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Taking Advantage: High-Affinity B Cells in the Germinal Center Have Lower Death Rates, but Similar Rates of Division, Compared to Low-Affinity Cells

Shannon M. Anderson,* Ashraf Khalili,† Mohamed Uduman,‡§ Uri Hershberg,*†§ Yoram Louzoun,¶ Ann M. Haberman,† Steven H. Kleinstein,‡§ and Mark J. Shlomchik2*†

B lymphocytes producing high-affinity Abs are critical for protection from extracellular pathogens, such as bacteria and parasites. The process by which high-affinity B cells are selected during the immune response has never been elucidated. Although it has been shown that high-affinity cells directly outcompete low-affinity cells in the germinal center (GC), whether there are also intrinsic differences between these cells has not been addressed. It could be that higher affinity cells proliferate more rapidly or are more likely to enter cell cycle, thereby outgrowing lower affinity cells. Alternatively, higher affinity cells could be relatively more resistant to cell death in the GC. By comparing high- and low-affinity B cells for the same Ag, we show here that low-affinity cells have an intrinsically higher death rate than do cells of higher affinity, even in the absence of competition. This suggests that selection in the GC reaction is due at least in part to the control of survival of higher affinity B cells and not by a proliferative advantage conferred upon these cells compared with lower affinity B cells. Control over survival rather than proliferation of low- and high-affinity B cells in the GC allows greater diversity not only in the primary response but also in the memory response. The Journal of Immunology, 2009, 183: 7314–7325.

High-affinity B cells develop in germinal centers (GCs). Early in immune responses, most responding B cells have low affinity for Ag and their V gene repertoire is very diverse (1–4). As the GC reaction progresses, somatic hypermutation of the BCR generates relatively higher affinity variants (5–7). Through processes that are poorly understood, these rare B cells with higher affinity BCRs are selected and their progeny increase, eventually populating the high-affinity memory and plasma cell pools (8). As important as this process, known as affinity maturation, is for the generation of adaptive immunity, the mechanism for selecting higher affinity clones out of the diverse collection of V regions and subsequent mutants has never been elucidated.

For selection of B cells with high-affinity BCRs to occur, a low-affinity BCR must function differently than a higher affinity BCR, via its signaling function or its ability to capture Ag for subsequent presentation on MHC II or both. These affinity-dependent functions of the BCR could either differentially promote the activation or prevent the death of higher affinity B cells. Indeed, there is both extensive proliferation and death occurring in the GC (9, 10). It has been suggested that T cell signals participate in selection in the GC (11). T cell signals in the GC include CD40L (12), which can also rescue GC B cells from death in vitro (10); however, CD40L is a potent mitogen for B cells in addition to any prosurvival effects (13, 14). Similarly, in vitro, T cells promote B cell proliferation rather than rescue them from cell death (15), in contrast to signals from BAFF, a myeloid cell product (16) that prevents cell death and is important for GC development (17). Ectopic overexpression of Bcl-2 family antiapoptotic proteins does inhibit apoptosis in the GC, along with a number of other perturbations of Bcell development and immune response (17). With Bcl-xL transgenic (Tg) overexpression, affinity maturation of Ab-forming cells was subverted (18), but this was not observed in Bcl-2 Tg mice, in which it seemed there was premature differentiation into memory cells instead (19). Thus, there is not agreement on the effects of preventing normal B cell and GC death by overexpression of antiapoptotic genes. In any case, although these experiments partly support cell death as an important selective mechanism, they do not show the relative physiologic roles of death and proliferation in overall GC selection.

Shih et al. elegantly showed that when placed in direct juxtaposition, high-affinity cells will dramatically outcompete low-affinity cells in the GC (20). However, whether there is an intrinsic difference between high- and low-affinity B cells in the GC, apart from influences of competition, is much less clear. The separate contributions of proliferation and death in the positive selection process have never been directly measured as a function of affinity. Such measurements would provide fundamental insights into the dynamics of GCs and how high-affinity B cells are generated, as well as shed light on the differential signals that are used to discriminate low- from high-affinity B cells.

This issue cannot be addressed in normal mice because the B cell immune response is very heterogeneous and it is difficult to
follow a single B cell. Even if one could track a single B cell, it is impossible to study the effect of affinity on selection since somatic hypermutation can change the affinity of the BCR during the course of an immune response. To address these issues and determine a cell-intrinsic basis for positive selection in the GC, we have used conventional IgH Tg mice to freeze the repertoire and affinity of a defined population of B cells. These transgenes do not mutate or isotype switch, in contrast to site-directed transgenes (21). We made two Tg mice with V\text{H} genes that, when paired with endogenous \text{\lambda}{1} light chains, encode BCRs of moderate or very low affinities for the nitrophenyl (NP) Ag (22, 23). We crossed the medium-affinity (B1-8, $K_\text{d}$ of 9.6 x 10^{-5} M^{-1}) and the very low-affinity (V23, $K_\text{d}$ of <5.0 x 10^{-5} M^{-1}) IgH Tg mice onto a 1 gene knockout background, which ensures that all BCRs use the H chain Tg exclusively. Immune responses in these two Tg mice thus represent the fates of moderate and very low-affinity B cells in GCs that would be difficult to observe in a wild-type GC. We were therefore able to assess the roles of proliferation and death in the selection of low- and medium-affinity B cell clones by tracking the \text{\lambda}{L} chain-bearing population during an immune response to the same Ag.

