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Human Ribonuclease A Superfamily Members, Eosinophil-Derived Neurotoxin and Pancreatic Ribonuclease, Induce Dendritic Cell Maturation and Activation

De Yang,* Qian Chen,† Helene F. Rosenberg,‡ Susanna M. Rybak,§ Dianne L. Newton,¶ Zhao Yuan Wang,* Qin Fu,‖ Velizar T. Tchernev,∥ Minjuan Wang,∥ Barry Schweitzer,∥ Stephen F. Kingsmore,¶ Dhavalkumar D. Patel,¶§ Joost J. Oppenheim,‡ and O. M. Zack Howard2‡

A number of mammalian antimicrobial proteins produced by neutrophils and cells of epithelial origin have chemotactic and activating effects on host cells, including cells of the immune system. Eosinophil granules contain an antimicrobial protein known as eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (EDN), which belongs to the RNase A superfamily. EDN has antiviral and chemotactic activities in vitro. In this study, we show that EDN, and to a lesser extent human pancreatic RNase (hPR), another RNase A superfamily member, activates human dendritic cells (DCs), leading to the production of a variety of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and soluble receptors. Human angiogenin, a RNase evolutionarily more distant to EDN and hPR, did not display such activating effects. Additionally, EDN and hPR also induced phenotypic and functional maturation DCs. These RNases were as efficacious as TNF-α, but induced a different set of cytokine mediators. Furthermore, EDN production by human macrophages could be induced by proinflammatory stimuli. The results reveal the DC-activating activity of EDN and hPR and suggest that they are likely participants of inflammatory and immune responses. A number of endogenous mediators in addition to EDN have been reported to have both chemotactic and activating effects on APCs, and can thus amplify innate and Ag-specific immune responses to danger signals. We therefore propose these mediators be considered as endogenous multifunctional immune alarmins. The Journal of Immunology, 2004, 173: 6134–6142.

Mammalian antimicrobial proteins are important for innate host defense (1). Many of these proteins also have stimulating effects on cells of the immune system (2, 3). Human antimicrobial proteins stored in neutrophil granules, such as α-defensins and cathelicidins, are chemotactic for distinct subsets of leukocytes (4–7). The β-defensins, predominantly produced by epithelial cells and keratinocytes, act as chemoattractants for immature dendritic cells (iDCs), memory T cells, mast cells, and macrophages (8–12). Additionally, defensins and cathelicidins activate diverse types of leukocytes and epithelial cells, resulting in degranulation, and release of various mediators including cytokines and chemokines (13–16). In murine experimental models, neutrophil granule-derived α-defensins and epithelial cell-derived β-defensins have been demonstrated to enhance Ag-specific immune responses when administered together with Ags or as Ag-defensin fusion conjugates (9, 16, 17).

Human eosinophil granules contain four major cationic proteins, two of which, namely eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (EDN) and eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), are members of the RNase A superfamily. Based on their evolutionary relationship, the RNase A superfamily has been classified into five subfamilies: the eosinophil-associated RNases (EARs), including human EDN and human ECP, the pancreatic-type RNases (PRs), the RNase 4s, the angiogenins (ANGs), and the RNases from the bullfrog Rana, including onconase (18). They are characterized by a specific disulfide-bond structure that is crucial for the relative positioning of amino acid residues contributing to the catalytic site, and they all function to varying degrees in endo- and/or exonucleolytic cleavage of polymeric RNA (18). In addition to their common RNase activity, members of the RNase A superfamily have been reported to have diverse biologic actions, including antimutator, antimicrobial, and angiogenic properties (18–20).

Of the two human EARs, EDN is less cationic (pI ~ 8) than ECP and has no cellular toxicity, except for that observed against eosinophil cationic protein; EDN, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; hANG, human ANG; hPR, human pancreatic-type RNase; IP-10, IFN-γ-inducible protein-10; mEAR, murine EAR; MIG, monokine induced by IFN-γ; PR, pancreatic-type RNase; RCA, rolling-circle amplification; SLC, CCL21/secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine.
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rabbit Purkinje cells, which accounts for its unusual name (18, 21). EDN was cloned in 1989 (22), and its x-ray crystallographic structure was elucidated in 1996 (23). EDN was shown to have in vitro antiviral activity against respiratory syncytial virus in 1998 (24), thus marking EDN as a host gene-encoded antimicrobial protein. In addition, EDN has also been shown to be responsible in part for the anti-HIV-1 activity found in the supernatant of MLCs (25).

