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IL-2 and Autocrine IL-4 Drive the In Vivo Development of Antigen-Specific Th2 T Cells Elicited by Nematode Parasites

Zhugong Liu,3* Qian Liu,3* Hossein Hamed,3* Robert M. Anthony,3* Anthony Foster,* Fred D. Finkelman,† Joseph F. Urban, Jr.,‡ and William C. Gause4*

The intestinal nematode parasite, *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*, triggers potent type 2 immunity. Using OVA peptide as a model Ag, we have examined the adjuvant effects of this parasite on the in vivo development of Ag-specific Th2 cells from naive DO11.10 T cells. Our findings show that Th2 cells can develop from transferred naive OVA-specific DO11.10 T cells in recipient IL-4−/− mice inoculated with *N. brasiliensis* plus OVA. However, autocrine IL-4 is required for in situ Th2 cell differentiation since transferred IL-4Rα-deficient DO11.10 T cells showed greatly reduced Th2 cell development in inoculated IL-4−/− recipient mice. Surprisingly, we also found that IL-2 blockade promoted B7-dependent T cell cycling, but inhibited the development of OVA-specific Th2 cells. Furthermore, the effects of IL-2 occurred independently of CD25+ T regulatory cells. These studies establish a previously unrecognized requirement for autocrine IL-4 and IL-2 in Th2 responses elicited by nematode parasites. *The Journal of Immunology*, 2005, 174: 2242–2249.

Infectious agents can induce the development of effector Th cells that secrete specific sets of cytokines important in mediating the development of a host-protective response. Two polarized responses include the Th1 response, which is associated with elevations in IFN-γ and is protective against many intracellular pathogens, and the Th2 response, which is associated with elevations in IL-4 and other Th2 cytokines and provides protection against intestinal nematode parasites. Although considerable progress has been made toward understanding the mechanisms that lead to the development of IFN-γ-producing Th1 cells, the steps leading to the development of IL-4-producing Th2 cells during infectious disease are less clear. In particular, the role of IL-4 and IL-2 in initiating and stabilizing in vivo Ag-specific Th2 cell differentiation remains uncertain (1–4).

Previous studies have indicated an important role for IL-4 in the development of IL-4-producing Th2 cells (5–9). Culture of naive Ag-specific transgenic T cells with Ag-pulsed APCs results in optimal Th2 cell development if exogenous IL-4 is added. However, in the absence of exogenous IL-4, autocrine IL-4 can induce the development of a modest IL-4 response, particularly if IFN-γ and IL-12 are also neutralized (10). Further studies showed that these low levels of IL-4 can support the development of Th2 clones following multiple rounds of priming (11). These in vitro studies generally indicate that autocrine IL-4 can support modest in vitro Th2 cell differentiation in the absence of exogenous IL-4.

Several in vivo studies have also indicated a primary role for IL-4 in Th2 cell development (6, 12–14). However, nematode parasites have been shown to evoke a pronounced IL-4 response in vivo in STAT6−/− mice, as measured by elevations in serum IL-4 (15), suggesting that these infectious agents may stimulate a potent Th2 response in the absence of IL-4 signaling. As well as evoking pronounced Th2 cell differentiation, recent studies have also indicated that nematode parasites can trigger a pronounced IL-4 response by non-T cells, including eosinophils (16, 17) and basophils (18), suggesting an important alternative source of IL-4 in the absence of IL-4 signaling. In other immunization regimens, involving repeated challenges with Ag, autocrine IL-4 alone was required for an effective Th2 cell response leading to increased serum IgE levels (19). In IL-4Rα−/− mice infected with the helminth *Schistosoma mansoni*, IL-4-producing T cells can develop although at greatly reduced numbers compared with *S. mansoni*-infected wild-type (WT) mice. The degree to which T cell-derived IL-4 contributes to this strongly polarized Th2 response in WT mice remains uncertain (20). Other proposed sources for IL-4 during parasite infection include memory T cells (21), B cells (22), γδ T cells (23), eosinophils and basophils (15), and non-conventional T cells (24).

