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MicroRNA-98 and let-7 Confer Cholangiocyte Expression of Cytokine-Inducible Src Homology 2-Containing Protein in Response to Microbial Challenge1,2

Guoku Hu, Rui Zhou, Jun Liu, Ai-Yu Gong, Alex N. Eischeid, Jared W. Dittman, and Xian-Ming Chen3

Posttranscriptional gene regulation by microRNAs (miRNAs) has been implicated in the fine-tuning of TLR-mediated inflammatory response. The cytokine-inducible Src homology 2-containing protein (CIS), one member of the suppressors of cytokine signaling family of proteins, is an important negative regulator for inflammatory cytokine signaling. Using in vitro models using normal human biliary epithelial cells (cholangiocytes), we demonstrated that LPS stimulation or infection with the parasitic protozoan Cryptosporidium parvum induced expression of CIS protein without a change in CIS mRNA levels by activating the TLR signaling pathway. Of those miRNAs expressed in cholangiocytes, we found that targeting of the 3′-untranslated region of CIS by microRNA-98 (miR-98) or let-7 resulted in translational repression, but not CIS mRNA degradation. LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection decreased cholangiocyte expression of miR-98 and let-7. Down-regulation of miR-98 and let-7 relieved miRNA-mediated translational suppression of CIS and contributed to LPS- and C. parvum-stimulated CIS protein expression. Moreover, gain-of-function (by overexpression of CIS) and loss-of-function (by siRNA interference) studies revealed that CIS could enhance IκBα degradation and regulate NF-κB activation in cholangiocytes in response to LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection. Our data suggest that miR-98 and let-7 confer cholangiocyte expression of CIS in response to microbial challenge, a process that may be relevant to the regulation of TLR-mediated epithelial innate immune response. The Journal of Immunology, 2009, 183: 1617–1624.

Toll-like receptors recognize discrete pathogen-associated molecular patterns and activate a set of adaptor proteins (e.g., MyD88) leading to the nuclear translocation of transcription factors, such as NF-κB (1, 2). Activation of the TLR/NF-κB pathway initiates a series of host cell defense reactions against pathogens, including parasites (3). Nevertheless, sustained TLR/NF-κB signaling can have devastating effects on the host resulting in chronic inflammatory diseases and autoimmune disorders and aid in the pathogenesis of infectious human diseases (4, 5). In contrast, a delayed or insufficient response can lead to a failure to control infection. Thus, cells have developed multiple strategies for the feedback regulation of TLR/NF-κB signaling to fine-tune TLR-associated immune responses. Several endogenous feedback regulatory pathways have recently been identified to counter-regulate TLR signaling cascades and promote resolution of inflammation, such as activation of the armadillo-motif-containing protein, Toll-interacting protein, and A20 (6–8).

The cytokine-inducible Src homology 2-containing protein (CIS)1 and suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins are a family of intracellular proteins that have emerged as key physiological regulators of cytokine responses in various types of cells (9). Each CIS/SOCS protein has a Src homology (SH) 2 domain and a SOCS box (9). The SH2 domain binds to the phosphorylated tyrosine substrate residues and the E3 activity of CIS/SOCS proteins causes substrate ubiquitination leading to proteasome-mediated degradation. The best-characterized SOCS family members are CIS and SOCS1–3, which function in a classical negative-feedback loop inhibiting cytokine signaling by interacting with the JAK-STAT signaling cascades (9). Pathogen recognition via TLRs can also stimulate expression of CIS/SOCS proteins in host cells (10). CIS/SOCS proteins have been demonstrated to limit the extent of TLR signaling indirectly by inhibiting autocrine cytokine response in macrophages through IFN-γ and TNF-α (11). Recent studies also indicate that CIS/SOCS proteins may directly interact with the TLR signaling cascades and regulate TLR/NF-κB signaling via a mechanism distinct from an autocrine cytokine response. SOCS1 can interact with phosphorylated Mal, an adaptor molecule required for TLR2 and TLR4 signaling, resulting in Mal polyubiquitination and subsequent degradation (12). Interestingly, overexpression of SOCS1 in human respiratory epithelial cells enhances activation of NF-κB-dependent proinflammatory pathways (13). CIS-enhanced NF-κB activation has been reported in T cells (14, 15). Thus, CIS/SOCS proteins may play a role in the regulation of TLR/NF-κB signaling in cells in response to microbial challenge.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous RNAs of ~22 nucleotides that pair with the messages of protein-coding genes to direct posttranscriptional repression (16). These molecules identify target...
miRNAs based on complementarity with target 3’-untranslated regions (3’-UTRs) leading to translational repression and/or mRNA cleavage (17). Studies have revealed key roles for miRNAs in diverse regulatory pathways, including development timing control, cell differentiation, apoptosis, cell proliferation, organ development (16), and more recently, in immune regulation (18–20). We previously demonstrated that let-7 regulates TLR4 expression via translational suppression in human cholangiocytes, epithelial cells lining the biliary tree. We have also demonstrated let-7 involvement in the epithelial defense response to infection by Cryptosporidium parvum, a protozoan parasite that infects gastrointestinal epithelium and activation of TLR/NF-κB signaling in host cells (21, 22). MicroRNAs have been implicated in viral immune escape and antiviral defense (23). Induction of miR-155 during the macrophage inflammatory response suggests its potential involvement in regulation of inflammation (24).