Materials and immunizations

**Mice and immunizations**

V23 and B1-8 IgH Tg mice (22, 23) were backcrossed with Jh KO/Balb mice (22, 24) for nine or more generations. All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and used at 6–10 wk of age. Mice were immunized i.p. with 50 mg of NP-c-chicken gamma-globulin (CGG) precipitated in alum or precipitated alum alone as a control. All animal experiments were approved by the Yale Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Histology**

Freezing, sectioning, and staining were performed essentially as described (22). Spleen sections (5 \mu M) from V23 and B1-8 mice were cut and thaw mounted onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides. Sections were stained with peanut agglutinin (PNA)-biotin (Vector Laboratories), using streptavidin-HRP (Molecular Probes) as the secondary reagent, and goat anti-\lambda-alkaline phosphatase (SouthernBiotech). Sections were developed with fast blue BB and 3-amino-9-ethyl-carbazole (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Flow cytometry**

Single-cell suspensions of spleens were made at the indicated days postimmunization. Cells were stained with the appropriate Abs for 25 min on ice in PBS containing 3% calf serum, 0.05% sodium azide. Live/dead discrimination was done using ethidium monoazide (EMA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Molecular Probes). The following Abs were used: fluoroscein-peanut agglutinin (Vector Laboratories), goat anti-\lambda and goat anti-\kappa (SouthernBiotech), which were conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 and Alexa Fluor 405 (Molecular Probes), respectively. Samples were analyzed on either a BD FACSCalibur or FACS/Aria (Becton Dickinson).

**BrdU detection and apoptosis assays**

For BrdU labeling, mice were given an i.p. injection of 3 mg of BrdU (Sigma-Aldrich) 13 days postimmunization and, at the times indicated, were sacrificed. For the 24-h time point, two injections of 3 mg of BrdU were given 12 h apart to ensure that BrdU was not limiting. Cells were stained for surface markers as described above and then fixed in ethanol (added dropwise to a 0.15 M NaCl solution to a final concentration of 70% ethanol) for 30 min on ice. After washing once, cells were further treated with 1% paraformaldehyde, 0.1% Tween 20 for 30 min at room temperature and then overnight at 4°C. Fixed cells were then treated with 100 Kunitz units of DNase (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.15 M NaCl/4.2 mM MgCl$_2$ for 30 min at room temperature before the addition of anti-BrdU-biotin (Phoexis Flow). Streptavidin-PE (Molecular Probes) was used as the developing reagent. For detection of apoptosis in situ, cells were incubated with \text{\nu}VAD-FMK-fluorescein (Casp-Glow, BioSource International) in RPMI 1640 for 45 min at 37°C and washed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were then stained as described above for surface markers and/or for detection of BrdU label.

**Sequencing**

\text{\Lambda}^+ GCs were microdissected from stained spleen sections at the indicated days postimmunization essentially as described (22) or using a Leica laser capture microdissection instrument. Cell clusters (typically 10–50 cells) were digested overnight at 37°C in 10 \mu M of digestion buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 8), 50 mM KCl, 0.63 mM EDTA, 0.22% Nonidet P-40, 0.22% Tween 20) containing 0.8 mg/ml proteinase K (Novagen). V\text{\lambda}_1 sequences were amplified by nested PCR using Pfu Turbo polymerase (Stratagene) using external primers 5’-GACCTCTCAAGTCTGGAGGAGG-3’ and 5’-ACT CTCTTCCTGCTCTA-3’ and internal primers 5’-CTACATCGCAG TGGTATGCAAACTGTCG-3’ and 5’-GTTCCTGATAGCTTGAAGA CAGTGGTGAGG-3’. Amplified DNA was cloned directly into pH\text{\nu}CR Blunt TOPO vector using the Zero Blunt TOPO PCR cloning kit for sequencing (Invitrogen). V\text{\lambda}_1 DNA was further amplified by placing colonies directly into PCR reactions containing the following primers: M13 forward, 5’-GTTAAAACGACGGCCAG-3’ and M13 reverse, 5’-CAGGAAAGACGCTATGAC-3’. DNA was purified from the PCR reaction mixture with the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen), mixed with sequencing primer, T3 5’-ATTAAAACCTCCTACCTAAAGGG-3’, and sequenced by the Keck Biotechnology Resource Laboratory at Yale University School of Medicine. We typically recovered eight sequences per microdissection. Sequences were aligned to a rearranged germline V\text{\lambda}/J\text{\lambda}_1 sequence using Lasergene DNA analysis software, and all mutation data were compiled into a database program written in Filemaker Pro.

**Site-directed mutagenesis and Ab expression**

We designed sets of two complementary primers matching a template in the V\text{\lambda}_1 gene cloned to pSV2Neo and containing the desired mutation(s). We used the QuickChange XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and PCR to generate mutant template, followed by Ultra-competent XL-1 Gold cell transformation. Mutations were confirmed by sequencing in both directions (Keck DNA sequencing facility). pSV2-Neo germline or mutated V\text{\lambda}_1 was cotransfected with B1.8 or V23 pEVH-C (3) into the SP/2 cell line by electroporation at 960 MF and 240 mV using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser. Transfected cells were grown in RPMI 1640 media with 10% FBS in the presence of germline (G418). Positive colonies were screened for secretion of functional Ab by ELISA. Plates were coated with anti-mouse IgG overnight at 4°C, then washed, blocked, and incubated with cell supernatants. The presence of IgG1A was detected by alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-mouse \text{\Lambda} (SouthernBiotech) and p-nitrophenyl phosphate as substrate. IgG1A in cell supernatant from transfected cells was purified on protein G columns and the Ab concentration was adjusted to 5 \mu g/ml. We performed SDS-PAGE to check purified Abs for integrity and purity.