Very recently, we have shown that EDN functions as a selective chemooattractant for dendritic cells (DCs) (26). Because certain mammalian antimicrobial proteins that are chemotactic for leukocytes can induce the activation of leukocytes (2, 3, 9, 16), the initial aim of this study was to examine whether a variety of DC chemotactic antimicrobial proteins, including EDN, could serve as activators for DCs. Using a very sensitive Ab-based microarray capable of quantifying numerous soluble mediators (including cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and soluble receptors) (27), we found that, among several chemotactic antimicrobial proteins tested, EDN was as capable as TNF-α in inducing soluble mediator production by DCs. To our surprise, human PR (hPR) (intended as a negative control for EDN) was also active in this respect. EDN and hPR not only stimulated the production of many soluble mediators by DCs, but were also capable of inducing the maturation of DCs. EDN is not only produced by eosinophils, its expression by macrophages was induced by a combination of TNF-α and LPS. These results demonstrate that EDN and hPR, two closely related RNase A superfamily members, can act as endogenous activators of Ag-presenting DCs.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Recombinant human TNF-α, GM-CSF, M-CSF, IL-4, Flt3-L, stem cell factor, thrombopoietin, RANTES, and CCL2/secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine (SLC) were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG Ab, BSA, and LPS (Escherichia coli, serotype O55:B5) were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). human ANG (hANG) was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). IMDM was purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies (Boston, MA). RPMI 1640, glutamine, penicillin, streptomycin, HEPES were from BioWhittaker (Walkersville, MD). FBS was purchased from Hyclone (Logan, UT). mAbs against human CD11c, CD14, CD40, CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR and isotype-matched control Abs were provided by BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). The genes coding for human EDN or hPR were cloned into the vector, pET-11d (Novagen, Madison, WI) (28), and expressed in BL21 (DE3) purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). Monocytes were purified (95%) from human PBMC with MACS CD14 monocyte isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA). Human cord blood CD34+ progenitors (90%) were purchased from Cambrex (Walkersville, MD). The DC precursors were amplified from CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells by culturing the cells at 5 × 10^6 cells/ml in IMDM supplemented with 20% FBS, 10^-3 M DTT, 25 ng/ml Flt3-L, 10 ng/ml TPO, and 20 ng/ml stem cell factor for 4 wk (31). The amplified DC precursors were cryopreserved in IMDM containing 20% FBS and 10% DMSO for later use.

Macrophages were generated by incubating purified human peripheral blood monocytes (1 × 10^6/ml) in the presence of M-CSF, as described previously (32). Macrophages were treated in the absence or presence of TNF-α (100 ng/ml) and LPS (100 ng/ml) for a period of time, as specified.

DC culture

DCs were generated, as described previously (31, 32). In brief, monocyte-derived iDCs were generated by incubating purified monocytes at 1 × 10^6/ml in G4 medium (RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 25 mM HEPES, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 50 ng/ml GM-CSF, and 50 ng/ml IL-4) at 37°C in a CO2 (5%) incubator for 7 days. To generate CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cell-derived iDCs (CD34+ iDCs), the amplified DC precursors were cultured in G4 medium for 48 h at 37°C in a CO2 (5%) incubator. All of the cultures were fed with the same cytokine-containing medium every 2–3 days.

To examine the effect of various RNase samples on DCs, iDCs were suspended at 1 × 10^6/ml in G4 medium alone or in the presence of various samples at concentrations as specified, and incubated at 37°C in a CO2 (5%) incubator for indicated periods of time. Subsequently, the culture supernatants and/or the cells were collected and analyzed.

ELISA

IL-6, IL-12p70, TNF-α, IFN-γ-inducible protein-10 (IP-10), RANTES, MCP-2, and MIP-1α in the culture supernatants were measured by Pierce using MultiPlex ELISA kits. The concentrations of IL-6, TNF-α, and MIP-1α in some culture supernatants were measured using ELISA kits purchased from R&D Systems strictly following the protocols provided by the manufacturer.

Microarray manufacture and rolling-circle amplification (RCA) immunoassay

Ab microarrays were printed using a bicinchoninic acid-II piezoelectric microarray dispenser (Packard Biosciences, Downsview, IL) on cyano-silane-coated glass slides divided by Teflon boundaries into sixteen 0.5-cm-diameter circular subarrays. mAbs for 78 analytes were dispensed in quadruplicate at a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml. Printed slides were blocked, as described previously (27), and stored at 4°C until use. Batches of slides were subjected to a quality control consisting of incubation with a fluorescent-labeled anti-mouse Ab, followed by washing, scanning, and quantitation. Typically, the coefficient of variability of Ab deposition in printing was <5%.

