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Chlamydia pneumoniae-Induced Foam Cell Formation Requires MyD88-Dependent and -Independent Signaling and Is Reciprocally Modulated by Liver X Receptor Activation

Shuang Chen, Rosalinda Sorrentino, Kenichi Shimada, Yonca Bulut, Terence M. Doherty, Timothy R. Crother, and Moshe Arditi

Chlamydia pneumoniae is detected by macrophages and other APCs via TLRs and can exacerbate developing atherosclerotic lesions, but how that occurs is not known. Liver X receptors (LXRs) centrally control reverse cholesterol transport, but also negatively modulate TLR-mediated inflammatory pathways. We isolated peritoneal macrophages from wild-type, TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, TLR2/4, MyD88, TRIF, MyD88/TRIF, and IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) KO mice, treated them with live or UV-killed C. pneumoniae in the presence or absence of oxidized LDL, then measured foam cell formation. In some experiments, the synthetic LXR agonist GW3965 was added to macrophages infected with C. pneumoniae in the presence of oxidized LDL. Both live and UV-killed C. pneumoniae induced IRF3 activation and promoted foam cell formation in wild-type macrophages, whereas the genetic absence of TLR2, TLR4, MyD88, TRIF, or IRF3, but not TLR3, significantly reduced foam cell formation. C. pneumoniae-induced foam cell formation was significantly reduced by the LXR agonist GW3965, which in turn inhibited C. pneumoniae-induced IRF3 activation, suggesting a bidirectional cross-talk. We conclude that C. pneumoniae facilitates foam cell formation via activation of both MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent (i.e., TRIF-dependent and IRF3-dependent) pathways downstream of TLR2 and TLR4 signaling and that TLR3 is not involved in this process. This mechanism could at least partly explain why infection with C. pneumoniae accelerates the development of atherosclerotic plaque and lends support to the proposal that LXR agonists might prove clinically useful in suppressing atherogenesis.


Numerous studies link Chlamydia pneumoniae infection with atherosclerosis in both humans (1) and experimental animal models (2–10), but much less is understood about the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved. C. pneumoniae can infect and survive in endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells (11–13), circulating monocytes, and tissue macrophages and can migrate from the lungs to developing plaque via circulating lymphocytes as well as macrophages (14–16). Macrophages are thought to be central to the pathobiology of atherosclerosis because they tend to be retained in developing atheroma, where they can express an array of proinflammatory chemokines and cytokines that promote plaque progression and plaque instability that often leads to clinical events such as myocardial infarction and stroke (17–19). In plaques, macrophages ingest necrotic cellular debris and modified lipids, which in turn triggers expression and secretion of proinflammatory molecules. Such lipid-laden macrophages are known as foam cells, owing to the foam-like appearance of their cytoplasm that is composed predominantly of accumulated lipid-filled vacuoles. Because macrophages can become infected with C. pneumoniae, one possibility is that ingested pathogen or molecules derived from dead organisms or both might accelerate atherogenesis by somehow promoting formation of macrophage foam cells.

C. pneumoniae can be detected by innate immune pattern recognition receptors such as TLRs. Molecules derived from C. pneumoniae that are foreign to the host interact with several TLRs, including TLR2 and TLR4. Upon stimulation by ligands, these TLRs activate gene programs that involve expression and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines. Most TLRs (including TLR2 and TLR4) use the downstream adaptor MyD88 to transmit their signals. TLR3 relies exclusively upon Toll/IL-1R domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β (TRIF) (20), which is a cytoplasmic adaptor that relays signals independently of MyD88 to transmit their signals. TLR3 relies exclusively upon Toll/IL-1R domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β (TRIF) (20), which is a cytoplasmic adaptor that relays signals independently of MyD88 to transmit their signals. TLR4 is unique among TLRs because it is the only TLR that can signal via either the MyD88-dependent or the MyD88-independent pathway and that requires TRIF and TRAM (23). The MyD88-dependent pathway leads to activation of the NF-κB transcription factor, which directly controls proinflammatory genetic programs, but the primary target of the MyD88-independent pathway is IFN regulatory factor (IRF) 3 (IRF3), which controls genes that produce a response distinct from that of NF-κB-dependent programs.