**NP-binding ELISA**

ELISA was used to test binding of IgG1 germline and mutant V\text{\lambda}_1-expressing Abs. Immune-2 (Fas)旗下单克隆细胞株 were coated with 10 \mu g/ml NP$_{\nu}$-BSA or NP$_{\nu}$-CGG overnight in PBS at 4°C. Serial dilutions of purified IgG1 with germline or mutated V\text{\lambda}_1 were added with starting concentration of 5 or 2 \mu g/ml. Germline Ab was added to each plate for direct comparison. After incubation and washing, bound Ab was detected by alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG and p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate using an ELISA reader with detection at 405 as a ratio to absorbance at 630 nm.

**Modeling methods**

The GC population dynamics were modeled by the set of ordinary differential equations shown in supplemental equations.\footnote{The online version of this article contains supplemental material.} It is reasonable to assume that the population is at steady-state, since we have observed that the Ag-specific GC population remains approximately constant from days 9–15 postimmunization (data not shown), and we are modeling observations on day 13. The basic model does not include inflow (s$_{in}$) or outflow (s$_{out}$), while the in/out-flow model allows for either inflow or outflow of cells from each mouse strain. To achieve steady-state in the basic model or in the model including outflow, the rate at which cells return to the dividing compartment ($r$) is calculated to maintain a constant population size (see list of constraints in supplemental Table I). The initial size of the compartments are set so that A + B + C = 1. Models including inflow (s$_{in}$ > 0) naturally achieve steady-state. In this case, the parameter $r$ is chosen as part of the optimization, and the rate of inflow (s$_{in}$) is calculated so that the total size of the GC population is 1 (i.e., A + B + C = 1). BrdU labeling is modeled by allowing dividing cells (subset A) to transition from the
unlabeled (A0) to the labeled compartment (A1) (see supplemental equations). Integration of the model equations was performed with the LSODA algorithm (25) with algorithmic enhancements and Matlab interface developed by The BioAnalytics Group. Global optimization of parameter values was performed using differential evolution with modified sampling (26) to minimize the sum-squared relative error between model and experiment.

Construction of B cell lineages

To construct B cell lineages we first identified sets of sequences that were clonally related using a set of computer algorithms we developed to handle specific circumstances of Ig hypermutation analysis (manuscript in preparation). By combinatorial matching of the end regions of Vx1 and Jx1, the algorithm determined all possible V-J junctions, including those potentially generated by P nucleotides. This allowed us to differentiate between junctional diversity and somatic hypermutation in the region of the V-J junction, as those bases that could not be accounted for by any combination of the germine sequences were considered to be mutations. Junctional diversity was then used to separate independent clones that may have been found in the same microdissection. Since there is relatively little diversity at V-J junctions and virtually no N region addition, there are multiple independent examples of the same junction among Vx1 sequences. Therefore, sequences that shared one of a few very common junctions were considered independent unless they also shared at least one mutation, in which case they were considered clonally related. The computer algorithm was also used to identify independent parallel mutations, which in most cases were attributed to hybridization in the PCR amplification process and were thus discarded. Since isolated independent parallel mutations are known to occur, particularly in hotspots, commonly observed single mutations seen in parallel were not discarded unless other evidence indicating PCR hybridization was found. Sequences were divided into CDRs and framework regions according to our published methodology (27) based on Kabat and IMGT (27–29). Once clonality was determined a lineage was constructed of the clonally related mutants using maximum parsimony criteria (30).

Statistics

Simple statistical tests used are indicated adjacent to p values in the text. We used a Monte Carlo approach to estimate the probability of finding a mutation in x trees out of y independent lineages. Ten thousand sets of y lineages were generated by randomly assigning mutations to each branch using the observed mutation distribution (with replacement), while maintaining the overall shape and mutation counts of each lineage. The p value was calculated by determining the number of times that any mutation appeared in x or more trees for each set. A one-tailed Fisher’s exact test based on a 2 × 2 contingency table was used to determine whether a mutation appeared on nonterminal branches more frequently than expected. To carry out the analysis, the particular mutation being tested was compared with the set of all other mutations appearing in lineages with nonterminal branches. Each mutation was categorized as terminal (appearing on a branch with no children) or nonterminal (appearing on a branch with children). Selection of key mutations between days 10 and 16 was determined using a one-tailed Fisher’s exact test comparing the number of lineages with and without such mutations at each day.

Results

Very low-affinity B cells do participate in GC reactions, although the low-affinity GC population is smaller in size than that of the medium-affinity GC population

