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Invariant TCR Rather Than CD1d Shapes the Preferential Activities of C-Glycoside Analogues Against Human Versus Murine Invariant NKT Cells

Xiangming Li,* Takayuki Shiratsuchi,* Guangwu Chen,† Paolo Della bona,‡ Giulia Casorati,‡ Richard W. Franck,† and Moriya Tsuji2*  

C-glycoside analogues of α-galactosylceramide were shown to activate both human and mouse invariant NKT (iNKT) cells. Among these analogues, GCK152, which has an aromatic ring in the acyl chain, exhibited a stronger stimulatory activity against human iNKT cells and a much weaker activity against murine iNKT cells than GCK127 that has an almost identical fatty acyl chain as α-galactosylceramide. In this study, we have found that invariant TCR (invTCR) expressed by iNKT cells, but not CD1d expressed by APCs, command the species-specific preferential activity of C-glycosides, and that their preferential activity against human vs murine iNKT cells correlate with the binding affinity of glycolipid-CD1d complex to invTCR of respective iNKT cells rather than that of glycolipid to human or murine CD1d molecules. Overall, the structural difference of invTCR appears to supersede those of CD1d molecule in shaping the strength of the biological activity of C-glycoside analogues. The Journal of Immunology, 2009, 183: 4415–4421.

Materials and Methods  
Glycolipids and mice  
GCK127 and GCK152 were synthesized following the procedures described (9). Six- to 8-wk old female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Taconic Farms and maintained under standard conditions in The Laboratory Animal Research Center of The Rockefeller University. Determination of serum cytokine concentration  
C57BL/6 mice were administrated i.v. with 1 μg of each glycolipid. The sera were collected from mice 2, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after the glycolipid treatment, and the serum concentration of IFN-γ and IL-4 were measured by way of a sandwich ELISA (eBioscience). Isolation and generation of immature human dendritic cells (DCs) and human iNKT cell lines  
Immature DCs and human iNKT cell lines, expressing Vα24 TCR, were generated, as previously reported (8). In brief, Vα24+ cells isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells were cocultured with mitomycin-C (Sigma-Aldrich) treated autologous immature DCs for 24 h in the presence of 100 ng/ml α-GalCer and 10 IU/ml a recombinant human IL-2 (R&D Systems), and further cultured for 7–10 days in the presence of 10 IU/ml.  

*Abbreviations used in this paper: iNKT, invariant NKT cell; invTCR, invariant T cell receptor; α-GalCer, α-galactosylceramide; DC, dendritic cell.
human IL-2 alone. After two cycles of stimulations, >95% of NKT cells were shown to be Vα24+ cells by FACS.

A mouse iNKT hybridoma and mouse iNKT cell lines

A mouse iNKT hybridoma, 1.2, which coexpresses mouse invariant Vα14-Jα18 and Vβ8.2 chains, was provided by Dr. Mitchell Kronenberg (La Jolla Institute of Allergy and Immunology, San Diego, CA). Generation of mouse iNKT hybridoma, 58αβ, which coexpresses human invariant Vα24-Jα18 and Vβ11 chains has previously been described (10, 11). Mouse iNKT cell lines were generated as described with some modifications (12, 13). Bone marrow progenitor cells from C57BL/6 mice were cultured with 10 ng/ml mouse GM-CSF for 7 days to generate immature DCs. Thymocytes were then cocultured with autologous immature DCs in the presence of 100 ng/ml α-GalCer for 10 days. After being purified by lympholyte-M (Accurate Chemical), cells were restimulated with immature DCs in the presence of 100 ng/ml α-GalCer and 10 μg/ml mouse IL-2 (Cell Sciences) for 1 wk. After three cycles of stimulations, >95% of NKT cells were shown to react with mouse CD1d-dimer loaded with α-GalCer by FACS.