To date, 13 murine and 11 human TLRs have been identified (24–26). Macrophages express an array of TLRs, including TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, and others (24–26). Reports from our laboratory (7, 27) and others (28–31) indicate that innate immune signaling via TLRs directly contributes to development of atherosclerotic...
plaque. Moreover, molecules derived from *C. pneumoniae* are detected by TLR2 and TLR4, and signaling emanating from both these receptors triggers inflammation and promotes atherosclerosis (7, 27). Genetic loss-of-function approaches indicate that both TLR2 and TLR4 are involved in host responses to *C. pneumoniae* infection involving both MyD88-dependent and -independent signaling pathways (32, 33). Prior studies have shown that *C. pneumoniae* can induce foam cell formation in macrophages (3, 34) and that TLRs may play a role in this process (35). Collectively, these data suggest the possibility that *C. pneumoniae* infection might promote development of a proinflammatory phenotype that in turn would be expected to exacerbate atherosclerosis.

Metabolites of cholesterol bind to nuclear receptors called liver X receptors (LXRs) that play a central role in lipid metabolism and are master regulators of cholesterol metabolism (36). LXRs centrally control reverse cholesterol metabolism and also counterbalance the proinflammatory effects of TLRs and down-modulate TLR4-mediated NF-κB activation (36, 37). TLR-mediated innate immune responses and LXR-directed regulation of cholesterol metabolic pathways influence one another bidirectionally. Stimulation of macrophages with LPS and LXR agonists reduce proinflammatory responses by a mechanism that is MyD88 dependent (37). Hence, LXRs suppress TLR signaling; conversely, TLR signaling reciprocally inhibits LXR activation (38). Indeed, stimulation of TLR3 or TLR4 by pathogen-derived ligands inhibits expression of LXR-dependent gene targets and macropage cholesterol efflux. This effect proceeds via a MyD88-independent mechanism and involves IRF3 (38). Thus, LXR-TLR crosstalk provides a potential mechanism to explain how microbial infections might interfere with cholesterol metabolism and contribute to cardiovascular disease. These results underscore the intimate association of lipid metabolic pathways with innate immune host defenses (36, 38–40), both of which are central to the pathobiology of atherosclerotic plaque development (17).

These considerations led us to hypothesize that *C. pneumoniae* might promote development of foam cells by triggering proinflammatory TLR-dependent signaling, but that this would be inhibited by activation of LXRs. Using a genetic loss-of-function approach, we report that in the presence of oxidized low-density lipoprotein (ox-LDL), *C. pneumoniae* promotes foam cell formation by interacting not only with TLR2 but also with TLR4 to trigger activation of NF-κB and IRF3 and can therefore use both the MyD88-dependent and the MyD88-independent pathways. This was confirmed using macrophages derived from TLR2−/−, TLR4−/−, TLR2−/−:TLR4−/− double knockout (KO), MyD88−/−, TRIF−/−, IRF3−/−, and MyD88−/−:TRIF−/− double KO mice. However, signaling emanating from TLR3 was not involved. We also found that *C. pneumoniae*-induced foam cell formation was blocked by pharmacologic LXR agonist treatment. These results therefore elucidate molecular mechanisms that link host defenses with lipid metabolic pathways and implicate both in a potential explanation as to how *C. pneumoniae* might accelerate atherosclerosis on a cellular and molecular level.