In response to immunization with NP-CGG, an increase in $\lambda^+$ (i.e., Ag-specific) GC B cells could readily be observed in V23 mice, although there was a lower frequency of GCs that contained $\kappa^+$ B cells in the V23 mice compared with the B1-8 mice (Fig. 1) and a lower frequency of $\lambda^+$ GC B cells overall as assessed by FACS (Fig. 2). In contrast to GCs in B1-8 mice, which were homogeneously filled with $\lambda^+$ cells, the GCs in V23 mice contained mixtures of both $\lambda^+$ and $\kappa^+$ cells. It is likely that most of the V23 $\kappa^+$ B cells in the GC were specific for the carrier or environmental Ags rather than NP, as immunization with alum alone produced $\lambda^-$ (i.e., $\kappa^+$) GCs (Fig. 1, E and F) (22, 23). This interpretation is supported by the fact that, on FACS analysis, $\kappa^+$ cells did not coexist with NIP-conjugated PE (data not shown). Consistent with the histology, the frequency of $\lambda^+$ PNA$^+$ B cells in the V23 mice was 5- to 10-fold lower than in the B1-8 mice as determined by FACS on days 10, 13, and 16 postimmunization (Fig. 2, all differences between V23 and B1-8 mice $p < 10^{-6}$). The fraction of the total $\lambda^+$ population that was in GCs was also lower in the V23 mice on these days (Fig. 2). GC B cells demonstrated the expected reduction in surface Ig expression (as measured by levels of $\lambda$, Fig. 3). The identity of these $\lambda^+$ cells as GC cells was further confirmed in some experiments by their characteristic increased expression of CD95 (31, 32), as revealed by multicolor flow cytometry (data not shown). These data suggested that although V23 $\lambda^+$ B cells could...
participate in GCs, these cells were not being efficiently selected and/or recruited and this prevented them from accumulating to the same extent as the B1-8 B cells.

Direct measurement of proliferation and death in very low- and medium-affinity GCs

To determine the intrinsic mechanism of differential selection, we assessed the proliferation rate of the B1-8 and V23 B cells in the GC using BrdU incorporation (Fig. 3, which shows data from day 13). Surprisingly, considering the differences in numbers of Ag-responsive GC cells, among λ'PNA+ cells, there were similar frequencies of V23 and B1-8 B cells that had incorporated BrdU after either 4 or 8 h of labeling. Similar BrdU incorporation curves were obtained 10 and 16 days postimmunization (data not shown). These findings were contrary to our expectation that lower affinity B cells would not proliferate as well as higher affinity B cells. Instead, the similar rates of BrdU incorporation for B1-8 and V23 B cells were obtained 10 and 16 days postimmunization (data not shown). V23 and B1-8 mice were significantly different overall (p < 10−6). Values of p values individual days were: day 10, 0.03; day 13, 8.5 × 10−8; day 16, 0.06.

was true at 10, 13, and 16 days after immunization (Fig. 4). Notably, many of these cells with elevated levels of active caspases were also permeable to ethidium monoazide (data not shown), again as expected from apoptotic cells (34). Additionally, the bright signal of caspase activation is not consistent with the weaker levels of activation sometimes seen in proliferating cells (36). Interestingly, and in keeping with the notion that the κ+ cells in the GCs are specific for the carrier and likely of more average affinity, κ+ GC cells had ~10% frequency of apoptotic cells in the V23 mice (supplemental Fig. 1, average value of 9.3 ± 1.2%, n = 3 mice), a value similar to the λ+ cells in the B1-8 and 3-fold lower than the λ+ cells in the same V23 mice; these cells thus served as an internal control in the V23 mice. Finally, we observed that both BrdU+ and BrdU− cells were undergoing cell death; after 4 h of labeling, among λ+ cells, V23 mice demonstrated caspase positivity in 30% of BrdU+ vs 23% of BrdU− cells and B1-8 mice had 15% caspase positive BrdU+ cells vs 9% of BrdU−. Taken together, these results thus demonstrate that the underlying mechanism of selection in the GC is the control of survival of lower affinity B cells and not control of cell division.

Analysis of somatic mutation

To gain further insight into the nature of selection and affinity maturation in GCs that began with fixed low-affinity and higher affinity B cells specific for the same Ag, we conducted an extensive survey of endogenous rearranged V_{H1} sequences obtained from small numbers of cells microdissected from individual GCs.
(see supplemental Table I for a summary) at days 10 and 16 postimmunization. An average of 9.8 and 8.3 sequences per GC from a total of 37 and 25 GCs were recovered from sections of 18 different V23 and 13 different B1-8 spleens, respectively. Lineage trees were constructed from mutation patterns as described in Materials and Methods. As reported (37), analysis of the mutation patterns in sequences grouped according to day and mouse type show an excess of replacement (R) mutations in the CDR, indicating positive selection \((p_{H11021} < 0.05)\). Analyzing the frequency of nonconservative mutations among R mutations in the framework region revealed significant negative selection for such mutations in both V23 and B1-8 mice (27).

The most striking indication of Ag selection is that certain key mutations occurred repeatedly for both mice; interestingly, these differed between V23 and B1-8. At day 10 in V23 mice, mutation 349 (A to T, Asn to Tyr) was found in 7 out of 37 lineages (Fig. 6A; \(p_{H11005} = 0.003\), likelihood of having 7 or more repeated mutations in 37 lineages). Additionally, a very rare junction, CT(T/C)TGG, which is the equivalent of a nonconservative mutation (at position 353 from A to T producing His to Leu) was found in 8 out of 37 lineages (Fig. 5A). As there is no obvious way to create this junction from the germline sequence without the introduction of non-templated nucleotides, we considered that it was actually a somatic mutation. However, these junctions were readily observed in V23 mice that had been crossed to aicda-deficient mice (data not shown), which do not undergo mutation (38), confirming the origin as a junctional variation. This junction was not found among 21 sequences that were PCR amplified from \(\lambda^+\) cells from nonimmune V23 mice and hence probably occurs naturally at a frequency <5%. Importantly, at day 16 both of these features were further enriched among the surviving clones: 349 (A to T) was found in 18 out of 41 lineages in V23 mice (Fig. 6A; \(p_{H11005} = 0.016\), Fisher’s exact test) and the CT/CTGG junction was found in 29 of 41 lineages \((p = 1.6 \times 10^{-5}, \text{Fisher’s exact test})\). At day 16 in V23 there was also an additional overrepresented mutation at position 170 (A to C or G, Asn to Ser or Val); this mutation was not found frequently at day 10.