A number of in vitro studies have indicated that IL-2 also plays an important role in the activation of T cells and in their subsequent proliferation and expansion (25–27). IL-2 secretion is stimulated primarily by anti-CD28 costimulation in vitro, which preferentially stimulates the development of Th2 cells (28). Very recent studies have suggested that, in addition to its proliferation-inducing activity, IL-2 may also play an important role in Th2 cell differentiation by stabilizing the accessibility of the *Il4* gene (29).
although whether IL-2 is important in the in vivo development of Th2 cells during the potenti type 2 responses that develop during helminth infection remains uncertain.

There is also the possibility that specific TCR-parasite Ag interactions may favor Th2 cell differentiation during helminth infection, contributing to the rapid burst of IL-4-producing T cells, as observed in the immune response to Leishmania major (30–32). Under these circumstances, the Th2 primary response might be more dependent on the initial activation of particular parasite-specific T cells clones than non-T cell or autocrine T cell sources of IL-4. It is also possible that bystander T cell activation or Th2 collateral priming, where previously activated Th2 cells drive naive T cells of a different Ag specificity to differentiate into Th2 cells (33), may play an important role in the development of the highly polarized Th2 responses that occur during infectious disease.

In this article, we have investigated the roles of IL-4 and IL-2 in mediating the adjuvant properties of *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* that promote the in vivo differentiation of Ag-specific IL-4-producing T cells from naive T cells. We have focused on the DO11.10 T cell response to a non-parasite Ag, OVA, to obviate potential nonstereotypic effects of parasite Ag-specific T cell clones or cross-reactive memory cells, which might skew the response independently of adjuvant effects. Our findings in this system demonstrated that neither non-T cell nor bystander T cell IL-4 were required for the rapid development of IL-4-producing Th2 cells. Furthermore, autocrine IL-4 produced by these Ag-specific T cells was sufficient for the effective development of IL-4-producing Th2 cells but was not required for Ag-specific T cell expansion during the primary response. Unexpectedly, IL-2 blockade actually enhanced Ag-specific T cell expansion and inhibited Ag-specific Th2 cell development, independently of CD25+ T regulatory cells. These studies thus indicate that autocrine IL-4 is sufficient to drive the development of Ag-specific Th2 cells, which is IL-2 dependent during the primary in vivo immune response.

### Materials and Methods

**Mice**

Breeding pairs of BALB/c IL-4−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. DO11.10 TCR-transgenic mice on an inbred BALB/c background were obtained from Dr. A. Sharp (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). BALB/c mice genetically deficient for IL4R (IL-4Rα−/−) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and crossed to DO11.10 mice. F2 generations were genotyped and homozygous IL-4R−/− mice that expressed DO11.10 from The Jackson Laboratory and crossed to DO11.10 mice. F2 generations were genotyped and homozygous IL-4R−/− mice that expressed DO11.10 TCR were used in experiments. Female BALB/c WT mice were obtained from the Small Animal Division, National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD). All of the mice were maintained in a specific pathogen-free, virus Ab-free facility during the experiments. The studies reported here conformed to the principle for laboratory animal research outlined by the Animal Welfare Act and the Department of Health, Education and Welfare (National Institutes of Health) guidelines for the experimental use of animals.

**Adoptive transfers**

Peripheral lymph nodes and spleen were harvested from WT DO11.10 TCR-transgenic mice or IL-4R−/− DO11.10 mice that were age- and sex-matched to the adoptive transfer recipients. Single-cell suspensions were prepared by pressing tissue through a nylon strainer (BD Biosciences). The DO11.10 T cells were incubated with anti-CD4 beads and were purified by passing through an LS+ column (Miltenyi Biotec). In some experiments, the purified CD4+ T cells (with purity of ~99% as determined by FACS) were resuspended at 5 × 10⁶ cell/ml in PBS containing 0.1% BSA. A final concentration of 10 μM fluorescent dye CFSE (Molecular Probes) was added, and the cells incubated for 10 min at 37°C. The labeled cells were washed twice in cell culture medium containing 10% FCS (Invitrogen Life Technologies) before transfer. OVA-specific CD4+ T cells (5 × 10⁶) were transferred to recipient mice by i.v. injection.

