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Protein Conformation Significantly Influences Immune Responses to Prion Protein
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In prion diseases, such as variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease normal cellular prion protein (PrPC), a largely α-helical structure is converted to an abnormal conformational isoform (PrPSc) that shows an increase in β-sheet content. Similarly, the recombinant form of PrPSc (rα-PrP) can be converted to a conformation dominated by β-sheet (rβ-PrP) by reduction and mild acidification in vitro, a process that may mimic in vivo conversion following PrPSc internalization during recycling. Despite PrPSc accumulation and prion propagation in the lymphoreticular system before detectable neuroinvasion, no Ab response to PrP has been detected, probably due to immune tolerance. To investigate how the immune system may respond to α- and β-PrP, we immunized Prnp<sup>0/0</sup> mice that are not tolerant of PrP with rα-PrP and rβ-PrP. In this study, we show that although T cells stimulated by these differently folded conformers PrP recognize similar immunodominant epitopes (residues 111–130 and 191–210) the cytokine profile in response to rα- and rβ-PrP was different. Challenge with rα-PrP elicited a strong response of IL-5 and IL-10, whereas rβ-PrP led to an early increased production of IFN-γ. In addition, immunization with rα-PrP led to production of predominantly IgG1 isotype Ab in the sera, whereas after immunization with rβ-PrP, IgG2b was significantly produced. Thus, both humoral and cellular responses to these differently folded isoforms of the same protein are different, indicating a possible involvement of Th1 and Th2 pathway activation. These differences may be exploitable diagnostically and therapeutically for prion diseases, such as variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. The Journal of Immunology, 2005, 174: 3256–3263.
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Furthermore, attempts to produce PrPSc-specific Abs by immunization of Prnp0/0 mice (that are not tolerant to PrP) with PrPSc have been uniformly unsuccessful, although it has recently been reported that Abs raised to the repeat motif of PrP, tyrosine-tyrosine-arginine, can specifically recognize the pathological isoform of the PrP (30). We had previously immunized Prnp0/0 mice with highly purified human recombinant (r)α- and rβ-PrP (31) to obtain a panel of mAbs that strongly immunoprecipitate native PrPC and PrPSc.4 In this study, we have characterized the T cell-mediated immune responses to rα- and rβ-PrP in Prnp0/0 mice and show that the different conformations of the same protein are able to induce a qualitatively different type of response. Such information may provide insights into the biology of prion diseases and the potential use of PrP conformers in therapeutic vaccination strategies.

Materials and Methods

Animals

We used Prnp0/0 mice (27) backcrossed onto an FVB/N mouse background to study the difference between the immune responses of the two different conformers of rα-PrP and rβ-PrP. These mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions. Animal care conformed to national and institutional guidelines.

Ag-rα-PrP, rβ-PrP, and -PrP peptides

Human and mouse rα-PrP91–231 and rβ-PrP91–231 used as Ag were synthesized, as described already (9, 31), rα-PrP91–231 being a soluble α-helical monomer and PK-sensitive, whereas rβ-PrP91–231 is a soluble monomer, rich in β-sheet, and relatively PK-resistant. We used 91–231 rPrP, rather than full-length protein because it more readily forms a stable β-form. Also, native PrP is completely digested by PK, while depending upon prion strain type, between 90 and 100 amino acids from the N terminus of abnormal isoform PrPSc are removed leaving a protease-resistant core (8).

Such protease-resistant fractions retain infectivity and transgenic mice expressing N-terminally truncated PrP remain able to support prion propagation (32), arguing that the 91–231 construct is the most appropriate study object.

For epitope mapping we used 20-mer peptides of human PrP sequence 91–231, with 10 residues overlap and 15-mer peptides with 13 residues overlap. Peptides were made by solid phase stepwise synthesis using the Fmoc N-terminal protection strategy, using the Applied Biosystems 433 model automated synthesizer (Advanced Biotechnology Centre, Imperial College London). After synthesis, peptides were cleaved from the solid phase and fully deprotected by standard methods. Purification was performed by reverse phase HPLC. Products were analyzed by reverse phase HPLC and MALDI mass spectrometry.

