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Fibroblast-Type Reticular Stromal Cells Regulate the Lymph Node Vasculature

Susan Chyou,2* Eric H. Ekland,2* April C. Carpenter,* Te-Chen Jenny Tzeng,* Sha Tian,* Michael Michaud,§ Joseph A. Madri,§ and Theresa T. Lu3*†

The lymph node vasculature is essential to immune function, but mechanisms regulating lymph node vascular maintenance and growth are not well understood. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is an important mediator of lymph node endothelial cell proliferation in stimulated lymph nodes. It is expressed basally in lymph nodes and up-regulated upon lymph node stimulation, but the identity of VEGF-expressing cells in lymph nodes is not known. We show that, at homeostasis, fibroblast-type reticular stromal cells (FRC) in the T zone and medullary cords are the principal VEGF-expressing cells in lymph nodes and that VEGF plays a role in maintaining endothelial cell proliferation, although peripheral node addressin (PNAd)+ endothelial cells are less sensitive than PNAd+ endothelial cells to VEGF blockade. Lymphotoxin β receptor (LTβR) blockade reduces homeostatic VEGF levels and endothelial cell proliferation, and LTβR stimulation of murine fibroblast-type cells up-regulates VEGF expression, suggesting that LTβR signals on FRC regulate lymph node VEGF levels and, thereby, lymph node endothelial cell proliferation. At the initiation of immune responses, FRC remain the principal VEGF mRNA-expressing cells in lymph nodes, suggesting that FRC may play an important role in regulating vascular growth in stimulated nodes. In stimulated nodes, VEGF regulates the proliferation and expansion of both PNAd+ and PNAd− endothelial cells. Taken together, these data suggest a role for FRC as paracrine regulators of lymph node endothelial cells and suggest that modulation of FRC VEGF expression may be a means to regulate lymph node vascularity and, potentially, immune function. The Journal of Immunology, 2008, 181: 3887–3896.

Lymph nodes are dependent on blood and lymphatic vessels for the continuous delivery of blood-borne cells, oxygen, and micronutrients and lymph-borne Ags and APCs. Potentially, manipulating lymph node vascularity may be a means to modulate immune function in clinical settings, and understanding the mechanisms that regulate homeostatic and immune-stimulated vascular growth can contribute to development of therapeutics that modulate lymph node vasculature. We have recently shown that lymph node endothelial cells have a basal proliferation rate of ~1% and that, upon lymph node stimulation, endothelial cell proliferation is dramatically increased and vascular expansion ensues. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is an important mediator of the increased endothelial cell proliferation and is expressed basally and up-regulated upon lymph node stimulation (1). These results suggest that modulation of VEGF expression may be a means to modulate lymph node vascularity. The identity of VEGF-expressing cells in lymph nodes, however, remains unresolved.

Blood and lymphatic vessels in lymph nodes reside within the stromal scaffold and are intimately associated with the cellular components of the scaffold. The scaffold consists of a reticular network of collagen-rich fibrils that are ensheathed by fibroblast-type reticular stromal cells (FRC) and that are distributed throughout the lymph node parenchyma. FRC are thought to be of mesenchymal origin and they synthesize the basement membrane components of the fibrils (2). FRC also express chemokines that are critical for the migration of B and T cells to and within their respective compartments and express cell adhesion molecules that are likely to be important for the adhesion of resident dendritic cells (2–5). In addition to cellular localization and movement, FRC play an important role in maintaining T cell homeostasis by expressing IL-7 and CCL19 (6). Around high endothelial venules (HEV), FRC form a sheath of concentrically arranged overlapping cells and thus resemble pericytes found around the microvessels of other tissues. This FRC sheath is connected to the rest of the stromal network and directs and regulates the migration of recently transmigrated cells away from HEV into the lymph node parenchyma (4, 7).

FRC express lymphotoxin β receptor (LTβR), and expression of chemokines and cell adhesion molecules is regulated by LTβR signaling. Blockade of LTβR signaling in vivo reduces chemokine and adhesion molecule expression in lymphoid tissues (3, 8), and stimulation of LTβR on cultured FRC or other fibroblast-type cells is associated with increased expression of matrix and adhesion molecules (2, 9). LTβR binds LTα1β2 heterotrimer and the related...
molecule lymphotaxon-like inducible protein that competes with glycoprotein D for herpesvirus entry mediator on T cells (LIGHT) expressed primarily by hematopoietic cells (8).

In this study, we identify FRC as the principal VEGF-expressing cells in lymph nodes and show that LTβR regulates VEGF expression and lymph node endothelial cell proliferation. We show that VEGF may be more important for the maintenance of peripheral node addressin (PNAd)-endothelial cell proliferation in homeostatic nodes while proliferation and expansion of both PNAd- and PNAd+ endothelial cells are sensitive to VEGF blockade in stimulated nodes. We also show that reduced vascular growth in stimulated lymph nodes is associated with reduced lymph node cellularity and lymphocyte trafficking. These studies ascribe a previously unrecognized role for lymph node FRC as regulators of the lymph node vasculature and expand our understanding of lymph node vascular regulation and its potential functional consequences.