In B1-8 GCs at day 10 only one mutation was overrepresented, at position 158 (G to A, Ser to Asn), which was found in 9 out of 51 B cell lineages \((p < 10^{-4}, \text{likelihood of having 9 or more repeated mutations in 51 lineages})\). This key mutation is not accompanied by the selection of a rare junction. At both days 10 and 16 all but two trees exhibit the canonical junction CA(T/C)TGG (Fig. 5B), which in either case encodes the same amino acids. At day 16 this key mutant was even more prevalent \((p_{H11005} = 0.037, \text{Fisher’s exact test})\), being found in 13 out of 35 lineages. Additionally, as in V23 GCs, this key mutation was joined by secondary mutations at day 16, the most common of which is the mutation at position 164 from A to T (Fig. 2A). Notably, the primary mutations in V23 and B1-8 GCs did not occur at mutational hotspots, as shown in Fig. 6B, and hence we attribute their initial enrichment and subsequent further purification to selection. This interpretation is further supported by the fact that the two mutations were mainly found associated
only with their respective Vh’s, which would not be expected if their appearance were due to inherent high mutability.

Although it has been suggested that selection is ongoing rather than in a single step (39), there have been only a few and somewhat limited sequence analyses that track dynamic selection of defined B cells specific for a single Ag within the primary GC (40, 41). We find that, although the cell numbers in the GCs we studied do not change dramatically between days 10 and 16 in both of these strains, the clonal composition does, with substantially greater purification of rare mutants, a direct indication of ongoing and stepwise selection.

To test more directly for the continued evolution of clones, we analyzed the placement of the above recurrent mutations in B cell clonal lineages. Mutations that are important for clonal expansion or survival should preferentially be selected early in the life of successful clones. Therefore, such beneficial mutations should be found in trunks of lineage trees more often than expected at random; more generally, they should be found in so-called “nonterminal” branches, which include trunks and higher level main branches that have subbranches above them. Among trees with multiple branch levels at day 16, we found that both the mutations at positions 158 in B1-8 and 349 in V23 are found on nonterminal branches significantly more frequently than expected ($p < 0.05$). We further saw that the secondary mutations at position 164 in B1-8 and position 170 in V23 also appear on nonterminal branches significantly more than expected (Fig. 6, A and C). Taken together, increased mutation frequency, progressive and substantial enrichment of key R mutations in CDRs, and the appearance of recurrent mutations in nonterminal branches are all consistent with strong and ongoing selection both before day 10 and between days 10 and 16.

Effect of mutations on Ag binding

Despite the evident selection for certain mutations, it is less clear how they affect the phenotype and function of the B cells that harbor them. The premise of the systems we are using is to limit competition and affinity maturation by fixing the Vh region, which is thought to dominantly control affinity to NP (42, 43). Indeed, the persistently small size of the V23 relative to B1-8 GC reactions indicates that the V23 B cells, despite mutations in Va, do not evolve to become phenotypically similar to the B1-8 B cells. Nonetheless, mutations in Va could promote relative improvements within a restricted realm of affinities that was determined by the Vh. To test this, we reconstructed some of the key mutations,
singly or in some combinations, and expressed them as IgG1 Abs
with either V23 or B1-8, and then tested their binding to NP sub-
strates in an ELISA assay. While such assays are not as sensitive
a test of affinity as are solution-based methods (23), they can dis-
tinguish gross differences. Perhaps in keeping with the very fre-
cquent usage of variant VJ junctions, IgG1 V23 combined with
germline V \(_{\text{H}261}\) containing a “canonical” VJ join showed no detect-
able binding to NP, even with plates coated at 10 g/ml NP27-BSA
or NP20-CGG. However, when tested on NP20-CGG, the 349 (Asn
\(\rightarrow\) Tyr) mutant, either alone or in combination with other common
mutations, showed markedly enhanced binding (Fig. 7A and data
not shown). Most of the other mutations, in combination with 349
(Asn \(\rightarrow\) Tyr) mutant, either alone or in combination with other common
mutations, showed markedly enhanced binding (Fig. 7A and data
not shown). This variant also had a modest but
detectable effect by itself. Two mutations common in B1-8 but not
in V23 GCs, 158 (Ser \(\rightarrow\) Asn) and 233 (Ala \(\rightarrow\) Val) had little effect
in the context of V23. Although clearly selected for in vivo, these
recurrent mutations found in the context of B1-8 provided little or
no observable improvement in binding when tested with the B1-8
Vh on various concentrations and conjugation ratios of NP-BSA in
vitro (data not shown). This likely reflects a lack of high-end sen-
sitivity of the assay rather than that these recurrently selected mu-
tants actually do not affect affinity. Most importantly, the improved
avidity of the Asn to Tyr and His to Leu variants in the context of
V23 did not nearly approach that of the germline B1-8 Ab, even
when assayed on NP27-BSA at 10 g/ml (Fig. 7B). Hence, in the
context of low starting affinity, recurrent and early amino acid
changes did improve affinity, but even V23 cells harboring these
mutations retained markedly lower affinity than did the germline
starting cells in B1-8 mice.

