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TLR3 Can Directly Trigger Apoptosis in Human Cancer Cells

Bruno Salaun, Isabelle Coste, Marie-Clotilde Rissoan, Serge J. Lebecque, and Toufic Renno

TLRs function as molecular sensors to detect pathogen-derived products and trigger protective responses ranging from secretion of cytokines that increase the resistance of infected cells and chemokines that recruit immune cells to cell death that limits microbe spreading. Viral dsRNA participate in virus-infected cell apoptosis, but the signaling pathway involved remains unclear. In this study we show that synthetic dsRNA induces apoptosis of human breast cancer cells in a TLR3-dependent manner, which involves the molecular adaptor Tol/IL-1R domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β and type I IFN autocrine signaling, but occurs independently of the dsRNA-activated kinase. Moreover, detailed molecular analysis of dsRNA-induced cell death established the proapoptotic role of IL-1R-associated kinase-4 and NF-κB downstream of TLR3 as well as the activation of the extrinsic caspases. The direct proapoptotic activity of endogenous human TLR3 expressed by cancerous cells reveals a novel aspect of the multiple-faced TLR biology, which may open new clinical prospects for using TLR3 agonists as cytotoxic agents in selected cancers. The Journal of Immunology, 2006, 176: 4894–4901.

The recently identified TLR family consists of a germline-encoded set of molecules thought to be critically involved in the detection of pathogens and the triggering of an immune response against microbial infections (1). Ligation of TLRs by their respective ligands triggers well-characterized signaling cascades that result in activation of downstream effectors, such as NF-κB, p38, JNK, and IFN regulatory factors (IRFs) (2); resistance against pathogens (3); and, occasionally, cell death (4), which is another way of protecting the host against microbe spreading (5). Such proapoptotic properties have indeed been demonstrated for TLR2 and TLR4, which can induce apoptosis in macrophages through signaling via the molecular adaptor MyD88 and the extrinsic Fas-associated death domain-caspase 8 pathway (4, 6) or via Tol/IL-1R domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β (TRIF) and the mitochondrial death pathway (7), respectively. Moreover, TRIF by itself exhibits proapoptotic properties (8–10), thereby strengthening the link between TLR signaling and cell death. Double-stranded RNA, which represents either genomic or life cycle intermediate material of many viruses, activates cells through binding to the dsRNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR), a kinase that initiates a complex molecular antiviral program (11). Recently, dsRNA was also shown to be a ligand for TLR3 that triggers the production of type I IFN (12). Moreover, dsRNA has been reported to induce apoptosis in several cell types, apparently through multiple pathways. For instance, dsRNA transfected in pancreatic β-cells induces PKR- and caspase-dependent apoptosis (13, 14), whereas endothelial cell apoptosis triggered by exogenous dsRNA is mostly dependent on the extrinsic caspase pathway (15). However, no direct evidence has yet been presented regarding the role of TLR3 in dsRNA-induced apoptosis.

TLR3 agonists have been used in the past, with variable efficiency, as an adjuvant to treat cancer patients, with the aim of inducing an IFN-mediated anticancer immune response (16, 17). Recent studies in mouse models have highlighted the adjuvant role of dsRNA in tumor vaccination, most notably through the promotion of Ag cross-presentation by dendritic cells and the induction of enhanced primary and memory CD8+ T cell responses (18, 19). However, because TLR3 is also expressed on nonimmune cells, such as keratinocytes (20) or endothelial cells (15), the question of a putative expression and role of this receptor in tumor cells needs to be investigated. In this study we have studied the effects of synthetic dsRNA on cancer cell survival and dissected the TLR3-dependent signaling pathways that can drive those cells to apoptosis.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and reagents