The RCA immunoassay was performed by a liquid-handling Biomek 2000 robot (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA), which was enclosed in 80% humidified, high efficiency particulate air-filtered, plexiglass chamber. For each sample, duplicates were tested either undiluted or diluted at 1/10. A total of 20 µl of samples was applied to each subarray, and RCA immunoassay was performed, as previously described (27). Slides were scanned by use of GenePix (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) at 10 µm resolution with a laser setting of 100 and photomultiplier tube setting of 550. Mean pixel fluorescence was quantified using the fixed circle method in GenePix Pro 3.0 (Axon Instruments). The fluorescence intensity of eight microarray features (duplicate subarrays and quadruplicate spots in each subarray) was averaged for each feature and sample, and the resulting cytokine values as CV5% of duplicates were determined. For each slide, a set of blanks was run as a negative control. Analyses were performed using the levels of all 78 analytes (cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and soluble receptors) measured in relative fluorescent intensity units or by fold
increase for the purpose of comparison. Sensitivity of RCA immunoassay was femtomolar (27, 33): 46 (60%) of the 78 analytes had a sensitivity of ≤10 pg/ml, 24 (30.8%) had a sensitivity of ≤100 pg/ml, 5 (6.4%) had a sensitivity of ≤1 ng/ml, and 3 (3.8%) had a sensitivity of ≤10 ng/ml. The dynamic range (–3 orders of magnitude) and precision of RCA immunoassay were similar to unamplified immunoassays (27, 33).

**RNA extraction and RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from macrophages by the use of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. The RNAs were treated with RNase-free DNase I (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) at 37°C for 30 min to eliminate possible genomic DNA contamination and purified by phenol:chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. The concentration and purity of RNA samples were examined by measuring their absorbance at 260 and 280 nm, and the integrity of RNA samples was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. All of the RNA samples used were pure (A260/280 > 1.8) and without degradation. The EDN mRNA expression by macrophages was measured by RT-PCR by the use of GeneAmp kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies). Briefly, total RNA was reverse transcribed in a volume of 20 μl. Subsequently, the reverse-transcription product was divided in half and used for the amplification of EDN or GAPDH by 35 cycles of PCR (denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min) with the last extension being performed at 72°C for 10 min. The sense and antisense primers for EDN were 5′-TCTCACAGGAGCTACAGCGCG-3′ (nt 326–346, exon 1) and 5′-AACATGTTTGCTGGTGTCTGC-3′ (nt 967–987, exon 2), which were optimized for the amplification of a 420-bp EDN-specific cDNA fragment. The primers for human GAPDH were 5′-GGATGCATCAAGAAG GTGGTGAAA-3′ and 5′-GTCTTACTCCTTGGGCCATGT-3′, which resulted in the amplification of a fragment of 246 bp. PCR products were identified on 1.8% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed after washing off excess dye with water.

**Flow cytometry**

DCs (10⁵/sample) were first washed three times with FACS buffer (PBS, 1% FBS, 0.02% NaN₃, pH 7.4), and then stained with mouse Abs against human CD14, CD40, CD83, CD86, HLA-DR, or isotype-matched control Ab (final concentration of 5 μg/ml) at room temperature for 30 min. After washing three times with FACS buffer, the cells were suspended in FACS buffer containing FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the stained cells were washed twice with FACS buffer, twice with PBS, fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde in PBS, and analyzed the next day with a flow cytometer (Coulter Epics, Miami, FL).

**Chemotaxis assay**

Cell migration was assessed using a 48-well microchemotaxis chamber (32). The cells were washed three times and resuspended in CM (RPMI 1640 containing 1% BSA). RANTES and SLC diluted with CM were placed in wells of the lower compartment of the chamber (NeuroProbe, Cabin John, MA), and DCs suspended in CM at 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml were added into wells of the upper compartment. The lower and upper compartments were separated by a 5-μm polycarbonate filter (Osmonics, Livermore, CA). After incubation at 37°C for 1.5 h in humidified air with 5% CO₂, the filters were removed, scraped, and stained. The cells migrated across the filter were counted with the use of a Bioquant semiautomatic counting system (Nashville, TN) and presented as the number of cells per high-power field.