In contrast to intestinal epithelial cells, human cholangiocytes express multiple TLRs and are susceptible to TLR activation, thus providing a good model for investigating regulation of TLR/NF-κB signaling in mucosal immunity (25–27). In this study, we found that microRNA-98 (miR-98) and let-7 regulate CIS protein expression via translational suppression in human cholangiocytes. Expression of CIS protein in cholangiocytes was up-regulated by LPS (an agonist of TLR4) or after exposure to C. parvum. This induced expression of CIS involves a relief of miRNA-mediated translation repression by miR-98 and let-7. Furthermore, expression of CIS correlated with an accelerated degradation of IκBα and enhanced NF-κB activation in cholangiocytes in response to LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection. The identification of this miRNA-mediated regulation of NF-κB signaling via CIS expression in cholangiocytes may represent a process relevant to the regulation of TLR/NF-κB signaling in general.

Materials and Methods

In vitro model of C. parvum infection and LPS stimulation

H69 cells are SV40-transformed normal human cholangiocytes originally derived from normal liver harvested for transplant (28, 29). Human intrahepatic biliary epithelial (HBiEpiC) cells are immortalized isolated human cholangiocytes commercially available from ScientCell Research Laboratories (Carlsbad). HBiEpiC cells were grown on poly-L-lysine-coated dishes and cultured using instructions and medium provided by the supplier. An in vitro model of human cholangiocyte infection by C. parvum oocysts was used as previously described (29). C. parvum oocysts of the Iowa strain were purchased from a commercial source (Bunch Grass Farm). Infection was done in DMEM/F-12 medium containing penicillin and streptomycin and hypochlorite treated C. parvum oocysts (29). Inactivated organisms (treated at 65°C for 30 min) were used for sham infection controls. Oocysts were added to achieve >90% infection of cell cultures with a cell/parasite ratio of 1:2 to 1:10 depending on the viability of oocysts confirmed by immunofluorescent staining as previously reported (28). Uninfected parasites were usually removed 4 h after incubation by washing with DMEM medium. For LPS stimulation, cells were exposed to culture medium containing LPS (Invitrogen; final concentration 1 μg/ml). The proteasome inhibitor, MG132 (20 μM, Calbiochem), was used to inhibit proteasome-mediated degradation in cells (30).

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed (31) with Abs against CIS, IκBα, and β-actin from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. CIS and IκBα levels were expressed as their ratio to β-actin (31).

Anti-miRs and miRNA precursors

To manipulate cellular levels of miRNAs, we used specific antisense oligonucleotides to miRNAs (anti-miRs) to inhibit miRNA function and specific miRNA precursors to increase miRNA expression as previously reported (21, 32). In experiments, H69 cells were transfected with 0–30 nM of miR-98 or let-7i precursors (Ambion), or anti-miR-98 or anti-let-7i (Ambion) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Nonspecific oligonucleotides from Ambion were used as controls.