Mathematical model of low- and high-affinity GC cell kinetics

Given the evidence for selection but restricted effect on global
affinity, we wanted to synthesize the BrdU and cell death data to
develop a comprehensive model of how intrinsic affinity affects
GC B cell turnover. We estimated the proliferation and death rates
in V23 and B1-8 GCs by fitting a mathematical model to the day
13 BrdU labeling data, and the fraction of dying cells in V23 and
B1-8 GCs (Figs. 3 and 4). To develop a better data set for fitting
to the model, we also performed additional labeling experiments in
which BrdU was administered twice daily for 48 or 72 h (Fig. 8).
Previous models of BrdU labeling (44) cannot be used to fit our
data for two reasons. First, equating cell labeling with mitosis, as
prior models do, will underestimate the fraction of labeled cells, because the time during which BrdU is active in our system (≤2 h) is much less than a cell division time. Second, previous models did not explicitly track apoptotic cells. This subset constitutes a large fraction of the GC population in our system and is an important constraint.

To model GC population dynamics, we divided the B cell population into three subsets (Fig. 8A): A (dividing cells, ~S/G1/M phases), B (nondividing cells, ~G0/G1 phases), and C (Casp-Glow−, dying cells). Dividing cells proliferate at rate \( p \) per hour and die at rate \( d_A \) per hour. During the labeling period (a fixed interval of \( L_t \) hours following each BrdU injection) these cells are labeled at rate \( L_t \) per hour. Following each division, both daughter cells enter the nondividing compartment, where each can independently either die with rate \( d_B \) per hour or reenter the dividing subset at rate \( r \) per hour. Dying cells are cleared from the GC at rate \( \alpha \) per hour. Equations predicting the fraction of BrdU-labeled and Casp-Glow+ cells were derived from this model (supplemental Fig. 2). Global optimization using differential evolution with modified sampling (26) was applied to search for parameter values that produced the best (i.e., least-squares) fit between model and experiment. We included several constraints on the possible parameter values. First, based on published data (45–47) we restricted the doubling time for a GC B cell to be at least 6 h (i.e., \( p \approx \log(2)/6 \approx 0.12 \)). Second, the maximum BrdU labeling period (\( L_t \)) was set to 30 min since we and others previously observed that the fraction of BrdU− cells does not increase significantly between 30 min and 1 h (47, 48). Third, the minimum BrdU labeling rate (\( L_t \)) was set to 2.0 per hour since we and others previously observed that virtually all cells in S phase were labeled within 30 min (47, 48). Finally, the clearance rate for apoptotic cells (\( \alpha \)), the BrdU labeling rate (\( L_t \)), and labeling time (\( L_t \)) were assumed to be equivalent for B1-8 and V23 GCs.

As shown in Fig. 8B, the basic model produces good fits with the observed BrdU labeling curves and fraction of Casp-Glow+ cells. Parameter values providing optimal fits are given in supplemental Table II. The labeling period (\( L_t \)) is predicted to be 25 min, which is consistent with experimentally observed labeling periods (47, 48). As might be expected from the steady-state activated caspase measurements, the overall death rate is substantially higher in V23 GCs. Despite higher death rates, the population size of V23 GCs remains constant because this is balanced by a higher proliferation rate (\( p \)). Thus, the model results are consistent with the conclusion that affinity maturation is driven by death, since both the division and death rates in V23 are faster than those predicted for B1-8 mice. Interestingly, these considerations imply that GCs are self-correcting or homeostatic in the sense that when filled with low-affinity B cells there will be much more proliferation, whereas when affinity matures, proliferation will naturally slow down.

An interesting prediction of the model is the relatively long half-life (4.3 h) for clearance of apoptotic cells. Indeed, a simple calculation illustrates why this is necessary in any event to account for the high fraction of observed Casp-Glow− cells seen here, especially in V23 mice, and in other studies (49, 50). To maintain a constant population size, cleared cells must continually be replaced by newly apoptotic cells. If \( C\% \) of the GC is Casp-Glow− and we assume that the rest of the GC population is actively dividing, then the necessary proliferation rate to maintain a constant population is given by \( p = \frac{C}{1-C}d_A \). Thus, if 30% of the GC is Casp-Glow− and the half-life for dying cells is 1 h, then the required doubling time is 2.3 h, much faster than is thought possible. To achieve a minimum doubling time of 6 h requires that dying cells have a half-life of at least 2.5 h. The half-life predicted by the model is longer than this since not all cells in the GC are actively dividing. This conclusion is an inevitable consequence of the observation of high frequencies of activated caspase-positive cells in the GC, and may reflect the fact that this assay identifies cells at the earliest stages of apoptosis as well as that, due to the high load of apoptotic cells, overall clearance in the GC may be relatively slow.

The model predicts that B cells in V23 GCs are dividing at their maximal rate of around every 6 h. If the upper bound on proliferation rate were removed, then shorter doubling times would be predicted (6.2 h for B1-8 and 1.7 h for V23). While such high proliferation rates for cells in V23 mice are clearly unrealistic, doubling times slightly shorter than 6 h are not unreasonable. Nevertheless, these results, even without a physiological constraint on doubling time, are consistent with the conclusion that affinity maturation is driven by death, since without this constraint death rates in V23 remain faster than those predicted for B1-8 mice. Thus, while the exact parameter values estimated by the model should be interpreted cautiously, the broad trends presented here were true across all of the simulations we ran and led to the conclusion that lower affinity GC B cells have higher death rates with either similar or even faster proliferation rates compared with higher affinity GC B cells.