**Mixed leukocyte reaction**

Allogeneic MLR was performed, as described (34). Briefly, purified allogeneic T cells (10⁷/well) were cultured with different numbers of DCs in a 96-well flat-bottom plate for 7 days at 37°C in humidified air with 5% CO₂. The proliferative response of T cells was examined by pulsing the culture with [³H]Tdr (0.5 μCi/well) for the last 8 h before harvesting. [³H]Tdr incorporation was measured with a microbeta counter (PerkinElmer Wal- lac, Gaithersburg, MD).

**SDS-PAGE and Western blot**

Each 20 μg of proteins from the culture supernatants of macrophages treated with TNF-α and LPS for indicated period of time was mixed with 4X SDS-PAGE sample buffer to achieve a final concentration of 62.5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8 at 25°C), 2% w/v SDS, 10% glycerol, 50 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.01% bromophenol blue in a final volume of 20 μl. The samples were boiled for 5 min, cooled on ice, loaded (20 μl/ lane), and separated on a 4–12% NuPAGE bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen Life Technologies). SeeBlue Plus2 (Invitrogen Life Technologies) was used as molecular size marker. After the electrophoresis, proteins in the gel were electrotransferred (30 V constant for 1 h) onto a piece of Immobilon membrane (Milipore) with a Xcell II Blot Module (NOVEX, San Diego, CA) using 1X NuPAGE transfer buffer (12 mM Tris, 96 mM glycine, pH 8.3, 0.1% v/v antioxidant, 10% v/v methanol). The Western blot was conducted at room temperature, unless specified otherwise. The polyvinylidene difluoride membrane was sequentially washed with 25 ml of 1X TBS for 5 min, incubated for 1 h in 25 ml of blocking buffer (1X TBS, 0.1% Tween 20, 5% w/v nonfat milk, 5 μg/ml human IgG, and 10% FCS), washed three times for 5 min each with TBSB (1X TBS, 0.1% Tween 20), and incubated at 4°C overnight in blocking buffer containing 1/1000 dilution of rabbit anti-EDN polyclonal Ab (Assay Research, College Park, MD). On the next day, the membrane was washed three times for 5 min each with 15 ml of TBST and incubated with 10 ml of 1/2000 dilution of HPR-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA; catalogue 7074) for 1 h. After washing five times for 5 min each with 15 ml of TBST, the membrane was incubated with 10 ml of working solution of ECL Plus Detection Reagents (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) for 5 min, immediately wrapped with Saran wrap, and exposed to a piece of BioMax x-ray film (Kodak, Rochester, NY) for 5 s. The x-ray film was developed using an automatic processor (Kodak X00MAT 200A).

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed 2–3 times, and the data of one representative experiment are shown. The statistical significance of difference between groups was analyzed using paired Student’s t test.

**Results**

EDN and hPR induce CD34⁺ progenitor-derived iDCs to produce inflammatory mediators

Previously, we have shown that EDN is selectively chemotactic for both human and mouse DCs (26). To evaluate whether EDN could activate DCs, a number of DC chemotactic factors, including several antimicrobial proteins, were screened for their capacity to stimulate the production of a dozen prominent inflammatory cytokines and chemokines by human CD34⁺ progenitor-derived iDCs. Of the DC chemotaxants, EDN treatment of CD34⁺ progenitor-derived DCs for 16 h resulted in the induction of all of the cytokines and chemokines measured by 2- to 36-fold (Fig. 1). In these experiments, hPR was intended to be a negative control because both the chemotactic and antiviral activities of EDN were not shared by hPR (24–26). To our surprise, hPR also induced the

---

**FIGURE 1.** EDN and hPR induction of soluble mediator production by DCs. CD34⁺ progenitor-derived iDCs (10⁵/ml) were cultured in G4 medium alone or in the presence of EDN (1 μg/ml), mEA2R (1 μg/ml), hPR (1 μg/ml), or TNF-α (100 ng/ml) for 16 h at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂. A dozen of soluble mediators in the culture supernatants of macrophages was measured by RCA immunoassay, as described in Materials and Methods. The results are shown as fold induction, which, for a given soluble mediator, was calculated by the formula: amount produced by DCs in the presence of a stimulant/amount produced by DCs in G4 medium alone. IL-2Ra: soluble IL-2R α-chain.
production of a similar set of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Fig. 1). Other DC chemoattractants, including murine EAR2 (mEAR2), showed negligible effects on the production of 12 inflammatory cytokines and chemokines by CD34/H11001 progenitor-derived DCs (Fig. 1 and data not shown).