Plasmids

For plasmid constructs, the dominant negative (DN) functionally defective mutant of TLR4 was obtained from Dr. M. F. Smith (University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA). MyD88-DN (a DN mutant of MyD88) was a gift from Prof. J. Tschopp (University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland). H69 cells stably transfected with TLR4-DN or MyD88-DN plasmid constructs were obtained by transfection followed by antibiotic selection as previously reported (21, 22). Plasmids were transfected into H69 cells transiently transfected with 0.25 μg of pcDNA-CIS or the control plasmid with the lipofectamine 2000 reagent and overexpression of CIS protein was confirmed by Western blot analysis. The HuSH 29mer shRNA-CIS and control constructs were purchased from Origene. IκBα was inserted into HindIII and EcoRI sites of the pcDNA4/V5-His vector. PCR primers used to amplify IκBα cDNA were: 5’-TAACTGTCGACTGATTTCCAGGCCGGGCG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GGATATTCGTCCTAAGCTCGACGGCGGAC-3’ (reverse).

Real-time PCR

For quantitative analysis of CIS mRNA, total cellular RNA was isolated from cells with TRizol (Invitrogen). Expression of CIS and GAPDH mRNA was analyzed using the TaqMan gene expression assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems). The primer and probe sequences for the amplification of human CIS were: 5’-CTTCTGTCAGGTTGGT-3’ (forward) and 5’-TTGCCATCTGTCGTTTGT-3’ (reverse); 5’-FAM-TCCATTACGCGGATTGCTGAGGCC-TAMRA-3’ (probe) (Applied Biosystems). The primer and probe sequences for the amplification of GAPDH were as follows: 5’-GAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGTC-3’ (forward) and 5’-GGATTGTGATGGGTTTC-3’ (reverse); 5’-VIC-CGACGTTCCGTCTACGGC-TAMRA-3’ (probe).

For real-time PCR analysis of miR-98, total RNA was isolated from cells with the mirVana miRNA Isolation kit (Ambion) and reverse transcribed (0.25 μg) using the Taqman MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Comparative real-time PCR was performed by using Taqman Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with the Applied Biosystems 7500 FAST real-time PCR System. Specific primers and probes for mature miR-98 and snRNA RNU6B (endogenous reference) were obtained from Applied Biosystems. All reactions were run in triplicate. Quantitation of miR-98 was performed normalizing with snRNA RNU6B and relative to a control (untreated cells) (33, 34).

Northern blot

Total RNAs harvested as above were run on a 15% Tris/Borate/EDTA (90 mM Tris/64.6 mM boric acid/2.5 mM EDTA (pH 8.3)) urea gel (Invitrogen) and transferred to a Nytran nylon transfer membrane (Ambion). A LNA DIG-probe of miR-98 (Exiqon) was hybridized using Ultrasound reagents (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with blotted snRNA RNU6B as a control.

Luciferase reporter constructs and luciferase assay

Complementary 48-mer DNA oligonucleotides containing the putative miR-98 and let-7 target site within the 3’-UTR of human CIS were synthesized with flanking Spe1 and HindIII restriction enzyme digestion sites (antisense, 5’-CTAGTTAAATGACATCTTTTATCCCTTTTTTATTTTACCTCTT-3’; sense, 5’-AGCTAGGATGAATATATATATATATATATATATATATATTATTCTTT-3’). The annealed oligonucleotides were ligated into the Spe1/HindIII sites of the pMIR-REPORT Luciferase vector (Ambion) for potential posttranscriptional luciferase regulation by miRNA interaction with the CIS 3’-UTR as we previously reported (21). As an additional control, a pMIR-REPORT Luciferase vector (Ambion) for potential posttranscriptional luciferase regulation by miRNA interaction with the CIS 3’-UTR as we previously reported (21). As an additional control, a pMIR-REPORT Luciferase vector (Ambion) for potential posttranscriptional luciferase control construct was generated containing CIS 3’-UTR with mutations (both ACCT to TGGA) in the putative seed regions for miR-98 and let-7 binding. Cells were transfected with each reporter construct, as well as miR-98 and let-7i and negative oligonucleotides or precursors, followed by assessment of luciferase activity 24 h after transfection. Luciferase activity was then measured and normalized to the expression of the control β-Gal construct as previously reported (21). In addition, the full sequence of IL-8 promoter was
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cloned into the pGL3-Basic Luciferase vector to transfect cells and monitor NF-κB activation (35).

