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Activation of V(D)J Recombination at the IgH Chain JH Locus Occurs within a 6-Kilobase Chromatin Domain and Is Associated with Nucleosomal Remodeling

Jérôme Maës,2* Stéphane Chappaz,3* Patricia Cavelier,* Laura O’Neill,‡ Bryan Turner,‡ François Rougeon,* and Michele Goodhardt2,4*

IgH genes are assembled during early B cell development by a series of regulated DNA recombination reactions in which DH and JH segments are first joined followed by VH to DJH rearrangement. Recent studies have highlighted the role of chromatin structure in the control of V(D)J recombination. In this study, we show that, in murine pro-B cell precursors, the JH segments are located within a 6-kb DNase I-sensitive chromatin domain containing acetylated histones H3 and H4, which is delimited 5’ by the DQ52 promoter element and 3’ by the intronic enhancer. Within this domain, the JH segments are covered by phased nucleosomes. High-resolution mapping of nucleosomes reveals that, in pro-B cells, unlike recombination refractory nonlymphoid cells, the recombination signal sequences flanking the four JH segments are located in regions of enhanced micrococcal nuclease and restriction enzyme accessibility, corresponding to either nucleosome-free regions or DNA rendered accessible within a nucleosome. These results support the idea that nucleosome remodeling provides an additional level of control in the regulation of Ig locus accessibility to recombination factors in B cell precursors. The Journal of Immunology, 2006, 176: 5409–5417.
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loci (7, 14, 15). These modifications appear to be regulated by transcriptional promotor and enhancer elements located within the Ig and TCR loci that also control V(DJ) recombination at these loci (7, 8). Recent data suggest that subnuclear localization may also affect AgR locus accessibility (16, 17).

In vitro studies have shown that nucleosomes constitute a direct obstacle to V(DJ) recombination. Packaging of DNA into nucleosomes severely impairs RAG-mediated cleavage of RSS (18, 19). Therefore, even in a decondensed chromatin, RSSs constrained within nucleosomes could be inaccessible to the RAG proteins in vivo. This suggests that nucleosome remodeling or disruption could be a key component in regulating V(DJ) cleavage at endogenous Ig and TCR loci. Consistent with this idea, recent data indicate that histone acetylation alone is not sufficient to promote recombinoational accessibility, and that subsequent modifications, notably in nucleosome organization, may provide additional levels of regulation (10, 11, 15). To date, the nucleosomal organization over endogenous RSSs in the more complex chromatin structure encountered by RAG proteins in vivo has not been investigated in detail. In this study, we investigated the accessibility of JH RSSs with respect to nucleosome remodeling and histone modifications in primary B cell precursors and determined the boundaries of modified chromatin structure over the JH locus. In this study, we investigated the accessibility of JH RSSs in vivo. This suggests that nucleosome remodeling or disruption within nucleosomes could be inaccessible to the RAG proteins in vivo. This indicates that nucleosome remodeling or disruption over endogenous RSSs in the more complex chromatin structure encountered by RAG proteins in vivo has not been investigated in detail. In this study, we investigated the accessibility of JH RSSs with respect to nucleosome remodeling and histone modifications in primary B cell precursors and determined the boundaries of modified chromatin structure over the JH locus.

Materials and Methods

**Purification of CD19+ pro-B cells and culture conditions**

CD19+ B cell precursors were isolated by magnetic separation (Miltenyi Biotec) from single-cell bone marrow suspensions of 6-wk-old Rag2-deficient (C57BL/6) mice. Purity was confirmed by simultaneous staining with anti-B220 (APC-conjugated anti-B220; BD Pharmingen) and anti-CD43 (FITC-conjugated anti-CD43; BD Pharmingen) Abs.