### Materials and Methods

#### Generation of KO mice

C57BL/6 wild-type, TLR2−/−, and TRIF−/− reporter mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. MyD88−/− and TLR4−/− mice were provided by Dr. S. Akira (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan), TLR2−/−:TLR4−/− double KO mice were obtained from Dr. C. Wilson (University of Washington, Seattle, WA), and IRF3−/− and TLR3−/− mice were provided from Dr. G. Cheng (University of California, Los Angeles, CA). A homogenous population of these mice was established by backcrossing onto the C57BL/6 background for at least eight generations as previously described (27). The MyD88−/−:TRIF−/− double KO mice were established in our laboratory by crossing the MyD88−/− with TRIF−/− reporter mice. Mice were fed a standard chow diet and housed under pathogen-free conditions at Cedars-Sinai Medical Center (Los Angeles, CA). All animal experiments were performed under protocols that had been approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at our facility.

#### Preparation of peritoneal macrophages

Native peritoneal macrophages were isolated by injecting HBSS (Invitrogen) into the peritoneal cavity of mice immediately after euthanization. Resident peritoneal cells from three or four mice were pooled and washed, seeded in 24-well plates (2.5 × 10⁶ cells/well) in RPMI 1640 medium (Cellgro) with 10% FBS, incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 3 h, washed twice with HBSS to remove nonadherent cells, and cultured for 24 h before treatment.

#### Infection of macrophages

*C. pneumoniae* CM-1 strain (American Type Culture Collection) was propagated in Hep-2 cells as previously described (41). *Mycoplasma* contamination was assessed by PCR (42). Inocula of *C. pneumoniae* were expressed as multiplicities of infection (MOI). UV inactivation of *C. pneumoniae* was performed by exposure of 150 μl in a sterile tissue culture dish in a laminar flow hood to shortwave UV light at a distance of 7 cm for 25 min, with mixing after 15 min. The absence of live *C. pneumoniae* was confirmed by infecting Hep-2 cells with the bacteria. Murine peritoneal macrophages were infected with live or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (MOI = 5) overnight. Cells were washed and incubated with human ox-LDL (100 μg/ml; thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances, 18.4 nmol of MDA per mg of protein; Biomedical Technologies, MA) for an additional 24 h in the presence or absence of an LXR agonist, GW3965 (2 μM) (35). Trypan blue staining showed that none of the treatment affected macrophage viability at 24 h (>95% of the macrophages were viable).

#### Assessment of foam cell formation

Foam cells were identified using Oil Red O staining. Peritoneal macrophages were washed twice with PBS and then fixed and stained with 1% Oil Red O solution (60% isopropanol; Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were washed three times with PBS and examined by light microscopy (original magnification: ×40). Designation of a macrophage as a foam cell required positive Oil Red O staining. Foam cells were quantified by light microscopy by the same investigator who was blinded to the genotypes of the cells with Image-Pro Plus 5.1 software program. The foam cells were defined as cells with intracellular Oil Red O-positive droplets and were expressed as the percentage of positive Oil Red O cells to total cells (area of stained cells vs the total cytoplasm area; >20%). Each treatment condition was performed in triplicate.

#### NF-κB activity assay

RAW 264.7 cells were stably transfected with an NF-κB reporter plasmid (ELAM-luciferase, where ELAM is endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule (43). Cells were seeded onto 96-well plates (1 × 10⁵ cells/well) and treated 24 h later for 4 h before any measurement of luciferase activity. Cells were stimulated with live or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (MOI = 5), or LPS (0.01 μg/ml) with or without ox-LDL (100 μg/ml) in the presence or absence of the LXR agonist GW3965 (2 μM). After 4 h, cells were washed with PBS and lysed using a lysis buffer (Promega). Luciferase activity was measured by means of the Dual luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were expressed as mean values of three independent experiments, with each treatment performed in triplicate.

#### IRF3 activity assay

The DNA-binding capacity of IRF3 was determined by using the TransAM transcription factor assay kit (Active Motif). The nuclear extract was subjected to the binding of IRF3 to an immobilized consensus sequence in a 96-well plate. Primary and secondary Abs were added as suggested by the manufacturer’s instructions. After the colorimetric reaction, the samples were measured in a spectrophotometer.