**Immunoprecipitation**

Immunoprecipitation was used to detect the potential binding of CIS to IκBα as modified from previous studies by others (36, 37). In brief, cells transfected with the pcDNA-CIS and pcDNA.4/V5-His-IκBα vectors were grown to 95% confluence and exposed to *C. parvum* or LPS for 3 h in the presence of MG132. Cells were then lysed (lysis buffer: 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 20 μM MG132, 1 mM PMSF, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, and 2 μg/ml pepstatin) and 100 μg of lysate protein was incubated with anti-V5 Ab (Invitrogen) or the control IgG at 4°C overnight. Immune complexes were collected by direct binding to protein A-Sepharose. The immunoprecipitates were then blotted with specific Abs to CIS or IκBα (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

**Results**

**LPS Stimulation and *C. parvum* infection induce expression of CIS protein without a change in CIS mRNA levels in cholangiocytes by activation the TLR signaling pathway**

We first assessed CIS expression in H69 and HIBEpiC cells in response to LPS or *C. parvum* infection. When H69 or HIBEpiC cells were exposed to LPS for up to 24 h (for H69) or 12 h (for HIBEpiC), no significant change of CIS mRNA levels was detected by real-time PCR analysis (Fig. 1, A and B). In contrast, as a positive control, a significant increase of IL-8 mRNA was found in cells following LPS stimulation or exposure to *C. parvum* (Fig. 1C), consistent with results from previous studies (31). Interestingly, a significant increase of CIS protein content was detectable in both H69 and HIBEpiC cells following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection (Fig. 1D). To test whether TLR signals are involved in LPS- and *C. parvum*-induced CIS expression, we tested the expression of CIS in H69 cells stably transfected with the functionally defective DN mutants of TLR4 or MyD88 (21, 22). No increase of CIS protein was found in TLR4-DN or MyD88-DN cells following *C. parvum* infection or LPS stimulation compared with the control nontreated cells (Fig. 1E). Also, no change in CIS mRNA was detected in TLR4-DN or MyD88-DN cells following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection (Fig. 1F).

**miR-98 and let-7 target CIS 3′-UTR resulting in translational suppression**

The inconsistence of CIS expression between the message level and its protein level in both H69 and HIBEpiC cells following *C. parvum* infection or LPS stimulation suggests potential posttranscriptional regulation of CIS expression in cholangiocytes. To test whether miRNA-mediated posttranscriptional gene regulation is involved in this process, we used the algorithms Targetscan 4.2 program (38) to screen miRNAs expressed in H69 cells based on our previous microarray analysis (21). We found miR-98 and let-7 complementary to the CIS 3′-UTR with potential binding sites adjacent to each other in the CIS 3′-UTR, extending between nucleotide positions 1055 and 1093 (Fig. 2A). To test the potential targeting of CIS mRNA by miR-98 or let-7, we generated pMIR-REPORT luciferase constructs containing the CIS 3′-UTR with two putative let-7 and miR-98 binding sites (Fig. 2B). In addition, constructs with the ACCT to TGGGA mutation at the putative binding sites were also generated and used as the controls (Fig. 2B). We then transfected H69 cells with these reporter constructs followed by assessment of luciferase activity 24 h after transfection. As shown in Fig. 2B, luciferase activity was significantly decreased in cells transfected with the CIS 3′-UTR construct containing both potential binding sites compared with the control vector. No change in luciferase activity was observed in cells transfected with the mutant CIS 3′-UTR construct, suggesting endogenous translational repression of the construct with the CIS 3′-UTR. In addition, anti-miR-98 or anti-let-7i markedly increased CIS 3′-UTR-associated luciferase reporter translation (Fig. 2B). In contrast, miR-98 and let-7i precursors did not significantly decrease luciferase reporter translation (Fig. 2B).

Because the binding sites for miR-98 and let-7 are adjacent to each other in the CIS 3′-UTR, we tested whether both are required for translational suppression. We generated pMIR-REPORT luciferase constructs containing the CIS 3′-UTR with the individual putative binding sites (Fig. 2C). When construct-driven luciferase activity was measured in transfected H69 cells no decrease in luciferase activity was found in cells containing only one of the putative binding sites vs those containing the control construct (Fig. 2C). Taken together, the above data suggest that miR-98 and let-7 target the CIS 3′-UTR resulting in posttranscriptional suppression of CIS in cholangiocytes which appears to require both adjacent binding sites.