Immunization

For each arm of the study for T cell experiments, three 4- to 6-wk-old Prnp0/0 mice (27) backcrossed onto on FVB/N background were used. These mice have been found to respond well to PrP Ag. The mice were immunized s.c. at the base of the tail with 100 μg of Ag (human rα- or rβ-PrP91–231) in CFA and boosted on days 21 and 42 with 100 μg of the similar Ag in IFA, then finally boosted i.p. on day 50 with 50 μg of the Ag in PBS. Three days after final boosting, single cell suspensions of mouse splenocytes were prepared and fused with the NS0 myeloma cell line, selecting hybridomas using conventional methodology. Detailed methods for screening of these mAbs have been described elsewhere.5

Proliferation and cytokine assays

For proliferation assays, cells derived from the lymph nodes of mice immunized with either rα- or rβ-PrP Ag and control mice were used. The study with rα- and rβ-PrP as Ag were repeated four times and with PBS twice. The T cells were derived from a pool of lymph nodes from three mice and tested in triplicate, presenting the results as stimulation index (SI). The cells proliferated to ~9000 cpm and a SI of 2.5–4 was considered significant. For proliferation assays, cells were plated in round-bottom 96-well plates (Falcon), at 4 × 104 cells per 0.2-ml well, and for cytokine assays in 24-well plates, at 1–2 × 105 cells per 2-ml well. Human rα- and rβ-PrP were sterile filtered through a 0.2-μm filter (Nalgene), titrated in culture for T cell proliferation, and 50 μg/ml was found to be the optimal concentration. Human PrP peptides were also sterile filtered and used at 10 μg/ml. Where appropriate, OVA at 50 μg/ml was used as negative control Ag. Cells were maintained in a standard 72-h proliferation assay and pulsed with [3H]thymidine (0.5 μCi/well) (Amersham Biosciences) in the last 18 h prior to harvesting (TomTec cell harvester) and determining cpm, using a beta counter (Microbeta counter; PerkinElmer).

The production of cytokines from cells in lymph nodes were measured in vitro after 24, 48, and 72 h. IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, TGF-β, and IFN-γ were analyzed by sandwich ELISA, using standard protocols, including a standard curve for each plate (BD Biosciences, BD Pharmingen).

Characterization of anti-PrP mAbs

As previously described, mAbs ICSM4-10, -18 and -19 were obtained upon immunization with rα-PrP91–231 while ICSM35-37 with rβ-PrP91–231 (31, 34). ICSM18 and -35 were tested for staining native PrP, in a Rocky Mountain Laboratory isolate of Chandler mouse scrapie prion (RML) (33) -infected neuroblastoma cell line (N2a) (33, 34). The cells were grown in culture on multichamber slides (Sialda-Aldrich) until semiconfluent. To label cell surface PrP, they were incubated at 4°C with anti-PrP mAbs, before fixation with paraformaldehyde. For detection, FITC-conjugated anti-mouse secondary Ab was used.

ELISA

Direct ELISA method was used to detect binding of ICSM18 and -35 to rα- or rβ-PrP91–231 at 20 × 10−15 μg/ml, using anti-mouse IgG (Fab-specific) HRP (Sialda-Aldrich) as secondary Ab and α- or β-phenylendiamine (Sigma-Aldrich) as chromogen. Direct ELISA for detection of native PrP proved difficult, despite using different brain homogenate concentrations, plate manufactures, coating-buffers (carbonic bicarbonate (pH 9.5), Tris (pH 8.5), and PBS (pH 7.4)), blocking solutions (normal goat serum, normal mouse serum, FCS, BSA, and soya milk), and temperatures (4°C, 23°C, and 37°C), so an indirect sandwich ELISA technique was devised. ICSM18 and ICSM35 were individually used to coat the ELISA plate and after washing, normal or scrapie-affected brain homogenate was added as Ag, and PrP was detected with biotinylated (B) mAb ICSM18B or ICSM35B, using a different mAbs for capture and detection. Finally streptavidin-HRP was added and α-phenylendiamine was used as chromogen. The brain homogenates were tested at 0.5–0.1%, the mAbs used for PrP capture coating the ELISA plate was tested at IgG concentrations of 2–10 μg/ml, and the biotinylated mAb used for PrP detection was tested at IgG concentrations of 1 and 0.5 μg/ml. Both ICSM18 and 35 worked well for capturing and for detecting native PrP.

