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We have previously reported that a subunit protein vaccine based on the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) spike protein and a recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV)-based RBD (RBD-rAAV) vaccine could induce highly potent neutralizing Ab responses in immunized animals. In this study, systemic, mucosal, and cellular immune responses and long-term protective immunity induced by RBD-rAAV were further characterized in a BALB/c mouse model, with comparison of the i.m. and intranasal (i.n.) routes of administration. Our results demonstrated that: 1) the i.n. vaccination induced a systemic humoral immune response of comparable strength and shorter duration than the i.m. vaccination, but the local humoral immune response was much stronger; 2) the i.n. vaccination elicited stronger systemic and local specific cytotoxic T cell responses than the i.m. vaccination, as evidenced by higher prevalence of IL-2 and/or IFN-γ-producing CD3+CD8+ T cells in both lungs and spleen; 3) the i.n. vaccination induced similar protection as the i.m. vaccination against SARS-CoV challenge in mice; 4) higher titers of mucosal IgA and serum-neutralizing Ab were associated with lower viral load and less pulmonary pathological damage, while no Ab-mediated disease enhancement effect was observed; and 5) the vaccination could provide long-term protection against SARS-CoV infection. Taken together, our findings suggest that RBD-rAAV can be further developed into a vaccine candidate for prevention of SARS and that i.n. vaccination may be the preferred route of administration due to its ability to induce SARS-CoV-specific systemic and mucosal immune responses and its better safety profile. The Journal of Immunology, 2008, 180: 948–956.

Since the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), an emerging infectious disease, in November 2002, SARS has claimed the lives of 774 among 8098 affected cases (www.who.int/csr/sars/country/Table XX03_09_23/). SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) is the etiological pathogen of SARS (1–3). The development of SARS vaccines must remain a high priority due to the possibility of re-emergence of the disease (4–6).

Among four structural proteins encoded by SARS-CoV, spike protein (S protein) plays an important role in SARS-CoV infection (7–9). It interacts with the cellular receptor(s) to mediate membrane fusion, allowing viral entry into host cells (10, 11). The S protein is also a major inducer of neutralizing Abs (NA) and protective immunity which prevent SARS-CoV infection (12–14). Thus, SARS-CoV S protein is a key factor for developing SARS vaccines.

Several vaccine strategies proposed for prevention of SARS include inactivated virus-based vaccines (15), DNA-based vaccines (16), recombinant subunit vaccines (17), and viral vector-based vaccines (18). These vaccine candidates are able to induce protective immune responses against SARS-CoV, including NA and T cell immune responses (14, 19–21). A majority of these vaccines are based on the SARS-CoV S protein (22–25).

Recently reported SARS vaccines are based on the full-length or fragments of SARS-CoV S protein (20, 25, 26). They can effectively induce NA, cellular, and/or protective immune responses against SARS-CoV (16, 17, 22). However, some may cause liver damage in those vaccinated animals, in which SARS-CoV infection was not prevented by the mobilized immune responses (27, 28). Thus, vaccines encoding truncated fragments of SARS-CoV S protein may prove to be more promising. In this regard, the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV S protein has been...
shown to harbor multiple conformation-dependent epitopes that induce highly potent NA responses, and vaccines based on the RBD elicit long-term protective immunity in immunized animals (29–32), suggesting that candidates based on the RBD can be developed into safe and effective SARS vaccines.

Recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) has emerged as a promising viral vector for vaccine development. As a nonpathogenic parvovirus containing a ssDNA, AAV infects a wide variety of human cell lines, with long-term transgene expression and high transduction efficiency (33–35). The rAAV encoding different pathogenic Ags can induce vaccinated animals to produce strong immune responses via various delivery methods (36, 37). These appealing qualities have promoted vectors based on the rAAV to be widely used for vaccine development (38–40). Up to now, eight serotypes of AAV vectors have been described and characterized as vectors for gene therapy, among which the most extensively studied is serotype 2 (AAV-2) (41). In light of this, we used AAV-2 as a vector for delivery of SARS-CoV immunogen.

Our previous study has demonstrated that a rAAV expressing the RBD of SARS-CoV S protein (RBD-rAAV) elicited humoral immune response with neutralizing activity in i.m.-vaccinated BALB/c mice (42). In this study, we further investigated local and systemic immune responses and long-term protective immunity that might be induced by the RBD-rAAV vaccine via i.m. and intranasal (i.n.) administration routes. These two routes were also compared for immunogenicity and protection from SARS-CoV challenge.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and animals

HEK293T cells, for packaging of RBD-rAAV recombinant viral vector, and Vero E6 cells, for neutralization assay, were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. Female BALB/c mice at the age of 4–6 wk were used for i.m. and i.n. vaccinations. All mice for the study were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Unit (University of Hong Kong). Animals were housed in the animal facility of the Department of Microbiology (University of Hong Kong), and maintained in accordance with the animal care protocol. All of the animal studies were approved by the Department of Health (Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region).