Human breast tumor cell lines (Cama-1, SW527, BT-483, and MCF-7) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured in DMEM/Ham’s F-12 medium containing 4.5 g/ml glucose (Invitrogen Life Technologies) complemented with 2 mM l-glutamine (Invitrogen Life Technologies), 10% FCS (Invitrogen Life Technologies), 160 μg/ml gentamidine (Schering Plough), 2.5 mg/ml sodium bicarbonate (Invitrogen Life Technologies), amino acids (Invitrogen Life Technologies), and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich; referred to as complete medium). Polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly(I:C)) was obtained from InvivoGen. Pep-tidoglycan and LPS were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Type I IFN-blocking mAb was purchased from PBL Biochemical Laboratories, and TNF-α-neutralizing mAb was obtained from Genzyme. Abs to Stat-1, phosphorylated Stat-1 (Tyr701), and PKR were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Abs to human IFN-β were obtained from R&D Systems, and Abs to NF-κB p65 subunit, TNFR-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), and β-tubulin were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The general caspase inhibitor z-Val-Ala-Asp(Ome)-fluoromethyl ketone (z-VAD-fmk) was purchased from R&D Systems, and cycloheximide (CHX) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.
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Laboratory for Immunological Research Schering-Plough Research Institute, Dardilly, France.
Human primary breast tumor samples were obtained from the Centre Léon Bérard in agreement with the hospital’s bioethical protocols. Single-cell suspensions were obtained after digestion with collagenase A (Sigma-Aldrich) and enrichment in human epithelial Ag (HEA)-positive cells using HEA microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The final single-cell suspension contained >80% HEA-positive cells and <2% CD45⁺ hemopoietic contaminants.

**Apoptosis and proliferation analysis**

Cell recovery after treatment with TLR ligands was measured by crystal violet staining (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were plated at 10⁴ cells/well in 96-well plates, and after 72-h culture with or without TLR ligand, cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 6% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min, washed twice, and stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 10 min. After washes and incubation in 1% SDS for 1 h, absorbance was read at 605 nm on a Vmax plate reader (Molecular Devices). Annexin V staining was performed with an AnnexinFITC apoptosis detection kit (BD Pharmingen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Subdiploid cells were detected by staining with 3 μg/ml propidium iodide (PI; Molecular Probes) after overnight permeabilization in 70% ethanol. Fluorescence was analyzed by flow cytometry on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) equipped with a doublet-discrimination module using CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences). Cama-1 cell proliferation was analyzed with the anti-BrdU FITC-conjugated Ab set (BD Pharmingen) after a 1-h pulse with 10 μg/ml BrdU (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**ELISA**

Production of IL-6 by Cama-1 was assessed in culture supernatants with the DuoSet ELISA kit (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Biochemistry**

Cama-1 cells were lysed in 1% Nonidet P-40-containing buffer, and 20 μg of total proteins were loaded per lane on SDS-polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Western blots (WB) were performed with standard techniques using the Abs described above.

**Small interfering RNA (siRNA) experiments**

Cama-1 cells were plated in six-well plates at 3 × 10⁵ cells/well. After overnight adherence, siRNA transfections were performed for 5 h in OptiMEM medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies) containing 3 μg/ml Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and 100 nM siRNA. Cells were then washed and cultured for 72 h in complete medium before treatment with poly(I:C) and apoptosis analysis. The siRNA duplexes specific for TLR3, PKR, and p65 were purchased from Dharmacon as SMART-Pools. TRIF and control scrambled siRNA were purchased from the same supplier as single oligo-duplexes (5’-GCUCUUGUAUCUGAAGCAC-3’ and 5’-ACUAGUUCACGAGUCACCAC-3’, respectively). TLR3 and TRIF expressions were assessed by PCR (35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 2 min at 72°C) with Taq PCR ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) using the following primers: forward/5’-AACGATTCCTTTGCTTGGCTTC-3’, reverse/5’-GCTTAGAAAGAGCCTTGTCCTTC-3’. TLR3 and TRIF expressions were assessed by PCR (35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 2 min at 72°C) with Taq PCR ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) using the following primers: forward/5’-AACGATTCCTTTGCTTGGCTTC-3’, reverse/5’-GCTTAGAAAGAGCCTTGTCCTTC-3’.