CD19+ purified cells were cultured in Opti-MEM medium (Invitrogen LifeTechnologies) supplemented with 10% FCS, 5×10−3 M 2-ME, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 U/ml streptomycin in the presence of 125 U/ml IL-7 and S17 stromal cells irradiated with 1600 rad with a Cesium source, and IL-7 and S17 stromal cells as described previously (25). For restriction enzyme digestion, DNA was extracted, digested with the appropriate restriction enzyme at 25°C with 7.5 U of BamHI (Worthington Biochemicals) at 25°C for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 min. Because MNase cleavage generates 5′-OH ends, DNA (10 μg) from each MNase-treated sample was phosphorylated with T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). Following ligation to a unidirectional linker (24), DNA (1 μg) was ethanol precipitated and 25 cycles of amplification were performed using a gene-specific primer. One-sixth of the PCR mixture was then subjected to five cycles of linear PCR amplification with a second nested radiolabeled primer. After amplification, reaction products were ethanol precipitated and 25 cycles of amplification were performed using a gene-specific primer. One-sixth of the PCR mixture was then subjected to five cycles of linear PCR amplification with a second nested radiolabeled primer. After amplification, reaction products were ethanol precipitated, extracted, and resuspended in formamide loading buffer before separation on a 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gel. Sequence ladders were used as markers.

Distal (A) and proximal (B) JH PCR primers used are as follows: 3′-JH1A, GGAGTGAAAGGCTATCATCAGCCAGAAGACG; 3′-JH1B, CCCTATCTCTAGAAGATCTTCTGACGATG; 3′-JH2A, GGCTAACGTACGCAGGGGCTCTCAATGAC; 3′-JH2B, GCTGACCATGACAGGGGGCTCTCAATGAC; 3′-JH3A, TGACCAAGCAGCCATGCTCTCTAATGAC; 3′-JH3B, ACCATGTCTCTAACTTTGCGGGCGGCTC TTCCACTTCAATGAC.

**Restriction endonuclease accessibility analysis**

Cell nuclei were prepared from Rag2−/−CD19+ pro-B cells precursors and S17 stromal cells as described previously (25). For restriction enzyme digestion, 5×106 nuclei were resuspended in 300 μl of appropriate digestion buffer (New England Biolabs) and incubated with 50 U of restriction enzyme at 37°C. Aliquots were taken at 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 30 min. Nuclei were then lysed in extraction buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 20 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) and treated with proteinase K (600 μg/ml) and DNAse I digestion was performed on lysolecithin-permeabilized RAG2−/− CD19+ pro-B cells, as described previously (11).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

Chromatin immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described (11) using affinity-purified Abs to acetylated lys4-histone H4 (R232), acetylated lys5-histone H3 (R47), and acetylated lys14-histone H3 (R224) (21). Following chromatin immunoprecipitation, equal amounts of DNA from the Ab bound, unbound, and input fractions were serially diluted and applied to nylon filters (Hybond N; Amersen Biosciences) by slot blotting. Specific DNA sequences were detected by hybridization with radiolabeled probes described in Table I and quantified using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics).

**Micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion analysis**

RAG2−/− CD19+ pro-B cells were permeabilized with 0.01% lysolecithin, then incubated with 7.5 U of MNase (Worthington Biochemicals) at 25°C for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 min. Because MNase cleavage generates 5′-OH ends, DNA (10 μg) from each MNase-treated sample was phosphorylated with T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). Following ligation to a unidirectional linker (24), DNA (1 μg) was ethanol precipitated and 25 cycles of amplification were performed using a gene-specific primer. One-sixth of the PCR mixture was then subjected to five cycles of linear PCR amplification with a second nested radiolabeled primer. After amplification, reaction products were ethanol precipitated, extracted, and resuspended in formamide loading buffer before separation on a 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gel. Sequence ladders were used as markers.