The epitopes of these mAbs were determined using a panel of anti-mouse Ig subclass-specific mAbs (Pierce) and their immunodominant epitopes were defined by direct ELISA, using 20-mer synthetic peptides (10–20 μg/ml), spanning the PrP sequence 91–231 overlapping by 10 residues. These epitopes were further refined by direct peptide ELISA, using 15-mer peptides overlapping by 13 residues. The accuracy of the immunodominant epitopes found was reconfirmed by competition and inhibition ELISA, where the peptides were used to inhibit binding to recombinant rα- and rβ-PrP. For these studies, ELISA plates were coated with rα- and rβ-PrP and the mAbs were added, either by preincubating them with the peptides to inhibit binding to rα- and rβ-PrP, or the peptides were added simultaneously as the mAB to ELISA plates, to compete for the binding of the mAbs to rα- and rβ-PrP. Similar results were obtained, and the latter
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The overall proliferation of T cells from Prnp0/0 different /H9251/H11005 PrP (20 –10 vitro recognition of r not shown). There was no significant difference between the in from immunizations with PBS-CFA (Fig. 1) or OVA-CFA (data not shown). The mean SI of 7–12 was observed (data not shown).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed for statistical significance using ANOVA and two-tailed t test.

Results

Both α- and β-PrP are recognized by T cells

Immunization with either α- or β-PrP evoked clear proliferative T cell responses to both α- or β-PrP Ags (Fig. 1), unlike T cells from immunizations with PBS-CFA (Fig. 1) or OVA-CFA (data not shown). There was no significant difference between the in vitro recognition of α- and β-PrP by T cells derived from either α- or β-PrP immunization (p = 0.316, p = 0.154, two-tailed t test). The viability and Ag-recognition pathway of all the T cells was tested by their response to stimulation with anti-CD3 Ab, where a mean SI of 7–12 was observed (data not shown).

T cell epitope recognition of α- and β-PrP is similar

T cells from α- and β-PrP immunizations showed no significant difference in their recognition of PrP peptides (Fig. 2, A and B). T cells from lymph nodes of both α-PrP (Fig. 2A) and β-PrP (Fig. 2B) immunized mice recognized N-terminal residues within the sequence 111–130 and C-terminal residues within 191–210 (p = 0.005, ANOVA). In keeping with the previous result, T cells from PBS (or OVA)-immunized mice did not proliferate in response to PrP peptides (Fig. 2C and data not shown). PrP residues 111–130 are thought to be within the structured part of PrP while residues 191–220 are just C-terminal to the glycosylation sites of PrP.

The intracellular proteolytic degradation of Ag by APC might generate different peptide ligands for interaction with MHC class II molecules. Because for both α- and β-PrP immunizations we used Prnp0/0 mice of FVB/N background with identical MHC class II molecules, it is not surprising that we found a similar linear sequence of peptides being recognized by T cells from both groups.

The cytokines produced by α- and β-PrP-derived T cells are different

The overall proliferation of T cells from Prnp0/0 mice, and in particular proliferation to PrP peptides was weak. Therefore, we checked for differences in cytokine profiles of lymph node cells from α- and β-PrP-immunized mice. Measuring cytokines, in cultures of lymph node cells challenged in vitro with rPrP, was most suitable after 24 h and 48 h. Although there was some overlap of the cytokines produced, T cells from lymph node cells of β-PrP-immunized mice were skewed more toward Th1 (producing more IFN-γ and sooner, and less IL-5 and IL-10), whereas those from α-PrP-immunized mice were skewed more toward Th2 (producing more IL-5 and IL-10, and less or later IFN-γ) (Fig. 3).

The cytokine levels were clearly affected by the rPrP isoform used for in vitro challenge, as well as for immunization. After 24-h stimulation with α-PrP in vitro, T cells from α-PrP-immunized

FIGURE 1.

