mice have the SNP (G) present in NOD, BALB/c, NON, and SJL mice. Importantly the CAST and SWR haplotypes both share the B10 haplotype at the SNP in exon 1 of Icos (marker 12 in Fig. 1A and supplemental Table I) that causes a nonconservative amino acid change from arginine to histidine at residue 7 in the putative leader sequence, as well as the SNP in intron 4 of Icos (marker 13 in Fig. 1 and supplemental Table I). We hypothesized that if the Icd4 SNP determines diabetes susceptibility in the Idd5.1 region, NOD mice congenic for the CAST Ctd4-Icos region would be resistant to diabetes while NOD mice congenic for the SWR Ctd4-Icos region would be susceptible. However, if, for example, the Icos SNP in the leader sequence (marker 12 in Fig. 1) (21) controls disease susceptibility, both congenic strains would be resistant to diabetes since they both have the B10 SNP. In addition, we predicted that the CAST-congenic strain would produce higher levels of the liCTLA-4 isofrom than SWR-congenic mice. To study the effect of the SWR and CAST Idd5.1 haplotypes on the expression of liCTLA-4 and diabetes susceptibility, we generated congenic mice in which the Idd5.1 interval from CAST and SWR mice was introgressed onto the NOD background.

NOD.CAST Idd5.1 mice are NOD.B10 Idd5.1-like while NOD.SWR Idd5.1 are NOD Idd5.1-like with regard to liCTLA-4 expression and diabetes susceptibility

Although during their development, the NOD.CAST Idd5.1 and NOD.SWR Idd5.1 strains were selected to be NOD homozygous at all known Idd regions differing between the B10 and NOD strains other than Idd5.1, it is still possible that non-NOD alleles at unknown Idd genes not linked to Idd5.1 are still segregating in one or both strains. To control for the potential influence of such unlinked non-NOD alleles, (NOD.CAST Idd5.1 × NOD)F2 and (NOD.SWR Idd5.1 × NOD)F2 littersmates were generated and tested for diabetes in a genotype-blinded manner, as they were genotyped only after becoming diabetic or after the end of the 210-day observation period (Fig. 1, B and C).

We determined that NOD.CAST Idd5.1 mice were protected from diabetes compared with mice having two doses of the NOD allele at Idd5.1 (p = 0.0002; Fig. 1B). Even one diabetes-resistant allele in the heterozygous F2 mice was sufficient to confer significant protection from diabetes (p = 0.0017). On the other hand, two doses of the Idd5.1 segment from the SWR strain did not confer protection from diabetes and the diabetes frequency of these mice was indistinguishable from that of F2 mice having two doses of the NOD allele in the Idd5.1 region (Fig. 1C).

The expression of liCTLA-4 and ICOS mRNA and protein by splenocytes from NOD, NOD.CAST Idd5.1-, NOD.SWR Idd5.1-, and NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice was also examined (Fig. 2). Cells from NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice, with a diabetes-resistant Idd5.1 allele, had 4.2-fold higher liCTLA-4 mRNA compared with cells from NOD mice (Fig. 2A; p = 1.9 × 10⁻¹⁰), which is consistent with our previous data (19, 21). As expected, cells from diabetes-resistant NOD.CAST Idd5.1-congenic mice expressed more (13.7-fold) liCTLA-4 mRNA than NOD mice as well (Fig. 2A; p = 1.1 × 10⁻⁶). Unexpectedly, spleen cells from NOD CAST Idd5.1-congenic mice had a 3.2-fold higher expression of liCTLA-4 mRNA than cells from NOD.B10 Idd5.1 mice (p = 5.3 × 10⁻⁶). In contrast to mice with the B10 and CAST haplotypes, diabetes-susceptible NOD and NOD.SWR Idd5.1 mice produced equivalent amounts of liCTLA-4 mRNA (1.2-fold; p = 0.28). The increased expression of liCTLA-4 in cells from NOD CAST Idd5.1 mice compared with NOD.B10 Idd5.1 mice was not due to an overall increase in liCTLA-4 mRNA in NOD.CAST Idd5.1 spleen cells (Fig. 2B). The small expression differences in liCTLA-4 mRNA noted in Fig. 2B were not observed in all experiments, whereas the liCTLA-4 mRNA expression differences were consistently observed in all experiments (compiled in Fig. 2C after normalizing to liCTLA-4 mRNA). We also did not observe expression differences among the strains for the mRNA species encoding the soluble CTTLA-4 isoform (mRNA formed by splicing exons 1, 2, and 4) or the exon 1/4 CTTLA-4 mRNA formed by splicing exon 1 to exon 4 (data not shown). The increased expression of liCTLA-4 in cells from NOD.CAST Idd5.1- and NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice, compared with NOD and NOD.SWR Idd5.1 mice, was further confirmed at the protein level by Western blotting (Fig. 2E). In contrast to the liCTLA-4 mRNA and protein expression patterns, ICOS expression on CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells as measured by cell surface staining following various stimulation conditions was not altered by the SNP in Icos exon 1 (data not shown). However, a small but consistent increase in ICOS mRNA was observed in cells from the B10 and CAST haplotypes (Fig. 2D). The ICOS mRNA difference did not correlate with the SNP in Icos exon 1, instead it correlated with the Icd4 exon 2 SNP since the NOD and SWR ICOS mRNA levels were both slightly lower than those of B10 and CAST. These results confirm that a NOD haplotype at Icd4, even in the context of a B10-like SNP in Icos exon 1 (the hybrid haplotype present in the SWR strain), is sufficient to cause decreased levels of liCTLA-4 mRNA and protein compared with strains having a B10 haplotype at Icd4. Thus, a B10-like SNP in Icd4 exon 2 (position 77) is sufficient to increase the expression of liCTLA-4 and supports the hypothesis that this SNP, and not a SNP in Icos, causes an expression difference in liCTLA-4 and influences T1D susceptibility.