#### Statistical analysis

Data are reported as mean ± SEM. Statistical differences were assessed by Student’s t test between two groups and one-way ANOVA for three or more groups, and values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.
Results

**C. pneumoniae promotes the formation of foam cells in the presence of ox-LDL**

Peritoneal macrophages from wild-type (C57BL/6) mice were pre-infected with live *C. pneumoniae* or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (MOI = 5) and then treated with ox-LDL (100 μg/ml). Foam cell formation was characterized by the engulfment of positive Oil Red O lipid droplets in the macrophages. Foam cell formation was significantly induced by the presence of live *C. pneumoniae* (Fig. 1, A and B) or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (Fig. 1B) and ox-LDL compared with the bacteria or ox-LDL alone. To evaluate the effect of LXRs on foam cell formation induced by the addition of *C. pneumoniae* and ox-LDL, we coadministered the LXR agonist GW3965 (2 μM). GW3965 treatment significantly decreased foam cell formation induced by live or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (Fig. 1C).

**C. pneumoniae induces foam cell formation via activation of either TLR2 or TLR4**

*C. pneumoniae* infection can trigger both TLR2- and TLR4-dependent host responses (33, 44). We therefore isolated peritoneal macrophages from TLR2−/−, TLR4−/−, and TLR2−/−:TLR4−/− mice. Genetic deficiency in either TLR2 or TLR4 significantly reduced foam cell formation from macrophages treated with either live (Fig. 2A) or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (Fig. 2B) in the presence of ox-LDL. Although the double deficiency of TLR2 and TLR4 further reduced foam cell formation from macrophages treated with UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* (Fig. 2B), this did not reach significance. These results indicate that molecules derived from *C. pneumoniae* can promote foam cell formation by triggering signaling through both TLR2 and TLR4. There were no significant differences between live and UV-killed *C. pneumoniae*-induced foam cell formation.

*C. pneumoniae* promotes foam cell formation by activating both MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent signaling pathways

MyD88, an adaptor molecule used by both the TLR2 and TLR4 signaling pathways, plays an essential role in *C. pneumoniae*-induced infection (32). Although TLR2 relies exclusively upon MyD88, TLR4 can signal through both MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent (TRIF-IRF3) pathways. To understand whether *C. pneumoniae*-mediated foam cell formation was mediated by a MyD88-dependent or MyD88-independent pathway, we isolated peritoneal macrophages from MyD88−/−, TRIF−/−, and MyD88−/−:TRIF−/− double KO mice and compared them with wild type-derived peritoneal macrophages. The loss of either MyD88 or TRIF resulted in a significant reduction in the formation of foam cells induced by either live (Fig. 2C) or UV-killed (Fig. 2D) *C. pneumoniae* in the presence of ox-LDL. These results are most consistent with the interpretation that in the presence of ox-LDL, *C. pneumoniae* promotes formation of foam cells by activating both the MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent and TRIF/IRF3-dependent signaling pathways. Although there was a tendency for lower baseline foam cell formation in TRIF−/− or MyD88−/− cells in response to ox-LDL alone, this difference did not reach statistical significance.