**Manipulation of miR-98 function results in reciprocal alterations in CIS protein expression in H69 cells**

To test whether miRNA-mediated translational repression of CIS is directly relevant to CIS protein expression, we treated H69 cells with anti-miR-98 or miR-98 precursor for 72 h and then measured CIS protein expression by Western blot. Transfection of H69 cells with the miR-98 precursor caused a dose-dependent decrease in CIS protein content (Fig. 3A). No significant change in CIS mRNA
levels was found between the control cells and cells treated with miR-98 precursor (Fig. 3B), suggesting no affect on cellular CIS mRNA levels. Conversely, a dose-dependent increase in CIS protein content was identified in H69 cells treated with anti-miR-98 (Fig. 3C). However, no significant change in CIS mRNA levels was found between the control cells and cells treated with anti-miR-98 (Fig. 3D).

**FIGURE 2.** MicroRNA-98 and let-7 target CIS 3′-UTR causating translational suppression. A. The schematic of CIS mRNA shows two potential binding sites in the 3′-UTR for miR-98 and let-7. B. Binding of miR-98 and let-7 to the two potential binding sites in the CIS 3′-UTR results in translational suppression. CIS 3′-UTR covering both potential binding sites was inserted into the pMIR-REPORT luciferase plasmid. A control plasmid with the mutant 3′-UTR site A vs 3′-UTR Site B). Control plasmids with the mutant 3′-UTR sequence covering both potential binding sites were also generated. H69 cells were transfected with the constructs and expressed as their ratio to actin. Densitometric levels of CIS signals were quantified in independent experiments is shown for Fig. 3A, 3B, and 3C. p = 0.05 ANOVA vs the controls; #, p < 0.05 ANOVA vs the non-treated control cells.

**FIGURE 3.** Manipulation of miR-98 function results in reciprocal alterations in CIS protein expression in H69 cells. A and C. Transfection of miR-98 precursor or anti-miR-98 induces a dose-dependent decrease or increase, respectively, in CIS protein expression in H69 cells. H69 cells were treated with various dose of miR-98 precursor (A) or anti-miR-98 (C) followed by Western blot for CIS. A representative Western blot from three independent experiments is shown for A and C. Actin was also blotted to ensure equal loading. Densitometric levels of CIS signals were quantified and expressed as their ratio to actin. B and D, miR-98 precursor or anti-miR-98 transfection does not affect CIS mRNA levels. H69 cells were exposed to miR-98 precursor or anti-miR-98 followed by real-time PCR analysis for CIS mRNA. Pre = precursor. *p < 0.05 ANOVA vs the non-treated control cells.

**LPS stimulation and C. parvum infection decrease miR-98 and let-7 expression in a TLR4/MyD88-dependent manner resulting in relief of translational suppression of CIS**

In our previous studies, we demonstrated that *C. parvum* infection and LPS stimulation decrease let-7 expression in H69 cells (21). Using a probe detecting both miR-98 and let-7 by Northern hybridization (Fig. 4A), we detected a significant decrease in miR-98/let-7 levels in H69 cells following LPS stimulation for up to 24 h after exposure to *C. parvum* for 12 h (Fig. 4A). No decrease in miR-98/let-7 was found in cells 48 h after LPS stimulation (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, a significant decrease in miR-98 was confirmed in both H69 and HIBEpiC cells following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection by real-time PCR analysis specific for miR-98 (Fig. 4B). In addition, no decrease in miR-98/let-7 was detected in TLR4-DN or MyD88-DN stably transfected H69 cells following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection (Fig. 4C and 4D), suggesting that LPS- and *C. parvum*-induced down-regulation of miR-98/let-7 requires activation of the TLR4/MyD88 signal pathway.

Because miR-98 and let-7 can target CIS 3′-UTR and induce translational suppression of CIS, *C. parvum* infection or LPS stimulation should induce a relief of mRNA-mediated CIS translation through down-regulation of miR-98 and let-7. To test this possibility, we transfected H69 cells with the pMIR-REPORT luciferase construct containing the CIS 3′-UTR with both the putative binding sites for let-7 and miR-98. Cells simultaneously exposed to LPS or *C. parvum* for 24 h showed a significant increase in CIS 3′-UTR-associated luciferase activity compared with the non-treated control (Fig. 4E). These data suggest that LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection can decrease miR-98 and let-7 expression...
to induce a relief of miRNA-mediated translational suppression of CIS in human cholangiocytes.