### Table 1. **Probes used in nuclease sensitivity and histone acetylation assays**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3′/DQS2 (A)</td>
<td>EcoRI-BamHI</td>
<td>5′-TGAGCCCGAACTCACTCCTGCTGCG-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5′/DQS2 (B)</td>
<td>PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CTGCTGATCTCTGCCTCTT CTG-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH1</td>
<td>600-bp BamHI-HindIII</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH4</td>
<td>470-bp HindIII-MspI</td>
<td>5′-GGATAAGACCTAAATTACTAGC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eμ</td>
<td>303-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CTGCTGATCTCTGCCTCTT CTG-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sμ</td>
<td>287-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cμ</td>
<td>352-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mμ</td>
<td>214-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cδ</td>
<td>270-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3′ mδ</td>
<td>280-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>286-bp PCR fragment</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAP</td>
<td>550-bp Pst-HindIII</td>
<td>5′-CCCTCTCATCTCTCCTCTCTCTC-3′</td>
<td>5′-AGTTGCGTGGGCGTGGAGGACG-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RNase (100 µg/ml) at 56°C for 2 h. An additional overnight RNase treatment (100 µg/ml) was required for S17 cell lines. DNA was extracted and resuspended in 50 µl of TE. LM-PCR was conducted as described above using either the 3′-JH2A or 3′-JHHA primers. Because HindIII and MaeIII are not blunt-end restriction endonucleases, primer extension was performed before ligation to the unidirectional linker (23). Following the 25 cycles amplification, products were separated on a 2% agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane (Positive Membrane; Appligene), and hybridized with either the 3′-JH2B or 3′-JH4B radiolabeled primer. To control for restriction enzyme digestion in CD19+ precursors and liver cells, we performed LM-PCR assays using the β-actin-specific primers A, 5′-GT GGGGCTGGTGCAAGAGGCTCCTCCTGTG-3′, and B, 5′-CAAACCGT GAAAAGATGACCC-3′, for amplification and hybridization, respectively. Quantification was performed using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics).

**Results**

The **DQ52 promoter and Eμ enhancer define the limits of a chromatin domain**

We have previously shown, using Abelson-transformed cell lines, that JH segments are DNase I sensitive at the pro-B cell stage, whereas they are resistant to DNase I in nonlymphoid cells (11). To examine the extent of this DNase I-sensitive domain in primary pro-B cells, we analyzed general DNase I sensitivity of an 80-kb JH genomic domain (53). We have previously shown, using Abelson-transformed cell lines, that JH segments are DNase I sensitive at the pro-B cell stage, whereas they are resistant to DNase I in nonlymphoid cells (11). To examine the extent of this DNase I-sensitive domain in primary pro-B cells, we analyzed general DNase I sensitivity of an 80-kb JH genomic domain (53).

To extend this analysis, we used BamHI and MspI/HindII digests. We found that fragments containing either the JH segments (M3) or DQ52 sequence and promoter element (B2 and M2) are DNase I sensitive, whereas 5′ fragments are resistant to cleavage, again showing a drop in DNase I sensitivity 2 kb upstream of the DQ52 gene segment. A decrease in DNase I sensitivity is also observed for HindIII/MSpI fragments containing the Sμ switch region and downstream fragments (M4, M5), confirming that the 3′ boundary of the accessibility domain is located between the intronic Eμ enhancer and the Sμ region. Therefore, the JH segments reside within a 6-kb DNase I-sensitive domain in pro-B cells, which is delimited 5′ by the DQ52 promoter element and 3′ by the Eμ enhancer.

We next compared the extent of the DNase I-sensitive domain with that of histone H3 and H4 acetylation by chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments, using Abs against the acetylated form of different N-terminal lysine residues (Fig. 2). The kidney androgen-regulated protein (KAP) gene, which is not acetylated in B cell

We first analyzed HindIII restriction fragments, because this enzyme cuts at multiple sites along the locus (Fig. 1A). When the results are quantified and normalized for length, a clear transition in general DNase I sensitivity is apparent in the region upstream of the DQ52 segment and downstream of the Eμ enhancer (Fig. 1B). The 2.2-kb HindIII fragments containing either the JH1 (H2) or JH4 (H3) gene segments are sensitive to DNase I. In contrast, the 3-kb HindIII fragment, which is located 2-kb upstream of the DQ52 gene segment (H1) is relatively DNase I resistant. Similarly, a significant drop in the DNase I sensitivity is observed for the 3.7-kb HindIII fragment containing the switch region (H4) and all downstream fragments examined (H5–9). This shows that there is a decrease in general DNase I sensitivity 5′ of the DQ52 promoter and 3′ of the Eμ enhancer.