Materials and Methods

**Mice**

C57BL/6 mice between 6 and 12 wk of age were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory or Charles River Laboratories. VEGF-lacZ mice (10) were originally on a C57 background and crossed once to four generations to B6 mice for our studies. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the regulations of the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee of the Hospital for Special Surgery.

Indicated mice were treated with polyclonal goat anti-VEGF (R&D Systems) or control goat Ab (R&D Systems) as described (1). Indicated mice were injected i.v. with 100 μg of LTβR-Ig (11) (kindly provided by Jeff Browning of Biogen Idec) or monoclonal human IgG1 (Protos Immunoresearch). Indicated mice were injected i.p. with allibercept or control human-Fc (Regeneron) (12, 13).

**Flow cytometry analysis**

Flow cytometric analysis of endothelial cell proliferation is as described previously (1). Briefly, mice were pulsed with BrdU for 24 h before analysis. Lymph nodes were digested with collagenase type II and cells were counted to determine lymph node cellularity. Cells were then stained with Abs for CD45 (to gate out hematopoietic cells), CD31 (to identify CD45+CD31+ endothelial cells), PNAd (to distinguish PNAd+ and PNAd− endothelial cells), and BrdU. Cells were analyzed using a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) and CellQuest (BD Biosciences) software.

For LTβR staining, hamster anti-mouse LTβR clone AFH6 and control clone HA4/8 were used (14). Both Abs were kindly provided by Jeff Browning of Biogen Idec.

For gp38 staining, hamster mAb 8.1.1 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank at the University of Iowa) and anti-hamster-PE (Invitrogen) were used.

For flow cytometric analysis of β-galactosidase (β-gal) activity in VEGF-lacZ mice, the β-gal substrate fluorescein-di-dβ-n-toluidine (FDG) (Invitrogen) was used. Peripheral lymph nodes were pooled from each mouse and collagenase was digested in DMEM containing 0.5% BSA (DMEM/BSA). Isolated cells were stained with primary and secondary Abs for 20 min each in DMEM/BSA. Cells were resuspended in 40 μl DMEM/BSA and placed in a 37°C water bath for 10 min to warm. An equal volume of 2 mM FDG in water was added in 1 min, after which the cells were placed on ice and 400 μl of cold DMEM/5% FBS/7-aminocin- nomycin D (E-Bioscience) immediately added.

**Cryosection staining**

Lymph nodes were flash-frozen and 7-μm sections were cut on a cryostat. Sections were dried for 1 h, fixed for 5 min in 2% paraformaldehyde/0.125% glutaraldehyde, washed three times with 2 mM MgCl2 in PBS and then three times with 2 mM MgCl2, 0.02% Nonidet P-40, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate in PBS. To visualize β-gal activity, sections were incubated at 37°C for 18 h in 1 M β-gal solution (X-gal (Sigma-Aldrich) in 2 mM MgCl2, 0.02% Nonidet P-40, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate, 0.03% K3Fe(CN)6, 0.03% C6FeK4N6, 3H2O in PBS). Sections were then washed in TBS and then stained with additional primary and secondary Abs as previously described (1).

To highlight the blood vasculature, some mice were injected i.v. with 100 μg biotin-conjugated tomato lectin (Vector Laboratories) and euthanized 3 min later, as previously described (1).

Lymph node VEGF expression was determined by the application of streptavidin-HRP (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories).

**Bone marrow chimeras**

VEGF-lacZ heterozygote mice or transgene-negative littermates were lethally irradiated using 875 rads from an x-ray source. Mice received 5 × 106 donor bone marrow cells i.v. and were allowed to reconstitute for 6 wk before analysis. Some chimeras were stimulated with footpad injections of 106 LPS-matured bone marrow-derived dendritic cells as previously described (1).

**Cell enrichment and real-time PCR**

Pooled peripheral lymph nodes from three mice were digested with collagenase as for flow cytometry. Anti-CD45-biotin (BD Biosciences) was added and CD45+ cells were depleted using streptavidin microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and a CS MACS column (Miltenyi Biotec). CD45+CD31+ endothelial cells were separated from CD45−CD31− stromal cells using anti-CD31-biotin (BD Biosciences) and a LS MACS column. Approximately 30,000 cells from each fraction were subject to mRNA extraction.