In comparing B1-8 and V23 GCs, a conundrum requiring explanation is how both populations can be at steady-state with similar BrdU labeling curves, but very different apoptosis rates (since the most basic model would predict that proliferation exactly balances death to achieve a constant population size). The optimal solutions to the model have a higher proliferation rate in V23 mice, which balances death, but also a smaller steady-state proportion of cells in S phase that limits the speed of labeling (47% A, 40% B, and 13% C in B1-8 vs 37% A, 37% B, and 26% C in V23). However, an alternate explanation for these observations might be differential outflow of B cells from B1-8 GCs and/or inflow of B cells to V23 GCs. To explore these possibilities, we extended the basic model to allow for either an inflow of unlabeled cells into the dividing compartment at a rate of \( s_{in} \) per hour or an outflow of cells from the nondividing compartment at a rate of \( s_{out} \) per hour. To limit the number of parameters in the model, for each mouse strain only inflow or outflow was allowed, but not both. The fit produced by these models was only slightly better than the basic model above and the main conclusions were unchanged (supplemental Fig. 3 with parameter values in supplemental Table II). In these models, an outflow of cells is predicted for B1-8 GCs, while inflow is predicted for V23 GCs. Consistent with this, limited observations show far more memory phenotype cells in B1-8 than V23 mice >8 wk postimmunization (our unpublished observations). However, several points suggest that inflow is not a significant factor at this stage of the GC reaction. For example, B cell lineage trees showing persistently evolving clones with increasing numbers of somatic mutations, as we observe (see below), are unlikely to be generated under such conditions. Furthermore, FACS analysis at these time points indicates that most of the Ag-specific B cells have a mature GC phenotype, suggesting that inflow is not a significant contributor. Finally, it has recently been reported that new cells are only successful in joining the GC reaction when their affinity is similar to or higher than existing clones (51); since the existing clones in mature GCs at day 10 and 16 are already highly evolved compared with the germline (Fig. 6), this report suggests there should be little joining by new cells at the time points we investigated. Nevertheless, even accounting for inflow and outflow, the model predicts equivalent proliferation rates, along with higher death rates in V23 GCs compared with B1-8 GCs. Thus, as
with all the other model variants we investigated, the main conclusions remain unchanged: selection is driven by a survival advantage.

Surface markers that may distinguish dividing cells

The existence of compartment B (quiescent, see Fig. 8 and supplemental Fig. 2) can clearly be inferred by the labeling kinetics of the GC after multiple doses of BrdU; a flattening of the curve compared with predicted exponential labeling is evident by 24 h (Fig. 3B and data not shown) and even after 48 h of ongoing labeling there is a notable population that still has not taken up BrdU (Fig. 8B). However, it is difficult to distinguish cells in vivo that would be in compartment A (actively dividing) vs compartment B. BrdU labeling could be used to approach this issue. However, since labeled cells can transit from compartment A to B (at rate \( p \)) and since cells in compartment B can return to the dividing pool (at rate \( r \)), the BrdU \(^+ \) cells are not necessarily indicative of one compartment or another (supplemental Fig. 2). Nonetheless, with shorter labeling times, compartment A cells should be enriched in the BrdU \(^+ \) population. To test for marker expression that might correlate with proliferation, we assessed the expression of a series of relevant surface proteins on the GC cells of both V23 and B1-8 mice as a function of BrdU labeling (Table I). A number of markers were expressed at similar levels on both BrdU \(^+ \) and BrdU \(^- \) cells, including CD95 and CD38, which are known to be modulated on GC cells as a whole. Interestingly, two surface markers, CD86 (Fig. 9) and CD23 (Fig. 9A and data not shown), were expressed at lower levels in BrdU \(^+ \) cells. Although these observations further support the notion of heterogeneity within the GC and compartmentalization with respect to proliferation, it is possible that expression of these two proteins is simply regulated in a cell-cycle specific manner, rather than that they reflect distinct compartments of cells.

Discussion

The GC is a site of active proliferation, cell death, somatic hypermutation, and cellular selection (52, 53). The outcome of this process is the generation of high-affinity memory B cells and plasma cells, both of which are critical for long-term and recall immunity. However, the underlying mechanism by which GCs select higher affinity mutants and indeed how affinity affects the fate of B cells in the GC in general has not been elucidated, as recently pointed out (54). This is in part because GCs are a heterogeneous mix of cells that are undergoing active mutation, making it impossible to track fates of cells with defined affinity in normal mice. Additionally, although competition between clones specific for the same Ag is clearly one factor that drives selection (20), this factor does not account for potential intrinsic differences in fates of B cells receiving strong vs weak signals in the GC. To address how affinity affects B cell fate in the GC, we have used two H chain Ig Tg mouse models, both with specificity for the NP Ag but with markedly different affinities. Because these H chains do not mutate and because L chain mutations can have only limited effect on affinity, to a first approximation we were able to study the differential fates of high- and low-affinity B cells in the GC in the absence of substantial interclonal competition for the immunizing Ag.