**FIGURE 1.**

Synthetic dsRNA induces TLR3- and TRIF-dependent apoptosis of human breast tumor cells. *a*, Breast tumor cell recovery after culture with poly(I:C) is expressed as a percentage, with cultures in medium alone considered 100%. The data shown were obtained from three independent experiments conducted in triplicate. The star indicates a statistical difference from respective controls (p < 0.05). *b*, Cama-1 cells were cultured for 24 h without (gray) or with (white) poly(I:C), and apoptosis was measured by annexin V staining. *c*, Cama-1 cells were cultured without (●) or with increasing doses of poly(I:C) (▲, 0.5 ng/ml; ■, 5 ng/ml; ▶, 50 ng/ml; ■, 500 ng/ml; ●, 5 μg/ml), and the percentage of annexin V-positive apoptotic cells was measured at the indicated time points. Data shown are representative of three independent experiments with similar results. *d*, Cama-1 cells were cultured without (●) or with (▲) poly(I:C), and DNA content was measured by PI staining. The percentage indicates the proportion of subdiploid cells in cultures. *e*, Cama-1 cells were cultured for 24 or 48 h without (■) or with (●) poly(A:U). Annexin V-positive apoptotic cells are expressed as a percentage of the total cells in culture. Data shown were obtained from three independent experiments. *f*, Freshly recovered breast tumor cells were cultured in medium without (PBS) or with poly(I:C), and cell DNA content was measured by PI staining. Percentages indicate the proportion of cells with low DNA content (subdiploid cells), i.e., apoptotic cells.
TCCAGAATGGTCAAG-3′ (reverse) for TLR3 and 5′-ACTTCCTAG CGCCTTGGACA-3′ (forward)/5′-ATCTTCTACAGAAGTTGAA-3′ (reverse) for TRIF. The expressions of PKR and p65 were assessed by WB as described above.

Statistics
Statistical significance was assessed with two-tailed Student’s *t* test, and results are given as the mean ± SD.

Results

**TLR3 agonists can directly trigger apoptosis in breast cancer cell lines**

To investigate the role of TLR3 agonists on tumor cells, human breast adenocarcinoma cell lines were cultured with 50 μg/ml of the dsRNA analog poly(I:C) for 72 h. Three of four cell lines tested (Cama-1, BT-483, and SW527, but not MCF-7) showed a significant decrease in cell recovery, as measured by crystal violet staining, with Cama-1 consistently exhibiting the most dramatic drop (Fig. 1a). Nevertheless, the polyIC-induced decrease in cell recovery of BT483 and SW527, although weaker than that in CAMA-1 cells, was both significant (35 and 25%, respectively, compared with <3% in controls) and highly reproducible (at least three times). The decrease in recovery of Cama-1 cells was due to apoptosis, as illustrated by annexin V staining (Fig. 1b). Poly(I:C) triggered significant dose-dependent apoptosis in the Cama-1 cell line, starting at 9 h and reaching a level of 80% apoptotic cells after 30 h of treatment (Fig. 1c). Cell recovery decrease was associated with an increase in subdiploid cells, as illustrated by PI staining (Fig. 1d). When added at 50 μg/ml to Cama-1 cell culture, the dsRNA analog polyadenylic-polyuridylic acid (poly(A:U)) triggered similar cell death, although with slower kinetics (Fig. 1e).

Importantly, one of two human primary breast tumor cell samples exposed for 48 h to 50 μg/ml poly(I:C) underwent a 2-fold increase in apoptosis, as illustrated by PI (Fig. 1f) and annexin (data not shown) staining. A nonspecific proapoptotic effect of our TLR3 agonists preparations was excluded by the absence of toxicity in MCF-7 breast cancer cells (Fig. 1a) and in four non-small cell lung and colon cancer cell lines as well as in TLR3-transfected 293 cells (data not shown). Collectively, these results demonstrate that TLR3 agonists are able to directly and in a dose-dependent manner trigger the apoptosis of breast tumor cells.

**Poly(I:C)-induced tumor cells apoptosis is mediated by TLR3 and TRIF, but occurs independently of PKR**

PKR can be triggered by synthetic transfected dsRNA (21), whereas TLR3 can be triggered by exogenous poly(I:C) (22). To determine whether PKR or TLR3 was involved in dsRNA-induced Cama-1 cells apoptosis, the expression of each protein was efficiently suppressed through transfection of specific siRNAs (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, although TLR3 mRNA was not readily detected in the steady state in either Cama-1 cells or the other cell lines studied, and the level of TLR3 mRNA, as evaluated by PCR, was not directly linked to the apoptotic response to poly(I:C) in the four lines analyzed (Fig. 2a and data not shown), poly(I:C) treatment induced strong TLR3 mRNA up-regulation in Cama-1 cells (Fig. 1d).
2a, left panel). Suppression of TLR3 with specific siRNA virtually abrogated poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis, whereas cell death occurred normally in the almost complete absence of PKR (Fig. 2b). The serine/threonine protein kinase inhibitor 2-aminopurine had no effect on poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis (data not shown), confirming the lack of PKR involvement. Although the involvement of MyD88 in TLR3 signaling remains controversial, TRIF is the critical adaptor protein for TLR3 from which signaling diverges. On the one hand, the recruitment of TRAF6 and receptor-interacting protein 1 by TRIF leads to the activation of NF-κB and JNK, and p38. In contrast, recruitment and activation of TRAF family member-associated NF-κB activator binding kinase drive the nuclear translocation of IRF-3 and the production of type I IFN (1, 23, 24). Accordingly, suppression of TRIF, but not MyD88, with specific siRNA significantly reduced poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis of Cama-1 cells (Fig. 2b). Double-stranded RNA not only induced apoptosis, but also blocked the proliferation of Cama-1 cells, as measured by BrdU incorporation (Fig. 2c). The siRNA experiments showed that, like apoptosis, the cytostatic effect of poly(I:C) was mediated by TLR3, but was independent of PKR. Of note, inhibition of TRIF or MyD88 expression by itself decreased BrdU incorporation (Fig. 2c), suggesting a role for these molecules in Cama-1 cell proliferation. Taken together, these data demonstrate that synthetic dsRNA both induces the apoptosis and blocks the proliferation of breast cancer Cama-1 cells in a TLR3- and TRIF-dependent manner, which involves neither PKR nor MyD88.