RNA was extracted from cell fractions using RNEasy minikit on an on-column DNase digestion (Qiagen). SuperScript III first-strand synthesis system (Invitrogen) was used to generate cDNA. For real-time PCR, an iQ Sybr-Green Supermix kit (Bio-Rad) was used. VEGF primers were as used (see Ref. 15) and detect all alternatively splice isoforms of VEGF-A. They were 5′-GGAGATCCTTTGAGGACACTT-3′ and 5′-GGCCGATTTAGCAGCATATAAGA-3′. Vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin primers were 5′-GGATCCTTACACCCACCGAG-3′ and 5′-GGTCTCTGGGCCAATGTGAGA-3′. Cyclophilin primers were 5′-CAGACCCGACTGTCGCTTT-3′ and 5′-GTCTTCTGGAATTCTGGTCAAC-3′. VEGF and VE-cadherin levels were normalized to cyclophilin to measure a preamplification of relative mRNA levels.

**Fibroblast culture and treatment**

NIH 3T3 cells were cultured in DMEM (Mediatech) containing 10% heat-inactivated calf serum (HyClone). Cells were plated at 5 × 105 cells per well in 24-well plates and allowed to settle overnight. Media was changed the next day and LTβR clone AFH6 and control clone HA4/8 (14) were added at 1 μg/ml. Fresh media with Abs was added at day 3 and supernatants were taken at day 5. Samples were plated in triplicate.

**VEGF measurements**

For lymph node VEGF levels, popliteal lymph nodes were solubilized and subjected to VEGF measurement using a commercial kit (R&D Systems, DuoSet mouse VEGF) as previously described (1). VEGF from culture supernatants was measured using the same kit.

**Results**

FRC are the principal VEGF-expressing cells in homeostatic lymph nodes

We used VEGF-lacZ reporter mice to determine the cell types expressing VEGF in the lymph node. CD31 staining was used to identify blood and lymphatic vessels, and biotinylated tomato lectin was injected i.v. to further highlight the blood vasculature in frozen sections. High levels of β-gal activity were found predominantly in cells located near CD31+ blood vessels in the T zone and blood and CD31+ lymphatic vessels in the medullary cords (Fig. 1, A and B). Within the T zone, β-gal− cells were localized both directly adjacent to and in the vicinity of HEV and small blood vessels (Fig. 1C). The medulla is a vessel-rich area, and β-gal− cells were highly enriched in this compartment (Fig. 1, A and B). As in the T zone, the medullary β-gal− cells were localized near the HEV and the numerous small blood vessels (Fig. 1D). In the medullary cords where HEV were intermingled with the lymphatics, the β-gal+ cells were localized to the compartment between the HEV and the lymphatics at the abluminal side of both types of vessels (Fig. 1E). Although most β-gal+ cells were not described as described (1).
FIGURE 1. Characterization of VEGF expression using VEGF-lacZ mice. A–K, Lymph nodes sections from VEGF-lacZ mice were stained for β-gal activity and for indicated markers. Lectin was injected i.v. in some mice to highlight the blood vasculature. A, β-gal activity relative to B220+ cells in a brachial lymph node. The T zone (T) and medulla (M) are shown. Magnification, ×10. B, β-gal activity relative to endothelial cells in a nearby section. HEV are distinct large CD31brightlectin+ (red/brown) vessels. The lymphatic vasculature expresses less CD31 and appears light pink. C, Magnification (×160) of the T zone highlighted area in B showing β-gal+ cells around HEV and small microvessels. Asterik indicates an endothelial cell that also demonstrates detectable β-gal expression. D, Magnification (×160) of the medullary area highlighted in B showing the β-gal+ cells around the HEV and numerous small blood vessels. E, β-gal expression in the medullary cords where HEV are located within lymphatic-rich regions. F, β-gal expression relative to a B cell follicle and a B220+ cell-enriched area in the T zone in an inguinal lymph node. Magnification, ×40. G, IgM staining in a nearby section to examine presence of B cells in the B220− cell-enriched area in the T zone. H, CD11c and ER-TR7 staining in a nearby section shows enrichment of reticulum and presence of CD11c+ cells in the B cell-enriched area in the T zone. I, β-gal expression relative to ERTR7+ reticulum in the T zone. Magnification, ×160. J, β-gal expression relative to ERTR7+ reticulum in the medullary cords. K, β-gal expression relative to gp38+ FRC. L, Flow cytometric analysis of lymph node β-gal expression by CD45+ hematopoietic cells, CD45−CD31+ endothelial cells, CD45−CD31− nonendothelial stromal cells, and CD45−CD31−gp38+ FRC. Data are representative of five experiments. M, Bone marrow chimeras were generated using VEGF-lacZ donors and wild-type hosts (VLZ → WT) and wild-type donors and VEGF-lacZ hosts (WT → VLZ). Brachial lymph nodes were harvested and frozen sections were assessed for β-gal activity in conjunction with CD31 staining. Data are representative of four pairs of chimeras.
β-gal activity tended to localize in B220+ areas but was only sometimes seen within the B cell follicles (Fig. 1, A and F). Aside from the B cell-rich medullary cords, β-gal activity was enriched in extrafollicular B220+ areas in the T zone (Fig. 1, A and F) that were sometimes seen to extend from the interfollicular regions in the cortex (Fig. 1F). These areas were also enriched in IgM+ cells (Fig. 1G), suggesting that these regions were indeed enriched in B cells, although B220+ plasmacytoid dendritic cells may also be enriched in these regions. The ER-TR7 Ab marks the extrafollicular reticular network (2, 16), and ER-TR7 staining showed the enrichment of reticulum and further highlighted the concentration of blood vessels and lymphatic sinuses in the extrafollicular B-rich areas (Fig. 1H). CD11c+ cells were also present in these areas (Fig. 1H). The concentrations of blood vessels, lymphatic sinuses, and reticulum in these B cell-rich areas suggest that these areas correspond to the borders between deep cortex units described by Belisle and Sainte-Marie (17) or the paracortical lobular units described by Kelly (18) that are areas of blood and lymph vessel trafficking (17, 19). In the T zone, then, β-gal+ cells are enriched in these border zones.