**FIGURE 1.** Transitions in DNase I sensitivity 5′ of the DQ52 promoter and 3′ of the Eμ enhancer define the boundaries of the JH chromatin domain. A. Schematic representation of the DQ52-JH-CH region. DQ52, JH segments, and CH exons are indicated as black or dark gray boxes; the switch region (Sμ) is indicated as a light gray box; and the intronic Eμ enhancer is indicated as a light gray circle. Restriction endonuclease sites HindIII (H), BamHI (B), MspI (M), and HindII (Hc) used for Southern blot analysis of DNase I-treated genomic DNA are shown. Probes used are indicated below the map. A detailed description of probes is given in Table I. B. Quantitative representation of DNase I sensitivity along the IgH locus. Permeabilized RAG2−/−CD19+ pro-B cells were treated with increasing concentrations of DNase I (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 µg/ml) and extracted DNA digested with either HindIII, BamHI, or MspI/HindII and analyzed by Southern blotting. Relative DNase I sensitivity corresponds to the extent of loss of signal intensities for each band. DNA fragments derived from the KAP gene, which is transcriptionally inactive in B cell precursors are used as DNase I resistant controls. Normalized DNase I sensitivity values (S) were calculated for each DNase I concentration from the following equation (44) and mean values were plotted on a graph: S = log (Ig/IK) × log (Kg/K) × T, where Ig and K are Ig and KAP band intensities for the undigested (U) or digested (D) samples, and T is the size ratio of the KAP to Ig fragments.
precursors (11), was assayed as a negative control in these experiments. We found that the DQ52 promoter, JH segments, and the Eμ enhancer are all strongly immunoprecipitated with an Ab against acetylated lysines 9 and 18 of histone H3 (anti-H3 AcLys9;18), consistent with previous reports that these sequences are associated with acetylated histones in pro-B cells (11, 12, 14). However, a region situated 2.5 kb 5′ of the DQ52 segment as well as the Cμ, Cδ, and Cy3 constant regions were all poorly immunoprecipitated. A similar profile was obtained with the anti-H3 AcLys14 and anti-H4 AcLys8 Abs, except that H3 lys14 acetylation appears to be more specifically associated with the JH and DQ52 coding segments, while histone H4 acetylation spreads 3′ to the Cμ exons (Fig. 2). With all three Abs, a very low level of acetylation, equivalent to the KAP gene, was found for sequences over 2 kb 5′ of the DQ52 segment. Taken together, these results indicate that, like the DNase I-sensitive domain, the domain of hyperacetylation in pro-B cells spans 5′ of the DQ52 promoter while the 3′ boundary lies between the Eμ element and the Cμ region.

Restriction endonuclease accessibility analysis of JH RSS

We next used a restriction enzyme accessibility assay to analyze nucleosome positioning. Unlike the JH locus, to compare the sensitivity of the JH RSS relative to adjacent sequences, we chose restriction enzymes that cut in the RSS as well as in other sites around the JH segments (Fig. 3). No appropriate restriction sites were found for the RSS situated 5′ of the JH3 segment, which was therefore not studied further. Nuclei isolated from CD19+ pro-B cells and S17 stromal cells were incubated with appropriate enzymes for a limited time course and the extent of cleavage was assessed by LM-PCR. As an internal control for the quantity and degree of digestion of each sample, linker-ligated DNA was amplified in parallel with primers specific for the β-actin gene.