Because we observed a higher expression of liCTLA-4 mRNA in NOD.CAST Idd5.1 splenocytes compared with NOD.B10 Idd5.1 splenocytes, all exons, intronic regions encompassing donor and acceptor splice sites, and branch points of the CAST and SWR Ctd4 and Icos alleles were sequenced to define the haplotypes in more detail. Whereas further sequencing reinforced the hypothesis that SWR is NOD-like at Ctd4 and B10-like at Icos, two and five CAST-specific exonic SNPs were found in exon 2 of Ctd4 and Icos, respectively (Fig. 1). It is notable that both CAST-specific Ctd4 SNPs (263 and 332 bp in exon 2) alter exon-splicing enhancer motifs in regions that are conserved in human Ctla4 (supplemental Fig. 2), thereby providing a likely molecular basis for the enhanced production of liCTLA-4 by cells having the CAST haplotype. All of the CAST-specific Ctd4 and Icos SNPs are synonymous except for the Icos SNP at position 122 that causes an amino acid change from glutamic acid to aspartic acid. As a potential caveat to our interpretation of the sequence and genotyping data we have obtained and its correlation with gene expression and T1D frequency, it should be emphasized that we did not sequence the entirety of the Idd5.1 region present in the CAST, B10, SWR, or NOD strains. Other SNPs in addition to those described here are certainly present and it is possible that they contribute to the T1D frequency and gene expression results obtained in this study. In addition, although it is clear from the NOD-like T1D frequency shown by the NOD.SWR Idd5.1-congenic strain that the B6 allele at Icos is not sufficient to mediate T1D protection, it is possible that the B6/1cos allele could be required in conjunction with the B6 allele at Ctd4 for full Idd5.1-associated protection. Finally, an alternate explanation for the increased T1D protection present in the NOD.CAST Idd5.1 strain compared with the NOD.B10 Idd5.1 strain is that the CAST Idd5.3 allele contributes a portion of the protection, although we believe this scenario to be unlikely (see Materials and Methods). Because of these caveats, we sought an
FIGURE 2. Differential expression of liCTLA-4 mRNA and protein in Idd5.1-congenic strains. mRNA expression levels in NOD, NOD.SWR Idd5.1, NOD.CAST Idd5.1, and NOD.B10 Idd5.1 splenocytes were compared for liCTLA-4 (A), flCTLA-4 (B), liCTLA-4 (C) from multiple experiments normalized to flCTLA-4 mRNA for each individual mouse and flICOS (D). Spleen cells were activated in vivo for 90 min using 1 μg of anti-CD3 (clone 145-2C11) (A, B, and D) or with one of two activation protocols (90 min/1 μg HS262 or 6 h/5 μg in vivo) (C) before mRNA isolation. The ΔCt (threshold cycle) for each sample was determined using the following formula: Ct<sub>test gene</sub> - Ct<sub>B2M</sub>. Data are presented as the mean ± SE. C, ΔΔCt values were determined following the normalization of average Ct values using the following formula: Ct<sub>flCTLA-4</sub> - Ct<sub>liCTLA-4</sub>. Quantitative PCR data were evaluated using an unpaired t test. E, Western blot analysis of liCTLA-4 and flCTLA-4 protein expression in spleen cells from NOD, NOD.SWR Idd5.1, NOD.CAST Idd5.1, NOD.B10 Idd5.1, and B6 mice. Lysates from cells were immunoblotted with anti-CTLA-4 Ab (C-19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
additional method to support our hypothesis that increased expression of liCTLA-4 reduces T1D susceptibility.