Construction and titration of RBD-rAAV viral vector

The rAAV encoding a 193-aa RBD domain (residues 318–510) of SARS-CoV S protein (RBD-rAAV) was produced as described previously (42). Briefly, RBD-rAAV plasmid was cotransfected with pHelper and pAAV-RC plasmids into HEK293T cells using a calcium phosphate transfection method (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Transfected cells and supernatant were harvested 72 h posttransfection. rAAV was purified by chloroform-NaCl-PEG8000 method and titrated by real-time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) following protocols described in a previous study (42). RBD-rAAV vector was adjusted to 10^{12} viral particles (VP)/ml in PBS and used for the following vaccinations.

Mice vaccination via i.m. and i.n. routes and sample collection

As shown in Table I, six groups of mice were vaccinated with RBD-rAAV or blank AAV, respectively, via the i.m. and i.n. routes, following the protocols described previously with some modifications (42–44). For the i.m. vaccination, BALB/c mice were given with a single prime dose (i.m.P) or prime-boost doses at 1.5-mo interval (i.m.B) of RBD-rAAV (2 × 10^{11} VP/200 μl/dose). For the i.n. vaccination, mice were immunized with a single prime dose (i.n.P) or prime-boost doses at an interval of 0.5 mo (i.n.B) of RBD-rAAV (2 × 10^{10} VP/20 μl/dose). Two groups of mice i.m. or i.n. vaccinated with prime-boost doses of blank AAV were used as negative controls. Samples were collected as shown in Fig. 1. Four mice per group were challenged with SARS-CoV 1 mo after the booster vaccination (young mice), and five mice/group were boosted at the end of 12 mo postvaccination and challenged with SARS-CoV 15 days later (aged mice).

ELISA for systemic IgG and local IgA detection

Specific IgG and IgA against SARS-CoV in mouse sera and lung flush were tested by ELISA using the protocol described previously with some modifications (42). Briefly, serially diluted mouse sera were added to 96-well mi-

crotiter plates precoated with the protein mixture from SARS-CoV viral lysates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 30 min, followed by four washes with PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST). Bound Abs were then reacted with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (DakoCytomation) at 37°C for 20 min. After four washes, the substrate 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (Zymed Laboratories) was added to the plates and the reaction was stopped by adding 1 N H₂SO₄. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured by an ELISA plate reader (Victor 1420 Multilabel Counter; PerkinElmer). In the case of IgA detection, collected mouse lung flush was added to precoated 96-well microtiter plates and incubated for 1 h. HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgA Ab (Zymed Laboratories) was then added at a dilution of 1/1000 and incubated for 1 h, followed by measurement of absorbance at 450 nm.

Neutralization assay

Titers of NA in sera and lung flush of mice immunized with RBD-rAAV or blank AAV via i.m. and i.n. pathways were detected in Vero E6 cells as previously described (42). Briefly, Vero E6 cells were seeded at 10^4/well in 96-well culture plates and cultured at 37°C to form a monolayer. Serial 2-fold dilutions of serum samples were mixed separately with 100 TCID₅₀ (50% tissue-culture infectious dose) of SARS-CoV strain GZ50 (GenBank accession no. AY304495), incubated at 37°C for 1 h, and added to the monolayer of Vero E6 cells in tetrads. Cells infected with 100 TCID₅₀ SARS-CoV and without the virus were applied as positive and negative controls, respectively. The cytotoxic effect (CPE) in each well was observed daily and recorded on day 3 postinfection. The neutralizing titers of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccinations</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
<th>Humoral IR (n)</th>
<th>Local IR (n)</th>
<th>CTL IR (n)</th>
<th>SARS-CoV (#)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.m.P</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.m.B</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.n.P</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.n.B</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 + 39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.m.B</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.n.B</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 + 39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four mice per group were monitored for systemic humoral immune response (IR; serum IgG and NA). Four mice per group were tested for local IR (lung flush IgA). Mice with i.n. prime-boost vaccination of RBD-rAAV or blank AAV (i.m, i.n.39 mice/group) were used for detection of lung flush IgA and NA at different time points at 0.5-mo intervals for up to 6 mo, and three mice for each group were tested at each time point. The number of mice and SARS-CoV means challenged with SARS-CoV-2, i.m., in.P, in.B, and i.n.B indicate i.m. or i.n. immunized with a single prime dose, or i.m. prime-boost doses of RBD-rAAV or blank AAV, respectively.