**Parasite infection, OVA immunization, and blocking Ab treatment**

HPLC-purified OVA (323–339) with the sequence ISQAVHAAHAEINEAGR-COOH was synthesized by the Biomedical Instrumentation Center at the Uniformed Services University (Bethesda, MD). Third-stage *N. brasiliensis* larvae and 30 μg OVA peptide were injected intracutaneously in the ear of DO11.10 T cell transfer recipient mice. Some mice got *N. brasiliensis* alone or OVA alone as controls. In select experiments, groups of mice were also administered i.v. either 2 mg of anti-IL-2 Ab (S4B6) or 500 μg of anti-CD25 Ab (PC61) at doses previously shown to be effective at blocking either IL-2 (34) or depleting CD25+ T cells in vivo (35, 36). Control isotypes Abs were included in all experiments.

**Cell sorting and cytokine gene expression by RT-PCR**

Draining cervical lymph nodes (CLN) of recipient mice were removed after infection/immunization at the time indicated. For cell sorting of OVA-specific T cells, CLN cells were stained with PE-conjugated KJ1-26 mAb, and then labeled with anti-PE beads (Miltenyi Biotec). Labeled cells were passed through MS columns (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The KJ1-26+ population was collected and assessed for purity using FACS analysis. The KJ1-26+ population was 85–90% pure in all sorts. For RT-PCR, total RNA was extracted from purified T cell populations with the RNA isolation kit (Stratagene), specially developed for isolating small RNA quantities from tissue as previously described. Total RNA was then reverse transcribed as previously described (37). Real-time PCR kits (Applied Biosystems), specific for individual cytokines or rRNA, were used to quantity differences in gene expression, and all data were normalized to constitutive rRNA values. The Applied Biosystems 7700 sequence detector was used for amplification of target mRNA, and quantification of differences between treatment groups was calculated according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**ELISPOT**

Two different ELISPOT assays were used. The first was used to monitor ex vivo cytokine secretion by lymph node cells (38). Briefly, single-cell lymph node suspensions were prepared in RPMI 1640 containing 10% heat-inactivated FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 2 mM l-glutamine (all from Invitrogen Life Technologies). Cells (5 × 10⁶) were seeded into each well of an anti-IL-4 (clone: BVD4-1D11.2)-coated Immulon IV 96-well microtiter plate (Microtest). Under these circumstances, the Th2 primary response might be 5–12 h), the plate was washed several times with PBS followed by washes with PBS-Tween 20. Secondary biotinylated anti-IL-4 Ab was diluted in PBS, 0.05% TWEEN, and 5% FCS, added at 100 μl/well, and incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were then washed and a 1/200 dilution of streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) was added. Plates were developed and results were counted as described. The second ELISPOT assay was modified to include the capability to quantitate IL-4-producing cells following in vitro restimulation with OVA peptide (39). Lymph node cells were cultured with 10 μg/ml OVA peptide for 3 days on anti-IL-4–coated plates, before being washed away with PBS and PBS-Tween 20. Secondary anti-IL-4 Ab was next added and subsequent steps were identical to those described for the first ELISPOT assay.

**Flow cytometry**

Lymph node cells were harvested and 1 × 10⁶ cells were blocked with Fe Block (BD Pharmingen) and then incubated with anti-CD4-allophycocyanin-Cy7 (BD Pharmingen), anti-CD44-allophycocyanin, and anti-CD62L-PE or anti-CD69-PE (BD Pharmingen). To assess B cell activation, CLN cells were stained with anti-B220-CyChrome and anti-MHCII-PE or anti-B7.2-PE (BD Pharmingen). After washes, cells were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde (Fisher) and analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD LSR II (BD Biosciences). For CFSE-labeled cells, anti-CD4-allophycocyanin-Cy7 and KJ1-26-PE (Caltag Laboratories) were used to distinguish the DO11.10 T cells. Cell cycle progression was monitored by measuring sequential reductions in CFSE fluorescence of KJ1-2+ CD4+ cells and the proliferation index was calculated using ModFit (Verity Software House) software.