Generation of Hela cells expressing mouse CD1d molecules

A plasmid, pCDNA3-CD1d.1, which encodes mouse CD1d gene was provided by Dr. Chyung-Ru Wang (Northwestern University, Chicago, IL) (14). Five × 10^7 wild-type Hela cells were cultured overnight and then transfected with 4 μg of pCDNA3-CD1d.1 plasmid, using 10 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). The expression of mouse CD1d molecules, consisting of mouse CD1d H chain associated with human β2 microglobulin, were confirmed, 24 h later, by staining transfected Hela cells with PE or allophycocyanin, using Lynx Rapid RPE Ab Conjugation Kit. Phosphoethanolamine-N-(hexanoylamine) (Avanti Polar Lipid) was used as a positive control. After 1-h incubation, the plates were washed and the amount of Biotinyl PE-CD1d complex was determined by adding HRP-labeled Avidin (BD Biosciences) as a competitor, as described (15). In brief, varying doses, from 10^3 to 10^6 nM of PE-labeled anti-CD3e mAb and 4 nM of allophycocyanin-labeled CD1d dimer loaded with α-GalCer, in the presence of a varying doses, 10^{-3} to 10^{-6} nM of PE-labeled CD1d dimer-loaded with glycolipid analogues, as a competitor. After washing the cells, NKT cells were gated with CD3 and analyzed for allophycocyanin color staining with FACS LSRII instrument (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometric analysis was performed, using FlowJo v8.8 software.

A competitive ELISA, using 18:1 PE lipid as an indicator

Affinities between glycolipid analogues and human or mouse CD1d molecules were measured by a competitive ELISA, using 18:1 Biotinyl PE (1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphoethanolamine-N-(Biotinyl)) (Avanti Polar Lipid) as a competitor, as described (15). In brief, flat-bottom 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coated with 3 μg/ml goat anti-mouse IgG1 Ab (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were washed and incubated with 1 μg/ml hCD1d: mIgG dimer (BD Biosciences), or mCD1d: mIgG dimer (BD Biosciences) for 2 h. After washing, glycolipid analogues were serially diluted with PBS in the presence of 1 μg/ml 18:1 Biotinyl PE lipid and then added into wells immediately, followed by overnight incubation at 37°C. In brief, 18:1 Capryolamine PE lipid (1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-Glycerol-3-Phosphoethanolamine-N-(hexanoylamine)) (Avanti Polar Lipid) was used as a positive control. After 1 h of incubation, the plates were washed and the amount of Biotinyl PE-CD1d complex was determined by adding HRP-labeled Avidin (E Bioscience). Inhibition curve was made with GraphPad Prism software (Ver 4.0 Graphpad Software).

Staining of iNKT cells with a serially diluted PE-labeled CD1d-glycolipid complex

Human and mouse iNKT cells were stained with PE-conjugated CD1d: mlgG dimer loaded with glycolipids according to the published protocols (12, 16). In brief, varying doses, from 10^{-3} to 10^{-6} nM, of PE-labeled CD1d dimer-loaded with glycolipids and 10 μg/ml FITC-labeled anti-CD3e mAb were incubated with 2 × 10^5 human iNKT cells or mouse iNKT hybridoma cells on ice for 30 min. After washing the cells, the stained cells were gated with CD3 and analyzed with FACS LSRII instrument (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometric analysis was done using FlowJo v8.8 software (Tree Star). The level of dimer fluorescence was normalized to the level of the surface staining with FITC-labeled anti-CD3 Ab and then plotted against dimer concentration. Binding curves were fitted by GraphPad Prism software.