EDN and hPR stimulated the production of various mediators by both CD34+ progenitor-derived and monocyte-derived DCs

To gain a thorough view of DC-produced soluble mediators induced by EDN and hPR, we investigated the soluble mediators that EDN and hPR stimulated in human DCs using Ab-based microarrays capable of simultaneously measuring 78 different soluble mediators. Table I summarizes the induction of soluble mediators by EDN or hPR from both CD34/H11001 progenitor-derived and monocyte-derived iDCs when treated for 48 h. EDN and hPR treatment of CD34/H11001 progenitor-derived iDCs induced 3-fold increased secretion of 17, and 12 of the 78 soluble mediators, respectively. Monocyte-derived iDCs produced 15 soluble mediators in response to EDN, while 7 of 78 were induced by hPR (with 3-fold increase). Several characteristics stand out. 1) EDN and hPR preferentially induced mostly proinflammatory mediators. 2) The soluble mediators induced by hPR largely overlapped with those induced by EDN; however, hPR appears to be less efficacious than EDN in stimulating soluble mediator production given the fact that molecular mass of EDN (16 kDa) is slightly larger than that of hPR (14 kDa). 3) The profile of soluble mediator induction of CD34/H11001 progenitor-derived iDCs was similar to that of monocyte-derived iDCs in response to EDN or hPR, with the exception of three chemokines. CD34+ progenitor-derived iDCs up-regulated epithelial-derived neutrophil-activating protein (ENA) 78, and MCP-3, but not MIP-1β, whereas monocyte-derived iDCs showed the reverse. This difference in the production of ENA-78, MCP-3, and MIP-1β by CD34+ progenitor-derived vs monocyte-derived DCs most likely reflects one of a few distinctive features between these two populations of DCs (31, 35, 36).

**FIGURE 2.** Chemokine production induced by EDN (●) and hPR (▲) from CD34+ progenitor-derived DCs. A, Time course; iDCs (10^6/ml) were cultured in G4 medium containing 1 µg/ml EDN or hPR (both at 1 µg/ml) for 48 h. The culture supernatants were collected and stored at −70°C. The level of cytokines, chemokines, and soluble receptors (a total of 78) in each supernatant was measured by RCA immunoassay and presented as fold increase, which was calculated by the following formula: fold increase = Cy5 fluorescence in the presence of EDN or human pancreatic RNase/Cy5 fluorescence in the absence of EDN or hPR. Only those with fold increase ≥3 by EDN or hPR are shown.

**TABLE I.** Comparison of mediator production by CD34+ cell-derived and monocyte-derived DCs in response to EDN or hPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediators^b</th>
<th>CD34+ Cell-Derived DCs</th>
<th>Monocyte-Derived DCs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EDN</td>
<td>hPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENA-78</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eot2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-309</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12p40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12p70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-3</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CSF</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIG</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIPF-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANTES</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sTNF-RI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a CD34+ cell-derived and monocyte-derived iDCs were cultured at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO2 in G4 medium alone or G4 medium plus EDN or hPR (both at 1 µg/ml) for 48 h. The culture supernatants were collected and stored at −70°C. The level of cytokines, chemokines, and soluble receptors (a total of 78) in each supernatant was measured by RCA immunoassay and presented as fold increase, which was calculated by the following formula: fold increase = Cy5 fluorescence in the presence of EDN or human pancreatic RNase/Cy5 fluorescence in the absence of EDN or hPR. Only those with fold increase ≥3 by EDN or hPR are shown.

^b MPIF, Myeloid progenitor inhibitory factor; NAP, neutrophil-activating protein; s, soluble.
To further characterize the capacity of EDN and hPR to induce soluble mediator production by DCs, we investigated their dose-and time-dependent effects. The time course and dose response of EDN- and hPR-induced production of 10 chemokines and 5 cytokines by CD34⁺ progenitor-derived DCs are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The mediator induction was dose dependent and peaked at different times. For example, EDN induced MIP-1α, RANTES, IL-6, IP-10, and TNF-α maximally at 3–6 h; monokine induced by IFN-γ (MIG), MCP-2, MCP-3, and IL-12 p70 at 24 h; and neutrophil-activating peptide-2, IL-12 p40, and M-CSF at 48 h (Figs. 2 and 3). Although the induction of most cytokines and chemokines by EDN and hPR was similar, only EDN induced considerable production of MIG and IL-12 p70 (Figs. 2 and 3), suggesting that EDN may favor Th1 polarization. Again, EDN was more efficacious than hPR in the induction of cytokine and chemokine production (Figs. 2 and 3). EDN- and hPR-induced mediator production by monocyte-derived DCs showed a similar dose dependence and kinetics (data not shown), indicating considerable similarity between the panel of mediators produced by the two DC populations generated in vitro in response to EDN and hPR.