In vitro T cell proliferation, by α-PrP and β-PrP challenge.

The mean SI ± SEM of lymph nodes T cells from animals immunized with α-PrP, β-PrP, and PBS is shown, after in vitro challenge with α-PrP (D) and β-PrP (■). The data of α- and β-PrP are the means of four experiments (and those for PBS from two), each done in triplicate, using T cells derived from a pool of lymph nodes of three mice. The mean ± SEM of the absolute cpm of unstimulated control cultures from α-PrP immunization = 1535 ± 346, β-PrP immunization = 1419 ± 325, and from PBS immunization = 1093 ± 378.

FIGURE 2.

Immunodominant epitope of α-PrP and β-PrP immunized T cells. The mean SI ± SEM of lymph nodes T cells, four experiments with three animals, immunized with A, α-PrP (□); B, β-PrP (■); and C, PBS (□) (one experiment), challenged in vitro with 20-mer synthetic peptides spanning PrP 91–231 overlapping by 10 residues. Each experimental mean is that of triplicate readings. The mean ± SEM of the absolute cpm of unstimulated control cultures from α-PrP immunization = 1535 ± 346, β-PrP immunization = 1419 ± 325, and from PBS immunization = 1093 ± 378.
mice produced only 340 pg/ml IFN-γ, whereas T cells from rβ-PrP immunization produced >2000 pg/ml IFN-γ (Fig. 3A). After 48-h in vitro stimulation of cells, T cells from both rα- and rβ-PrP immunizations produced a large amount of IFN-γ (>2000 pg/ml). A small amount of IFN-γ was also produced after 48 h, by un-

stimulated T cells from rβ-PrP immunization, and from PBS immunization stimulated in vitro with rβ-PrP (Fig. 3A).

Th2-induced cytokines like IL-5 and IL-10 were also investigated. IL-5 production was substantially higher after in vitro stimulation with rα-PrP compared with rβ-PrP, by T cells from both rα-PrP (p = 0.001, t test) and rβ-PrP (p = 0.0108, t test) immunizations. T cells from rα-PrP-immunized mice produced 227 pg/ml IL-5 in response to rα-PrP but only 90 pg/ml in response to rβ-PrP, and T cells from rβ-PrP-immunized mice produced 122 pg/ml in response to rα-PrP and only 16 pg/ml in response to rβ-PrP (Fig. 3B). The T cells from rα-PrP-immunized mice also produced significantly more IL-5 in response to in vitro stimulation with rα-PrP, than those from rβ-PrP immunization (p = 0.0147, t test). No IL-5 was produced by T cells from PBS-immunized mice, regardless of using rα- or rβ-PrP for the in vitro challenges (Fig. 3B). The IL-10 responses showed that it was produced, slightly more by T cells from rα-PrP than rβ-PrP-immunized mice (Fig. 3C).

Not surprisingly, in view of the results shown in Figs. 1 and 2, there was no statistical difference in the production of IL-2 in response to either rα- or rβ-PrP from both control groups (Fig. 3D), and small amounts of IL-2 was also produced by T cells from PBS-immunized mice, after in vitro challenge with rα- or rβ-PrP (Fig. 3D). Production of IL-4 and TGF-β are not shown, as they could not be convincingly measured.

PrP conformation influences the Ab Ig isotypes produced in the sera

Total IgG Ab levels were similar in mice immunized with rα- and rβ-PrP and their sera Abs were positive to rα- and rβ-PrP Ags by ELISA, while no Ab to rα- and rβ-PrP was present in the serum of the unimmunized mouse (Fig. 4A). However, a significant difference was observed in the Ig isotypes produced in the sera of mice immunized with rα-PrP, as compared with those immunized with rβ-PrP. Immunization with rα-PrP evoked predominantly Th2 iso-
type Abs of IgG1 isotype, whereas rβ-PrP evoked mainly Th1 IgG2b in the serum (Fig. 4B).