*Five mice per group were monitored for systemic humoral immune response (IR; serum IgG and NA). Four mice per group were tested for local IR (lung flush IgA). Mice with i.n. prime-boost vaccination of RBD-rAAV or blank AAV (i.m, i.n.39 mice/group) were used for detection of lung flush IgA and NA at different time points at 0.5-mo intervals for up to 6 mo, and three mice for each group were tested at each time point. The number of mice and SARS-CoV means challenged with SARS-CoV-2, i.m., in.P, in.B, and i.n.B indicate i.m. or i.n. immunized with a single prime dose, or i.m. prime-boost doses of RBD-rAAV or blank AAV, respectively.

Figure 1. The immunization schedule of the RBD-rAAV vaccine is listed. Mice were i.m. (A) or i.n. (B) vaccinated with a single prime dose (im.P, in.P) or primer boost doses (im.B, in.B) of RBD-rAAV or blank AAV. Time points of sample collection or detection are indicated. FACS, flow cytometry analysis.
mouse antiserum and lung flush that completely prevented CPE in 50% of the wells were calculated by the Reed-Muench method.

**IL-2 and IFN-γ ELISPOT assay**

The assay was performed using an ELISPOT mouse kit (Mabtech) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and our previous work (45). In brief, 96-well ELISPOT plates were coated with anti-IL-2 and anti-IFN-γ mAbs overnight at 4°C, and blocked by sterile RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS for 2 h at room temperature. Single-cell suspensions prepared from the spleens of vaccinated mice were added to the wells at the concentration of 2 × 10^5 cells/well. Cells were incubated for 24 h in the presence or absence of an identified MHC-H-2d-restricted SARS-CoV-specific CTL peptide (N50: S365–374, KYGGVSATKL) (46) plus anti-mouse CD28 mAb (1 µg/ml; BD Pharmingen) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Plates were washed with PBS, followed by incubation with biotinylated-labeled anti-mouse IL-2 and IFN-γ mAbs at 1/1000 for 2 h at room temperature. After additional washes, wells were incubated with streptavidin-conjugated HRP for 1 h at room temperature. Wells were extensively washed again, and developed with 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine substrate solutions included in the kit. Spots of IL-2 and IFN-γ-producing T cells were counted by using an automated ELISPOT reader system and ImmunoSpot 3 software (Cellular Technology). Results were expressed as the number of spot-forming cells (SFC) per 10⁶ input cells.

**Cell surface markers/intracellular cytokine staining and FACS**

Single-cell suspensions (2 × 10⁶) from spleens and lungs of vaccinated mice were stimulated with or without SARS-CoV S-specific CTL peptide (N50, 1 µg/ml) plus anti-mouse CD28 (1 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) and ionomycin (250 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) were used as positive controls. Cells with stimulatory agents were incubated for 5 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in the presence of GolgiPlug containing brefeldin A (1 µM/mL; BD Pharmingen). The cells were fixed using a Cytotox/Cytoperm Plus kit in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol (BD Pharmingen), and stained directly with conjugated mAbs specific for cell surface Ags (anti-mouse-CD3 (PerCP) and anti-mouse-CD8 (allophycocyanin)) and intracellular cytokines (anti-mouse-IL-2 (PE) and anti-mouse-IFN-γ (FITC; BD Pharmingen)) for 30 min at 4°C. Appropriate isotype-matched controls for cytokines were included in each staining. The stained cells were analyzed using a flow cytometer (FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences). Lymphocyte population was gated by forward light scatter vs side light scatter, and 10,000 events for the CD³⁺/CD₈⁺ lymphocyte subpopulation were acquired to determine the percentage of CD³⁺/CD₈⁺ T cells positive for specific cytokines. FACS data were analyzed by CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

**SARS-CoV challenge in mice**

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and i.n. inoculated with 50 µl of SARS-CoV strain GZ50 (100 TCID₅₀, according to national animal care and use guidelines in an approved animal BSL-3 laboratory. The mice were sacrificed 3 days for young mice or 8 days for aged mice) after virus challenge, and the lungs were removed. The lung tissues were stored at −80°C for virological tests or were fixed immediately with 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections were made of 4- to 6-µm thickness and mounted on slides. Histopathological changes caused by SARS-CoV infection were examined by H&E staining and viewed under the light microscope as described previously (48, 49).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