**Generation of liCTLA-4-tg mice on NOD and B6 CTLA-4−/− background**

Based on the haplotype analysis and mRNA expression levels, we could clearly deduce that the A/G SNP at position 77 in Ctla4 exon 2 correlated with different levels of liCTLA-4 expression and diabetes susceptibility, whereas the amino acid-changing SNP in the leader sequence of Icos did not correlate with flCTLA-4, liCTLA-4, or ICOS mRNA expression or disease. To better understand the function of liCTLA-4 in vivo, we generated two tg mouse models. In the first model, we created liCTLA-4-tg mice on the NOD background to directly test whether expression of liCTLA-4 can supplement the natural liCTLA-4 deficiency of the NOD strain and confer protection from T1D. In the second model, we generated liCTLA-4-tg × CTLA-4−/− mice to test the hypothesis that expression of liCTLA-4 in the absence of flCTLA-4 can rescue the CTLA-4−/− mice from fatal autoimmunity.

To generate these tg mice, cDNA encoding liCTLA-4 was cloned downstream of the CD2 promoter and 3′-untranslated region CD2 enhancer using the pBluescript vector (Fig. 3A), as described in Materials and Methods, and injected directly into NOD or B6 eggs. The presence of the liCTLA-4 transgene was detected by PCR using genomic DNA purified from tail biopsies. liCTLA-4 mRNA and protein expression was further confirmed by reverse transcription followed by quantitative PCR (Fig. 3B) and Western blotting (Fig. 3C), respectively. We did not detect any differences in the expression of flCTLA-4 mRNA or protein.

**NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice are protected from autoimmune diabetes**

To determine whether liCTLA-4 transgene expression alters the cellular phenotype of NOD mice, we examined the expression of CD25, CD62L, CD44, CD69, and ICOS, or flCTLA-4 in naive and activated T cells by flow cytometry. We were particularly interested to know whether liCTLA-4-tg expression would alter the expression of flCTLA-4 and ICOS. No differences in cell subsets and expression of activation markers were observed in the thymus, spleen, and lymph nodes of tg mice and their nontransgenic littermates (supplemental Fig. 3). The lack of any differences is notable since overexpression of the negative-signaling CTLA-4 cytoplasmic domain in T cells might have led to a substantial reduction in T cell signaling, thereby causing an alteration in T cell development. However, these results, along with those from studies in which liCTLA-4 and various CTLA-4 mutants were expressed in CTLA-4 sufficient and CTLA-4 knockout mice (38–40), suggest that engagement and phosphorylation of tg CTLA-4 isoforms is relatively physiologic, possibly due to a limiting amount of one or more signaling molecules required to recruit CTLA-4.

NOD and NOD liCTLA-4 lymph node T cells were tested for proliferation and cytokine production following stimulation with anti-CD3 and different concentrations of anti-CD2. NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice showed significantly lower proliferation and IFN-γ production compared with their nontransgenic littermates (Fig. 4A). We did not detect any IL-10 or IL-4 production from the activated lymph node cells (data not shown). These data are reminiscent of the results obtained when liCTLA-4 was ectopically expressed in T cells resulting in reduced proliferation and IFN-γ production following stimulation (30). Also similar are the results from the PYAA mutant CTLA-4 transgene, which encodes a CTLA-4 variant that fails to bind B7 molecules and is likely to be functionally equivalent to the liCTLA-4 isoform, where a reduced proliferative response was observed when it was expressed in the BALB/c CTLA-4−/− sufficient background (38).

The frequency of spontaneous diabetes in NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice was compared with that of nontransgenic littermates; significant protection from disease was conferred by the transgene (Fig. 4B; 6 × 106 spleen cells were immunoblotted with anti-CTLA-4 Ab (C-19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).
These results suggest that increased expression of liCTLA-4 in NOD mice can compensate for the effects caused by the inherent genetic deficiency of liCTLA-4 in this strain. It also strengthens our hypothesis that the Ctla4 exon 2 variant at residue 77 is sufficient to explain the disease susceptibility mediated by Idd5.1.