Significantly higher levels of IgG1 Abs were produced in the sera of rα-PrP-immunized mice, compared with those produced in sera of mice immunized with rβ-PrP (p < 0.005, two-tailed t test). In contrast, IgG2b Ab was produced at higher levels in the sera of rβ-PrP-immunized mice, when compared with rα-PrP-immunized mice (p < 0.005, t test). There was no significant difference between the levels of the other Ig isotypes in the sera of these two groups of mice. Because the mice were bred under specific pathogen-free conditions, it is not surprising that no Ab IgG isotypes and only very low levels of IgM were detected in the sera of the unimmunized mice (Fig. 4B). In addition, different anti-PrP Ab IgG isotypes were found in the sera of mice immunized with rα-PrP and rβ-PrP and tested by ELISA against either rα-PrP (data not shown) or rβ-PrP (Fig. 4C). IgG1 anti-PrP Ab was produced in sera of mice immunized with rα-PrP and IgG2b Ab produced after rβ-PrP immunization (Fig. 4C). These findings confirm that despite the weak T cell proliferation responses, the α- and β-isoforms of PrP are recognized differently by the immune system.

PrP conformation affects the IgG isotype and the specificity of the mAbs produced

A significant difference was also observed in the specificity and IgG isotype of the mAbs raised against α- and β-isoforms of PrP. The results obtained for the mAbs produced to rα- and rβ-PrP further demonstrate that PrP in the different conformations (α or β) give rise to a different type of cellular response, skewed more toward Th1 for rβ-PrP and Th2 for rα-PrP. This reconfirms

FIGURE 3. In vitro Th1 and Th2 cytokine production of α-PrP- and β-PrP-derived T cells. Lymph nodes T cells from animals immunized with α-PrP, β-PrP, and PBS were challenged in vitro with α-PrP ( ), β-PrP (■), and PBS (□), and their cytokine production was measured. Unless stated otherwise, data are for 48-h sampling time for IFN-γ (A), IL-5 (B), IL-10 (C), and IL-2 (D), by T cells derived from pools of lymph nodes of three mice immunized with α-PrP, β-PrP, and PBS, measured by ELISA. IFN-γ production for the in vitro stimulation of α-PrP immunized T cells with β-PrP was not tested, because enough cells were not available. Where there are interrupted bars in the figure, the amount of cytokines produced was off scale.
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data already obtained for T cells and the sera Ab Ig isotypes. ICSM4, -10, -18, -35, and -37 mAbs, raised to r/H9251- and r/H9252-PrP, had different specificities and IgG isotypes. Those produced against r/H9251-PrP (ICSM4, -10, and -18) were of IgG1 isotype, whereas r/H9252-PrP-derived mAbs (ICSM35 and -37) were IgG2b and IgG2a. Furthermore, IgG isotypes were also different in the 40 mAbs we have produced to r/H9251-PrP and 41 mAbs to r/H9252-PrP. The isotypes of the mAbs produced from r/H9251-PrP immunizations were 92.5% IgG1 and 7.5% IgG2 (2.5% IgG2a and 5% IgG2b), whereas the isotypes of those produced to r/H9252-PrP immunizations were 53.6% IgG1, 41.5% IgG2 (12.2% IgG2a, 29.3% IgG2b), and 4.9% IgM (manuscript in preparation).

Both ICSM18 and ICSM35 (raised against α- and β-PrP respectively), were equally suitable for capturing or detecting native PrP from normal and RML-infected scrapie-affected mouse brain homogenates by sandwich ELISA (Fig. 5A). As previously described ICSM4, -10, and -18 (raised to α-PrP) and ICSM35 and

![FIGURE 4.](image)

**A.** Serum Abs are produced in mice immunized with α-PrP and β-PrP. Sera from Prnp0/0 mice immunized with α-PrP ( ), β-PrP ( ), or not immunized ( ) were tested at 1/100 dilution against α-PrP or β-PrP (20 μg/ml) by ELISA. Anti-mouse Ig (Fab-specific)-HRP (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as secondary Ab.