The viral RNA copies in lung tissues of challenged mice were determined by quantitative RT-PCR according to the protocol described previously with some modifications (42, 47). Briefly, total RNA was extracted from 20 mg of lung tissue using an RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Then cDNA was synthesized using random primers and the SuperScript II RT kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Extracted RNA (10 µl) was reverse transcribed in a 20-µl reaction mixture containing 1× first strand buffer, 100 mM DTT, 10 mM dNTP, 50 ng of random primers, 40 U of RNaseOUT, and 200 U of SuperScript II RT at 42°C for 50 min, followed by 15 min at 70°C. The solution was incubated with RNase H (Invitrogen Life Technologies) at 37°C for 20 min. Synthesized cDNA was quantified using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in a 20-µl reaction mixture containing 5 µl of cDNA (1/10), 10 µl of 2× Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, 5 µl of RNase-free H₂O, 10 µl of forward primer (5'–GCT TAG GCC CTT TGA GAG AGA CA-3') and reverse primer (5’–GCC AAT GGC AGT AGT GGT A-3') in a Mx3000 PCR System (Stratagene).

**Histopathological analysis**

The lung tissues of challenged mice were immediately fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections were made of 4- to 6-µm thickness and mounted on slides. Histopathological changes caused by SARS-CoV infection were examined by H&E staining and viewed under the light microscope as described previously (48, 49).

**Statistical analysis**

Values were presented as mean with SE. Statistical significance among different vaccination groups was calculated by the Student t test using Stata statistical software. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**Intranasal vaccination induced a shorter-duration systemic humoral immune response but a stronger and prolonged mucosal IgA response than i.m. vaccination**

To evaluate the long-term systemic humoral immune response to RBD-rAAV vaccination, and to compare the differences between immune responses to vaccination via i.m. and i.n. routes, serum samples collected from vaccinated mice at different time points were detected by ELISA for specific IgG Ab to SARS-CoV. As shown in Fig. 2A, a single prime dose i.m. vaccination of RBD-rAAV (RBD.im.P) induced a moderate level of specific IgG and sustained this during the 12-mo observation period, while i.m. prime-boost immunization of RBD-rAAV (RBD.im.B) induced a high level of IgG Ab response, which reached the peak within 3 mo, maintained the plateau level for 3 more months, and gradually decreased to a moderate level at 12 mo postimmunization. A single prime dose i.n. vaccination of RBD-rAAV did not induce significant Ab response (data not shown). After booster (RBD.im.B), the vaccination quickly elicited a high level of IgG Ab response, reaching the highest titer 1 mo postvaccination, which was almost...
the same level as that of RBD.im.B. between months 3 and 6. However, the IgG Ab level also dropped down to a low level a month later and was maintained at a similar level thereafter. NA levels in these serum samples were further detected by neutralization assay using SARS-CoV, which showed a similar pattern as that of the IgG Ab responses (Fig. 2B). These data indicated that the i.n. rather than i.m. vaccination route could induce strong mucosal immune response. Titters of IgG Ab and NA induced by RBD-rAAV i.n. prime boost in mouse lung flush were further analyzed by ELISA and neutralization assay at 0.5-mo intervals. It was shown that the mucosal IgG Ab level reached its peak at 1 mo postvaccination, and gradually decreased to a low level in the following 5 mo (Fig. 3B). Nevertheless, the blank AAV control did not induce detectable IgG Ab during the detection period of 6 mo. Strikingly, the lung flush from RBD-rAAV i.n. prime-boost-vaccinated mice (RBD.in.B) contained high-level and long-lasting NA against SARS-CoV, which was highly detectable during the detection period of 6 mo, even though the sample had been diluted 1000 times in PBS during the process of sample collection (Fig. 3C). By comparison, no NA was detected from mouse lung flush samples of i.n. prime boost of blank AAV (AAV.in.B). The above data indicated that i.n. vaccination of RBD-rAAV induced a long-term mucosal immune response with neutralizing activity, implying that mucosal vaccination with RBD-rAAV should provide effective protective immune response against SARS-CoV.

For all vaccination groups, although IgG Abs had dropped down to low levels at 12 mo postvaccination, it rebounded quickly when the mice were reboosted (Fig. 2). These results suggested that RBD-rAAV may induce long-term memory immune responses, especially after booster immunization, by both i.m. and i.n. routes.