Transfection of miR-98 precursor abolishes C. parvum- and LPS-stimulated CIS protein expression

To confirm that relief of miRNA-mediated CIS translational repression is required for LPS/C. parvum-induced CIS protein expression, we transfected H69 cells with various doses of miR-98 precursors for 48 h and then exposed them to LPS or C. parvum for 24 h followed by Western blot analysis for CIS protein. The miR-98 precursor significantly inhibited up-regulation of CIS protein in H69 cells induced by LPS stimulation (Fig. 5A) or C. parvum infection (Fig. 5C) in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, no significant change in CIS mRNA levels was found in the cells following LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection with or without the treatment by miR-98 precursor (Fig. 5, B and D). Thus, miR-98 precursor can abolish the up-regulation of CIS protein in cholangiocytes in response to LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection. Coupled with the down-regulation of miR-98 and let-7 in cells following LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection, the above data suggest that the relief of miR-98/let-7-mediated translational repression is required for LPS- and C. parvum-induced CIS protein expression.

CIS enhances NF-κB activation and binds to IκBα in cholangiocytes following LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection

The CIS/SCOS proteins have emerged as key physiological negative regulators of cytokine responses (9). Therefore, we performed loss-of-function (by siRNA interference) and gain-of-function (by overexpression of CIS) studies in cholangiocytes. NF-κB activation in response to LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection was monitored by using a NF-κB-driven IL-8 reporter construct as previously reported (34). Unexpectedly, we detected that knockdown of CIS through transfection of cells with a CIS siRNA significantly inhibited LPS- or C. parvum-induced IL-8 reporter activity (Fig. 6A). Overexpression of CIS increased LPS- or C. parvum-induced IL-8 reporter activity (Fig. 6A). No significant change in IL-8 reporter activity was found in cells overexpressing CIS but a slight decrease of reporter activity was detected in cells treated with a CIS siRNA (Fig. 6A). In addition, functional manipulation of miR-98 with miR-98 precursor or anti-miR-98 also caused reciprocal alterations in IL-8 reporter activity in response to LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection (Fig. 6A).

We further performed immunoprecipitation analysis to test whether CIS directly binds to IκBα. A proteasome inhibitor, MG132, was used to inhibit degradation of IκBα in cells cotransfected with CIS and V5-IκBα vectors as previously reported (36, 37). A V5 Ab was used to specifically precipitate IκBα followed
by Western blotting for CIS and IκBα. As shown in Fig. 6B, a significant increase in CIS was detected in the immunoprecipitates from cells following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection. No change in IκBα signal was observed in the immunoprecipitates. No IκBα or CIS was detected in the control IgG immunoprecipitates. Taken together, these results suggest a potential direct binding of CIS to IκBα in cells following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection.

**CIS enhances degradation of IκBα in cholangiocytes in response to LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection**

To further test the potential mechanisms by which CIS enhances NF-κB activation, we overexpressed CIS in H69 cells and then measured cellular IκBα content following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection. No significant change of IκBα was identified in cells overexpressing CIS compared with the control (Fig. 7A). However, a significant decrease of IκBα was detected in H69 cells after LPS stimulation for 2 h or exposure to *C. parvum* for 4 h. Cellular IκBα levels were further decreased in cells overexpressing CIS following LPS stimulation or *C. parvum* infection (Fig. 7A).

To determine whether the decrease of IκBα occurred through altered ubiquitin-proteasome-mediated proteolytic degradation of IκBα, H69 cells were transfected with CIS or control vector for 48 h, incubated with MG132 for 2 h, stimulated by LPS for 2.5 h, and IκBα protein assessed by Western blot as previously reported by others (30). CIS overexpression did not alter ubiquitination of IκBα in nonstimulated cells. However, a significant increase in ubiquitination of IκBα was detected in cells following LPS stimulation (Fig. 7B), which was further increased with forced expression of CIS (Fig. 7B). Taken together, these data suggest that CIS may provide a feedback regulatory loop for NF-κB signaling via facilitation of IκBα degradation.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that LPS stimulation and *C. parvum* infection induce CIS protein expression in human cholangiocytes through activation of the TLR/MyD88 pathway. Targeting of CIS 3′-UTR by miR-98 or let-7 results in posttranscriptional repression. Importantly, *C. parvum* and LPS-induced CIS protein expression in cholangiocytes involves relief of miR-98/let-7-mediated posttranscriptional repression. Furthermore, forced expression of CIS is associated with an accelerated degradation of IκBα and enhanced NF-κB activation in cholangiocytes in response to LPS stimulation. These data suggest that miRNAs regulate CIS expression in cholangiocytes, a process that may be associated with the regulation of inflammatory responses in epithelial cells during microbial infection.