**LXR agonist (GW3965) reduces C. pneumoniae-induced NF-κB activation**

Stimulation of the LXR pathway inhibits NF-κB activation and TLR-dependent signaling and also activates cholesterol efflux by inducing the ATP-binding cassette transporter 1 (ABCA1) gene (38). We therefore predicted that treatment with the LXR agonist GW3965 would attenuate foam cell formation induced by ox-LDL and *C. pneumoniae*. We stably transfected the RAW264.7 murine macrophage cell line with an NF-κB luciferase reporter plasmid (RAW-NF-κB). Cells were stimulated with live or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* and ox-LDL. LPS (0.01 μg/ml), TRIF4 ligand, and Pam3CSK4 (1 μg/ml; a TLR2 ligand) were used as positive controls (data not shown). The activation of the NF-κB reporter
FIGURE 2. Live or UV-killed C. pneumoniae (Cpn)-induced foam cell formation is TLR2, TLR4, MyD88, and TRIF dependent. A and B, Peritoneal macrophages of wild type (WT), TLR2−/−, TLR4−/− and TLR2−/−:TLR4−/− mice were treated with live (A) or UV killed (B) C. pneumoniae (MOI = 5) with or without ox-LDL (100 µg/ml). C and D, The same experimental protocol was applied to macrophages derived from MyD88−/−, TRIF−/−, and MyD88−/−:TRIF−/− mice (C, live C. pneumoniae; D, UV-killed C. pneumoniae). Foam cells are expressed as percentage of positive Oil Red O cells compared with total macrophages (Mφ). Data represent mean ± SEM; experiments were repeated three times in triplicate. Statistical difference, denoted as * for \( p < 0.05 \), ** for \( p < 0.005 \), and *** for \( p < 0.0005 \). CTR, Control.

ELAM-luciferase was measured. Compared with untreated cells, there was no change in NF-κB activation after treatment with ox-LDL alone (Fig. 3, A and B). However, live or UV-killed C. pneumoniae infection significantly increased NF-κB activation (Fig. 3, A and B). Interestingly, treatment with ox-LDL together with infection with either live or UV-killed C. pneumoniae decreased NF-κB activation (Fig. 3, A and B), as was reported by others as well (45). Indeed, Robbesyn et al. reported that ox-LDL may exert a biphasic effect on NF-κB activation, where low concentrations for a short period of time may be stimulatory while higher concentrations may be inhibitory (46). As expected, the addition of the LXR agonist GW3965 decreased significantly NF-κB activation between C. pneumoniae alone vs C. pneumoniae plus ox-LDL and GW3965 (Fig. 3, A and B).

C. pneumoniae-induced foam cell formation uses the TRIF/IRF3 pathway in macrophages; modulation of IRF3 activity by the LXR agonist GW3965

Previous reports suggest that Chlamydia trachomatis activates the IRF3 pathway in a MyD88-independent manner (i.e., TRIF-dependent pathway) (47), as well as in a MyD88-dependent manner (48). However, the role of IRF3 in C. pneumoniae-induced responses and in particular foam cell formation has not been studied. First,

FIGURE 3. C. pneumoniae (Cpn) induced NF-κB and IRF3 activation and modulation by the LXR agonist GW3965. A and B, NF-κB activation with live (A) or UV-killed (B) C. pneumoniae in the presence of ox-LDL. The treatment with GW3965 further reduced NF-κB activation. RLU, Relative light unit. C and D, Detection of IRF3 activation following live (C) or UV-killed (D) C. pneumoniae and ox-LDL. GW3965 significantly reduced the IRF3 activation (C and D, \( p < 0.001 \) and \( p < 0.001 \), respectively). Data represent mean ± SEM; experiments were repeated three times in triplicate. Statistical difference, denoted as *, \( p < 0.05 \), **, \( p < 0.005 \), and ***, \( p < 0.0005 \) as evaluated by means of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s posttest.
we investigated whether *C. pneumoniae* induces IRF3 activity in macrophages by using an in vitro IRF3 activity assay. Infection with live *C. pneumoniae* or stimulation with UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* increased significantly IRF3 activity in wild-type macrophages, which was further increased in the presence of ox-LDL (Fig. 3, C and D). Surprisingly, the addition of the LXR agonist GW3965 to live or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* significantly inhibited IRF3 activation (Fig. 3, C and D), suggesting a bidirectional interaction between the IRF3 and LXR pathways. To investigate the role of the IRF3 activation pathway in *C. pneumoniae*-induced foam cell formation, we isolated IRF3−/− peritoneal macrophages and treated them in the same manner as in experiments described above. IRF3 deficiency completely inhibited the formation of foam cells in response to either live (Fig. 4A) or UV-killed (Fig. 4B) *C. pneumoniae* in the presence of ox-LDL. Peritoneal macrophages obtained from IRF3−/− mice were resistant to *C. pneumoniae*-induced foam cell formation as compared with wild-type macrophages as seen in a representative picture (Fig. 4C).