**Intranasal vaccination induced strong CTL responses in spleen and lungs**

To examine CTL responses induced by RBD-rAAV vaccination, splenocytes and lung lymphocytes were measured by ELISPOT and FACS. As shown in Fig. 4, i.n. vaccination of RBD-rAAV (RBD.in.B) induced a markedly higher level of Ag-specific IL-2$^+$ T cells but a slightly lower level of IFN-$\gamma$ T cells in the spleen, as compared with those from the i.m. vaccination group (RBD.im.B). Nevertheless, splenocytes from mice receiving i.n. or i.m. vaccinations of blank AAV did not show Ag-specific CTL responses, resembling the negative controls that were significantly less responsive than RBD-rAAV vaccination groups ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, single dose i.m. or
i.n. vaccination with RBD-rAAV did not induce significant IL-2 and IFN-γ T cell response (data not shown), suggesting that booster immunization is necessary for inducing Ag-specific CTL response. The above data showed that i.n. vaccination could induce much stronger systemic IL-2 CTL response than i.m. vaccination, while IFN-γ CTL response elicited by i.m and i.n. routes was of comparable strength. Specific CTL responses induced by RBD-rAAV vaccinations were further evaluated in the mouse splenocytes and lung lymphocytes by cell surface marker and intracellular cytokine staining followed by FACS. As shown in Fig. 5, RBD-rAAV i.n. vaccination (RBD. in.B) induced a markedly higher frequency of IL-2 T cells in the CD3+/CD8+ T cell population in both splenocytes and lung cells, as compared with RBD-rAAV i.m. vaccination (RBD. im.B). In addition, IFN-γ-producing CD3+/CD8+ T cells were significantly higher in splenocytes of RBD-rAAV i.n.-vaccinated vs i.m.-vaccinated mice, but were similar or slightly lower in lung lymphocytes of i.n.-vaccinated vs i.m.-immunized mice. However, a single prime dose i.m. and i.n. vaccinations with RBD-rAAV merely yielded low or undetectable levels of IL-2 and IFN-γ CTL responses (data not shown). These results demonstrated that both i.m. and i.n. vaccination with RBD-rAAV could induce SARS-CoV specific CTL responses, and the i.n. route elicited higher systemic (in splenocytes) and local (in lungs) CTL responses than the i.m. route.

RBD-rAAV vaccination suppressed SARS-CoV replication in mouse lungs

The protective efficacies of the vaccinations were further investigated in the mice challenged with 100 TCID₅₀ of SARS-CoV.

![Figure 5](http://www.jimmunol.org/) SARS-CoV specific CTL responses were further detected by cell surface marker and intracellular cytokine staining followed by FACS. IL-2 and IFN-γ-producing CD3+/CD8+ T lymphocytes from the spleen (A) and lung (B) were stimulated by SARS-CoV S-specific CTL peptide. Anti-CD28 alone was applied as the negative control (NC). The graphs are presented as mean value of five mice for each group. Numbers in the upper right corner of each graph represent the frequencies of IL-2 or IFN-γ-producing CD3+/CD8+ T cells. The data are determined by the isotype control and those showing significant increase are highlighted in bold.

![Figure 6](http://www.jimmunol.org/) Viral load in lung tissues of challenged mice was detected by Q-RT-PCR. Viral titers of SARS-CoV in lung tissues from mice i.m. or i.n. vaccinated with a single prime dose (im.P) or prime-boost doses (im.B, in.B) of RBD-rAAV were determined. Mice i.m. and i.n. vaccinated with blank AAV were used as negative controls. The data are expressed as mean ± SE of RNA copies per microgram of lung tissue from four mice for each group.
strain GZ50. Mice were sacrificed 3 days postchallenge, and virus replication was assessed by viral load in challenged mouse lung tissue by Q-RT-PCR. Fig. 6 shows that viral loads (RNA copies/μg of lung tissues) in all mice immunized with RBD-rAAV were significantly lower than that of the corresponding control group immunized with blank AAV via i.m. and i.n. routes (p < 0.05), indicating that SARS-CoV replication was suppressed in vaccinated mice.