**Ex vivo intracellular cytokine measurement**

For intracellular cytokine detection, 5 × 10⁶ cells from cervical draining lymph nodes were incubated for 6 h with 10 μg/ml OVA peptide. Golgi-Stop (BD Pharmingen) was added to the culture for the last 4 h. Lymphocytes were harvested and incubated with Fe Block (2.4G2; BD Pharmingen) plus 10% rat serum (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min at room temperature. Cell surface markers were stained by anti-CD4-allophycocyanin-Cy7 (BD Pharmingen) and KJ1-26-PE mAb (Caltag Laboratories). Cells were fixed...
in 4% paraformaldehyde (Fisher) and permeabilized in 0.5% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich) before staining with allophycocyanin-conjugated rat antimouse IL-4 or anti-IFN-γ mAb (BD Pharmingen). More than 300,000 lymphocyte-gated events were collected, to obtain >2,000 KJ1-26⁺CD4⁺-gated events.

Results

Kinetics of Ag-specific CD4⁺ T cell cycling and IL-4 up-regulation during in vivo Th2 response

To provide a baseline for examining the role of IL-4 in the development and expansion of IL-4-producing T cells from naive T cells in vivo, CFSE-stained DO11.10 T cells were transferred to recipient BALB/c mice, which were then inoculated with the combination of 300 N. brasiliensis larvae plus OVA (30 μg). At days 2, 4, and 7 after inoculation, draining cervical lymph nodes were removed from individual mice (five mice per treatment group), pooled, and DO11.10 T cell expansion was examined by analyzing CFSE fluorescence. As shown in Fig. 1, by day 2 after inoculation, DO11.10 T cells had already undergone some proliferation with a significant number of cells having progressed to three to four divisions, and, by day 7, >40% of the cells had cycled more than nine divisions. DO11.10 T cells were also purified using magnetic bead cell sorting and assessed for elevations in IL-2, IL-4, and IFN-γ gene expression at each of these time points. At day 2 after inoculation, increases in IL-4 gene expression (∼10-fold), IL-2 gene expression (∼10-fold), and a smaller increase in IFN-γ gene expression (∼3-fold) were detected compared with cytokine levels in transferred DO11.10 T cells from untreated recipient mice. By day 4 after inoculation, IL-4 was markedly elevated (>400-fold), IL-2 was also increased (∼40-fold), and a smaller increase in IFN-γ (∼10-fold) was detected. Finally, by day 7, the Ag-specific DO11.10 T cell response was quite polarized, with IL-4 remaining at high levels and IFN-γ dropping to almost undetectable levels. IL-2 decreased but remained ∼20-fold elevated.

These studies indicate that Ag-specific T cell cytokine production and cell cycling occur in the lymph node as early as day 2 after inoculation. Initially, elevations in both IL-4 and IFN-γ are detected, but by day 7 the response is strongly polarized toward production of IL-4. Inoculation of mice with OVA peptide alone caused some proliferation but no appreciable increases in cytokines (data not shown), as previously described (39). It should be noted that previous studies have shown that transferred DO11.10 T cells on a WT, SCID, or RAG2⁻/⁻ background exhibit the same degree of effector T cell development and function in recipient mice in vivo (39, 40), indicating that potential endogenous TCR signaling in DO11.10 WT mice does not influence the naive OVA-specific T cell response in vivo.

Non-T cell IL-4 and bystander T cell IL-4 production are not required for Ag-specific T cell expansion in vivo

Previous studies have shown the development of pronounced innate type 2 responses associated with IL-4 production by non-T cells during N. brasiliensis infection (17, 18). Other studies have suggested that IL-4 production by T cells can drive Th2 cell differentiation from naive cells of a different Ag specificity (33). To directly examine whether naive Ag-specific T cells require an innate type 2 response, collateral priming, or bystander T cells as a source of IL-4 for their differentiation into Th2 cells, 5 × 10⁶ DO11.10 OVA-specific T cells from DO11.10 WT mice were transferred to WT or IL-4⁻/⁻ recipients. Two days after adoptive transfer, recipient mice (five per treatment group) were inoculated intracranially in the ear with N. brasiliensis plus OVA. Seven days after inoculation, mice were killed and cervical ear lymph nodes were collected for analysis. The lymph node cell suspensions were stained for KJ-126 (anti-DO11.10 TCR Ab), CD69, CD44, and CD62L. Gated DO11.10 T cells showed pronounced increases of CD69 and CD44 and decreased CD62L in both recipient WT and IL-4KO recipient mice, consistent with an activated T cell phenotype (data not shown). To examine whether DO11.10 T cell cycle progression was also intact in immunized IL-4-deficient recipient mice, 5 × 10⁶ sorted CD4 T cells were labeled with CFSE, as described in Materials and Methods, and transferred to BALB/c WT and IL-4KO recipients. Two days later, mice were inoculated intracranially in the ear with N. brasiliensis plus OVA and 7 days after inoculation, mice were killed and lymph node cell suspensions from cervical ear lymph nodes were stained for KJ1-26 and assessed for CFSE staining. As shown in Fig. 2a, extensive and comparable cell cycling was observed in both WT and IL-4KO recipient mice given N. brasiliensis plus OVA compared with corresponding untreated controls. These studies demonstrate that the strong host IL-4 response is not required to drive transferred DO11.10 T cell activation and proliferation, indicating that it occurs in the absence of a non-T cell or bystander T cell source of IL-4 in vivo.