**Expression of liCTLA-4 inhibits early activation of T cells in CTLA-4+/− mice**

The NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice allowed us to explore the function of liCTLA-4 in the presence of flCTLA-4. However, we also wanted to determine whether liCTLA-4 could confer disease protection in the absence of liCTLA-4. Mice deficient in CTLA-4 develop massive lymphoproliferation and fatal multiorgan tissue damage. The lymphocytes in these mice spontaneously acquire an activated phenotype with increased expression of CD25, CD69, CD44, ICOS, and CD28 and an increase in the number of CD62Llow cells compared with WT mice (39, 41, 42). Therefore, we compared B6 CTLA-4+/− mice with and without tg expression of liCTLA-4; for this purpose, we generated liCTLA-4-tg mice directly on the B6 background and crossed these mice onto the B6 background. CTLA-4−/− mice generally were smaller in size than their littermates. The frequencies of thymic CD4 and CD8 single-positive cells were not different among CTLA-4−/−, CTLA-4+/−, and WT mice (data not shown), suggesting that thymocyte development is not altered in CTLA-4−/− and CTLA-4+/− liCTLA-4-tg mice. Fig. 5 shows a summary of the mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) of CD25, CD69, CD62L, CD44, ICOS, and CD28 on CD4+ T cells from CTLA-4−/−, CTLA-4+/− liCTLA-4-tg, and WT mice at 3–7 wk of age. Representative FACs profiles of these data are in supplemental Fig. 4. Activation marker expression was higher on T cells from CTLA-4+/− mice than from WT mice (p = 0.01). These results suggest that increased expression of liCTLA-4 in NOD mice can compensate for the effects caused by the inherent genetic deficiency of liCTLA-4 in this strain. It also strengthens our hypothesis that the Ctla4 exon 2 variant at residue 77 is sufficient to explain the disease susceptibility mediated by Idd5.1.

**FIGURE 4.** T cell proliferation, IL-17 and IFN-γ production, and diabetes frequency are reduced in NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice. A. Lymph node T cells from NOD liCTLA-4-tg and nontransgenic littermates were stimulated with the indicated concentrations of anti-CD3 Ab and 1 μg/ml anti-CD28. T cell proliferation was measured with a [3H]thymidine incorporation assay and the data are presented as the mean cpm of triplicate wells. Culture supernatants were assayed by ELISA in triplicate for detection of IFN-γ and IL-17. IL-10 and IL-4 were not detected in the supernatants (data not shown). Data represent the average of three independent experiments with at least two mice in each group for each experiment. A 95% level of confidence was used to calculate the error bars. B. NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice are protected from diabetes when compared with their nontransgenic littermate controls (p = 0.0115). The frequency of diabetes in NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice is similar to that in NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice (C), which are protected as compared with NOD mice (p = 0.0095). The frequency of diabetes was compared between strains with the Kaplan-Meier log-rank test.

**FIGURE 5.** CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg T cells share most cellular phenotypes with WT T cells. Average MFI of the activation markers CD25, CD69, CD62L, CD44, ICOS, and CD28 in CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg, and WT mice (3–7 wk of age, both sexes). Note that group sizes are not equal for each activation marker since not all Ab reagents were available each day that cells from individual mice were evaluated. Values of p shown were determined using the unpaired t test.
positive costimulatory molecules such as CD28 and ICOS, data indicate that liCTLA-4 expression reduces the up-regulation represents an underlying partial activation of the tg T cells. These

The MFIs of CD25, CD69, CD44, and CD28 on CD4

(data not shown).

were dramatic alterations in the cytokine profiles of the activated T cells. As described previously by others (38), we confirmed that WT T cells from B6 mice produced both IFN-γ and IL-4, whereas B6 CTLA-4−/− T cells produced copious amounts of IFN-γ and low levels of IL-4 (Fig. 6). In contrast, T cells from B6 CTLA-

low levels of IL-4 (Fig. 6). In contrast, T cells from B6 CTLA-

liCTLA-4-tg mice displayed a dramatic reversal of the CTLA-4−/− T cell cytokine pattern; IFN-γ was essentially unde-
tectable, whereas IL-4 was secreted without stimulation and in-
creased levels were produced in response to as little as 0.1 μg/ml anti-CD3 (Fig. 6). Masteller et al. (40) observed a similar IL-4 skewing when the tail-less CTLA-4 variant was used as a trans-
gene in CTLA-4−/− mice on the B6 background. IL-2 and IL-10 production differed from IFN-γ and IL-4 in that similar responses were made by CTLA-4−/− and CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg T cells which differed from WT T cells. Of particular note however was the increased production of IL-17 from CTLA-

liCTLA-4-tg mice displayed a dramatic reversal of the

CTLA-4−/− mice. Survival curves for CTLA-4−/− mice (gray line) and CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg mice (black line). Survival was compared with the Kaplan-Meier log-rank test.