Correlation of serological data with virus protection

To understand the relationship between immune responses, vaccination pathways, and virus protection, mouse sera were collected before virus challenge to detect serum-specific IgG Ab levels and NA activities. Lung flush from corresponding mice was also collected for detecting specific IgA Ab. It was shown in Table II that there were clear correlations among the levels of SARS-CoV-specific serum IgG Ab, lung flush IgA Ab, NA, and the protection against i.n. virus challenge with live SARS-CoV. In general, a higher serum IgG titer correlated with a higher NA titer, resulting in a higher protection from virus challenge. For example, i.m. prime boost of RBD-rAAV (RBD.im.B) induced a higher serum IgG titer of 8.0 ± 1.6 × 10^3 and a higher NA titer of 3.7 ± 1.4 × 10^2 at the time of virus challenge, accompanied by a lower viral load of 0.6 ± 0.6 × 10^2 detected in the mouse lung tissue after challenge. In contrast, i.m. single prime dose of RBD-rAAV (RBD.im.P) elicited a lower serum IgG titer (3.2 ± 10^3) and a lower NA titer (1.2 ± 0.4 × 10^2), leading to a higher virus replication (1.1 ± 0.2 × 10^2) in the mouse lung tissue. However, IgA produced in mouse lungs in i.n.-vaccinated mice (RBD.im.B) could also play a part in suppressing SARS-CoV replication, even though serum IgG Ab or NA levels were lower than that of the i.m.-vaccinated mice. For instance, RBD.im.B induced a much higher titer of IgA in mouse lungs, but lower serum IgG Ab and NA titers than RBD.im.B, while virus replication in i.n. prime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Vaccinations</th>
<th>Serum IgG (Titer ×10^3)</th>
<th>Serum NA (Titer ×10^3)</th>
<th>Lung Flush (IgA Titer OD450)</th>
<th>Viral RNA Copies (×10^2/μg of Tissues)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBD</td>
<td>im.P</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>im.B</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV</td>
<td>im.B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mice were i.m. or i.n. vaccinated and/or boosted with RBD-rAAV. Serum IgG and NA titers of vaccinated mice (four mice per group) were recorded before SARS-CoV challenge. Corresponding lung flush was also collected for IgA detection. Results of IgG, IgA, and NA are presented as mean ± SE of four mice per group. Viral loads in lungs of challenged mice are expressed as mean ± SE of RNA copies per microgram of lung tissue of four mice per group.

FIGURE 7. Mouse lung tissues infected with SARS-CoV collected 8 days postinfection were detected for histopathological changes. All sections of mouse lung tissues were stained with H&E and examined under the microscope (original magnification, ×100). A. Representative images of histopathological damage of lung tissue from the control mice administered with blank AAV in either i.m. (C1 and C2) or i.n. (C3 and C4) boost vaccinations. These control mice developed interstitial pneumonia. Predominantly infiltrating lymphocytes and mononuclear cells were identified around small blood vessels (open arrow). Pulmonary vascular peripheral lymphocyte infiltration was also shown, with bronchial epithelial cell degeneration, necrosis, desquamation (solid arrow), broadening interstitial spaces, and exudation. B. Representative images of histopathological changes of lung tissue from mice i.m. vaccinated with a single prime dose (M1) or prime-boost doses (M2), and i.n. vaccinated with prime-boost doses (M3 and M4) of RBD-rAAV. The lung tissue from a single prime dose i.m.-vaccinated mice showed mild interstitial pneumonia change with focal broadening interstitial spaces and lymphocytic infiltration (arrowhead) (M1). Mice with i.m.-prime-boost vaccinations developed slightly interstitial pneumonia with normal alveolar, slightly widened pulmonary interval, and small lymphocytic infiltration (M2). Mice with i.n. prime-boost vaccinations showed almost normal vascular structure, bronchiole, alveolar, and alveolar lung spacing (M3 and M4).
boost (0.5 ± 0.2 × 10^2) was lower than in i.m. prime boost. These data indicated that both mucosal- (local) and serum- (systemic) specific Abs, especially NA, could provide some protection for vaccinated mice from subsequent virus challenge, while mucosal immune response was indispensable for controlling SARS-CoV infection.