**C. pneumoniae**-induced foam cell formation does not involve TLR3

Results in the previous section demonstrate that *C. pneumoniae* uses TRIF to activate IRF3. TLR4 can signal through either MyD88 or TRIF; however, TLR3 signaling is completely dependent upon TRIF (21, 49). Results summarized above indicate that TLR4 signaling was involved, but it is also possible that molecules derived from *C. pneumoniae* stimulated foam cell formation by triggering signaling via both TLR4 and TLR3. To clarify this issue, we isolated peritoneal macrophages from TLR3−/− mice and treated them with live or UV-killed *C. pneumoniae* in the presence or absence of ox-LDL. Formation of foam cells was not affected by the genetic absence of TLR3 as compared with macrophages derived from wild-type mice when either live (Fig. 5A) or UV-killed (Fig. 5B) *C. pneumoniae* was added to ox-LDL. Furthermore, TLR3 was not essential for ox-LDL or *C. pneumoniae* alone or together to promote formation of Oil Red O-positive foam cells.

**FIGURE 4.** *C. pneumoniae* (Cpn)-mediated foam cell formation requires IRF3 activation as IRF3−/− macrophages (MΦ) are resistant to infection-mediated foam cell production. A and B, Peritoneal macrophages from IRF3−/− mice and wild-type (WT) mice were stimulated with live (A) or UV-killed (B) *C. pneumoniae* and ox-LDL. C, Representative picture of foam cells induced in peritoneal macrophages obtained in wild-type mice compared with those obtained from IRF3−/− mice in the presence of live *C. pneumoniae* and ox-LDL. Data represent mean ± SEM; experiments were repeated three times in triplicate. Statistical difference, denoted as * for p < 0.05, was evaluated by means of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s posttest.

**FIGURE 5.** *C. pneumoniae* (Cpn)-mediated foam cell production does not involve TLR3 signaling. Peritoneal macrophages (MΦ) derived from wild-type (WT) or TLR3−/− mice were stimulated with live (A) or UV-killed (B) *C. pneumoniae*, and the percentages of Oil Red O-positive stained cells were calculated to measure foam cell formation. Data represent mean ± SEM; experiments were repeated three times in triplicate. Statistical difference, denoted as ** for p < 0.01, was evaluated by means of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s posttest.
Hence, these results exclude the possibility that TLR3 is involved in the formation of foam cells induced by *C. pneumoniae*.

**Discussion**

Numerous studies indicate that the retention of lipids in developing plaques and the formation of lipid-laden macrophages (i.e., foam cells) are hallmarks of the atherosclerotic process (17, 18). Clinical and experimental studies suggest that infection with *C. pneumoniae* exacerbates atherosclerosis (50), but the cellular and molecular signaling mechanisms involved are unclear. In this study we report that accumulation of lipids in macrophages and the consequent formation of foam cells is induced by infection of macrophages with *C. pneumoniae* or even simply treatment of macrophages with UV-killed organisms in the presence of ox-LDL. This process was mediated by signaling through either TLR2, TLR4, or both, used both the MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent (TRIF/IRF3) pathways, and activated both NF-κB and IRF3, but did not involve TLR3 signaling. Foam cell formation promoted by *C. pneumoniae* was inhibited through activation of the LXR pathway by a synthetic agonist. Hence, our results provide important insights into the specific innate immune signaling mechanisms linking infection by *C. pneumoniae* with facilitation of plaque development and, in addition, underscore the close interconnections of host immune defenses with cholesterol metabolic pathways (36, 51) in the pathobiology of atherosclerosis.