Increases in DO11.10 T cell IL-4 are sustained in the absence of a host IL-4 response

To examine whether naive Ag-specific T cells could also differentiate to produce IL-4 in the absence of a host IL-4 response, cytoplasmic IL-4 staining was performed following a 6-h restimulation with OVA Ag in vitro. As shown in Fig. 2b, DO11.10 T cells transferred into either WT or IL-4-deficient mice subsequently inoculated with N. brasiliensis plus OVA showed pronounced increases in IL-4 protein expression, although there was
a consistent 50% decrease in the number of IL-4-producing DO11.10 T cells in IL-4-deficient mice. To further confirm that transferred DO11.10 T cells had effectively differentiated into IL-4-secreting cells in IL-4-deficient mice, an OVA-specific ELISPOT assay was used (39). As shown in Fig. 3a, pronounced elevations in IL-4 protein production by transferred WT DO11.10 T cells were detected by ELISPOT in IL-4-deficient recipient mice at day 7 after inoculation with N. brasiliensis (Nb) and OVA peptide (five animals per treatment group). At day 7 after immunization, the draining CLN cells were collected. Analysis of cell cycling was performed as described in Fig. 1. b, For ex vivo cytokimetric surface marker staining, CLN cells were cultured with 10 μg/ml OVA peptide for 6 h with GolgiStop added for the last 4 h. Intraacellular staining of IL-4 was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Data shown are for gated CD4⁺ KJ1-26⁺ OVA-specific T cells. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results.

expression and increases in the frequency of CD86⁺ B cells were observed in IL-4-deficient mice, comparable to WT mice, following DO11.10 transfer and immunization with N. brasiliensis plus OVA. Taken together, these studies indicate that N. brasiliensis drives the development of functional Th2 cells in the absence of IL-4 derived from an innate type 2 response, B cells, or bystander T cells.

**Autocrine IL-4 is sufficient for the development of IL-4 producing Ag-specific T cells from naive T cells in vivo**

To examine whether autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells drives their differentiation into IL-4-producing cells, DO11.10 and IL-4R⁻/⁻ BALB/c mice were bred to produce homozygous IL-4R⁻/⁻ BALB/c-transgenic mice. In brief, 5 × 10⁶ purified DO11.10IL4R⁻/⁻ OVA-specific T cells, stained with CFSE, were transferred to IL-4⁻/⁻ recipients and compared with control DO11.10 WT T cells transferred to WT recipients. After 2 days, both groups of recipient mice (five per
treatment group) were inoculated with 30 μg of OVA and 300 N. brasiliensis L3. As shown in Fig. 4a, IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cell cycling was pronounced in recipient IL-4−/− mice as well as recipient WT mice. In contrast, IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cell cytoplasmic IL-4 protein was markedly reduced (>10×) in IL-4−/− mice compared with controls (Fig. 4b). Similar results were obtained with IL-4 mRNA analyses of sorted DO11.10 T cells (data not shown). This was also reflected in markedly reduced elevations in B cell MHCII and CD86 expression (Fig. 4c). IL-4 secretion was also assessed using the OVA-specific ELISPOT assay. As shown in Fig. 5, IL-4 and IL-13 secretion were markedly reduced in IL-4−/− mice that had received IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cells compared with IL-4−/− mice receiving WT DO11.10 T cells. The only difference between using WT and IL-4Rα−/− donor T cells in IL-4−/− recipient mice is that the transferred WT DO11.10 T cells were receiving signals from autocrine IL-4 in the IL-4−/− recipient, while these specific signals were blocked when using the transferred IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cells. Similar results were obtained when this experiment was repeated. It should be noted that in an additional experiment similar reductions in IL-4 were obtained when IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cells were transferred to WT recipients (data not shown). The use of WT recipients further extended our findings by excluding the possible influence on a Th2 response when IL-4 genes were constitutively knocked out in the recipients. It also indicates that the effects of any IL-4-mediated but T cell IL-4Rα-independent signaling is minimal in this system. Taken together, these studies indicate that T cell autocrine IL-4 is sufficient to support the rapid development of IL-4-producing Ag-specific T cells during nematode parasite infection. However, it should be noted that our results do not exclude the possibility that paracrine IL-4 can promote Th2 cell differentiation in the absence of autocrine IL-4.