To both confirm and validate EDN induction of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines from DCs, DCs were generated from either CD34⁺ progenitors or monocytes of various healthy individuals, treated with or without EDN for 24 h, and the production of seven inflammatory mediators (which were shown to be induced by EDN using the Ab-based microarray immunoassay) in the culture supernatants was measured by ELISA (Fig. 4). Similar to the results obtained using the microarray (Figs. 2 and 3), EDN induced the production of IL-6, IL-12p70, TNF-α, IP-10, RANTES, MCP-2, and MIP-1α from both CD34⁺ progenitor-derived and monocyte-derived DCs, as measured by ELISA (Fig. 4), validating both the reliability of Ab-based microarray assay as well as authenticating stimulation of DC production of cytokines and chemokines by EDN.

Mediator induction by EDN and hPR was not due to endotoxin contamination

Because E. coli-derived EDN and hPR samples were used in most of the experiments, it was critical to determine whether the observed effect was due to possible endotoxin contamination. The endotoxin level in EDN and hPR samples used in the present study was below the detection limit of the Limulus amebocyte lysate assay, indicating <0.6 ng of endotoxin per mg of protein. The highest concentrations of EDN or hPR used in our experiments were 1 μg/ml, which could only contain <0.6 pg/ml endotoxin. In addition, heating samples for 30 min at 100°C destroyed the capacity of EDN or hPR to induce IL-6 production by DCs, while having no significant effect on the IL-6-inducing capacity of LPS (Fig. 5A). We further compared the spectra of mediators induced by EDN, hPR, and LPS from CD34⁺ progenitor-derived iDCs. Although EDN and hPR induced a similar battery of mediators by CD34⁺ progenitor-derived iDCs, LPS stimulates a different set of mediators, half of which were not induced by EDN and hPR (Fig. 5B). Therefore, the effect of EDN or hPR on iDCs was not due to endotoxin contamination.

Both natural and recombinant EDNs exhibit similar cytokine-inducing effects on DCs

Because rEDN generated in E. coli is not glycosylated as is natural EDN, we examined whether EDN purified from a natural source...
was also able to induce the production of cytokines and chemokines. When human CD34+ progenitor-derived iDCs were used, both natural and recombinant EDNs induced the production of similar amounts of 10 different cytokines (Fig. 6A). We also compared the effects of hANG, hPR, and LPS on the production of five cytokines by monocyte-derived iDCs (Fig. 6B). hPR and EDN (both natural and recombinant), albeit slightly varied in terms of extent, all induced statistically significant production of IL-6, IP-10, MCP-2, MIG, and RANTES. In contrast, hANG, although also belonging to the RNase A superfamily, did not induce cytokine and chemokine production, indicating that not all members of the RNase A superfamily share the capacity to induce cytokine production by DCs.

**EDN and hPR induced phenotypic and functional maturation of DCs**

Activation of iDCs is usually accompanied by DC maturation. We therefore investigated whether treatment of iDCs with EDN or hPR could induce maturation of DCs. Monocyte-derived iDCs were incubated in G4 medium alone or in the presence of either EDN or hPR for 48 h and then investigated for their maturation status (Fig. 7). iDCs cultured in G4 medium alone for 48 h maintained an immature phenotype (CD14+, CD40+, CD83+, CD86low, HLA-DRhigh) (Fig. 7A, upper panel). In contrast, iDCs cultured in G4 plus hPR or EDN for 48 h, although still CD14+, up-regulated the surface expression of CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR, indicating that hPR and EDN treatment induced phenotypic maturation of DCs (Fig. 7A). In contrast to hPR, EDN-treated DCs appeared to generate two subsets of DCs differing in levels of surface CD83 and CD86 expression. This phenomenon was not due to donor variability because cells from three independent donors demonstrated identical results.

The capacity of hPR or EDN to induce DC maturation was also evidenced by a change in chemokine responsiveness of treated DCs (Fig. 7B). DCs cultured in G4 medium alone migrated in response to RANTES, but not to SLC, indicating expression of functional CCR1 and/or CCR5, but not functional CCR7. However, DCs cultured in G4 in the presence of EDN or hPR lost responsiveness to RANTES and acquired SLC-responsive CCR7. Because the down-regulation of a number of chemokine receptors (including CCR1 and CCR5) and up-regulation of functional CCR7 are another hallmark of DC maturation (36, 37), these results provide additional evidence that treatment with EDN or hPR induced the maturation of DCs.