**TLR3-mediated tumor cells apoptosis requires type I IFN signaling**

Because TRIF adapter is known to mediate the type I IFN response of TLR3 (23), the role of type I IFN in TLR3- and TRIF-mediated apoptosis was evaluated. IFN-β production was strongly induced upon poly(I:C) treatment, and Stat1 phosphorylation was observed, indicative of type I IFN signaling (Fig. 3a). Of note, the very sensitive detection of Stat1 phosphorylation was maximum after 6 h of poly(I:C) treatment, when IFN-β production was still hardly detectable by WB. Neutralization of type I IFN with specific mAb significantly reduced poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis (Fig. 3b), demonstrating that type I IFNs were necessary for TLR3-mediated cell death. However, treatment of Cama-1 cells with a mixture of IFN-α and IFN-β did not induce apoptosis (Fig. 3b), whereas it sensitized other breast cancer cells to apoptosis, thereby demonstrating its biological activity (B. Salaun and S. J. Lebecque, manuscript in preparation). These results establish that type I IFN signaling is required for TLR3-triggered cytotoxicity, although it is insufficient to induce cell death by itself. Therefore, type I IFN- and additional TLR3-triggered signaling pathways appear to cooperate to trigger Cama-1 cells apoptosis.

**TLR3-induced cell death is mediated by IL-1R-associated kinase 4 (IRAK-4) independently of TRAF6**

Beside type I IFN production, TLR3 has also been shown to trigger TRIF-mediated NF-κB activation (12). IRAKs are central to TLR signaling and are known to induce IκB degradation through TRAF6 recruitment and subsequent activation of the IκB kinase complex (2). However, the roles of IRAK-4 and TRAF6 in TLR3 signaling remain unclear. The siRNAs specific for each molecule efficiently suppressed the expression of the respective protein in Cama-1 cells (Fig. 4a). Double-stranded RNA-induced IL-6 secretion, which is mediated by TLR3 (Fig. 4b), was significantly reduced in the absence of either IRAK-4 or TRAF6 expression. Unexpectedly, IRAK-4, but not TRAF6, suppression prevented poly(I:C)-triggered and TLR3-mediated apoptosis (Fig. 4c). Incidentally, the very low secretion of IL-6 by Cama-1 cells not exposed to poly(I:C) indicated that siRNA did not significantly activate TLR3. Taken together, these results indicate that both IRAK-4 and TRAF6 participate in the endogenous TLR3 signaling in Cama-1 cells and reveal an unsuspected pathway, downstream of TLR3, that involves IRAK-4, but not TRAF6, and leads to cell death.