The localization of β-gal− around and near vessels and in reticulum-enriched areas led us to examine the localization of β-gal− cells relative to the FRC network. β-gal− cells colocalized with the ER-TR7+ fibrils that were both directly apposed to, as well as in the vicinity of, vessels in the T zone (Fig. 1I) and the medullary cords (Fig. 1J). GP38 is a marker of FRC that are mostly extrafollicular (6, 20, 21). The brightest gp38 staining marked the subcapsular sinus and the lymphatic vessels whereas T zone and medullary FRC demonstrated medium-intensity gp38 staining (data not shown). Most β-gal− cells colocalized with the medium-intensity gp38 FRC staining (Fig. 1K). These data suggested that the VEGF-expressing cells within the lymph node could be FRC or perhaps hematopoietic cells closely associated with FRC.

To further establish the identity of β-gal− cells, we used flow cytometry to detect β-gal activity. CD45+ hematopoietic cells showed no detectable β-gal activity, and a small subpopulation of CD45−CD31+ endothelial cells showed low levels of β-gal activity. In contrast, CD45−CD31− nonendothelial stromal cells were more enriched in β-gal− cells. Most (83 ± 0.01%; n = 4) of these β-gal− nonendothelial stromal cells were gp38+, suggesting that these β-gal− cells were FRC. Gating on the gp38+ FRC subpopulation of nonendothelial stromal showed that the gp38+ FRC were greatly enriched in β-gal− cells (Fig. 1L). These results, in conjunction with the staining pattern seen in the frozen sections, suggested that FRC were the principal expressers of β-gal.

To further assess the relative contribution of hematopoietic and stromal populations to lymph node VEGF expression, we generated bone marrow chimeras. Transplantation of bone marrow cells from VEGF-lacZ mice into lethally irradiated wild-type hosts yielded no detectable β-gal− cells (Fig. 1M, left panel). In contrast, VEGF-lacZ hosts receiving wild-type donor cells demonstrated a concentration of β-gal− cells around vessels in vessel-rich areas (Fig. 1M, right panel). The β-gal expression, then, segregated with the radiosensitive stromal compartment and not with radiosensitive hematopoietic cells and further suggested that FRC were the principal VEGF-expressing cells in homeostatic lymph nodes. Collectively, our findings in the VEGF-lacZ mice suggested that the pericyte-like FRC that ensheathed the HEV as well as FRC in the T zone and medullary fibrillar network near the vessels were the predominant VEGF-expressing cells in lymph nodes.

To confirm our findings in the VEGF-lacZ reporter mice, we tested for VEGF mRNA levels directly. We enriched for CD45−CD31+ endothelial cells and for CD45−CD31− nonendothelial stromal cells by magnetic selection (Fig. 2A) and assessed VEGF mRNA levels using real-time PCR. On average, endothelial cells were enriched 260-fold (±90; n = 5) and nonendothelial stromal cells were enriched 230-fold (±90; n = 5). Of the nonendothelial stromal cells in the nonendothelial stromal cell fraction, 80% were gp38+ (Fig. 2B). In the endothelial cell fraction, ~15% of the cells were also gp38+, but these cells had higher levels of gp38 and likely corresponded to the gp38bright lymphatic staining seen in the frozen sections (data not shown). Real-time PCR showed that endothelial cells had a 5-fold increase in VEGF mRNA when compared with unseparated lymph node cells while the nonendothelial stromal cells expressed 63-fold more VEGF mRNA (Fig. 2C). As a comparison, we also assayed for mRNA for VE-cadherin, a marker of blood vascular and lymphatic endothelial cells (22, 23). As expected, only the endothelial cell fraction was greatly enriched in VE-cadherin mRNA (Fig. 2D). These results are in agreement with the results from the VEGF-lacZ mice and, taken together, our results strongly suggested that FRC are principal expressers of VEGF within lymph nodes.

