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IL-18 Reduces Ultraviolet Radiation-Induced DNA Damage and Thereby Affects Photoimmunosuppression

Agatha Schwarz,* Akira Maeda,* Sonja Ständert, Harry van Steeg,‡ and Thomas Schwarz2*

UV-induced DNA damage has been recognized as the major molecular trigger for photoimmunosuppression. IL-12 prevents UV-induced immunosuppression via its recently discovered capacity to reduce DNA damage presumably via induction of DNA repair. Because IL-18 shares some biological activities with IL-12 we studied the effect of IL-18 on UV-induced DNA damage and immunosuppression. IL-18 reduced UV-induced apoptosis of keratinocytes and supported long-term cell survival on UV exposure. Injection of IL-18 into mice that were exposed to UV radiation significantly lowered the number of apoptotic keratinocytes. Accordingly, radiation immunohistochemistry revealed reduced amounts of DNA damage in epidermal cells upon injection of IL-18. These effects were not observed in DNA repair-deficient (Xpa KO) mice, indicating that IL-18 like IL-12 reduces DNA damage via DNA repair. UV-mediated suppression of the induction of contact hypersensitivity, which is known to be primarily triggered by DNA damage, was prevented upon injection of IL-18 before UV exposure in wild-type but not in Xpa KO mice. In contrast to IL-12, IL-18 was not able either in wild-type or in Xpa KO mice to break UV-induced immunotolerance that is mediated via regulatory T cells rather than in a DNA damage-dependent fashion. This result indicates that IL-12 is still unique in its capacity to restore immune responses because of its effect on regulatory T cells. Together, these data identify IL-18 as a further cytokine that exhibits the capacity to affect DNA repair. Though being primarily a proinflammatory cytokine through this capacity, IL-18 can also foster an immune response that is suppressed by UV radiation.


UV-induced DNA damage is the crucial molecular trigger for a variety of UV effects, e.g., immunosuppression and apoptotic cell death (8, 9). The vast majority of UVB-induced DNA lesions are removed by the nucleotide excision repair (NER),3 the essential endogenous DNA repair system (10). The importance and efficacy of the NER is best illustrated by the disease xeroderma pigmentosum (XP). Due to genetic mutations in the various NER genes, XP patients do not exhibit a functional NER and suffer from a dramatically increased risk of developing UV-induced skin cancer at an early age (11). Accordingly, mice in which the different genes of the NER complex have been knocked out (KO) develop skin tumors upon chronic UV exposure earlier and at higher frequency when compared with wild-type (WT) mice (12). In turn, accelerated or enhanced removal of UV-induced DNA damage, e.g., via the topical application of the bacterial DNA repair enzyme T4 endonuclease V, impaired the development of skin tumors in mice exposed to chronic UV radiation (13). Likewise, topical T4 endonuclease V was shown to lower the risk of developing actinic keratoses, the prestage of skin cancer, in XP patients (14).

Until recently it was thought that the genes coding for NER components are constitutively expressed and not subjected to any regulation. However, Eller et al. (15) first demonstrated that administration of DNA oligonucleotides induces DNA repair via a p53-dependent mechanism. Accordingly, a recent in vivo study indicated that topical pretreatment with DNA oligonucleotides enhanced the rate of DNA photoproduct removal, decreased UV-induced mutations, and reduced photocarcinogenesis in UV-irradiated mice (16). We recently observed that the immunostimulatory cytokine IL-12 is able to reduce the amount of DNA damage both in vitro and in vivo (17). This result was not due to a filtering effect of IL-12 but rather related to NER because this unique effect of IL-12 was not observed in NER-deficient (Xpa KO) mice. IL-12 is also able to antagonize UV-induced immunosuppression (18–20). This activity also appears to be related to the effect of IL-12 on DNA repair because IL-12 prevented both UV-induced suppression of the induction of contact hypersensitivity (CHS) and the depletion of Langerhans cells, the primary APC of the skin, in WT mice but not in DNA repair-deficient mice (21). Thus, these findings identified a new mechanism by which IL-12 can restore immune responses and also demonstrated a link between DNA repair and the prevention of UV-induced immunosuppression by IL-12.