A competitive staining of iNKT cells using α-GalCer-CD1d complex as an indicator

First, a suboptimal dose of α-GalCer-CD1d complex that binds human or mouse iNKT cells was determined by staining them with serially diluted allophycocyanin-labeled CD1d: Ig dimer fully loaded with α-GalCer. After identifying the suboptimal dose for α-GalCer-CD1d complex staining to be 4 nM, human iNKT cells or mouse iNKT hybridoma cells were then stained on ice for 30 min with 10 μg/ml FITC-labeled anti-CD3e mAb and 4 nM of allophycocyanin-labeled CD1d dimer loaded with α-GalCer, in the presence of a varying doses, 10^{-3} to 10^{-6} nM of PE-labeled CD1d dimer-loaded with glycolipid analogues, as a competitor. After washing the cells, iNKT cells were gated with CD3 and analyzed for allophycocyanin color staining with FACS LSRII instrument (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometric analysis was performed, using FlowJo v8.8 software.

Data analysis

All data were expressed as the mean ± SD of triplicate wells from each sample. Statistical analysis of experimental and control data was evaluated by a Student t test. In all studies, a value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Human subjects

Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells from anonymous blood donors was obtained from leukopacks provided by the New York Blood Center. NYBC does not select donors on the basis of gender or race but ensures that all donors are above 18 years of age. The work we performed, therefore, did not require an approval from the Institutional Review Board.

Results

Two C-glycoside analogues of α-GalCer, namely GCK127 and GCK152, have an E-alkene linker between sugar and lipid moieties. GCK127 has an almost identical, 2 carbons longer, fatty acyl chain as α-GalCer, whereas GCK152 has an aromatic ring in the tail of the acyl chain (Fig. 1A). We have previously shown that GCK127 displayed a stronger stimulatory activity against human iNKT cells than GCK127 in vitro (8). In sharp contrast, GCK152, unlike GCK127, failed to induce a significant level of both IFN-γ and IL-4 in the sera of mice at any time points after in vivo administration (Fig. 1B).

Firstly, we determined the preferential biological activity of these two glycolipids in vitro, by using Hela cells expressing human CD1d, or A20 cells expressing mouse CD1d as APCs to stimulate human vs mouse iNKT cells (Fig. 2). We found that GCK152 presented by Hela-hCD1d induced nearly 2-fold higher levels (p < 0.05) of IFN-γ and IL-4 secreted by human iNKT cells than GCK127 (Fig. 2A), whereas GCK152 presented by A20-mCD1d induced almost half of the level (p < 0.05) of the cytokines secreted by murine iNKT cells than GCK127 (Fig. 2B). We hypothesized that the preferential stimulatory activities of GCK152 and GCK127 against human vs mouse iNKT cells are due to the difference between human vs mouse CD1d molecules in view of a previous study showing that the affinity of glycolipid-CD1d interaction correlated with the level of cell-based cytokine production (17). To test this hypothesis, we used A20-mCD1d cells to present GCK152 and GCK 127 to human iNKT cells, and conversely, used...
Hela-hCD1d cells to present the glycolipids to mouse iNKT cells. To our surprise, when presented by mouse CD1d molecules expressed by A20 cells, GCK152 induced almost 2- to 3-fold stronger stimulatory activity \((p < 0.05)\) against human iNKT cells than GCK127 (Fig. 2C). In contrast, GCK127 presented by human CD1d molecules expressed by Hela cells displayed a significantly stronger stimulatory activity \((p < 0.05)\) against murine iNKT cells than GCK152 (Fig. 2D). It still remains possible that the preferential activity of the two glycolipids may be somewhat affected by the origin of the species of costimulatory molecules and/or cytokines/chemokines expressed by APCs. To eliminate such possibilities, we have generated Hela cells expressing mouse CD1d molecules, Hela-mCD1d, and used this cell line as APCs. As shown in Fig. 3A, the preferential stimulatory activity of GCK152 against human iNKT cells was clearly unaltered even with Hela-mCD1d, as APCs.
GCK127 was able to maintain a far stronger stimulatory activity \((p < 0.05)\) against mouse iNKT cells than GCK152 when presented by Hela-mCD1d.