To determine whether EDN- and hPR-induced maturation of DCs is also reflected at the functional level, we determined whether EDN- or hPR-treated DCs could stimulate the proliferation of allogeneic human peripheral blood T cells in an MLR assay. In contrast to medium-treated DCs that did not stimulate allogeneic T cells, both EDN- and hPR-treated DCs stimulated the proliferation of allogeneic T lymphocytes in a concentration-dependent manner, as judged by the increase of tritiated thymidine.
incorporation (Fig. 7C). Therefore, treatment of iDCs with either EDN or hPR induces both phenotypic and functional maturation of DCs.

EDN expression by macrophages is inducible

The activating effects of EDN on DCs suggest that EDN has cytokine-like activity. A common feature for all cytokines is inducibility, so we studied whether EDN expression can be up-regulated. Besides being stored in eosinophil granules, EDN is also expressed by neutrophils and macrophages (38, 39). To investigate whether the expression of EDN by macrophages is inducible, we treated human monocyte-derived macrophages with a combination of TNF-α and LPS (both at 100 ng/ml) and measured EDN expression at both mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 8). As shown by Fig. 8A, RT-PCR revealed that unstimulated macrophages displayed a faint band (second lane, upper panel), confirming the previous report (39). Treatment of macrophages with TNF-α plus LPS up-regulated the expression of EDN mRNA in a time-dependent manner. EDN mRNA level began to increase at 30 min, peaked at 2 h, and subsided gradually thereafter (Fig. 8A, upper panel). Amplification of GAPDH using the other half of reverse-transcription products as template under identical PCR conditions yielded GAPDH bands of nearly identical intensity, confirming that equal amounts of reverse-transcription products were used in the PCR for EDN amplification (lower panel). Furthermore, Western blot analysis (Fig. 8B) demonstrated that upon treatment by a combination of TNF-α and LPS for 2 h, macrophage began to secrete EDN into the culture supernatant, which peaked at 8 h. The EDN protein appeared as two bands of ~31 and 37 kDa, which were larger than the size of rEDN (17.5 kDa, without glycosylation). The appearance of two bands larger than the rEDN was due presumably to differential glycosylation of EDN because, using the same polyclonal antiserum, Rugeles et al. (25) also found that EDN generated by cultured human PBMC appears on a SDS-PAGE gel as bands of 31–40 kDa. Therefore, EDN expression by macrophages can be rapidly induced.

Discussion

In the present study, we have shown that EDN (both natural and recombinant forms) and hPR stimulated human CD34+ progenitor-derived and monocyte-derived DCs to produce a variety of soluble mediators, including cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and soluble receptors. The soluble mediators induced by EDN and, to a lesser extent, hPR, consisted mostly of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. In addition, treatment of human iDCs with EDN or hPR also resulted in maturation of DCs, as evidenced by up-regulation of CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR; switch of chemokine receptor expression from CCR5 to CCR7; and acquisition of the capacity to stimulate allogeneic MLR. These activities of EDN and hPR were not due to endotoxin contamination. Thus, both EDN and hPR can act as activators for DCs. This is the first report that demonstrates EDN and hPR act as activator for DCs. How EDN or hPR signals activation of DCs is currently under investigation. The chemotactic effect of EDN on DCs is mediated by a pertussis toxin-sensitive Giα protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) (26). We could not determine whether the DC-activating effect of EDN was also mediated by a pertussis toxin-sensitive
mechanism because pertussis toxin treatment of DCs by itself induces the activation of DCs in vitro, leading to DC maturation and cytokine production (40, 41). It is unlikely that the capacity of EDN and hPR to activate DCs relies on a pertussis toxin-sensitive GPCR-dependent mechanism because hPR is not chemotactic for DCs (26). Conversely, although mEAR2, like EDN, is chemotactic for human DCs (26), mEAR2 could not activate DCs to produce cytokines (Fig. 1). Another issue is whether EDN and hPR use different mechanisms for the activation of DCs. Unlike EDN, hPR does not have either antiviral or chemotactic activities (18, 24–26). Human ANG, another member of RNase A superfamily that is evolutionarily more distant from EDN and hPR (18), also did not activate DCs to produce any cytokines and chemokines (Fig. 6). Thus, these RNase A superfamily members have essential functional differences, implying that EDN and hPR may use different mechanisms to activate DCs.

hPR, produced in the pancreas and excreted into the gut, is believed to degrade the large amounts of RNA present in food (19). The observation that hPR is also an activator of DCs was unexpected. However, hPR is known to be expressed by tissues other than the pancreas (19), including activated monocytes (39), α-galactosylceramide-stimulated monocyte-derived DCs (42), and human endothelial cells from arteries, veins, and capillaries (43). Thus, hPR may have nondigestive biological roles that have not been previously appreciated. Our results suggest that hPR may contribute to inflammation. In vivo, hPR secretion is likely to be tightly regulated. Consequently, the effect of hPR may not be evident unless it is quickly produced and exposed to target cells in high amounts. Such is likely to be the case during acute pancreatitis, a disorder that results from exposure of pancreatic parenchyma to digestive enzymes, including hPR. It remains to be determined whether or not hPR is generated under various inflammatory conditions.