**B.** Different serum Ab Ig isotypes are produced after immunization with α-PrP and β-PrP. The ELISA results of the total Ig isotypes, as the mean OD ± SEM, are shown for sera of mice immunized with α-PrP ( ), β-PrP ( ■), and not immunized ( ). The sera from three mice immunized with α-PrP, three mice immunized with β-PrP, and three unimmunized mice were tested in duplicate at 1/100 dilution. Different serum anti-PrP Ab Ig isotypes are produced after immunization with α-PrP and β-PrP. Sera from three mice immunized with α-PrP ( ) and three mice immunized with β-PrP ( ■) were tested in duplicates at 1/100 dilution in a r/β-PrP ELISA, using different anti-mouse IgG isotype-specific HRP and IgM HRP (DakoCytomation) secondary Abs. The rβ-PrP ELISA data are shown as mean OD ± SEM.

**FIGURE 5.** Detection of PrP by ELISA (A) and Western blotting (B), using α-PrP- and β-PrP-derived mAbs. A, ICSM18 and -35 were tested for their ability to capture (at IgG 10 μg/ml) and detect (at IgG 0.5 μg/ml) PrP in an indirect sandwich ELISA, using normal wild-type (Wt) mouse brain homogenate, RML-infected scrapie-affected (Sc) brain homogenate and PBS as Ag. For the ELISA, either ICSM18 was used for PrP capture and ICSM35B for detection (■) or ICSM35 was used for PrP capture and ICSM18B for detection ( ). B, ICSM18 and ICSM35 recognize all three PrP bands by Western blot, representing unglycosylated (~29 kDa), monoglycosylated (~33 kDa), and diglycosylated PrP (~36 kDa), in murine PrPβ2 and PrPα. ICSM4 labeled the full-length unglycosylated PrP band (~29 kDa), but not the mono- and diglycosylated bands in normal (N) brain homogenate. After PK treatment (+PK), all the truncated and the PrPβ bands were digested from scrapie-affected (Scrapie) brain homogenate and only PrPβ bands were recognized at reduced molecular weights of diglycosylated (~33 kDa), monoglycosylated (~33 kDa), and unglycosylated (~28 kDa) PrP. No binding to PrP was observed, when isotype control-negative mAbs were used and the above mAbs were negative, when tested against Prnp0/0 mouse brain (data not shown).
37 (raised to rβ-PrP) were all positive to denatured PrP\(^{C}\) and PK-treated PrP\(^{SC}\) in a Western blot, but they recognized different PrP glycoforms. The Western blots of ICSM18 and ICSM35 showed that they both recognize all the PrP glycoforms (Fig. 6B). In contrast, ICSM4 only recognized unglycosylated PrP\(^{C}\) and PrP\(^{SC}\), while ICSM18 recognized di-, mono-, and unglycosylated PrP\(^{C}\) and PrP\(^{SC}\), by Western blot using aliquots of the same normal and scrapie-affected mouse brain homogenates (Fig. 5B). ICSM4 and -10 also differentially immunoprecipitated PrP glycoforms from PrP\(^{C}\), while anti-β-PrP mAbs ICSM35 and -37 did not. 4 ICSM18 and ICSM35 were chosen, as strongly binding representatives of PrP-specific Th2 response for α-PrP and ICSM35 were chosen, as strongly binding representatives of PrP-specific Th2 response for α-PrP and ICSM35 (Fig. 6). Although both ICSM18 and ICSM35 specifically labeled PrP on these cells, staining of PrP with ICSM18 proved to be stronger and more uniform (Fig. 6A) than ICSM35 (Fig. 6B). ICSM18 has a high affinity for native PrP\(^{C}\) and a weak affinity for native PrP\(^{SC}\), while ICSM35 has a higher affinity for native PrP\(^{SC}\) than for native PrP\(^{C}\) by immunoprecipitation. 4 This may explain the strong immunofluorescence detected by ICSM18 and the weaker immunostaining detected by ICSM35. The weak staining of ICSM35 may also indicate that native PrP\(^{SC}\) is mainly intracellular. No nonspecific binding of PrP was observed using negative control mAb (Fig. 6C), or no primary Ab, second layer alone (Fig. 6D).