Our results confirm and significantly extend previous work by Byrne and coworkers (3, 34, 35, 52). These investigators first reported that *C. pneumoniae* infection of human monocyte-derived macrophages (34) or murine RAW264.7 cells (3) in the presence of LDL causes accumulation of cholesteryl esters by macrophages, thus leading to foam cell formation, and that uptake of cholesterol occurred independently of the LDL receptor (52). Conversely, Blessing and colleagues reported that, once formed, foam cells poorly support the growth of *C. pneumoniae*, but when foam cells are exposed to *C. pneumoniae*, the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines is similar to that of macrophages that have not yet become foam cells (45). Very recent electron microscopic data indicate that oxidized lipids and *C. pneumoniae* colocalize in foam cells obtained from human carotid arteries, and these data document the amalgamation of *C. pneumoniae* inclusions with lipid droplets (53). Similarly, our results also show that infection of peritoneal macrophages with either the live or UV-killed pathogen induces foam cell formation. Clearly then, data reported thus far in both human and murine cell culture studies indicate that *C. pneumoniae* promotes foam cell formation, at least in the context of a lipid-rich environment, and our data now provide the molecular signaling pathways involved in this process that may play a role in the acceleration of atherosclerosis.

More recent studies have begun to shed light on the participation of innate immune signaling networks in this proatherogenic process. Cao et al. infected murine RAW264.7 macrophages with *C. pneumoniae* and also treated cells with known TLR2 or TLR4 ligands (Pam3CSK4 and LPS, respectively) in the presence of LDL (35). They reported that either treatment induced foam cell formation, and experiments with TLR2-deficient cells appeared to implicate TLR2 as the primary signaling pathway that instigates *C. pneumoniae*-mediated foam cell formation (35). Our results with TLR2−/− and TLR4−/− primary peritoneal macrophages confirmed the involvement of TLR2, but also showed that TLR4 is involved as well. Furthermore, based on results obtained with MyD88−/−, TRIF−/−, IRF3−/−, and MyD88−/−:TRIF−/− macrophages, *C. pneumoniae* in the presence of ox-LDL triggered signals to activate both the MyD88-NF-κB pathway and the TRIF/IRF3 downstream effectors. Although Cao et al. did not find evidence for TLR4 involvement (35), it might be pertinent to note that their study used the GG2EE macrophage cell line derived from C3H/HeJ mice that express a mutant TLR4 containing a proline-to-histidine mutation at amino acid residue 714. Hence, C3H/HeJ mice and cells isolated from these mice do not respond normally to TLR4 ligands. However, our approach was more direct and instead used macrophages derived from homozygous TLR4 KO mice. Of interest Kalayoglu et al. reported that *C. pneumoniae*-derived components that induce foam cell formation include the chlamydial LPS and chlamydial HSP60 both of which are TLR4 ligands (3, 54, 55) (reviewed in Ref. 33). The fact that TRIF−/− and IRF3−/− macrophages produced significantly diminished foam cell formation similar to that seen in TLR4−/− macrophages and that foam cell production was not altered in TLR3−/− macrophages and was similar to that in wild-type macrophages further corroborates the interpretation that TLR4 is directly involved in foam cell formation induced by *C. pneumoniae*. However, our...
studies cannot rule out any potential contribution of the intracellular TLRs (TLR7, TLR8, and TLR9) to C. pneumoniae-mediated foam cell formation.