To further confirm that invTCR alone could command the preferential stimulatory activity of GCK127 and GCK152 against mouse and human iNKT cells, respectively, we used a mouse hybridoma that coexpress human invariant Vα24-Jα18/Nβ11 chains (11), designated mouse Vα24 hybridoma, and used this hybridoma as responder cells. As shown in Fig. 3B, the superior stimulatory activity of GCK127 on mouse Vα14 hybridoma was totally diminished when mouse Vα24 hybridoma was used as responding cells. In sharp contrast, GCK152 exhibited two-fold stronger stimulatory activity \((p < 0.05)\) against mouse Vα24 hybridoma, as determined by the level of IL-2 secretion.

Altogether, the results shown in Figs. 2 and 3 indicated that the preferential stimulatory activity of the glycolipids toward human vs murine iNKT cells appears to be governed by the origin of the species of invTCR rather than that of CD1d molecules. These findings have led us to further investigate the binding affinity of the two glycolipids to CD1d, as well as iNKT cells of human vs mouse.

To assess the strength of glycolipid-CD1d interaction, biotinylated 18:1 PE lipid was used as an indicator in our assay, because 18:1 PE lipid, which has diacylglycerol that is similar to the lipid portion of α-GalCer, but lacks a sugar moiety, was shown to bind CD1d molecules (15) without being recognized by iNKT cells. We indeed confirmed that 18:1 PE lipid loaded onto CD1d: IgG dimer failed to stain iNKT cells, albeit it retained the ability to competitively inhibit the staining of iNKT cells with CD1d: IgG dimer loaded with α-GalCer (data not shown). Thus, we have developed a novel competitive binding ELISA to investigate the molecular interaction between CD1d and glycolipids, i.e., GCK152 and GCK127, independent of invTCR recognition. Through this assay, we were able to determine the binding of GCK152 and GCK127 to both human and mouse CD1d molecules (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, GCK152 consistently displayed 6- to 8-fold lower IC50 \((p < 0.05)\) than GCK127 to either human and mouse CD1d molecules in the competitive binding ELISA, thus indicating that GCK152 had a stronger affinity to CD1d molecules, regardless of their origin of the species. In view of the differing biological activity of the two C-glycoside analogues against human vs murine iNKT cells (Figs. 2 and 3), it appears that the binding affinity of the glycolipids to CD1d molecules does not translate into the strength of their activity against iNKT cells.

To determine the binding affinity of glycolipid-CD1d complex to invTCR of iNKT cells, we have used a FACS-based method to evaluate the avidity between glycolipid-CD1d complex and invTCR, as previously described (16). In this assay, we loaded PE-labeled human or mouse CD1d: IgG dimer with GCK152 or GCK127. We then stained human iNKT cells or murine iNKT hybridoma cells with a serially diluted amount of each CD1d dimer-glycolipid complex and performed FACS analysis.

Because glycolipid-loaded CD1d dimer stains iNKT cells through interacting with their invTCR, it is reasonable to say that this method can assess the binding strength of glycolipid-CD1d complex to the TCR. We found that human CD1d dimer loaded with GCK152 exhibited a 5-fold stronger avidity \((p < 0.05)\) to human iNKT cells than that loaded with GCK127, and in sharp contrast, mouse CD1d dimer

FIGURE 3. Differing stimulatory activities of GCK127 and GCK152 presented by human or mouse CD1d molecules against human vs mouse invTCR expressing iNKT cells in vitro. In A, \(1 \times 10^5\) human iNKT cells were cocultured with \(1 \times 10^5\) Hela-mCD1d cells, and \(3 \times 10^4\) mouse iNKT cells were cocultured with \(3 \times 10^4\) of Hela-mCD1d cells, respectively, in the presence of indicated concentrations of each glycolipid in a 96-well culture plate. After 24 h of incubation, supernatants were collected, and the concentration of hIL-2 was determined by ELISA. In all experiments, results represent one of three similar experiments.
loaded with GCK152 showed a 2–3-fold weaker avidity ($p < 0.05$) than that loaded with GCK127 (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that GCK152 and GCK127, as a complex with CD1d molecules, have a different avidity to the invTCR of human vs mouse iNKT cells, with GCK152-hCD1d complex having a stronger avidity to human invTCR than GCK127-hCD1d complex, and vice versa, GCK127-mCD1d complex having a stronger avidity to mouse invTCR than GCK152-mCD1d.