IL-18 is a proinflammatory cytokine that exhibits the unique capacity to induce Th1 or Th2 polarization, depending on the immunologic context (22). Although not related to IL-12 structurally,
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IL-18 shares some biological effects with IL-12, e.g., the induction of IFN-γ (23, 24). Because modulation of DNA repair by cytokines may have important practical implications, we were interested to study whether the effect on DNA repair on UV-induced immunosuppression is unique for IL-12 or can also be mediated by other cytokines. In this study, we show that IL-18 like IL-12 suppresses UV-induced apoptosis by reducing UV-mediated DNA damage. This effect appears to be related to NER because it was not observed in XpaKO mice. In addition, through NER activity IL-18 was able to prevent UV-induced immunosuppression. However, unlike IL-12, IL-18 could not break UV-induced immunotolerance, a phenomenon that still remains unique for IL-12. This result indicates that IL-18, although being primarily a proinflammatory cytokine, through the described capacity to affect DNA repair can restore an immune response that is suppressed by UV radiation.

Materials and Methods

**Animals and cells**

The epidermoid carcinoma cell line KB (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) was cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FCS and 1% glutamine. Normal human keratinocytes (PromoCell) were cultured in Keratinocyte Growth Medium 2 (PromoCell). UV irradiation was performed as previously described (17) using a bank of six fluorescent bulbs (TL12; Philips), which emit most of their energy within the UVB range (290–320 nm) with an emission peak at 313 nm. Subconfluent cells (2 × 10⁶/ml) were exposed to UV in PBS.

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Harlan-Winkelmann. XpoKO mice were generated at the National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (Bilthoven, The Netherlands) (12). Animal care was provided by expert personnel in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines. Recombinant human and murine IL-18 was obtained from BioSource International. IL-18 was diluted in sterile endotoxin-free saline and supplemented with either IL-18 (150 ng/ml) or an equal amount of medium containing IL-18 or diluent. The cultures were monitored daily, and the number of sunburn cells (mean condensed nucleus, was counted throughout the epidermis of sections per medium containing IL-18 or diluent. The cultures were monitored daily, and the number of sunburn cells (mean condensed nucleus, was counted throughout the epidermis of sections per

**Detection of cell death**

For the detection of DNA fragmentation, a cell death detection ELISA (Boehringer Mannheim) was used. The enrichment of mononucleosomes and oligonucleosomes released into the cytoplasm of cells is detected by biotinylated antihistone- and peroxidase-coupled anti-DNA Ab. OD is shown as the mean ± SD of triplicate experiments.

To determine colony-forming efficiency, normal human keratinocytes were supplemented with either IL-18 (150 ng/ml) or an equal amount of diluent for 2 h before UV exposure. After irradiation (250 J/m²), cells were trypsinized, replated at different densities, and supplemented with complete medium containing IL-18 or diluent. The cultures were monitored daily, and when colonies were easily visible, cells were stained with crystal violet. A mAb directed against thymine dimers (Kamiya Biomedicals) was used. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation with 0.1% H₂O₂ and 0.6% sodium azide in PBS for 20 min. After rinsing with PBS, unspecific binding sites were blocked with 2% BSA for 30 min at room temperature followed by incubation with the primary Ab diluted in 1% BSA overnight. A mAb directed against thymine dimers (Kamiya Biomedical) was used at a dilution of 1/2000. Staining was achieved by an indirect immunoperoxidase technique using the following reagents: PBS, peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse Ab (undiluted, Dako envision; DakoCytomation), 0.01% H₂O₂, and 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (Sigma-Aldrich). Negative controls comprised omission of the first Ab.

**UV-induced immunosuppression**

C57BL/6 mice were sensitized by painting 50 μl of 2,4-dinitro-1-fluorobenzene (DNFB; Sigma-Aldrich) solution (0.5% in acetone to olive oil, 4:1) on the shaved back on day 0. On day 5, 20 μl of 0.3% DNFB were applied to the left ear. Ear swelling was quantified with a spring-loaded micrometer 24 h later. CHS was determined as the amount of swelling of the hapten-challenged ear compared with the thickness of the vehicle-treated ear and expressed in centimeters (mean ± SD × 10⁻³). Resensitization was performed on abdominal skin 14 days after the first sensitization.