**FIGURE 4.** Cell cycling of transferred IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cells in IL-4−/− recipients was pronounced, while IL-4 production was greatly reduced. Briefly, 5 × 10^6 DO11.10 IL-4Rα−/− OVA-specific T cells were stained with CFSE and then transferred to IL-4−/− recipients, while DO11.10 WT T cells were transferred to WT recipients (five animals per treatment group). Recipient mice were immunized as described in Fig. 2 and CLN cells were collected at day 7. a, Cell cycle progression of OVA-specific DO11.10 T cells was analyzed. Ex vivo DO11.10 T cell intracellular IL-4 staining (b) and B cell MHCII and B7.2 expression (c) were assessed as described in Fig. 3. Treatment groups included IL-4Rα−/− DO11.10 T cells transferred to IL-4−/− mice (I) or WT DO11.10 T cells transferred to WT mice (II) and inoculated with N. brasiliensis + OVA and expressed relative to untreated recipient IL-4−/− mice or untreated recipient WT mice, respectively. This experiment was repeated twice with similar results.

**IL-2 blockade enhances expansion of Ag-specific T cell pool and inhibits Th2 cell differentiation**

Our findings that IL-4 (either from non-T cells or T cells) was not required for in vivo cell cycling and expansion of DO11.10 T cells following immunization with OVA peptide and N. brasiliensis raised the possibility that IL-2 may instead drive Ag-specific T cell cycling during this response. DO11.10 T cells did show elevated IL-2 at early time points after inoculation (see Fig. 1). OVA-specific T cells, stained with CFSE, were transferred to BALB/c recipient mice. After 2 days, recipient mice (five per treatment group) were inoculated with 30 μg of OVA and 300 N. brasiliensis L3 and administered either anti-IL-2 (S4B6) or control Ab GL117. As shown in Fig. 6a, inoculated mice administered anti-IL-2 Ab showed pronounced DO11.10 T cell cycling, which was consistently higher than inoculated mice administered the control isotype. Seventy-four percent of DO11.10 T cells from mice administered N. brasiliensis plus OVA plus anti-IL-2 divided at least eight times, while 57% of inoculated mice given the control isotype showed this degree of proliferation. Analysis of the proliferation index showed more than a 2-fold increase in DO11.10 T cells from inoculated mice administered the anti-IL-2 Ab (Fig. 6b). These findings indicate that IL-2 down-regulates the number of divisions by Ag-specific T cells during the course of the Th2 response. Finally, to directly examine whether DO11.10 T cell expansion had resulted from the increased cell cycling, the total number of DO11.10 T cells was examined in each draining lymph node. A >2-fold increase was detected in inoculated mice administered anti-IL-2 Ab compared with the control (Fig. 6b). Ex vivo DO11.10 T cell cytoplasmic IL-4 levels were assessed and pronounced decreases in IL-4 levels (>10×) were obtained in anti-IL-2 Ab-treated mice inoculated with N. brasiliensis and OVA compared with the control group (Fig. 6c). These results indicate that IL-2 is not required for T cell expansion but is required for the differentiation of IL-4-producing T cells in vivo. These experiments, including DO11.10 T cell cycling, expansion, and IL-4 production were repeated twice with similar results.