VEGF mediates homeostatic lymph node endothelial cell proliferation

VEGF is an important mediator of the increased endothelial cell proliferation seen in immune-stimulated lymph nodes (1), and we asked about the importance of VEGF during homeostasis. Two days after anti-VEGF treatment in unstimulated mice, proliferation of the total endothelial cell population was markedly reduced (Fig. 3A). We asked whether there were differences between HEV endothelial cells and other types of endothelial cells. HEV endothelial cells of peripheral lymph nodes are marked by the expression of PNAδ, a group of sulfated glycoproteins that mediate part of the L-selectin-dependent entry of circulating cells. HEV are postcapillary venules, and the endothelial cells of the arterioles and capillaries that are upstream of HEV, as well as the venules that are downstream of HEV, are PNAδ−. Lymphatic endothelial cells are also usually PNAδ− (24–26). We thus used PNAδ expression on
endothelial cells as a marker of HEV endothelial cells. Both PNAd+ and PNAd- endothelial cells showed sensitivity to VEGF blockade, although the magnitude of reduction in proliferation tended to be greater in PNAd- cells (Fig. 3A). As expected, endothelial cell numbers were not reduced with this short-term anti-VEGF treatment (data not shown). However, there was an accompanying modest reduction in lymph node size (Fig. 3B), suggesting that there was altered lymphocyte trafficking or survival, and suggesting that the reduction in endothelial cell proliferation was associated with some other facet of vascular function.

We asked if longer treatment with anti-VEGF could amplify the effects on endothelial cell proliferation and lymph node cellularity. While PNAd+ endothelial cells continued to show greatly reduced endothelial cell proliferation after 4 days of anti-VEGF treatment, PNAd- endothelial cells no longer showed any reduction in endothelial cell proliferation (Fig. 3C). Corresponding to the lack of effect on PNAd- endothelial cells was the lack of reduction in lymph node cellularity at day 4 (Fig. 3D), suggesting that the reduction in lymph node cellularity observed at day 2 may have been linked to alterations in HEV function.

The day 2 and day 4 results together pointed to a differential sensitivity between PNAd+ and PNAd- endothelial cells to VEGF blockade during homeostasis. While VEGF can be sequestered or up-regulated in response to VEGF blockade (27–29), and it is possible that complete abolishment of VEGF expression or VEGF signaling can uncover a greater role for VEGF in sustained regulation of PNAd+ endothelial cell proliferation, these blockade experiments suggested that VEGF at homeostasis may play more of a role in maintaining PNAd- rather than PNAd+ endothelial cell proliferation and numbers.

**LTβR blockade reduces homeostatic VEGF and endothelial cell proliferation**

FRC express LTβR and LTβR signaling regulates their expression of chemokines, cell adhesion molecules, and extracellular matrix components (2, 3, 8, 9). Flow cytometric analysis showed that gp38+ FRC expressed LTβR (Fig. 4A). We asked whether blockade of LTβR signaling could down-regulate lymph node VEGF levels. Treatment of mice with LTβR-Ig fusion protein for 2 days resulted in a 37% reduction in lymph node VEGF levels (Fig. 4B), suggesting that LTβR signaling was required to maintain lymph node VEGF levels.

We tested whether the reduced VEGF levels induced by LTβR blockade were associated with reduced endothelial cell proliferation. Two days of LTβR-Ig treatment led to a significant reduction in proliferation of endothelial cells, although the magnitude of reduction was overall less than with anti-VEGF at 2 days. As with 2 days of anti-VEGF treatment, both PNAd+ and PNAd- endothelial cells demonstrated reduced proliferation, and PNAd- cells showed a greater magnitude of reduction (Fig. 4C). Also similar to the effect of 2 days of anti-VEGF treatment was the modest reduction in lymph node size (Fig. 4D). Of note was that, although prolonged LTβR-Ig treatment can reduce PNAd expression and consequent cell trafficking and lymph node cellularity (30), the 2-day treatment used here did not reduce PNAd levels as assessed by flow cytometry (data not shown). Taken together, these results
suggested that LTβR signaling regulates the level of VEGF expression and that this LTβR-VEGF axis can regulate the level of endothelial cell proliferation during homeostasis.