EDN is a multifunctional mediator because it acts as an activator (present study) and a chemoattractant of DCs (26), as well as an antiviral effector and an RNase (24, 25). EDN, in addition to being expressed by liver, spleen, neutrophils, and monocytes/macrophages (22, 38, 39), is released in large amounts upon eosinophil degranulation (21, 22). Furthermore, the expression of EDN by monocytes/macrophages (26) and DCs (22, 38, 39), is released in large amounts upon eosinophil degranulation (21, 22). Furthermore, the expression of EDN by monocytes/macrophages (22, 38, 39), is released in large amounts upon eosinophil degranulation (21, 22).

Substantial infiltration and activation of eosinophils and monocytes/macrophages are most often seen in tissues with helminth or viral infections and allergic and atopic reactions (18, 44). It is thus conceivable that EDN is produced at considerable levels at sites of inflammation associated with such disorders. Based on its multifunctional activities, EDN may contribute to both innate and adaptive antimicrobial immunity of the host. EDN may contribute to innate immunity by killing/inactivating helminthic/viral invaders and inducing the production of proinflammatory mediators to amplify local inflammatory responses. EDN may participate in adaptive immunity in at least two ways. EDN may act synergistically with chemokines to promote the recruitment of DCs to the sites of inflammation, thereby enhancing Ag uptake and processing. Additionally, because it has the capacity to induce phenotypic and functional maturation (Fig. 7), EDN may also contribute to promoting both the trafficking of DCs from inflammatory sites to regional lymph nodes and the Ag presentation to naïve T cells. This suggests that the primary role of EDN is to eliminate the microbial invaders and restore host homeostasis. Conversely, persistent generation of EDN in considerable amount may contribute to the pathophysiology associated with eosinophil infiltration in asthma and other eosinophil-associated diseases due to its capacity to cause DC recruitment and production of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines.

Taking advantage of their RNase activities, both EDN and hPR have been used as fusion partners of immunotoxins for experimental tumor therapy (45–47). In these studies, targeted delivery of EDN or hPR into tumor cells causes the death of tumor cells both in vitro and in vivo, the underlying mechanism of which is thought to be due to the degradation of RNAs of tumor cells by EDN or hPR. The possibility that the DC-activating effects of EDN or hPR are maintained by the fused immunotoxins has not been evaluated. It is therefore possible that immunoadjuvant effects of EDN and hPR may contribute to the immunotherapeutic antitumor effects of EDN- or hPR-based immunotoxins in immunocompetent mice.

In addition to EDN, a number of endogenous mediators, including defensins (4, 5, 8, 9), high mobility group box 1 (48), cathelicidins (3, 6), aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (49, 50), urokinase (51, 52), and ribosomal protein S19 (53), have also recently been shown to be chemotactic based on interactions with GPCRs expressed by mononuclear leukocytes, including DCs, monocytes/macrophages, and/or lymphocytes. These mediators are structurally diverse and distinct from conventional chemokines because they are present in the intracellular compartment or on the surface of keratinocytes and epithelial cell lining, where they function as enzymes, DNA-binding protein, or antimicrobial effectors under normal or steady state. These mediators are rapidly released or induced to produce in response to danger signals generated by tissue injury or various (viral, bacterial, fungal, or parasitic) forms of infection. All of these mediators are capable of stimulating the production of proinflammatory cytokines by monocytes/macrophages and/or DCs, and some, such as murine β-defensin 2 (16), high mobility group box 1 (48), and EDN (present study), have been shown to stimulate the maturation of DCs. Because the recruitment and subsequent activation of monocytes/macrophages and DCs are pivotal for the initiation of both innate and adaptive immune responses (37, 54), these mediators may function to mobilize and galvanize the immune system in response to danger signals. Consequently, to aid in the recognition of these structurally diverse, but functionally similar mediators, we propose to call them “endogenous multifunctional immune alarms.”