**TLR3-mediated cytotoxicity occurs independently of TNF-α, but requires NF-κB and protein synthesis**

An autocrine effect of TNF-α has previously been implicated in the apoptotic activity of TLR4 ligand in human alveolar macrophages (25). This cytokine plays no role in TLR3-mediated apoptosis, because neutralizing anti-TNF-α Ab, which protects Cama-1 cells from TNF-α-induced apoptosis, has no effect on poly(I:C)-triggered cell death (Fig. 5a). The general transcripational inhibitor CHX is known to sensitize cells to TNF-α-induced apoptosis by blocking the NF-κB-controlled survival program (26). As expected, pretreatment with CHX significantly sensitized Cama-1 cells to TNF-α-induced cytotoxicity (Fig. 5a). In contrast, it partially protected the cells against poly(I:C)-triggered apoptosis, confirming that different mechanisms were triggered by these two proapoptotic stimuli. Indeed, inhibition of NF-κB p65 expression by specific siRNA (Fig. 5b) protected Cama-1 cells against poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis (Fig. 5c), demonstrating its biological activity (B. Salaun and S. J. Lebecque, manuscript in preparation). These results establish that type I IFN signaling requires IRAK-4, but not TRAF6, to activate TLR3. Taken together, these results indicate that both IRAK-4 and TRAF6 participate in the endogenous TLR3 signaling in Cama-1 cells and reveal an unsuspected pathway, downstream of TLR3, that involves IRAK-4, but not TRAF6, and leads to cell death.
C)-induced apoptosis (Fig. 5c). Collectively, these results demonstrate that TNF-α secretion is not responsible for poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis and establish a proapoptotic role of NF-κB in TLR3-mediated apoptosis that contrasts with its antiapoptotic function upon TNF treatment.

Extrinsic caspases mediate TLR3-induced apoptosis

The role of caspases in poly(I:C)-induced cell death was analyzed. The broad caspase inhibitor, z-VAD-fmk, which inhibited TNF-α-induced cell death, also greatly reduced poly(I:C)-triggered apoptosis, suggesting a major role for caspases in TLR3-mediated cytotoxicity (Fig. 6a). Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) cleavage, a hallmark of caspase-dependent apoptosis, occurred in Cama-1 cells upon poly(I:C) treatment (Fig. 6b, top panel), confirming the involvement of caspases in TLR3-mediated apoptosis. Caspase 3 was indeed activated upon poly(I:C) treatment, as demonstrated by WB analysis (Fig. 6b, middle panel). Interestingly, caspase 8 also was activated by poly(I:C) (Fig. 6b, lower panel), reminiscent of the apoptosis triggered by TRIF overexpression (10), and the caspase 8-specific inhibitor z-IETD prevented the apoptosis (data not shown).

The low levels of activated caspases 3 and 8 still present after z-VAD-fmk pretreatment and poly(I:C) stimulation may be responsible for the residual apoptosis observed by annexin staining, although the involvement of a caspase-independent apoptotic pathway remains a possibility. Caspase 9 activation could not be detected (data not shown), although poly(I:C) triggered a sharp increase in PARP cleavage.
decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential, as measured by 3,3’-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide (3) staining (Fig. 6c), and a clear up-regulation of the proapoptotic Bax protein (Fig. 6d).

Taken together, these results demonstrate the dominant role of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway (shared with death receptors such as TNFR, Fas, and TRAIL) in poly(I:C)-triggered apoptosis, although some participation of the intrinsic pathway could not be completely excluded (27).

**Discussion**

Although involvement of TLR3 in apoptosis has recently been suggested (9, 10, 28), direct demonstration of the participation of this receptor in cancer cell apoptosis is lacking. The present work demonstrates the role of TLR3 in triggering breast cancer cell apoptosis via the adaptor TRIF, independently of PKR and MyD88.

In addition to TLR3 and PKR, the RNA helicase retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-1) was recently described to initiate a cellular response to dsRNA (29). However, TLR3 and RIG-1 are reported to trigger nonoverlapping signaling pathways. Therefore, given the almost complete protection provided by either TLR3 or TRIF siRNAs in Cama-1 cells, it is unlikely that RIG-1 plays an important role in dsRNA-induced apoptosis.

Molecular events involved in cell death induced by TLR3 agonists include the production of type I IFN, which is required, but not sufficient, for apoptosis. NF-κB p65 and extrinsic caspases are activated by TLR3 engagement and are also necessary for TLR3-mediated apoptosis. Regarding the signaling pathway, we demonstrate in this study that IRAK-4 and TRAF6 are involved in TLR3-triggered IL-6 production by Cama-1 cells. Although transfection-based studies have excluded IRAK-4 from TLR3-triggered signaling cascade (30), our data are in agreement with reports demonstrating that the lack of IRAK-4 expression deeply affects the response to dsRNA in both mice (31) and humans (32). Poly(I:C)-induced cell death also reveals a pathway downstream of TLR3 that signals through IRAK-4 even in the absence of TRAF6. Similar to our findings, a branching point downstream of IRAK kinases has been described for TLR4, where proapoptotic and NF-κB signalizations were shown to diverge after IRAK-1 activation (33).