**Statistical analysis**

Each experiment was performed at least twice. Each group of mice consisted of at least five mice. Data were analyzed by Student’s t test, and differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

**Results**

**IL-18 inhibits UV-induced apoptosis**

To determine the effect of IL-18 on UV-induced DNA damage, we tested how IL-18 affects UV-induced apoptosis. DNA damage is the major determinant on UV exposure whether a cell undergoes apoptosis or not; thus apoptosis can be used as a readout system for the severity of DNA damage. Exposure of the epithelial cell line KB to UV resulted in apoptosis, which was significantly reduced when cells were preincubated with IL-18 2 h before irradiation (Fig. 1A). Similar findings were obtained with normal human keratinocytes (Fig. 1B). To exclude that IL-18 only delays apoptosis but does not rescue cells, the colony-forming efficiency of normal human keratinocytes after UV irradiation was performed. Preconfluent dishes of cells were either left unirradiated or exposed to UV in the absence or presence of IL-18. After exposure to UV, IL-18 partially rescued more colonies compared with the UV only group (Fig. 1C). This finding indicates that IL-18 enables long-term survival of UV-exposed keratinocytes.

**IL-18 reduces UV-induced DNA damage and sunburn cell formation in vivo**

Because the severity of DNA damage is one of the major determinants of cell apoptosis upon UV exposure (8), the impact of IL-18 on the amounts of UV-specific DNA lesions was determined. UV induces two major types of DNA lesions, 6-4 photodimers and cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD), with the latter being the predominant lesions (26). Southern blot dot blot analysis using an Ab directed against CPD revealed that in DNA samples extracted 3.5 and 6 h after UVB exposure, respectively, UV-induced DNA damage was significantly reduced upon IL-18 treatment (data not shown). In samples extracted 10 min after UV, equal amounts of CPD were observed irrespective of whether the cells were pretreated with IL-18 or not. This observation excludes the possibility that the reduction of DNA damage by IL-18 is due to a filtering effect.

To elucidate the in vivo relevance of these findings, the shaved backs of C57BL/6 mice were exposed to UV radiation. One group
received IL-18 intracutaneously 3 h before UV exposure. At 16 h later biopsy samples were taken and the presence of CPD was assessed by immunohistochemistry using the Ab directed against CPD. Although in UV-exposed samples CPD were detected in almost any keratinocyte, the amounts of DNA lesions were remarkably reduced in animals that had received IL-18 before UV exposure (Fig. 2). In contrast, in biopsy specimens obtained immediately after UV exposure, no differences in the staining pattern were observed between the UV-irradiated mice that were injected with IL-18 or saline. The fact that the amounts of UV-induced DNA damage were the same in the IL-18-treated and untreated samples immediately after UV exposure, but reduced by IL-18 at later time points both in vitro and in vivo, implies that IL-18 might remove UV-induced DNA lesions by inducing DNA repair, most likely NER.

**IL-18 does not reduce sunburn cell formation in XpaKO mice**

To finally prove that IL-18 reduces UV-induced DNA damage via NER, XpaKO mice were used, which due to their mutation in the XPA gene are severely deficient in NER (12). Accordingly, XpaKO mice revealed a higher number of sunburn cells than C57BL/6 WT mice exposed to the same UV dose, confirming previous findings (25). Although IL-18 significantly reduced sunburn cells in UV-irradiated WT mice, it had no significant effect on sunburn cells in XpaKO animals (Fig. 3A). To exclude the possibility that so much DNA damage was induced in the XpaKO mice that they were unable to repair DNA damage, in the next experiment a lower UV dose was applied, which induces an equivalent number of sunburn cells. Again, IL-18 significantly reduced the number of sunburn cells in WT mice but not in XpaKO mice upon application of equitoxic and thus comparable UV doses (Fig. 3B). Because the effect of IL-18 is lost in XpaKO mice, this indicates that IL-18 like IL-12 affects NER and thereby reduces UV-induced DNA damage.

**IL-18 prevents UV-induced suppression of the induction of CHS**

UV-induced DNA damage is the major molecular trigger for photoimmunosuppression because reduction of DNA damage is associated with a mitigation of the compromise to the immune system by UV exposure (9, 27). In addition, it was recently shown that the well-known antagonism of UV-induced immunosuppression by IL-12 is at least partially due to its capacity to reduce UV-mediated DNA damage (21). Therefore, we assumed that the same should apply for IL-18. Thus, C57BL/6 mice were exposed to UV and sensitized after the last exposure by topical application of DNFB onto the UV-exposed skin. Application of DNFB to UV-exposed skin failed to induce sensitization (Fig. 4A). However, i.p. injection of IL-18 3 h before DNFB application restored sensitization in UV-exposed mice, as demonstrated by a vigorous CHS response upon ear challenge.