**LTβR signals increase VEGF expression by fibroblast-type cells**

Our results suggested that the reduction of LTβR signaling on FRC was associated with reduced VEGF expression, but the LTβR signaling may be indirect, as other cell types such as endothelial cells and dendritic cells can express LTβR (26, 31, 32). Also, because LTβR-Ig can also block LIGHT-herspesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) interactions (8), the LTβR-Ig effect may reflect HVEM signaling. We therefore investigated whether direct modulation of LTβR on cultured fibroblast-type cells could modulate VEGF expression. NIH 3T3 cells are an embryonic fibroblast-derived cell line (33), and we found that they resemble FRC in expressing cell surface LTβR (Fig. 5A) and gp38 (Fig. 5B). Additionally, they were negative for endothelial cell markers such as CD31 and von Willebrand factor (data not shown). ELISA of culture supernatants showed that VEGF was expressed basally by the NIH 3T3 cells (Fig. 5C). Addition of control Ab to the cells did not alter VEGF levels, but addition of agonist LTβR Ab AFH6 (14) was sufficient to stimulate an increase in VEGF levels (Fig. 5C). These results indicated that VEGF expression by fibroblast-type cells can be directly modulated by LTβR signals and suggested that the down-regulation of lymph node VEGF levels with LTβR-Ig could be at least in part attributable to blockade of LTβR signals on FRC.

**FRC remain the principal VEGF-expressing cells in stimulated lymph nodes**

Within 1 day after immune stimulation, VEGF levels in draining lymph nodes are increased by ~2-fold and this increase in VEGF is abrogated by L-selectin blockade (1). This suggested that blood-borne hematopoietic cells entering stimulated nodes in an L-selectin-dependent manner may be induced to up-regulate VEGF mRNA expression to levels approaching those of the FRC. Consistent with this model, Angeli et al. have demonstrated the induction of VEGF protein staining in B cell zones in stimulated lymph nodes (34). We asked whether we could detect high levels of VEGF expression by B cells or other hematopoietic cells in stimulated nodes. We stimulated VEGF-lacZ bone marrow chimeras with a footpad injection of dendritic cells (1) and examined β-gal expression 2 days later. With lymph node stimulation, β-gal expression remained undetectable in wild-type recipient reconstituted with VEGF-lacZ bone marrow (Fig. 6A, left panel), suggesting that there was very little or no increase in VEGF mRNA expression in hematopoietic cells. In contrast, VEGF-lacZ recipients reconstituted with wild-type cells continued to demonstrate high β-gal expression near vessels upon stimulation (Fig. 7A).

Flow cytometric analysis of VEGF-lacZ mice corroborated the histochemical findings in the chimeras. CD45+ hematopoietic cells did not show detectable β-gal expression, while FRC remained high expressers of β-gal (data not shown). Similarly, in lymph nodes stimulated with OVA in CFA, gp38+ FRC but not CD45- nonendothelial stromal cells, and in CD45+ CD31- nonendothelial stromal cells, and in CD45+ CD31- nonendothelial stromal cells.
endothelial cells. To compare the results at homeostasis with results in stimulated mice, we treated dendritic cell-stimulated mice as we did the mice at homeostasis, using 100 μg of anti-VEGF at days 0 and 2. Proliferation of both PNAd− and PNAd+ cells was reduced in the stimulated nodes at day 4 (Fig. 7C), although the overall magnitude of reduction was less dramatic than at day 2 with the higher dose of anti-VEGF (compare with Fig. 7A). In addition to the reduced proliferation, the expansion of endothelial cells from baseline numbers was blunted by 43%, with PNAd− cell expansion blunted by 40% and PNAd+ endothelial cell expansion blunted by 55% (Fig. 7D). The sensitivity of both PNAd− and PNAd+ endothelial cells to VEGF blockade suggests that, in contrast to VEGF at homeostasis, VEGF upon immune stimulation is important for the proliferation and expansion of both PNAd− and PNAd+ endothelial cells. Lymph node cellularity is modestly reduced (Fig. 7E), suggesting that the reduction in vascular expansion could potentially have an impact on lymph node growth and function.

Polyclonal Abs can have off-target effects, and we therefore tested whether lymph node vascular growth and cellularity could be similarly affected in stimulated lymph nodes using another VEGF antagonist, aflibercept, formerly known as "VEGF-Trap." Aflibercept is a VEGF receptor 1 and VEGF receptor 2 chimeric protein fused to the Fc portion of human IgG1 that acts as a potent VEGF antagonist, aflibercept, formerly known as "VEGF-Trap." The regulation of lymph node vascular homeostasis and growth is well understood, and our results suggest that FRC are a principal source of VEGF and may play a role in regulating lymph node endothelial cell proliferation. Our data in VEGF-lacZ mice show that the β-gal signal colocalizes with gp38+ FRC on sections, that gp38+ FRC are β-gal+ in flow cytometric analysis, and that the β-gal signal segregates with a radioresistant population in bone marrow chimeras. Additionally, we show that high levels of VEGF mRNA are expressed by CD45−CD31− nonendothelial stromal cells, most of which are gp38+ FRC. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that FRC are a principal source of VEGF in lymph nodes.