**RBD-rAAV vaccination provided long-term protection against SARS-CoV challenge**

To detect the long-term protective effect of the candidate vaccine against SARS-CoV infection, mice (five mice per group) were boosted with RBD-rAAV 12 mo after the first RBD-rAAV immunization, and challenged with 100 TCID₅₀ of SARS-CoV. Challenged mice were sacrificed 8 days postchallenge for examination of histopathological changes. Serious pulmonary interstitial pneumonias were observed in the lung tissues of all control mice vaccinated with blank AAV after SARS-CoV challenge (Fig. 7A). The lung showed broadening interstitial spaces, focal fusions with some alveolar compensatory expansion, pulmonary vascular dilatation and congestion, focal hemorrhage and exudation, scattered lymphocytic infiltration, especially perivascular infiltration. Focal desquamation of epithelial cells into alveolar spaces was found with scattered RBC and variable numbers of macrophages. Multinucleate giant cells were found in the alveoli and pulmonary interstitial space. Bronchial epithelial showed cytopathic effect, including necrosis and desquamation with a small amount of exudation and lymphocytic infiltration. In contrast, mice that had received RBD-rAAV vaccination showed no significant pulmonary effect after virus challenge. Three mice (three of five) in i.m. single prime dose and four mice (four of five) in i.m. or i.n. prime-boost doses presented normal lung structures or developed slightly interstitial pneumonia in the lung tissues with occasionally lymphocytic infiltration. Other mice in RBD-rAAV-vaccinated groups developed mild pulmonary interstitial pneumonia compared with those of the control AAV group (Fig. 7B). The above results demonstrated that RBD-rAAV vaccinations lessened the alveolar damage of challenged mouse lungs, and provided long-term protective immunity to prevent vaccinated mice from SARS-CoV infection.

**Discussion**

Development of effective vaccines against SARS-CoV is crucial in the prevention of recurrence of SARS. Currently reported candidate SARS vaccines are able to induce cellular, humoral immune responses and/or provide protective immunity against SARS-CoV infection, but they may have unfavorable features. Inactivated SARS-CoV-based vaccines, for example, might have the possibility of recovering virulence or causing accidental infection because of the incomplete inactivation of SARS-CoV, raising great concerns about the safety and applicability of this vaccine candidate when produced in a large quantity (50). DNA vaccines may cause toxicity to the injection sites when repeated doses were used (51). Protein-based vaccines are mainly Ab responses which have to be induced with the help of adjuvant, with weak or no cellular or mucosal immune responses (32, 32). Some viral vector-based vaccines, such as adenovirus or rMVA vectors, may have pre-existing immunity (28) or cause harmful immune responses (53) in vaccinated animals.

The rAAV vector has been recently applied for delivering vaccine Ags of various pathogens, with production of specific serum and mucosal Abs without the help of an adjuvant (38, 35). It is the only nonpathogenic viral vector now available and has been used successfully to establish long-term gene expression without toxicity in both dividing and nondividing cells (37). In addition, rAAV has the capacity of highly efficient transduction of target cell types such as muscles (33, 35) and low intrinsic adjuvant properties. These features have placed rAAV in a unique position over other contemporary candidates in SARS vaccine development.

Our previous study has shown that RBD-rAAV vaccination can elicit high humoral immune response with neutralizing activities through the i.m. route (42). This study was designed to further determine whether this candidate vaccine can provide protective immunity by different vaccination pathways, which pathway provides better protection, as well as record any side effects the vaccination may have. Thus, we compared the systemic, local humoral and cellular immune responses of BALB/c mice vaccinated with RBD-rAAV via i.m. and i.n. routes, and challenged the vaccinated animals with SARS-CoV to investigate the protective immunity and potential side effects.

Compared with i.m. vaccination, a single prime dose i.n. vaccination with RBD-rAAV could not induce detectable systemic humoral immune response (results not shown). After booster immunization, however, i.n. vaccination induced systemic Ab response of a similar level with shorter duration (Fig. 2), but much stronger and prolonged (lasting at least 5 mo) mucosal IgA Ab response with neutralizing activity (Fig. 3). One advantage of using viral vectors to deliver vaccine candidates is that the live viral vectors may induce strong mucosal humoral immune response (56), which may not be achieved by other types of vaccine candidates, such as protein and DNA vaccines (57, 58). Because the respiratory tract is the natural infection site of SARS-CoV, RBD-rAAV vaccination of the lung via the i.n. route may play an important role for prevention of SARS-CoV infection by inducing a high level of IgA Ab with neutralizing activity. This has been confirmed in our study. Our results showed that the protective efficacy of RBD-rAAV vaccination against SARS-CoV infection is correlated with the Ab level, especially lung IgA Ab level (Table II). Although the i.n. vaccination induced lower systemic Ab responses than the i.m. vaccination, it provided higher protection against virus challenge (Fig. 6).