As shown in Fig. 3, restriction sites located in or close to the RSS were more readily cleaved in CD19+ pro-B than in S17 cells. Thus for the JH1 and JH2 segments, Rsal sites R1 and R2 and the Mael site M3 were more sensitive to digestion in pro-B than S17 cells (Fig. 3A), as was the Hinfl site in the JH4 RSS (H2, Fig. 3B). These results are consistent with RAG-mediated cleavage of Ig genes in isolated nuclei (26) and supports the idea that chromatin modifications in pro-B cells leads to enhanced accessibility at the JH RSS. Furthermore, restriction sites within the RSS were more sensitive to digestion than adjacent sites situated in the JH segments or intervening sequences. Quantification of the Southern blots indicates that even though the locus is globally 2–3 times more sensitive in B cell precursors than in the S17 cells, sites located in the RSS are 5–25 times more sensitive to restriction enzyme digestion in pro-B cells than the equivalent sites in S17 cells or to adjacent restriction sites (Fig. 3C). Hence the M3 site located in the JH2 RSS is 6- and 4-fold more sensitive than the M2 site located in the JH1-JH2 intergenic region and the M1 site in the JH1 coding sequence, respectively. Similarly, H2 site located in the JH4 RSS is 8-fold more accessible than H1 situated at the end of the JH2 coding sequence. Therefore, these results show that the recombination sequences are situated in regions of preferentially accessible chromatin in pro-B cells.

Nucleosome organization at the JH locus

The presence of a nucleosome core particle has been found to inhibit RSS cleavage by the Rag proteins in vitro, suggesting that selective positioning of nucleosomes at endogenous loci may be important in regulating access of V(D)J recombination factors in vivo. To examine the nucleosomal organization of JH segments in primary cells, CD19+ pro-B and liver cells isolated from RAG2−/− mice were analyzed by MNase digestion of chromatin. This enzyme preferentially cuts DNA in the linker sequences between nucleosomes and in nucleosome-free regions. MNase cleavage sites were mapped with respect to two Mspl sites flanking the JH segments by indirect end-labeling analysis (Fig. 4A, top). In CD19+ pro-B cells, we observed six discrete MNase cleavage sites in the JH1-JH3 region with a 3′ probe consistent with the presence of an ordered nucleosomal structure (Fig. 4A, JH4 probe). Of note, the sizes of the fragments generated indicate that the RSS flanking the three JH segments correspond to MNase cleavage sites. MNase digestion of naked DNA showed that this pattern is not due to the presence of preferential MNase cutting sites (Ref. 11; data not shown). Using the JH1 probe, the position of these sites was confirmed and additional cleavage at the JH4 RSS was observed. These results suggest that, although nucleosomal spacing is not regular throughout the JH region, there is preferential positioning of nucleosomes in pro-B cells resulting in the localization of the four JH RSS in nonnucleosomal DNA.

To extend the analysis to sequences upstream and downstream of the JH segments, the samples were digested with HindIII and hybridized with the JH1 or JH4 probe (Fig. 4, B and C). MNase cleavage was observed between the JH1 and the DQ52 gene segments in CD19+ pro-B cells (Fig. 4, A and B). This pattern was interrupted by two hypersensitive sites associated with the DQ52 gene segment. No visible bands were detected in the 1 kb of sequence examined 5′ of the DQ52 promoter element, indicating that...
this region is most likely not associated with positioned nucleosomes (Fig. 4B). Downstream of the JH4 segment, MNase digestion yielded a regular banding pattern up to the E/H9262 enhancer/MAR element (Fig. 4C). This region is associated with two areas free of MNase cleavage corresponding to the 5/H11032- and 3/H11032-MAR and several strong hypersensitive sites, probably due to binding of transcription factors.