Detailed epitope mapping showed striking differences for the α- and β-PrP-generated mAbs. Approximately similar epitopes for rβ-PrP-derived mAbs ICSM35 and -37 were found that were different from the α-PrP-derived mAb ICSM18 (Fig. 7). ICSM18 was specific for the linear sequence 143–153 (Fig. 7A), ICSM35 and ICSM37 for sequences 93–105 and 97–105, respectively (Fig. 7B). ICSM4 and -10 were negative in the peptide ELISA and could not be epitope mapped. The immunodominant epitopes of ICSM18 (Fig. 7C) and ICSM35 (Fig. 7D) were reconfirmed by peptide competition ELISA, using the peptides as competitors for binding of the mAbs to α- and β-PrP Ags.

These data show that α- and β-PrP generate diverse immune responses, cellular and humoral, and mAbs could be raised with high affinity for native PrP\(^{C}\) (like ICSM18) or for native PrP\(^{SC}\) (like ICSM35).

**Discussion**

In this study, we have demonstrated that immunization of mice with either α- or β-PrP evokes a different cytokine milieu and Ab isotype profile. Usually CD4\(^{+}\) T cells recognize linear processed peptides presented in the groove of MHC class II molecules, whereas B cells recognize both conformational and/or linear epitopes of an Ag. When we immunized PrP-deficient mice (of FVB/N MHC H-2\(^{b}\) haplotype) with either α- or β-PrP, comparable T cell proliferation against similar immunodominant epitopes was observed. Although α- and β-PrP do not differ in amino acid sequence, they elicited qualitatively different cellular and humoral response. Although T cells from α- and β-PrP immunizations recognized both α- and β-PrP and peptide residues 111–130 and 191–210; by contrast the mAbs reflecting the activity of B cells could partially discriminate between PrP\(^{C}\) and PrP\(^{SC}\), and their immunodominant peptides were different: 93–105 for β-PrP immunizations (ICSM35 and -37) and 143–153 for α-PrP (ICSM18).

We have observed that the T cell proliferation, particularly against PrP peptides, was weak. One possible explanation for this could be the absence of PrP in the cells of Prnp\(^{o/o}\) mice. Lack of PrP\(^{C}\) on T lymphocytes could lead to generally low activation levels, as we found that even the response to soluble anti-CD3 Ab was very modest. Indeed, PrP\(^{C}\) may have an important role in T cell activation. PrP\(^{C}\) is significantly up-regulated on mouse T cells upon challenge with concanavalin A (Con-A), but in Prnp\(^{o/o}\) mice, T cell response to Con-A is significantly reduced to 50–80%, suggesting that PrP\(^{C}\) is a lymphocyte surface molecule that participates in T cell activation (35). In human T lymphocytes, PrP\(^{C}\) expression is also increased upon challenge with Con-A and reduced by the addition of anti-PrP polyclonal Ab (36). PrP\(^{C}\) expression on normal human T lymphocytes is also increased after challenge with either Con-A or anti-CD3 Ab and inhibited by addition of anti-PrP mAbs, and in addition, CD45RO\(^{+}\) memory T cells consistently expressed more PrP\(^{C}\) than CD45RA\(^{+}\) naive T lymphocytes (37). A recent report suggests PrP\(^{C}\) to be a component of the multimolecular signaling complex, within microdomains involved in T cell activation (38). The absence of PrP\(^{C}\) on T lymphocytes of Prnp\(^{o/o}\) mice may therefore be responsible for the weak proliferative response we have observed in this study, but

**FIGURE 6.** Immunofluorescence labeling of native PrP on RML-infected N2a cells by anti-α- and β-PrP mAbs. ICSM18 (A) and ICSM35 (B) successfully labeled PrP on RML-infected N2a cells. The cells were grown on multichamber glass slides, and when semi-confluent, they were directly incubated with the mAbs. An irrelevant mAb (C) and no primary Ab, second layer alone (D) were used as negative control.
apparently it does not affect their cytokine production. The proliferation response was particularly weak after in vitro challenge with the peptides, but in a preliminary study we have found that splenocyte T cells from rPrP-immunized mice produce IL-5 in response to in vitro challenge with peptide sequence 191–210, and T cells from both α- and β-PrP immunizations produce IFN-γ in response to this peptide (S. H. Hawke and Z. Sattar, unpublished data). Sera Abs were also positive to this epitope after immunization of Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> mice with rPrP (A. Khalili-Shirazi and L. Summers, unpublished observation).