Our experiments at homeostasis suggest that this local VEGF expression could be important in the regulation of the lymph node

**Discussion**

The regulation of lymph node vascular homeostasis and growth is not well understood, and our results suggest that FRC are a principal source of VEGF and may play a role in regulating lymph node endothelial cell proliferation. Our data in VEGF-lacZ mice show that the β-gal signal colocalizes with gp38+ FRC on sections, that gp38+ FRC are β-gal+ in flow cytometric analysis, and that the β-gal signal segregates with a radioresistant population in bone marrow chimeras. Additionally, we show that high levels of VEGF mRNA are expressed by CD45−CD31− nonendothelial stromal cells, most of which are gp38+ FRC.Taken together, these data strongly suggest that FRC are a principal source of VEGF in lymph nodes.
vasculature. First, the β-gal signal is located around and near vessels, suggesting a scenario whereby the locally expressed VEGF could act on nearby vessels. Second, the high concentration of β-gal signal in the medulla correlates with the high vascular density in this region, suggesting that there may be a functional relationship between the amount of VEGF expressed and the quantity of vasculature that is supported. Third, our data on homeostatic lymph nodes show that VEGF-expressing gp38

/FRC express LTβR and that LTβR-Ig reduces lymph node VEGF levels. Our in vitro data indicate that LTβR signals on fibroblast-type cells can modulate VEGF expression, giving support to the concept that LTβR-Ig may have acted on lymph node FRC to reduce locally expressed VEGF. The reduction in VEGF upon LTβR-Ig treatment correlates with a reduction in endothelial cell proliferation, suggesting that the reduced VEGF had a functional impact on the lymph node vasculature. While we have not excluded the potential role of VEGF generated outside the lymph node, the localization data together with the functional data support a model whereby LTβR

/FRC localized near blood and lymphatic vessels regulate proliferation of local endothelial cells at least in part by expression of VEGF. As LTβR ligands are expressed primarily by hematopoietic cells, the FRC LTβR presumably receives signals delivered by hematopoietic cells, and this hematopoietic cell-FRC interaction regulates the amount of VEGF expressed by the FRC and the consequent level of endothelial cell proliferation. Lymph node FRC are known to play critical roles in regulating lymphocyte compartmentalization and homeostasis. Our data suggest that lymph node FRC have an additional role in regulating lymph node vascularity.

The VEGF-producing cells were in proximity to both blood and lymphatic vasculature, suggesting that these cells could regulate both types of vasculature. Our VEGF-blocking studies at homeostasis supported this concept. LYYE-1 lymphatic endothelial cells comprise ~20% of PNAd

endothelial cells, and the greatly reduced proliferation of PNAd

endothelial cells upon VEGF blockade suggests that the proliferation of both blood and lymphatic endothelial cells was affected. The idea that one VEGF-expressing cell type can regulate both blood vascular and lymphatic endothelial cells is also consistent with recent studies suggesting a role for VEGF in promoting lymphatic vessel growth (34–37) and with expression of VEGF receptor 2, the major VEGF receptor that mediates endothelial proliferation and growth, on both blood vessels and lymphatic sinuses in lymph nodes (38, 39). During immune responses, expansion of both lymphatic and blood vasculature in the lymph node occurs in the same timeframe (1, 26, 34, 40), further suggesting coregulation of blood and lymphatic vessels. VEGF-producing FRC may be pivotal regulatory cells whose activation state dictates the level of both blood and lymphatic vascularity.

Our findings also suggest a new role for LTβR signaling. Prolonged LTβR blockade in homeostatic nodes results in reduced lymph node cellularity. This is at least in part attributable to alterations in HEV gene expression that result in reduced PNAd

expression and corresponding reductions in entry of blood-borne cells (30). In addition to this presumably direct effect of LTβR signaling on HEV endothelial cells, our studies would suggest that long-term LTβR blockade may also contribute to reduced lymph node cellularity by reducing VEGF levels, the extent of PNAd

blood vascular and lymphatic endothelial cell proliferation, and, over time, the number of PNAd

blood vascular and lymphatic endothelial cells. Reduced blood vascular endothelial cell numbers may lead to reduced cellularity by reducing metabolic support, and reduced lymphatic endothelial cell numbers may contribute to reduced cellularity by reducing accumulation of dendritic cells and other lymph-borne contents that may be important in controlling lymph node cellularity and HEV phenotype (1, 26, 41, 42).