Previous data suggest that C. trachomatis activates the IRF3 pathway in a MyD88-independent manner (i.e., TRIF-dependent) that results in up-regulation of type I IFNs, at least in oviduct epithelial cells, (47), as well as in a MyD88-dependent manner (48). In the current study we show that C. pneumoniae is also able to induce IRF3 activation in macrophages. Macrophages express both TLR4 and TLR3. However, our data using TLR3-/- cells exclude the possibility that C. pneumoniae activates TLR3 and then TRIF/IRF3. TLR3 responds primarily to dsRNA and other viral ligands (56) and, to date, no Chlamydia ligands for TLR3 have been identified (47). Because there is no direct evidence that C. pneumoniae stimulates TLR3, the most likely interpretation of our results is that activation of the TRIF/IRF3 pathway by the bacteria requires intact TLR4 and does not involve TLR3. However, another study reported that C. pneumoniae-induced activation of NF-kB and expression of proinflammatory cytokines was TRAF6 dependent and that IRF3 and IRAK4 were redundant, and the authors did not observe increased IRF3 phosphorylation in C. pneumoniae-infected bone marrow-derived macrophages (57). In contrast, our results emphasize that C. pneumoniae directly stimulates IRF3 activation in peritoneal macrophages, which in turn promotes foam cell formation in the presence of ox-LDL. These differing results could be due to innate differences between the structural components of the distinct C. pneumoniae strains used between the two studies, CM-1 vs Kajaani6, or to the use of bone marrow-derived macrophages in the previous study vs peritoneal macrophages used in this study. Indeed, significant variations in the two studies, CM-1 vs Kajaani 6, or to the use of bone marrow-derived macrophages in the previous study vs peritoneal macrophages used in this study. Indeed, significant variations in responses to different chlamydial strains have been reported and recently discussed by Nagarajan et al. (48). Besides IRF3, another key transcription factor that plays a role in TLR4 induction is IRF7, and future studies will need to investigate whether IRF7 also contributes to C. pneumoniae-induced foam cell formation.

LXR activation promotes cholesterol efflux from lipid-loaded macrophages, and the LXR agonist GW3965 was shown to decrease atherosclerotic lesions by 50% in hypercholesterolemic mouse models (58, 59). Cross-talk between TLRs and LXR pathways converge on IRF3 signaling, because TLR3-TRIF- or TLR4-TRIF-mediated IRF3 signaling down-regulates LXR functions as evidenced by a reduction of ABCA1 transcription and reduced cholesterol efflux from macrophages (38). Therefore, microbial agents associated with acceleration of atherosclerosis, i.e., C. pneumoniae, can trigger TLR4/TRIF/IRF3 activation, down-regulate LXRs, and shift cholesterol transport toward pro-foam cell production and therefore accelerate atherogenesis. In direct support of the notion that C. pneumoniae alters the processing of ingested lipids are our results demonstrating that treatment with the LXR agonist GW3965 blocked foam cell formation in the presence of the bacteria. These results were consistent with previous data (35) and underscore the intimate interconnections between cholesterol metabolism and innate immune host defenses. Activation of LXRs by LXR ligands in macrophages leads to induction of the ABCA1 transporter and stimulation of lipid efflux to extracellular acceptors (58). Based on this established mode of action, the most likely mechanism of LXR agonist-mediated inhibition of C. pneumoniae-induced foam cell formation is increased lipid efflux, but because we did not directly measure effects on transporters such as ABCA1, our results cannot rule out any other mechanism, including reduced lipid uptake. Although LXR activation was reported to reduce TLR4-mediated NF-kB activity (36, 37) and TLR-IRF3 pathways were shown to inhibit LXR activation (38), to our knowledge our data represent the first demonstration that an LXR agonist can in turn down-modulate C. pneumoniae-mediated IRF3 activation in macrophages (Fig. 6; schematic).

Collectively then, our data (Fig. 6) indicate the following: 1) C. pneumoniae infection promotes foam cell formation in a TLR2- and TLR4-dependent manner through both MyD88- and TRIF-dependent signaling pathways, and this process does not require TLR3 signaling; 2) LXR activation by a synthetic agonist (GW3965) inhibits C. pneumoniae-mediated foam cell formation; 3) C. pneumoniae infection induces IRF3 activation in peritoneal macrophages and IRF3 in turn contributes to foam cell formation; 4) The LXR agonist GW3965 in turn reduces C. pneumoniae-induced IRF3 activation in macrophages. We therefore provide important new insights into the molecular signaling mechanisms involved in the formation of foam cells promoted by infection with C. pneumoniae, and hence we provide potential explanations as to how C. pneumoniae infection might accelerate the development of atherosclerosis and so contribute to adverse clinical events such as myocardial infarction and stroke.
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