The processing of different proteins by different APCs, such as dendritic cells and macrophages, leads to activation of different signaling pathways leading to the production of different cytokines (39). T cell activation leads to a CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response, CD4<sup>+</sup> initiating either a Th1 or Th2 immunity, each triggering production of a series of different cytokines and IgG isotypes (40–42). Th1 type response leads to activation of macrophages and is characterized by production of IFN-γ, whereas Th2 leads to activation of different APCs, and production of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10 (43, 44). This dichotomy might be interpreted as the result of different T cell activation. Indeed, it has been reported that Th2 cells provide B cell help and stimulate the production of noncomplement fixing IgG1 Abs, whereas Th1 cells induce B cells to switch to IgG2 and IgG3 (45).

In this study, we have shown that despite some overlap, the immune response to ρα-PrP was skewed more toward Th2, inducing T cells to produce increased amounts of IL-5 and IL-10, and B cells to produce polyclonal IgG1 Abs in the sera, and IgG1 mAbs such as ICSM4, -10, and -18. In contrast, the immune response to ρβ-PrP was skewed more toward Th1, with a high and early production of IFN-γ, less IL-5 and IL-10 cytokines, and production of serum IgG2b isotype Abs and IgG2b and IgG2a mAbs, like ICSM35 and -37. These data indicate that different APCs may be involved in processing of ρα- and ρβ-PrP. When ρα-PrP is used as the immunogen, its greater sensitivity to proteolytic cleavage might mean that it is more easily processed for presentation to B cells and may involve different APCs from those that process ρβ-PrP. In comparison with ρα-PrP, ρβ-PrP is rich in β-sheet and partially PK-resistant (9). It is possible that ρα-PrP is mainly processed by B cells and dendritic cells and much less by macrophages, whereas ρβ-PrP is mainly processed by macrophages and less by B cells or dendritic cells. Immune tolerance to PrP in Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> mice can reportedly be overcome by improved immunization techniques, using mouse rPrP (46) and dimeric mouse rPrP (47), leading to production of anti-PrP polyclonal Abs. In the course of prion inoculation, activated T cells have been detected in the brain and spleen of mice affected with scrapie (48). Macrophages appear to have an important role in neutralizing infectivity (23) and an association between dendritic cells and PrP<sup>Sc</sup> has been reported (26, 49). Our findings may provide an insight into how PrP<sup>Sc</sup> and PrP<sup>Sc</sup> might be differentially processed by APCs, such as dendritic cells and macrophages. The data presented in this study on the immune responses to ρα- and ρβ-PrP might reflect those to PrP<sup>Sc</sup> and PrP<sup>Sc</sup>, if there was no tolerance to PrP or if it could be overcome by vaccination. A transient, but undetected, immune response may also occur early in the course of prion infection, when conversion of PrP<sup>Sc</sup> to PrP<sup>Sc</sup> has just begun and before formation of large PrP<sup>Sc</sup> deposits and onset of clinical signs. These findings may therefore be relevant to the understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying prion diseases in humans and help in the design of an effective therapeutic immunization or vaccination approach.
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FIGURE 7. Immunodominant epitope of anti-α-PrP and β-PrP mAbs determined by ELISA. The mAbs were epitope mapped by ELISA, using 15-mer peptides spanning the relevant PrP sequence, overlapping by 13 residues. Data are shown for (A) anti-α-PrP mAb ICSM 18 ( ), and isotype control ( ); and (B) anti-β-PrP mAbs ICSM 35 ( ) and 37 ( ), using ICSM18 ( ) as negative control for these peptide sequences. Their epitopes had originally been located with the 20-mer peptides used in Fig. 2. The finer specificities are further confirmed by competition with the peptides, in inhibiting the binding of (C) ICSM18 and (D) ICSM35 to α-PrP ( ) or β-PrP ( ). The mAbs were added together with the competitor peptides.