Application of haptens onto UV-exposed skin not only inhibits the induction of CHS but also induces hapten-specific tolerance (28). Accordingly, mice that had had the hapten applied to UV-exposed skin did not respond with an ear swelling response upon resensitization with DNFB, indicating that mice have been tolerized to DNFB (Fig. 4B). IL-12 is known to break established tolerance (18–21). Although the mechanism by which IL-12 breaks tolerance is still unclear, it is certainly independent of the effect of IL-12 on DNA damage (21). In contrast to IL-12, CHS responses following DNFB challenge were not restored following injection of IL-18 3 h before resensitization in UV-tolerized mice (Fig. 4B). This result indicates that IL-12 and IL-18 only share the same effect on preventing UV-induced immunosuppression, whereas IL-18, in contrast to IL-12, is not able to break established tolerance. Because UV-induced immunosuppression can be prevented by reducing DNA damage (9, 27), it is obvious that IL-18 like IL-12 appears to prevent immunosuppression via its effect on DNA repair.

**IL-18 does not prevent UV-induced suppression of the induction of CHS in XpaKO mice**

To consolidate this assumption, again XpaKO mice were used because in the case of involvement of DNA repair IL-18 should not be able to prevent UV-induced immunosuppression in DNA repair-deficient mice. In contrast to C57BL/6 WT mice (Fig. 4A), UV-exposed XpaKO mice remained unresponsive to DNFB despite the injection of IL-18 (Fig. 5A), indicating that indeed the preventive effect of IL-18 on UV-induced immunosuppression...
may depend on functional NER. Because IL-18 was not able to break tolerance in WT mice, it was not surprising to observe the same for XpaKO mice (Fig. 5B).

Discussion
UV radiation is the major causative agent for skin cancer because of its potent ability to induce DNA lesions, which if not removed can give rise to mutations and subsequently to skin cancer (1). However, the vast majority of UV-induced DNA lesions are removed by NER. Besides NER, induction of apoptosis represents an additional protective mechanism because it eliminates cells that still harbor considerable amounts of DNA damage because of insufficient repair or as a consequence of a too high UV dose (1). Therefore, dysregulation of UV-induced apoptosis will affect the photocarcinogenic risk. Several ways exist to inhibit UV-induced apoptosis, e.g., by overexpressing heat shock (29) or antiapoptotic proteins (30, 31), by disrupting p53 function (32), or by blocking death receptor activation (33, 34). Because these approaches allow the survival of cells carrying DNA damage, they may give rise to mutations and skin cancer. In turn, augmentation of UV-induced apoptosis may reduce the risk of photocarcinogenesis. We could recently show that this effect may apply for the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1 that inhibits death ligand-mediated apoptosis but enhances UV-induced cell death (35, 36). This observation was the first that cytokines can modulate UV-induced apoptosis. Along this line it was also observed that the immunostimulatory cytokine IL-12 reduces UV-induced apoptosis (17). However, this effect was related to a significant reduction of UV-induced DNA damage, presumably via the induction of NER because this reduction was not observed in NER-deficient XpaKO mice. This demonstration was the first to show that a cytokine may modulate NER. Because induction of NER results in reduction of DNA damage it was suggested that modulation of the NER by a cytokine might represent a new strategy to prevent formation of skin cancer and could supplement other strategies, e.g., the application of exogenous DNA repair enzymes (13, 14, 37).

Therefore, we were interested in whether this effect on DNA damage is unique for IL-12 or whether the effect on DNA damage can also be mediated by other cytokines. In this study, we demonstrate that the same applies for the proinflammatory cytokine IL-18. Like IL-12, IL-18 reduced UV-induced apoptosis both in vitro and in vivo. This effect was associated with a reduction of DNA damage. The fact, that the amounts of DNA damage immediately after UV exposure were the same but significantly less after several hours excludes a UV filtering effect of IL-18. Reduction of UV-induced apoptosis was not observed in XpaKO mice. Due to a mutation in the XPA gene, an essential component of the NER (10), these mice differ from WT mice only in their inability to remove DNA damage via NER. Thus, it is fair to conclude that IL-18 mediates the effect on apoptosis via NER.