Discussion

In this study, we have performed haplotype mapping of Idd5.1 and have generated new Idd5.1 congenic and NOD liCTLA-4-tg mice
whether the T1D protection conferred by the B10 expression of liCTLA-4 or ICOS could conceivably alter the change in the putative leader sequence. Since alterations in the SNP in Icos frequency study, the SWR would be lost or retained in the SWR/H11003 cations:

large BALT. H&E; original magnification:

FIGURE 8. Histopathologic findings in WT, CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg, and CTLA-4−/− mice reveals that CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg mice have an intermediate phenotype. Representative findings in heart (A–C), lung (D–F), and liver (G–I) tissues are shown. WT mice show no inflammation; CTLA-4−/− liCTLA-4-tg mice have mild myocarditis, hepatitis, and increased BALT. CTLA-4−/− mice have severe myocarditis, hepatitis, and large BALT. H&E; original magnifications: A–C and G–I, ×160; D–F, ×80.

to address the genetic and functional role of Ctla4 as the causative gene in Idd5.1 that regulates susceptibility to T1D. Through haplotype analysis, we discovered that the SWR haplotype is NOD-like at most of the sequence polymorphisms in and near Ctla4, including the exon 2 SNP at residue 77 that is associated with changes in IcTLA-4 expression, but is B10-like at Icos, including a SNP in Icos exon 1 that causes a nonconservative amino acid change in the putative leader sequence. Since alterations in the expression of either IcTLA-4 or ICOS could conceivably alter the T1D frequency, the hybrid SWR haplotype allowed us to test whether the T1D protection conferred by the B10 Idd5.1 haplotype would be lost or retained in the SWR Idd5.1 haplotype. In a frequency study, the SWR Idd5.1 haplotype was shown to be unable to protect NOD mice from T1D, clearly in support of the hypothesis that increased liCTLA-4 expression in the B10 haplotype is required for T1D protection.

In contrast to the SWR haplotype, the CAST Idd5.1 haplotype was shown to be protective, which is consistent with its high liCTLA-4 expression (Fig. 2) and again supports the hypothesis that increased liCTLA-4 protects from T1D. However, since with further sequencing we discovered that the CAST haplotype had additional CAST-specific SNPs in Ctla4 and Icos, our study took on an added dimension. We propose that the additional two CAST-specific SNPs in exon 2 of Ctla4 are responsible for even higher expression of liCTLA-4 compared with the protected B10 haplotype (Fig. 2, A and C) and that the molecular basis for this increase is probably due to alteration of exon-splicing enhancer motifs (supplemental Fig. 2). The selection and retention of a second, distinct haplotype that produces high levels of liCTLA-4 suggests that an important function for this isoform has evolved in mice. On a practical level, the CAST allele at Ctla4 is a tool that, in conjunction with the B10 and NOD alleles, creates a hierarchy of liCTLA-4 expression levels with more than a 10-fold difference at the mRNA level between the highest and lowest producing alleles. Although the CAST and B10 Idd5.1 haplotypes have yet to be compared directly in a T1D frequency experiment, the increased expression of liCTLA-4 in activated splenocytes from NOD.CAST Idd5.1-congenic mice, as compared with splenocytes from NOD.B10 Idd5.1 mice, is correlated with an increased level of protection from T1D by the CAST vs B10 Idd5.1 alleles, since (NOD.CAST Idd5.1 × NOD)F1 mice remain protected from T1D (Fig. 1B), whereas (NOD.B10 Idd5.1 × NOD)F1 are not (31). Future experiments addressing the downstream molecular and cellular events determined by differing levels of liCTLA-4 should benefit from a comparison of Idd5.1-congenic strains. The apparent ability of exonic sequences to alter splicing preferences in the case of liCTLA-4 can also be made use of to model the expression changes by developing exon 2 knock-in mice having the CAST, B10, or NOD exon 2 SNPs.