AAV-based vaccines have been shown to be able to induce both strong humoral and cell-mediated immunity (37). Our study also demonstrated that RBD-rAAV vaccination can induce not only strong humoral but also strong CTL responses. Surprisingly, the i.n. vaccination of RBD-rAAV also elicited stronger specific CTL responses, as indicated by higher frequencies of IL-2 and/or IFN-γ-producing CD3⁺/CD8⁺ cells not only in the lung but also in the spleen, than the i.m. vaccination (Fig. 5). It is well-known that cellular immune responses, especially CTL responses, play an important role in antiviral immunity (59, 60). It has been further reported that pulmonary T cell immunity is important in protecting naive natural hosts against pulmonary viral infections (61). Thus, higher frequencies of SARS-CoV-specific CTL induced by the i.n. vaccination, especially those pulmonary CTL, may also contribute to higher protective efficacy mediated by the i.n. immunization. However, potential functional differences between IL-2 and IFN-γ-producing CTL in suppressing SARS-CoV infection are unclear and should be further investigated.

Although humoral responses induced by RBD-rAAV vaccination dropped down to very low levels at 12 mo postvaccination, the Ab levels increased quickly after the animals received booster immunization, reaching the highest level (Fig. 2), which provided a potent protection against SARS-CoV challenge in the animals (Fig. 7). This may be attributed to the low antigenicity of the AAV vector itself, which does not induce significant immunity against AAV to interfere with the booster immunization, and administrated with AAV-based vaccines via the i.n. route may ward off the humoral immune response against AAV capsid proteins (62). In contrast, for other viral vectors with high antigenicity, such as
adenvirus, the immune effects of vaccination may be significantly affected by the pre-existing immunity against adenvirus acquired through either natural infection or primary vaccination (53, 63).

It should be noted that some viral vector-based SARS vaccine candidates might have a harmful impact or side effects. For example, rMVA-based SARS vaccine candidate has been shown to produce strong Ab-mediated disease enhancement (ADE) effects, in which NA induced by SARS-CoV S protein did not protect ferrets from SARS-CoV challenge, but increased viral replication, or inflammatory responses (27, 28). Our study showed that both i.n. and i.m. vaccinations with RBD-rAAV did not cause ADE. In contrast, the higher IgG/IgA Ab and NA levels were associated with lower viral replication (Fig. 6, Table II) and less pathological damage (Fig. 7).

It has been reported that the wild-type AAV DNA is able to integrate into the human genome at specific sites, preferentially on chromosome 19q, and rAAV vectors may integrate randomly into nonchromosome 19q locations, although with low frequency (64). In this regard, mucosal immunization offers greater advantage in nonchromosome 19q locations, although with low frequency (64).
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It should be noted that some viral vector-based SARS vaccine candidates might have a harmful impact or side effects. For example, rMVA-based SARS vaccine candidate has been shown to produce strong Ab-mediated disease enhancement (ADE) effects, in which NA induced by SARS-CoV S protein did not protect ferrets from SARS-CoV challenge, but increased viral replication, or inflammatory responses (27, 28). Our study showed that both i.n. and i.m. vaccinations with RBD-rAAV did not cause ADE. In contrast, the higher IgG/IgA Ab and NA levels were associated with lower viral replication (Fig. 6, Table II) and less pathological damage (Fig. 7).

As a vaccine vector for delivering Ags of various pathogens, rAAV has the characteristics of eliciting specific serum and mucosal Abs without the help of an adjuvant (38, 54). Likewise, rAAV vector expressing the RBD of SARS-CoV S protein was able to induce serum IgG and/or mucosal IgA immune responses as well as protection against SARS-CoV infection in the established mouse model. The major limitation of the rAAV vector is its inability to package DNA inserts >4.7 kb (65). However, for a RBD-based vaccine, only an insert of <1.0 kb is needed to be packaged in the RBD-rAAV vector. Therefore, the function of the RBD-rAAV vector is not affected by the insert size limitation. Further studies are warranted to determine the immune responses of RBD-rAAV in the presence of long-term RBD Ag expression and using different vaccination regimens, e.g., priming with DNA vaccine expressing the RBD and boosting with RBD-rAAV, as the adenovirus vector-based vaccine strategies (66).

Taken together, our study demonstrated that i.n. vaccination with RBD-rAAV can induce systemic humoral immune response of comparable strength but shorter duration, much stronger local humoral immune response, and stronger systemic and pulmonary CTL response, as compared with i.m. vaccination. The immune responses elicited by i.n. route can provide similar protection as i.m. vaccination. The immune responses elicited by i.n. route can provide similar protection as i.m. vaccination. The immune responses elicited by i.n. route can provide similar protection as i.m. vaccination. The immune responses elicited by i.n. route can provide similar protection as i.m. vaccination. The immune responses elicited by i.n. route can provide similar protection as i.m. vaccination.
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