Based on these findings, it is tempting to speculate whether topical application of IL-18 might represent an alternative strategy to prevent UV damage and skin cancer. IL-18 has a size of ∼18 kDa (38), thus penetration into the skin upon topical application may represent a problem. In addition, IL-18 is a proinflammatory

FIGURE 2. IL-18 reduces UV-induced DNA damage in vivo. C57BL/6 mice were irradiated with UV (500 J/m²) on the shaved back. At 3 h before UV exposure, 300 ng of IL-18 (B and D) or equal volumes (100 µl) of saline (A and C) were injected intracutaneously. After 10 min (A and B) and 16 h (C and D), respectively, biopsy specimens were taken and subjected to immunohistochemical staining using an Ab against CPD.

FIGURE 3. IL-18 reduces sunburn cell formation in WT but not in XpaKO mice. A, C57/BL6 (WT) and XpaKO mice were irradiated with UV (750 J/m²) on the shaved back. At 3 h before UV exposure IL-18 (300 ng) was injected intracutaneously. Biopsy samples were taken 16 h later and sunburn cells counted per 6-mm length of epidermis. B, To deliver equitoxic doses, XpaKO mice were exposed only to 350 J/m² and the effect of IL-18 on sunburn cell formation compared with WT mice, which were exposed to 750 J/m². *, p < 0.005 vs UV.
cytokine (39, 40) and thus topical application might induce inflammatory reactions. Accordingly, development of atopic dermatitis in transgenic mice overexpressing caspase-1, the enzyme that cleaves IL-18 into its active form, is critically dependent on IL-18 (41). IL-18 is secreted by a variety of cells including macrophages, dendritic cells, and epithelial cells (23). In particular, both human and murine keratinocytes have been demonstrated to be a source for IL-18 (42, 43). Thus, it is fair to speculate whether constitutive secretion of IL-18 in the skin might represent an endogenous mechanism reducing the risk of photocarcinogenesis. It remains to be determined whether mice deficient in the expression of IL-18 exhibit an increased risk to develop skin tumors upon chronic UV exposure. It is also not yet known whether IL-18-deficient mice are more susceptible to UV-induced immunosuppression or exhibit a higher number of sunburn cells as it has been demonstrated for IL-12-deficient mice (17).

UV-induced DNA damage is not only a crucial step in carcinogenesis but is also essentially involved in UV-induced signal transduction. This applies primarily for UV-induced immunosuppression. Suppression of the induction of CHS by UV radiation can be prevented by the application of DNA repair enzymes (9, 26). The same applies for the UV-induced release of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 (44). Accordingly, it was recently demonstrated that the ability of IL-12 to prevent UV-induced immunosuppression may be due to its capacity to reduce DNA damage (21). IL-12 was able to prevent the suppression of the induction of CHS by UV and inhibited the development of UV-induced regulatory T cells, which mediate UV-induced immunotolerance (45). Because the present study has demonstrated that IL-18 shares with IL-12 the ability to reduce DNA damage, we postulated that IL-18 might also be able to prevent UV-induced immunosuppression. This speculation was in fact the case because mice could be sensitized through UV-exposed skin upon injection of IL-18. This effect of IL-18 appears to be related to its effect on DNA repair because this activity was not observed in XpaKO mice. In addition, IL-12 exerts the capacity to even break established immunotolerance. Injection of IL-12 into UV-tolerized mice enables sensitization with the hapten to which the mice had been tolerized (19, 20). Accordingly, IL-12 inhibits the suppressive activity of UV-induced regulatory T cells as demonstrated in adoptive transfer studies. Although the underlying mechanism for breaking tolerance...
and antagonizing the activity of regulatory T cells by IL-12 remains to be determined, it is certainly independent of DNA damage and DNA repair, respectively, because IL-12 exerted this activity also in repair-deficient Xpko mice (21). In contrast, IL-18 was not able to break UV-induced tolerance. Thus, breaking of established immunotolerance still remains a unique feature of IL-12. Through this and other activities IL-12 represents a potent immunostimulatory cytokine. However, through the capacity described in this study to affect DNA repair, IL-18 like IL-12 can restore an immune response that is suppressed by UV radiation. In addition, these data further support the notion that DNA damage is the major molecular trigger for UV-induced immunosuppression that can be prevented by reducing UV-induced DNA lesions. Application of IL-18 may represent one of these routes.
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