Since in addition to Ctla4 and Icos, Pard3b and Nrp2 are within the Idd5.1 interval, we generated liCTLA-4-tg mice on the NOD background to test the hypothesis that increased liCTLA-4 expression is sufficient to explain the protective effect of the B10 Idd5.1 allele on the frequency of T1D. Indeed, when liCTLA-4 is expressed transgenically, it confers protection from diabetes compared with nontransgenic littermates and with an incidence remarkably similar to NOD.B10 Idd5.1-congenic mice (Fig. 4). Along with the haplotype analyses in the congenic strains discussed above, these positive results from mice with tg overexpression of liCTLA-4 make it unlikely that non-NOD alleles at any of the other Idd5.1 region genes are required to regulate the diabetes phenotype.

liCTLA-4 has been hypothesized to have a major role in the down-regulation of T cell responses (19, 30). Our present data demonstrate that NOD T cells secrete high levels of IL-17 upon anti-CD3 stimulation, which is reduced by expression of the liCTLA-4 transgene (Fig. 4). IFN-γ levels are also decreased in the liCTLA-4-tg NOD mice. In addition to increased IL-17 production by NOD T cells, it has also been hypothesized that NOD mice have a defect in the regulatory T cell repertoire resulting from abnormalities in thymic selection (45, 46). Because liCTLA-4 has been shown to be highly expressed in the activated/memory T cells of diabetes-resistant strains (21, 30), higher levels of liCTLA-4 may function to reduce effector and memory cell function presumably by raising the activation threshold of such cells. The naturally high
expression of liCTLA-4 on activated/memory T cells of mice having a resistance allele at Cita4 may serve to keep the immune system from responding following exposure to weak Ags or low-affinity self-Ags, thus providing an important checkpoint for inhibiting T cell activation and maintaining self-tolerance.

We have also demonstrated that tg expression of liCTLA-4 even in the absence of fCTLA-4 is capable of negatively regulating T cell activation in vivo. However, a functional signaling domain alone is not sufficient to completely reverse the autoimmunity and inflammation present in CTLA-4/-/- mice (Figs. 7 and 8). Chikuma et al. (38) reached a similar conclusion when they studied CTLA-4/-/- mice receiving a CTLA-4 transgene mutated in the B7-binding domain. CTLA-4/-/- T cells are spontaneously activated and readily secrete massive amounts of cytokines such as IFN-γ and IL-10 upon further activation with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28. Expression of liCTLA-4 inhibited the production of IFN-γ but not IL-10 or IL-17 from the CTLA-4/-/- T cells. Interestingly, we observed a reproducible, increased production of IL-17 by CTLA-4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg T cells compared with CTLA-4/-/- T cells. Recently, McGeachy et al. (47) demonstrated that cells coproducing IL-17 and IL-10 acquire a nonpathogenic phenotype that could potentially confer these cells with regulatory function. This raises the possibility that the appearance of IL-17-producing T cells that coproduce IL-10 in the CTLA-4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg mice may be regulatory and not pathogenic T cells, thereby increasing the number of cells capable of restraining pathogenic effectors in the CTLA-4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg mice. Alternatively, there is some support for the hypothesis that there is antagonism between IFN-γ (Th1)- and IL-17 (Th17)-producing cells (48), suggesting that the inhibition of IFN-γ by liCTLA-4 expression in CTLA-4/-/- T cells could lead to the expansion of Th17 cells. Since the decrease in IFN-γ production by cells from CTLA-4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg is enough to reduce inflammation and prolong the survival of these mice, this hypothesis suggests that the inflammatory phenotype of CTLA-4/-/- mice is partly mediated by Th1 cells.

As detailed above, in the NOD liCTLA-4-tg T cells where liCTLA-4 is expressed in the presence of fCTLA-4 as well as the other isoforms of CTLA-4, IFN-γ and IL-17 are both inhibited. Considering these data, one might speculate that the inhibition of IFN-γ and increased production of IL-17 in CTLA-4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg mice is due to the nonphysiologic situation in which liCTLA-4 is present in the absence of fCTLA-4. Thus, the lack of complete protection and the presence of residual inflammation observed in the CTLA-4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg mice may be due to the increased number of IL-17-producing Th17 cells even though disease in CTLA-4/-/- mice is possibly Th1 driven.