Previous studies have suggested that IL-2 signaling can differentially affect peripheral CD25+ T regulatory cells, in some systems stimulating or recruiting this population and in other cases inhibiting it or having minimal effect (44). The marked increase in T cell proliferation following IL-2 blockade suggested the possibility that CD25+ T regulatory cells, perhaps derived from the host response, may control Ag-specific T cell cycle progression and perhaps influence the development of Th2 cells. To examine this, OVA-specific T cells, stained with CFSE, were transferred to BALB/c recipient mice, which were then administered either anti-CD25 Ab, anti-IL-2 Ab, or control Ab i.v. After 2 days, recipient mice (five per treatment group) were inoculated with 30 μg of OVA and 300 N. brasiliensis L3. Previous studies have shown that populations of CD25+ T regulatory cells are effectively depleted by anti-CD25 Ab treatment (36). Depletion of CD25+ T cells was confirmed by FACS.
analysis at day 7 after inoculation (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 7, anti-CD25 Ab treatment had little effect on DO11.10 T cell cycling and did not result in an inhibition of IL-4 expression, instead increased IL-4 expression was detected. These results indicate that both increased proliferation and decreased IL-4 production resulting from IL-2 blockade were not mediated by CD25<sup>+</sup> T regulatory cells and further that the CD25<sup>+</sup> T cells were not a primary source of IL-4.

**Discussion**

We have examined the role of IL-4 and IL-2 in Ag-specific T cell differentiation to polarized IL-4-producing T cells during infectious disease. Our results show that IL-4 derived from the innate type 2 response, B cells, or bystander T cells are not required for *N. brasiliensis* to drive nonparasite Ag-specific Th2 cell development. However, in the context of this potent Th2-inducing agent, autocrine IL-4 alone can effectively mediate the rapid development of IL-4-producing T cells, although T cell expansion is largely IL-4 independent. Finally, our studies show that IL-2 is also not required for the in vivo expansion of Ag-specific T cells, but is required for their IL-4 expression through CD25<sup>+</sup> T regulatory cell-independent mechanisms.

The development of a potent host Th2 response in the cervical lymph node paralleled the differentiation to Th2 cells of the transferred DO11.10 T cells in the draining lymph node following inoculation with OVA plus *N. brasiliensis* (39). We hypothesized that this strong background host type 2 cytokine response provided a source of IL-4, either from non-T cells or bystander T cells or through collateral priming, which then acted to drive the differentiation of DO11.10 CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells into Th2 cells. However, our findings indicate that sources of IL-4 other than Ag-specific T cells responding to the immunogen are not required for Th2 cell differentiation or migration in the lymph node microenvironment, in the context of this nematode parasite infection. Apparently, the potent adjuvant effect of *N. brasiliensis* that triggers the initial development of nonparasite Ag-specific Th2 cells is, at least to a large extent, IL-4 independent. We have recently shown that the Th2 response to *N. brasiliensis* is TLR-4 independent (45), excluding this pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) as playing a major role in this response. However, it remains a possibility that other as yet unidentified PAMPs are expressed by nematode parasites that can favor a Th2 response at particular doses of parasite Ag. It should also be considered that the host may recognize a general characteristic of the parasite, such as its large size or rough surface. Such structural features may stimulate an endogenous danger signal, which triggers the development of a Th2 response in the absence of Th1 response-inducing PAMPs.

Our results, examining Th2 cell differentiation in the context of the lymph node microenvironment, show both similarities and differences from previous studies of in vitro Ag-specific Th2 cell differentiation. In previously reported in vitro studies, exogenous IL-4 was required for optimal Ag-specific Th2 cell differentiation, but in its absence a small amount of IL-4 was produced that was sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocrine IL-4 produced by Ag-specific T cells is sufficient to drive limited Th2 cell development, but only if IL-12 and IFN-γ were also blocked (10). A number of other studies have also indicated that autocrine IL-4 may be sufficient to at least promote some degree of Th2 cell differentiation in vitro (11, 46–48). Our studies extend these in vitro studies, demonstrating that in vivo autocr
drive the rapid expansion of proliferating IL-4-producing Th2 cells during the primary response to an intestinal nematode parasite. Interestingly, recent studies of in vivo Th2 cell induction in response to schistosome-soluble egg Ag have shown a requirement for IL-4 that is independent of dendritic cell IL-4 (49). Our findings raise the possibility that Ag-specific T cell autocrine IL-4 may also be the essential cell source of IL-4 in this system.