To further confirm the affinity of the interaction between glycolipid-CD1d complex and invTCR of iNKT cells, we used allophycocyanin-labeled CD1d-Ig dimer loaded with $\alpha$-GalCer ($\alpha$-GalCer-CD1d-allophycocyanin), as an indicator, and serially diluted PE-labeled CD1d-glycolipid complex, as a competitor. In brief, human or mouse iNKT cells were stained with 4 nM of $\alpha$-GalCer-hCD1d-allophycocyanin complex or 3 nM of $\alpha$-GalCer-mCD1d-allophycocyanin complex, respectively, in the presence of serially diluted GCK127-hCD1d-PE or GCK152-hCD1d-PE complex for human iNKT cells, or serially diluted GCK127-mCD1d-PE or GCK152-mCD1d-PE complex for mouse iNKT hybridoma cells. After washing twice, the cells were gated with CD3 and analyzed with FACS LSRII instrument. The degree of percentage inhibition of $\alpha$-GalCer-hCD1d-allophycocyanin fluorescence or $\alpha$-GalCer-mCD1d-allophycocyanin fluorescence was plotted against the concentration of GCK127/GCK152-hCD1d-PE or GCK127/GCK152-mCD1d-PE complex, respectively. Inhibition curves were fitted with Sigmoidal dose-response formula using Prism 4.0 software. Asterisk indicated a predicted IC50 according to calculation of the binding curve. In all experiments, the results represented the mean of triplicate wells from each sample, and expressed as mean ± SD. Compared two curves by $t$ test statistics analysis, $p < 0.05$ was considered as significant difference.
GCK152-CD1d-PE complex. Then, the mean fluorescence intensity was determined by FACS analysis, and their IC50 was calculated (Fig. 4C).

We found that IC50 of GCK127-hCD1d complex against α-GalCer-hCD1d complex binding to human iNKT cells is more than 5-fold \( (p < 0.05) \) greater than that of GCK152-hCD1d, whereas GCK127-mCD1d complex required 3-fold less amount \( (p < 0.05) \) than GCK152-mCD1d to inhibit 50% of α-GalCer-mCD1d binding to murine iNKT cells (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that GCK152-hCD1d complex has a stronger affinity to human invTCR than GCK127-hCD1d, and vice versa, GCK127-mCD1d complex had a stronger affinity to mouse invTCR than GCK152-mCD1d.

Collectively, all the binding assays have led to the conclusion that although GCK152 has a stronger affinity to both human and mouse CD1d molecules than GCK127, GCK152-hCD1d complex has a stronger affinity to human invTCR than GCK127-hCD1d, whereas GCK127-mCD1d complex has a stronger affinity to mouse invTCR than GCK152-mCD1d.

**Discussion**

In our previous studies, among C-glycoside analogues of α-GalCer, having an E-alkene linker between sugar and lipid moieties, GCK152, which has an aromatic ring in the tail of the acyl chain, was shown to exhibit a stronger stimulatory activity against human iNKT cells than GCK127, which has an almost identical fatty acyl chain as α-GalCer (8). We have also shown that, on the contrary to human iNKT cells, GCK152 displayed a much weaker activity against murine iNKT cells than GCK127 both in vivo and in vitro (8). This striking difference in the level of stimulatory activity against human vs murine iNKT cells by these two C-glycosides had prompted us to investigate in our current studies the main reason why the two structurally similar glycolipids cause such opposing activities.