liCTLA-4 has been reported to heterodimerize with fCTLA-4 and recruitSrc homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase 1 (SHP-2) to dephosphorylate the TCRζ chain (30). However, when liCTLA-4 is expressed in the absence of fCTLA-4, it interacts with the TCRζ chain independently of fCTLA-4 and the recruitment of SHP-2 (30). The inability to recruit SHP-2 when liCTLA-4 and fCTLA-4 are expressed separately could pose an impediment to the complete negative signaling of T cell responses by liCTLA-4 when liCTLA-4 levels are low because of genetically determined expression levels. The formation of liCTLA-4 and fCTLA-4 heterodimers could stabilize the lattice, resulting in an increased affinity in binding of SHP-2 to the TCRζ complex. In the present study undertaking a detailed clinical and histopathologic analysis on a large cohort of animals, we observed that CTLA4/-/- liCTLA-4-tg mice were only partially protected from the lethal autoimmune lymphoproliferative disease observed in CTLA-4/-/- mice. This partial protection from disease is consistent with the results of Chikuma et al. (38), who generated mice tg for a point-mutated CTLA-4 lacking B7-binding capacity and demonstrated that this ligand-nonbinding mutant CTLA-4 delayed lethal lymphoproliferative disorder of CTLA-4/-/- mice (38). Overall, our combined results indicate that CTLA-4 needs to bind to B7 molecules to completely abrogate the abnormal T cell activation present in CTLA-4/-/- mice; however, the signaling domain alone is sufficient to provide some protection from the activated phenotype present in CTLA-4/-/- mice. These results have an interesting parallel with the results from Masteller et al. (40) where only partial protection from disease in CTLA-4/-/- mice was provided by a transgene encoding a CTLA-4 molecule lacking the signaling domain. Thus, perhaps not surprisingly, both the B7-binding IgV domain and the signaling domain in the cytoplasmic tail are critical for the full functioning of the CTLA-4 molecule.

Overall, our present data suggest that both the ligand-independent and the ligand-binding, B7-dependent, CTLA-4 isoforms are required for delivering maximal negative signal into T cells. These previous findings (38–40) support our current data that when liCTLA-4 is expressed in WT NOD mice where fCTLA-4 is also present, liCTLA-4 is able to inhibit T cell expansion and production of both inflammatory cytokines, IFN-γ and IL-17. In contrast, expression of liCTLA-4 in CTLA-4/-/- mice only inhibited production of IFN-γ.

In this article, using multiple approaches, we have determined that the SNP in exon 2 (position 77) of Cita4 is the most likely SNP causing the differential expression of the liCTLA-4 isoform. Formal proof that the exon 2 SNP of Cita4 alone causes the full protective effect of Idd5.1, rather than a model in which variation in ICOS or another gene within the Idd5.1 interval contributes a portion of the protective effect from T1D, will require the knock-in of the NOD allele at residue 77 of exon 2 into the B6 Cita4 allele with subsequent backcrossing of the Idd5.1 region to the NOD background for the analysis of T1D. For example, until such an experiment is done, it remains a possibility that the B6 Icos allele could be required in conjunction with the B6 allele at Cita4 for full Idd5.1-associated protection to be observed, even though we have demonstrated that the B6 Icos allele in the absence of the B6 Cita4 allele is not sufficient on its own to mediate T1D protection. If the residue 77 SNP is the sole cause of protection for the B6 allele of Idd5.1, this NOD.B6 Idd5.1 residue 77 knock-in congenic mouse strain will have a T1D frequency equal to that of the NOD parental strain. If the knock-in strain still has protection from T1D compared with the NOD strain, then another B6-derived gene within the interval contributes to the Idd5.1-mediated T1D effect. Finally, we have shown by congenic and tg approaches that higher expression of liCTLA-4 in NOD mice correlates with protection from T1D and that overexpression of liCTLA-4 in CTLA-4/-/- mice can partially rescue them from early lethality. Overall, our data suggest liCTLA-4 contributes to preventing activation and maintaining tolerance to self-Ags, thus preventing autoimmunity.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Genetic map of the NOD Idd5.1 congenic strains used in this study. The grey shaded areas represent CAST, SWR or B10-derived DNA introgressed onto the NOD background. Open boxes represent the region between the in and out markers. Mouse Ensembl build 54 was used to map the markers used in this study.
Supplementary Figure 2: CAST-specific SNPs at (a) 263 and (b) 332 bp in exon 2 of Ctl4 alter exon splicing enhancer motifs in regions that are conserved in exon 2 of human CTLA4.