However, several steps along the proapoptotic signaling pathway induced by TLR3 remain to be clarified. Indeed, it is unclear whether the early recognition of dsRNA is mediated by the low level of TLR3 expressed on resting cells or by another receptor. Elucidating the exact contribution of IFNRI signaling (known to activate the extrinsic caspases (34)) and analyzing the putative roles of proteins such as TBK1, IRF-3, and RIP1, which all participate in TLR3 signaling (35) will also require additional investigations. Type I IFNs involvement is reminiscent of the toxicity of the combination of dsRNA and type I IFNs for many cell types (36) and of the essential role these cytokines play in PKR-independent, virus-induced, apoptotic cell death (37). Regarding the mechanisms of action, the partial inhibition of dsRNA-induced apoptosis by the general caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk or DMSO (used as control) before culture without (■) or with (□) poly(I:C) or TNF-α (□). Results are expressed as a percentage of the annexin-positive apoptotic cells in culture. Data shown were obtained from three independent experiments. The star indicates a statistical difference from respective controls (p < 0.0001). b, Lysates from Cama-1 cells, obtained as described in a, were analyzed by WB with mAb specific for poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP; top panel), caspase 3 (middle panel), and caspase 8 (lower panel). F.L., full length; p85, cleaved PARP; p17 and p19, cleaved caspase 3; p43/41 and p18, cleaved caspase 8. β-Tubulin is shown as a loading control. c, Cama-1 cells cultured without (■) or with poly(I:C) (□) were incubated with 3,3’-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide (3), and accumulation of the dye that depends on mitochondrial transmembrane potential was determined by flow cytometry. d, Bax protein level measured by WB in lysates of Cama-1 cells cultured with poly(I:C) for the indicated time periods. β-Tubulin is shown as the loading control.
apoptosis by the protein synthesis general inhibitor CHX shows that type I IFNs do not participate in TLR3-triggered cell death simply by down-regulating protein synthesis through PKR-induced phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor e2. Alternatively, type I IFNs can facilitate apoptosis in various cell types by up-regulating the expression of proteins directly involved in cell death, including caspases (38), TRAIL, and p53 (39). Furthermore, IFN-α induces the expression of multiple genes that increase and accelerate the response to dsRNA, including PKR, 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase, IRF-3, and TLR3 (15). Lastly, in contrasts with its survival role after TLR2 (40) and TLR4 (7) triggering, NF-κB appears to be required for TLR3-induced apoptosis. It remains to be established whether the p65 subunit of NF-κB is involved in the up-regulation of TLR3 or IFN-I expression or in other pathways that link TLR3 triggering to apoptosis.

Finally, not every breast cancer cell line we tested was killed by poly(I:C), and there was no simple correlation between TLR3 expression in the resting state and poly(I:C)-induced apoptosis in the breast cancer cell lines tested in vitro. Defects in the cellular apoptotic machinery may explain the resistance to TLR3 agonists of cell lines such as MCF-7, which lack functional caspase 3 (41). Alternatively, differences in subcellular localization of the receptor or the ability to produce and/or respond to type I IFN after TLR3 stimulation may account for the variation in sensitivity observed in vitro.

Both poly(I:C) and poly(A:U) have been used with moderate success as adjuvant therapy in clinical trials for different types of cancer, including adenocarcinomas of the breast (42). Although the initial goal had been to trigger an innate immune response against cancer cells, the above data suggest that TLR3 agonists might have a direct proapoptotic effect on tumor cells. Indeed, retrospective immunostaining of breast tumor biopsies has shown that link TLR3 triggering to apoptosis.

To conclude, the present data open a new range of therapeutic applications for TLR3 agonists as cytotoxic agents in selected cancers and raise the exciting concept of multifunctional adjuvants support a direct effect of TLR3 agonist on cancer cells that is compatible with our in vitro data and that, in contrast to other reports of TLR-triggered apoptosis, does not require simultaneous inhibition of transcription, translation, or proteasomal degradation (9, 28, 33). Importantly, although we could not obtain primary inhibition of transcription, translation, or proteasomal degradation (5, 178), the results support a direct effect of TLR3 agonist on cancer cells that is compatible with our in vitro data and that, in contrast to other reports of TLR-triggered apoptosis, does not require simultaneous inhibition of transcription, translation, or proteasomal degradation (9, 28, 33).
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