Recent crystal structure studies have shown that the lipid portion of α-GalCer fits into the binding groove of human CD1d and mouse CD1d molecules in an almost identical fashion (18, 19). More recently, it has been demonstrated that invTCR recognizes the glycoside head by a unique “lock-and-key” mode (20). A similar structural study has shown that the CDR2β loop of invTCR mainly interacts with CD1d backbone, and germline-encoded residues of both CDR1α and CDR3α loops contribute to the recognition of α-GalCer by interacting with CD1d and the bound α-GalCer molecules (21). Thus, by this predominantly rigid lock-and-key interaction, the CDR loops that contact with the α-GalCer-CD1d complex minimally change conformation on ligation, indicating that the recognition by invTCR of iNKT cell was highly conserved. The early study showed the α-anomeric conformation of sugar moiety was essential for the iNKT cells activation and β-galactosylceramide or mannosylceramide did not result in proliferative responses of iNKT cells (22). A few recent studies, however, have shown that recognition of invTCR had diversity, albeit a limited degree, because some nonglycoside α-GalCer and β-linked glycosingalipid were able to activate iNKT cells (23, 24). A hypothesis was that the high degree of variability in the CDR3β sequences in invTCR of iNKT cell, coupled with the location of this loop within the complex, was likely to provide plasticity of the invTCR recognition for different CD1d-restricted Ags (20). Although galactose head positioning had up to a 3Å shift between CD1d-α-GalCer complex structure of mice and man (25), some studies have demonstrated that there is interspecies cross-reactivity between human and mouse iNKT cells with α-GalCer-CD1d complex from both species (13, 21, 26), because of the plasticity of invTCR recognition.

In our present study, although GCK152 and GCK127 had the same E-alkene-linkage glycoside, they displayed different affinities to human and mouse invTCR when presented as a complex with human and mouse CD1d molecules. Because the only difference between GCK152 and GCK127 is their lipid portion, the lipid chain binding to CD1d molecules should result in a minor conformation shift of the sugar head of the glycolipids. This shift is likely beyond the plasticity of the invTCR recognition, having preferential recognition to this shift by human vs mouse invTCR. Our study implies that there should be a significant, if not a major, difference between recognition by invTCR of human and mouse iNKT cells. Moreover, this difference might partially account for different biological activities of some of α-GalCer analogues against mouse vs human iNKT cells. Although invTCR recognition has been considered highly conserved, our study presents functional evidence that there is still some restriction specificity in iNKT activation through invTCR. In this regard, the effect of invTCR restriction should not be neglected when designing the next generation of CD1d-binding iNKT-stimulating glycolipids. Finally, in view of a most recent x-ray crystallography study on the structure of mouse invTCR-glycolipid-mCD1d complex in which a differential recognition of CD1d-α-GalCer by the Vβ8.2 and Vβ7 semi-invTCR of mouse iNKT cells has been revealed (27), our findings further imply that the affinity of the mouse or the human invTCR for conserved endogenous lipids might shape, upon positive selection, the different Vβ usage in the two species.

In summary, two C-glycoside analogues, GCK152 and GCK127, having identical structure except their acyl chain, are shown to display differing biological activities against human vs murine iNKT cells. Through a series of binding assays, it was found that this different activity correlates with the binding affinity of glycolipid-CD1d complex to invTCR of human vs murine iNKT cells rather than that of glycolipid to human or murine CD1d molecules. By experiments, in which interspecies cross-reactivity between human and mouse iNKT cells with glycolipid-presenting APCs from both species was examined, we have found that iNKT cells, but not APCs that express CD1d, influence the species-dependent, preferential activity of C-glycosides. Furthermore, using Hela cells expressing mouse CD1d, as APCs, and mouse hybridoma expressing human invTCR, as responding cells, we were able to determine that the origin of the species of invTCR, but not of CD1d molecule, commands the biological activity of C-glycoside. These studies indicate that the affinity to invTCR rather than to CD1d molecule appears to govern in shaping the strength of the biological activity of C-glycoside analogues against iNKT cells of different species.
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Corrections


In Fig. 4A, the unit of measurement for the concentration of glycolipids and IC50 was incorrectly stated as nM. The unit of measurement should be μM.
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