The results reported in this study may at first appear to contradict earlier studies showing equivalent serum IL-4 elevations in N. brasiliensis-inoculated STAT6−/− and WT mice (15). However, these previous results examined the cumulative host type 2 response to this parasite, while our experimental system allowed specific examination of the development of conventional Ag-specific Th2 cells in the context of N. brasiliensis infection. It is possible that during the N. brasiliensis host response, cross-reactive memory cells or naive T cell clones with particular characteristics, including specific affinities for Ags expressed by N. brasiliensis, can develop into rapidly expanding Th2 cell populations in the absence of IL-4 signaling. Alternatively, accessory cell populations in the microenvironment of the lung or the intestine may provide signals that promote STAT6-independent Th2 cell differentiation and expansion. It is also possible that non-T cells are a source of IL-4 in STAT6−/− mice.

Our findings further demonstrate that in the absence of T cell autocrine IL-4, although Th2 cell differentiation was largely blocked, T cell cycling remained primarily intact. It has been suggested that IL-4 expression is linked to increased cell division number, with cell cycle-dependent epigenetic remodeling of the IL-4 locus leading to IL-4 production (1, 50, 51). Our findings do show that IL-4 is predominantly produced by transferred WT DO11.10 T cells that have undergone greater than seven or eight divisions by 7 days after inoculation. As early as 2 days after inoculation, IL-4 expression is observed in those Ag-specific T cells that have undergone three to four cell divisions. However, clear separation between cell cycling and IL-4 production occurred when autocrine IL-4 was inhibited, suggesting that IL-4 signaling provides the essential transcription factors that are required along with cell cycling to effectively drive the development of Th2 cells in the lymph node. These results are consistent with previous in vitro findings that cell cycling alone is not sufficient to trigger Th2 cell differentiation (52, 53). It also indicates that IL-4 is not an essential factor in the growth and expansion of Ag-specific T cells during the response to N. brasiliensis. It may be that cognate and costimulatory molecule interactions are sufficient to drive the IL-4-independent cell cycle progression in the context of N. brasiliensis infection or that another cytokine is involved.

Given previous studies indicating that IL-2 is important in initial activation and expansion of T cells, IL-2 seemed a logical candidate for driving Ag-specific T cell expansion. A major function of CD28 in in vitro T cell priming is the stimulation of IL-2 production (28) and previous studies have shown that B7 costimulation is required for N. brasiliensis-driven DO11.10 T cell expansion (39). Our findings showed that blocking IL-2 did not down-regulate Ag-specific cell cycling in vivo, consistent with recent studies suggesting that CD28-mediated T cell stimulation can also occur through IL-2-independent pathways (54). Our observation that IL-2 actually inhibits Ag-specific T cell expansion in vivo is contrary to the notion that IL-2 is primarily a T cell growth factor, but is consistent with previous studies indicating that IL-2 can also down-regulate effector T cell responses (44, 55). Several studies have suggested that IL-2 signaling is required for the peripheral expansion, survival, and function of T regulatory cells (44, 56, 57), raising the possibility that the increased T cell expansion after IL-2 blockade may result from the potentially decreased T regulatory population. Our results show it is unlikely that the effects of anti-IL-2 Ab administration is due to inhibition of T regulatory cells, since anti-CD25 Ab treatment did not inhibit IL-4 expression and minimally enhanced proliferation. Our finding that the presence of IL-2 is critical for the effective development of IL-4-producing Th2 cells is in agreement with recent findings that IL-2 is essential for Th2 cell differentiation (29). In this study, IL-2 was shown to stabilize accessibility of the Il4 gene through the STAT5 signaling pathway (29). Other transcription factors, especially GATA-3, T-bet, and c-maf, have been linked to differential polarization of Th1 or Th2 cell subsets. With our system, further studies of these critical factors may lead to the understanding of mechanisms through which IL-2 and autocrine IL-4 drive the development of IL-4-producing T cells in vivo.

In summary, our results indicate that during the primary response the development of Ag-specific Th2 cells elicited by N. brasiliensis requires IL-2 and autocrine IL-4 is sufficient to support their development. Furthermore, in the presence of autocrine T cell IL-4, other sources of IL-4 including bystander T cells, eosinophils, basophils, and B cells are not required.
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