A clearly different nucleosomal organization was observed in the liver cells. MNase digestion analysis shows an uninterrupted MNase ladder throughout the DQ52-JH-E/H9262 region. Multiple faint bands are detected over the JH segments, indicating that nucleosomes assembly in a nonrandom fashion in liver cells (Fig. 4A). However, unlike in pro-B cells, MNase cleavage sites appear too closely spaced to correspond to homogeneous positioned nucleosomes. Furthermore, the MNase hypersensitive sites associated with the DQ52 and E/H enhancer/MAR elements are not present, and MNase cleavage sites continue throughout the DQ52 and E/H elements (Fig. 4, B and C). These results show a more homogeneous nucleosomal organization in pro-B than in liver cells. JH segments appear to be covered by positioned nucleosomes in pro-B cells with preferential MNase cleavage occurring immediately 5' of each JH segment. This ordered nucleosomal array is interrupted 5' by the DQ52 promoter and 3' by the E/H enhancer/MAR element.

**High-resolution nucleosome positioning by LM-PCR**

To determine more precisely nucleosome positioning with respect to the JH RSS, we used a LM-PCR technique (23). CD19+ pro-B cells were subjected to limited MNase digestion and double-stranded breaks visualized after ligation of a unidirectional linker, followed by PCR amplification using a common 3'-prime primer complementary to the linker and JH-specific 3' primers. In vitro digestion of naked DNA (N) with MNase yielded cleavage sites throughout the JH locus (Fig. 5). When MNase/LM-PCR experiments were performed with CD19+ pro-B cells using a primer that hybridizes 100 nucleotides 3' of the JH2 segment most cleavage sites observed with naked DNA were suppressed for nucleotides between the 3' primer and the JH2 segment (1520 to 1380). In contrast, MNase cutting was observed immediately 5' of the JH2 segments.
segment at nt 1380 to 1290, with new strong cleavage sites detected at the level of the RSS (Fig. 5). These results are consistent with those of the restriction enzyme accessibility assays showing preferential cutting at the RsaI site located in the JH2 RSS. Similarly, strong cleavage sites were observed at the JH4 RSS, whereas sequences 3′/H11032 of JH4 were protected with only two cleavage sites detected at nt 2400 and 2385 (Fig. 5). Most cleavage sites observed with naked DNA were also suppressed at the JH3 segment and 3′/H11032 sequences, but not at the JH3 RSS. Signal intensity was lower with the JH1 primer; however, cleavage sites at early time points occurred always, although not exclusively, in the RSS region. Multiple strong bands over the RSS sequence were also seen when primer extension reactions were conducted with primers hybridizing to the JH segments, indicating that the detection of MNase cleavage at RSS is not related to distance from the primers (data not shown). Together with low-resolution MNase digestion analysis by Southern blot, these data suggest that, in pro-B cells, the RSS flanking the JH gene segments are located in accessible chromatin, perhaps at the boundary of positioned nucleosomes. In liver, MNase cutting is not preferentially localized at the level of the RSS. For the JH4 segment, MNase cutting was observed for nucleotides corresponding to sequences 3′ of the gene segment, whereas the JH4 sequence and 5′-RSS were less digested in liver than in CD19+ pro-B cells (Fig. 5). Even though it is difficult from these data to obtain a precise nucleosome positioning they indicate that nucleosome remodeling leads to enhance accessibility of RSS compared with 3′-JH sequences.