Exon 2 sequences from human CTLA4, as well as from SWR, NOD, B6 and CAST mouse Ctl4 were aligned using Clustal (www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw). An asterisk indicates bases that are conserved in all five sequences. SNPs at (a) 263 and (b) 332 bp are shown in bold.

Exon splicing enhancer (ESE) motifs were predicted using ESEfinder (1,2), and the strength of the predictions are depicted. ESE motifs with a prediction score of 1 to 2 above threshold are noted in purple, those with a score greater than 2 but less than 3 are green, and those with a score greater than 3 are red.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SWR</th>
<th>NOD</th>
<th>B6</th>
<th>CAST</th>
<th>Human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>GCTGTTGACACGGGACTGTA</td>
<td>GCTGTTGACACGGGACTGTA</td>
<td>GCTGTTGACACGGGACTGTA</td>
<td>GCTGTTGACACGGGACTGTA</td>
<td>GCCATGGACACGGACTCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>CAACGGGACGGAGATTGA</td>
<td>CAACGGGACGGAGATTGA</td>
<td>CAACGGGACGGAGATTGA</td>
<td>CAACGGGACGGAGATTGA</td>
<td>CAACGGGACGGAGATTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Figure 3: NOD and liCTLA-4 tg NOD mice (females, 3 weeks of age) were compared for the percentages of CD4 and CD8 T cells in cells prepared from thymus, pooled lymph nodes and spleen. The percentages of the indicated activation markers were determined in spleen and lymph node cells for CD4 and CD8 T cells. None of the parameters were significant ($P > 0.05$) as assessed using the Mann-Whitney test. This experiment is representative of 4 experiments performed in total (total of 10 mice evaluated from each strain).
Supplemental Figure 4: Representative FACS profiles of the activation markers CD25, CD69, CD62L, CD44, ICOS and CD28 on CD4⁺ T cells from CTLA-4⁻/⁻, CTLA-4⁻/⁻ liCTLA-4 tg and wild type mice as described in Figure 5 are shown above. The MFI for each activation marker was calculated from T cells within the CD4⁺ gate.
### Supplementary Table I: Primer sequences used to detect NOD/B6 SNPs in multiple mouse strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker Number</th>
<th>Marker Name</th>
<th>RFLP or +/-</th>
<th>Position (Mb)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L1 repetitive element</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>60.827</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>ctgaaagttggagatgcttttt</td>
<td>ctgctgctgtggcttaggtc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.827</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>ctgaaagttggagatgcttttt</td>
<td>ataggaaggaaccgcaaga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>retroviral sequence element</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>60.834</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcacatttaggcagcatcg</td>
<td>taaggatctcacaagctgca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.834</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcacatttaggcagcatcg</td>
<td>agaacaagcaacgctcaaanag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Prox Ctl4 SNP1</td>
<td>Hae III</td>
<td>60.844</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcacatttaggcagcatcg</td>
<td>crtcecaagctcagccacaca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prox Ctl4 SNP2</td>
<td>Msp I</td>
<td>60.849</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcacatttaggcagcatcg</td>
<td>tgagaaccatataacaccctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CTLA4 Intron1 SNP</td>
<td>Mae III</td>
<td>60.855</td>
<td>Ctl4</td>
<td>tcgacctggaacatctctct</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CTLA4 Exon2 SNP</td>
<td>Mae III</td>
<td>60.857</td>
<td>Ctl4</td>
<td>tcgacctggaacatctctct</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CTLA4 3utr SNP1</td>
<td>Aci I</td>
<td>60.86</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>aaacacatttggctctttgc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L1 repetitive element</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>60.865</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Distal Ctl4 SNP1</td>
<td>Bcl I</td>
<td>60.871</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Proximal Icos SNP1</td>
<td>Hinc II</td>
<td>60.888</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Proximal Icos SNP2</td>
<td>BraII</td>
<td>60.903</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Icos Exon1 SNP</td>
<td>Nla III</td>
<td>60.922</td>
<td>Icos</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Icos Intron1 SNP</td>
<td>Mlu I</td>
<td>60.937</td>
<td>Icos</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Icos Intron4 SNP</td>
<td>Sca I</td>
<td>60.941</td>
<td>Icos</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Distal Icos SNP1</td>
<td>Taq I</td>
<td>60.946</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Distal Icos SNP3</td>
<td>Hae III</td>
<td>60.952</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Distal Icos SNP4</td>
<td>Hpy188 III</td>
<td>60.965</td>
<td>Intragenic</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
<td>gcctacagttggggacttttg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>