Despite recent significant progress, current understanding of the molecular basis underlying regulation of CIS/SOCS expression is still very limited. Most studies have focused on gene regulation at the transcriptional level (9). Emerging evidence suggests that miRNA-mediated posttranscriptional suppression is involved in the regulation of many genes. A recent report demonstrated that...
miR-155 targets SOCS1 to regulate T cell homeostasis (39). Our studies revealed that miR-98 and let-7 target the CIS 3’-UTR resulting in translational repression of CIS in cholangiocytes. Of those miRNAs expressed in human cholangiocytes (21, 40), we identified two adjacent potential binding sites for miR-98 or let-7 in the CIS 3’UTR. Using constructs containing the sequence with both potential binding sites, we demonstrated that miR-98 and let-7 mediate posttranscriptional suppression of CIS in nonstimulated cholangiocytes. Interestingly, it appears that the potential binding sites for both miR-98 and let-7 are required for the associated posttranscriptional suppression of CIS in cholangiocytes. The apparent requirement for both binding sites and whether they may function synergistically are currently under investigation.

Microbe-induced CIS/SOCS expression has been primarily demonstrated in immune cells. More recently, up-regulation of CIS/SOCS proteins has also been reported in epithelial cells in response to microbial infections (10). In this study, we found that LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection increased CIS expression in human cholangiocytes in a TLR4/MyD88-dependent manner. Interestingly, LPS stimulation and C. parvum infection appear not directly activate transcription of CIS gene. Instead, activation of TLR4/MyD88 signaling down-regulates miR-98 and let-7 expression and consequently, relieves miR-98/let-7-mediated translational suppression of CIS resulting in CIS protein expression. Our findings not only further support targeting of CIS by miRNAs but also raise the possibility that through miRNA-mediated posttranscriptional gene regulation, TLR signaling may regulate expression of those genes that are not activated at the transcriptional level.

CIS/SOCS proteins have classically been shown to be negative regulators of cytokine signaling. Each CIS/SOCS protein has a central SH2 domain and a carboxy-terminal 40-amino acid module known as the SOCS box. The SOCS box interacts with elongin B, elongin C, cullin-5, and RING-box-2 to recruit ubiquitin transferase (41). Thus, CIS/SOCS proteins function as E3 ubiquitin ligases and mediate the degradation of the cytokine signaling complex resulting in negative feedback regulation (9). We demonstrated, in this study, that CIS may be involved in the feedback regulation of NF-κB signaling. We found that CIS promotes LPS-induced IκBα degradation and enhances NF-κB activity in cholangiocytes. Indeed, gain- or lose-of-function of CIS, as well as manipulation of miR-98 function, influences NF-κB activation in cells in response to LPS stimulation or C. parvum infection cells as monitored by the IL-8 luciferase reporter assay. Our results are consistent with previous studies on CIS-enhanced NF-κB activation in T cells (14, 15). Similarly, it was recently reported that forced expression of SOCS1 enhances NF-κB activity in cultured human respiratory epithelial cells (13). Although the underlying molecular mechanisms are currently unclear, CIS-mediated NF-κB activation may be associated with an increase of IκBα ubiquitination. Ubiquitination of IκBα is key to the regulation of NF-κB activity (42–44). Indeed, overexpression of CIS significantly decreased the IκBα level in LPS-treated cells while an increase in IκBα ubiquitination was detected in LPS-stimulated cells with forced expression of CIS. Thus, CIS may play a role in the feedback regulation of TLR/NF-κB signaling in epithelial cells in response to microbial challenge.

Although multiple strategies have been well documented for the fine-tuning of TLR/NF-κB signaling in epithelial cells, such regulation is currently limited to negative feedback loops (6–8). It remains to be determined how epithelial cells encounter these negative regulators and quickly restore their susceptibility for consistent microbial challenge (44). It is possible that positive feedback regulators are activated to encounter the negative regulators for a quick restoration of TLR/NF-κB pathway susceptibility. Therefore, fine-tuning of the TLR/NF-κB signaling dynamic may involve both negative and positive feedback regulators which function in concert to ensure finely controlled epithelial immunity against microbial infection (44). Results from this study suggest that CIS-associated IκBα degradation may be an important component of this positive feedback machinery in epithelial cells responding to microbial challenge.
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