Discussion
Regulation of chromatin structure has been found to be a key component in the control of V(D)J recombination (7, 8). Recombination competent loci are associated with acetylated histones H3 and H4 and changes in histone methylation, indicating that posttranslational modifications of histone tails provides one way of regulating the accessibility of AgR loci to recombination factors. Our results on the nucleosomal structure of the endogenous IgH locus provide support for the idea that nucleosome remodeling is also important in the regulation of V(D)J recombination.
Nucleosomal DNA is generally refractory to sequence-specific DNA binding proteins. This appears to be true for the RAG proteins, because a number of studies have shown that assembly of RSS into mononucleosomes inhibits V(D)J cleavage in vitro (18, 19, 27). The studies of Boyes and colleagues (27) further indicate that the nonamer recombination sequence promotes positioning of nucleosomes over the RSS, suggesting that an active remodeling step is necessary before rearrangement. In this study, we demonstrate that the nucleosomal organization over the JH segments is different in nonlymphoid cells that are refractory to V(D)J recombination and in bone marrow pro-B cells that are poised to undergo D-JH recombination in vivo. In RAG2−/− pro-B cells, the JH segments and immediate 3' sequences are protected from MNase digestion, while the RSSs are located in regions of enhanced accessibility to both restriction enzymes and MNase, corresponding to either nucleosome free regions or DNA rendered accessible within a nucleosome. This organized structure is restricted to a 2.5-kb region between the DQ52 segment and the Eμ enhancer and may serve to channel the recombination factors to the RSS during DH-to-JH recombination in vivo. In RAG2−/− pro-B cells, the JH segments and immediate 3' sequences are protected from MNase digestion, while the RSSs are located in regions of enhanced accessibility to both restriction enzymes and MNase, corresponding to either nucleosome free regions or DNA rendered accessible within a nucleosome. This organized structure is restricted to a 2.5-kb region between the DQ52 segment and the Eμ enhancer and may serve to channel the recombination factors to the RSS during DH-to-JH recombination. In contrast, in V(D)J refractory cells, the JH RSS do not exhibit preferential nuclease cleavage, and a more complex nucleosomal structure, not interrupted by the DQ52 and Eμ elements, is observed. These results suggest that onset of IgH recombination is associated with local nucleosome remodeling leading to enhanced JH segment RSS accessibility.

Nucleosomes are remodeled by two families of ATPase-containing complexes, which break histone-DNA interactions and causes sliding or local DNA loops on the histone octamer (28). ATPase subunits belonging to both the SWI/SNF and ISWI families are able to stimulate RAG-mediated cleavage of RSS in vitro (29). Furthermore, BRG1, the catalytic subunit of the SWI/SNF complex, has been shown to be associated with the IgH locus in pro-B cells, suggesting that this complex may be responsible for remodeling of nucleosomes over the JH segments (14).

In pro-B cells, the nucleosomal array covering the JH segments ends 5' of the DQ52 segment in a region defined as having promoter/enhancer activity by Kohler and colleagues (30) and 3' at the intronic Eμ enhancer. These two sequences have been shown to correspond to DNase I hypersensitive sites in pro-B cells (12), suggesting that they may act as chromatin boundary elements. Active chromatin domains are classically defined as DNase I-sensitive regions (31). Strikingly, we observed an abrupt decrease in general DNase I sensitivity 5' of the DQ52 promoter and 3' of the Eμ enhancer, showing that these two elements delimit a 6-kb domain of decondensed chromatin encompassing the region of nucleosome remodeling in pro-B cells. As previously reported (11, 12, 14), JH segments and the Eμ enhancer are associated with acetylated histones in pro-B cells and the level of acetylation drops off gradually downstream of the Sμ region. However, in this study, we find that sequences situated over 2 kb upstream of the DQ52 segment were associated with hypoacetylated histones H3 and H4 showing that there is also a sharp drop in histone acetylation 5' of the DQ52 promoter. These results demonstrate that the JH segments are located within a discrete chromatin domain containing the proximal DQ52 segment and suggest the presence of a chromatin boundary element located 5' of the DQ52 segment. Therefore, DH and JH segments do not all lie within a single chromatin domain as previously suggested (12, 14), but rather are separated