Our work thus provides direct evidence of the intrinsic mechanism of selection in the GC. Most notably, we demonstrate that an increased rate of cell death, rather than a decreased proliferation rate, is a characteristic of lower affinity clones. Moreover, mathematical modeling of these data revealed that a model allowing for death and division of both dividing and nondividing cells provided an excellent fit for these BrdU labeling and death data. The signals that control death and division in the GC are not well defined. Mice with suboptimal CD19 signaling showed mainly proliferative defects, although death was not quantitated (55), indicating that affinity for Ag provides qualitatively different inputs to GC cells than accessory signals provided via CD19, which transduces signals from the CD21/35 complex (56). While cross-linking of both BCR and CD40 of human tonsillar GC cells partially rescues cell death

### Table I. Surface markers tested to determine differences between BrdU\(^+\) and BrdU\(^-\) cells in the GC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Result from V23 and B1-8 Mice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD86</td>
<td>BrdU(^+) cells have lower expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD23</td>
<td>BrdU(^+) cells have lower expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD62L</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD38</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD80</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD95</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLA-1</td>
<td>No difference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FIGURE 9. CD86 and CD23 are expressed at lower levels on BrdU\(^+\) GC B cells as compared with BrdU-negative GC cells. Mice were injected with BrdU 13 days postimmunization and sacrificed 8 h later. A, Levels of CD86 (top row) and CD23 (bottom row) were compared between BrdU\(^+\) (black lines) and BrdU\(^-\) (shaded histograms) GC B cells from V23 (left column) and B1-8 (right column) mice. Data are representative of seven to nine mice from three independent experiments. B, Summary of the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD86 expression on BrdU\(^+\) and BrdU\(^-\) GC B cells. Results are shown for both the V23 and B1-8 strains from a representative experiment out of two independent replicates. Each symbol is an independent mouse from that experiment, and the bar is the mean value.
already shown that high-affinity cells will very effectively suppress 
in the absence of high-affinity competition. Shih et al. have 
affinity cells do indeed improve their affinity and survive and ex-
ments in affinity. The results are a direct demonstration that low-
tries that emerge that were not (as) evident at day 10. Third, we 
developed a method to analyze the location in genealogic trees of 
key mutations, and found that these occurred nonrandomly in the 
ontterminal branches, that is, in the early rather than late phases of 
clonal evolution. This is in agreement with the view of the GC as 
cycling through a large amount of “mutational space” owing to 
high proliferation rates regardless of affinity, then selecting via 

One of the most unexpected conclusions we reached based on 
mathematical modeling of GC BrdU labeling and apoptosis data 
was that, within the dividing compartment, V23 B cells divided at 
least as quickly and most likely more quickly than did higher af-
ity B1-8 cells. Although this conclusion is an inference from the 
model, it is interesting to consider potential reasons for this. 
Higher division rates could be an inherent feature of B cells re-
ceiving low-affinity signals, although this seems paradoxical. Al-
ternatively, since low-affinity GCs are in fact smaller, with many 
fewer proliferating cells, more resources in the GC niche would be 
available per cell, resulting in overall higher rates of proliferation. 
Since competition occurs mostly or exclusively between clones 
specific for the same Ag, rather than between separate Ags (40, 62, 
63), at least some of these resources should be Ag-specific; this 
could include Ag itself, as well as follicular dendritic cell contacts, 
and T cells specific for the Ag; nonspecific resources such as cy-
tokines, as well as cellular nutrients, could also contribute (64– 
66). If this is the reason for faster proliferation, then competition 
by higher affinity B cells in the same GC would suppress the pro-
liferation rate of low-affinity B cells, and indeed there is evidence 
for this (20, 23, 40). The present system minimizes competition 
between clones of different affinity for the same Ag, allowing the 
measurement of the parameters inherent to B cell affinity without 
this additional variable.

The observation that affinity, which in turn presumably affects 
signal strength and/or duration, has differential effects on prolifer-
ation and death also has implications for BCR signaling in GC 
cells. We propose that fates of GC B cells are controlled by (at 
least) two signals: one signal for proliferation that has a low 
threshold and that is not further sensitive to increases in affinity but 
that must remain above a minimum level, and one signal for 
sustaining cells that have initiated proliferation that is tuned ac-

cording to the affinity of the BCR (i.e., the signal strength). Both 
of these signals must be transduced through the same receptor, 
the BCR. It is well known in fact that there are multiple signaling 
pathways downstream of the BCR and that, at least in mutants, 
they can be partially uncoupled from each other (67, 68). There is 
evidence for differential signaling for death, survival, or prolifer-
ation through the TCR as well (69, 70).

Immunologists typically refer to “GC B cells” as if they were a 
discrete and homogeneous population. However, unlike almost ev-
ery other cell type in the body, GC B cells have great heterogeneity 
in the nature of their key stimulatory receptor, the BCR. That cells 
of different affinities can have intrinsically different death and pro-
iferation rates, as we show here, implies that there could be het-\nerogeneity within the GC in addition to apoptosis and cell division. 
There could be other fates or functions that are controlled by BCR 
affinity; for example, selection into the memory or plasma cell 
differentiation pathways could be a function of BCR signal 
strength itself (71, 72). Surface marker analysis of GC B cells does
Indeed demonstrate phenotypic heterogeneity, the significance of which is poorly understood, but could reflect the outcomes of differential BCR-mediated signals (73, 74). Our data (Fig. 9) does indeed show some phenotypic differences between GC cells that have recently taken up BrdU and those that did not, although the functional significance of these differences is unknown. Similarly, the observation that cell death occurs in both the BrdU+ and BrdU− fractions indicates that both “centroblasts” (defined here as proliferating cells) and “centrocytes” (nonproliferating cells) are subject to selection. This is counter to some classical notions of proliferating cells) and “centrocytes” (nonproliferating cells) are B cell fate is governed by its ability to bind Ag.
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