LTβR ligands are expressed primarily by hematopoietic cells (8), and relevant LTβR ligand-bearing candidates include B lymphocytes, which are known to express Ltα1Rβ2 at homeostasis (3), and immature dendritic cells, which can express LIGHT and lymphotoxin β (43, 44). We have shown that homeostatic lymph node VEGF levels in lymphocyte-deficient RAG1

mice are lower than in wild-type mice (1), suggesting that LTβR ligand-bearing lymphocytes may deliver signals to the VEGF-producing FRC. Also, in inflamed lymph nodes, B cells can regulate lymphangiogenesis (34). Furthermore, B cells are enriched in the areas that are enriched for VEGF-expressing cells, suggesting that they can directly regulate VEGF-expressing FRC. However, it is possible that resident or constitutively arriving dendritic cells (which are not fully mature) are the critical source of LTβR ligand and the effects of B cells are secondary to the effects on dendritic cell accumulation. Indeed, we have observed that homeostatic RAG1

lymph nodes have fewer resident/constitutively arriving dendritic cells (B. Webster and T. Lu, unpublished observations). We also observed in this study that resident/constitutively arriving dendritic cells are present in areas of VEGF-expressing cells and they have been shown to be in direct contact with FRC in the lymph node (5), further suggesting that resident/constitutively arriving dendritic cells could directly stimulate FRC. Further work will be required to identify the critical LTβR ligand-bearing cells.

Our results suggested that, at day 2 in stimulated lymph nodes, FRC remained the principal cells expressing VEGF mRNA. In contrast, Angeli et al. detected colocalization of VEGF protein with B cells (34). This apparent difference may reflect increased B cell expression of VEGF protein without a dramatic increase in VEGF mRNA or VEGF protein bound to, but not produced by, B cells. Our findings also do not exclude potential contributions to lymph node VEGF protein levels by hematopoietic cells such as platelets that may express VEGF protein but not VEGF mRNA or by a remote source such as the skin (45). However, because FRC remain the principal VEGF mRNA-expressing cell type within lymph nodes, it is likely that FRC are an important source of VEGF during immune responses, and that modulating VEGF expression by FRC during immune responses may potentially contribute to the regulation of vascular growth in immune-stimulated nodes. To fully establish the importance of FRC VEGF expression will require greater understanding of lymph node FRC-specific gene expression and the generation of a lymph node FRC-specific VEGF knockout.

The FRC that expressed high levels of β-gal in the VEGF-lacZ mice were predominantly in the T zone and the medullary cords. FRC in the T zone express CCL19, CCL21, and IL-7 that play critical roles in T cell localization and homeostasis (6, 46–48), and FRC in the medullary cords are thought to express CXCL12 that is critical for plasma cell localization (49). Whether the VEGF-expressing FRC are a subset of these chemokine/cytokine-expressing FRC or whether they represent a distinct subset remains to be determined.

Our results suggested that VEGF blockade produced different effects at homeostasis and during an immune response. At homeostasis, VEGF blockade had a sustained effect on PNAd

endothelial cell proliferation but only a transient effect on PNAd

endothelial cell proliferation and lymph node cellularity. In contrast, VEGF blockade in stimulated mice caused more sustained reduction in the proliferation of both PNAd

and PNAd

endothelial cells, and there was an accompanying reduction in the expansion of PNAd

and PNAd

endothelial cells. Although it is
possible that off-target effects of anti-VEGF accounted for the reduced proliferation and expansion of both PNAd and PNAd+ endothelial cells in stimulated nodes, anti-VEGF and aflibercet had similar effects on PNAd and PNAd+ endothelial cell expansion, suggesting that VEGF is indeed an important mediator of PNAd+ and PNAd+ endothelial cell expansion in stimulated nodes. The mechanisms that regulate the differential sensitivity of PNAd+ and PNAd+ endothelial cells to VEGF and the shift in sensitivity of PNAd+ cells in stimulated nodes remain to be determined. Interestingly, Liao and Ruddle recently reported that some vessels appear transiently positive for both the lymphatic marker LYVE-1 and for PNAd at day 4 after oxazalone stimulation. Double-positive vessels are rare at day 0 and day 7 (26). Whether these vessels are functionally HEV or lymphatic vessels is unknown, but their finding raises the possibility that some of the PNAd+ endothelial cells in our stimulated lymph nodes could be double-positive for LYVE-1 and PNAd and that these cells could potentially contribute to the differential sensitivity to VEGF blockade.

Reduced vascular expansion was associated with reduced lymph node cellularity and reduced lymphocyte entry, suggesting that limiting vascular expansion can potentially have consequences for immune function. Targeting VEGF expression in immune-stimulated nodes, then, may potentially be a means to modulate immune responsiveness. Further studies directed at examining the consequences of VEGF-specific blockade on lymphatic and HEV cell trafficking and on T and B cell activation will be required.

Modulating lymph node vascularity may be a means to modulate immune function in autoimmune diseases, transplant rejection, or tumor vaccination. Our results suggest that VEGF expression by FRC could be a potential target by which to control lymph node vascularity. Better understanding of the nature of the LT/FR and its regulation by VEGF-expressing cells and other signals that regulate VEGF-expression by FRC may have implications for the therapeutic control of angiogenesis and immunity.
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