![FIGURE 5. High-resolution nucleosome mapping by LM-PCR analysis. Permeabilized CD19+ pro-B cells were treated with MNase for different times and analyzed by LM-PCR using primers located 3' of JH1, JH2, JH3, or JH4 segments. A second round of amplification was performed with nested radiolabeled primers, and reaction products were separated on 6% polyacrylamide sequencing gels. In parallel, purified DNA (N) from RAG2−/− CD19+ pro-B cells was digested with MNase in vitro and analyzed using the same set of primers. Location of JH segments (open boxes) and RSS (filled boxes) are shown at the left of each gel, and numbers indicate nucleotide position at the JH locus (accession number MUSIGCD07). MNase digestion profile in RAG2−/− liver cells (L) is shown for the 3'JH4 primer. Note the protection against MNase digestion between nt 2413 and 2375 in CD19+ and the reciprocal protection of the RSS region and downstream sequences in liver cells.](http://www.jimmunol.org/)
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into at least two distinct domains. Further chromatin analysis will be required to determine whether upstream FL16.1, SP2, and ST4 DH segments, which are also acetylated in pro-B cells, are contained in one or multiple domains. Apart from the DQ52 promoter and Eμ enhancer, no additional DNase I HS have been described in the 100-kb DH-Cμ region (12). Nevertheless, Morshed et al. (14) have proposed a domain boundary close to the distal DFL16.1 upstream sequence containing enhancer but not promoter activity main lies 2 kb upstream of the DQ52 segment, this region could have a peak of histone H3 K4 methylation and may correspond to the 5′ limit of the DH chromatin domain.

V(DJ) recombination is a multistep process, with DH-to-JH rearrangement preceding recombination of Vμ to unarranged DH gene segments. This organization may also explain the preferential targeting of the DFL16.1 and DQ52 segments in initial DJH rearrangements (32–34), because these segments would be located at the 5′ extremity of each domain and hence potentially close to cis-acting chromatin regulatory elements. An increased use of DFL16.1 and a decreased frequency of JH3 and JH4 rearrangements were indeed observed in mice deleted for the DQ52 segment (35). Taken together, these results suggest that, during ontogeny, initial rearrangements occur within the DQ52-DJH domain, while DJH rearrangement with DH segments located outside this chromatin domain constitute secondary rearrangements.

Transcriptional promoter and enhancer sequences within the Iγ and TCR loci have been shown to act as regulators of V(DJ) recombination. A growing body of evidence indicates that these sequences regulate chromatin structure, probably by recruiting chromatin remodeling factors (9, 10, 36, 37). For example, at the TCRβ locus, the DJB1 promoter acts in collaboration with the linked Eγ enhancer to recruit histone acetyltransferases and ATP-dependent nucleosomal remodeling factors (37). Our finding that the DQ52 promoter and Eμ enhancer delimit the JH chromatin domain, strongly argues for the functional importance of these elements in the opening of the JH locus in early B cell precursors. Upstream of the DQ52 segment we find a clear transition in DNase I sensitivity, nucleosomal organization, as well as histone acetylation, suggesting that the DQ52 promoter element acts only on downstream DQ52 and JH segments. This is consistent with the notion that promoter elements have a local, unidirectional effect on chromatin structure, as illustrated by deletion of the DJB1 promoter and T early a germline promoter at the TCR loci, which only alter the chromatin structure of neighboring downstream J segments (36, 38, 39).

There is a less clear-cut transition in chromatin structure at the 3′ end of the JH domain. Although the DNase I-sensitive region stops at the Eμ enhancer, histone acetylation spreads further downstream. This may be due to the fact that unlike promoters, enhancers appear to have a more wide-ranging effect on chromatin structure, probably by recruiting chromatin remodeling factors to the TCR loci (15). This may indicate that these two elements have redundant or overlapping functions on JH chromatin and analysis of mice carrying both Eμ and DQ52 deletions should provide insight into this question. However, it should be noted that the DQ52 promoter was not entirely deleted in the DQ52−/− mice; only 170 bp of immediate upstream sequence containing enhancer but not promoter activity was removed (30, 43). Moreover, because we find that the 5′ boundary of both the DNase I-sensitive and hyperacetylation domain lies 2 kb upstream of the DQ52 segment, this region could contain additional cis-acting elements involved in locus opening, which have not yet been tested.

In summary, our results indicate that both histone modification and nucleosome remodeling are involved in rendering JH RSSs fully accessible to recombination factors in vivo. These changes occur within a distinct chromatin domain in pro-B cells containing only the proximal DQ52 gene and the JH segments.
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