Becomes Remodeled Upon T Cell Activation

The Human IL-2 Gene Promoter Can Assemble a Positioned Nucleosome That

Joanne L. Attema, Raymond Reeves, Vincent Murray, Ilya Levichkin, Mark D. Temple, David J. Tremethick and M. Frances Shannon

*average

References

This article cites 63 articles, 31 of which you can access for free at:
http://www.jimmunol.org/content/169/5/2466.full#ref-list-1

Subscription

Information about subscribing to The Journal of Immunology is online at:
http://jimmunol.org/subscription

Permissions

Submit copyright permission requests at:
http://www.aai.org/About/Publications/JI/copyright.html

Email Alerts

Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at:
http://jimmunol.org/alerts

doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.169.5.2466
http://www.jimmunol.org/content/169/5/2466
The Human IL-2 Gene Promoter Can Assemble a Positioned Nucleosome That Becomes Remodeled Upon T Cell Activation

Joanne L. Attema,* Raymond Reeves,† Vincent Murray,* Ilya Levichkin,* Mark D. Temple,* David J. Tremethick,* and M. Frances Shannon*‡

Controlled production of the cytokine IL-2 plays a key role in the mammalian immune system. Expression from the gene is tightly regulated with no detectable expression in resting T cells and a strong induction following T cell activation. The IL-2 proximal promoter (+1 to −300) contains many well-defined transcriptional activation elements that respond to T cell stimulation. To determine the role of chromatin structure in the regulation of interleukin-2 gene transcription, nucleosome assembly across the IL-2 promoter region was examined using in vitro chromatin reconstitution assays. The IL-2 promoter assembles a nucleosome that is both translationally and rotationally positioned, spanning some of the major functional control elements. The binding of transcription factors to these elements, with the exception of the architectural protein HMGA1, was occluded by the presence of the nucleosome. Analysis of the chromatin architecture of the IL-2 gene in Jurkat T cells provided evidence for the presence of a similarly positioned nucleosome in vivo. The region encompassed by this nucleosome becomes remodeling following activation of Jurkat T cells. These observations suggest that the presence of a positioned nucleosome across the IL-2 proximal promoter may play an important role in maintaining an inactive gene in resting T cells and that remodeling of this nucleosome is important for gene activation. The Journal of Immunology, 2002, 169: 2466–2476.

The generation of T cell-mediated immune responses is critically regulated by the coordinate expression of cytokine genes. A key event in the initial activation of T cells upon Ag exposure is the production of the cytokine IL-2 and its high affinity receptor (IL-2R) comprising α, β, and γ subunits (reviewed in Ref. 1). Together they form a strong autocrine loop for promoting T cell growth and clonal expansion, and possibly contributing to B cell differentiation, macrophage, and NK cell activation (2). High levels of IL-2 are produced when T cells are activated through both the Ag-specific TCR interacting with peptide:MHC complexes on APCs and costimulatory interactions such as B7 on APCs with CD28 receptors on T cells (3). IL-2 is necessary for the generation of correct immune responses, as indicated by the fact that IL-2-deficient mice develop a thymic disorder that disrupts thymocyte development (4). Other conditions such as hemolytic anemia, chronic inflammatory bowel disease, and severe autoimmune disease are also prevalent in IL-2-deficient mice leading to the conclusion that IL-2 plays a critical role in T cell homeostasis (5).

Transcription from the IL-2 gene is highly inducible following Ag stimulation of T cells and is further enhanced by cross-linking costimulatory CD28 receptors with an anti-CD28 Ab (6–8). The regulatory regions of the human IL-2 promoter have been studied in detail and it was initially established that ~300 nt upstream from the start of transcription was sufficient for maximal activity in transient transfection assays in Jurkat T cells (9, 10). Within this minimal promoter region, two major TCR responsive regions were identified in the human gene and termed Ag-responsive elements (ARRE),4 ARRE-1 and ARRE-2 (Ref. 11; see Fig. 1). The binding of AP-1 and NF-AT as well as Oct transcription factors controls both ARRE-1 and ARRE-2 (12–17). Another important site within the IL-2 minimal promoter is a region that responds to CD28 costimulation, known as the CD28 response region (RR) (8, 18, 19) (see Fig. 1). This region contains a CD28 response element (RE) that is a variant NF-κB site and binds RelA homodimers and c-Rel-containing complexes as well as NFAT proteins (8, 18–23). The CD28RR also contains an AP-1 transcription factor-binding site that functions cooperatively with the CD28RE (24). Although controversy exists concerning the AP-1 proteins that operate on this site, ATF-2/CREB bZIP proteins and c-fos and c-jun AP-1 family members have been shown to bind (24–26).

The architectural protein, HMG-I(Y) (recently renamed HMGA1; Ref. 27) also binds to the CD28RR and its functional importance at the IL-2 promoter has been determined by antisense reporter assays (20). Furthermore, the binding of HMGA1 to the CD28RR has differential effects on NF-κB, NF-AT, and AP-1 interactions at this region (20, 28). For example, HMGA1 selectively promotes binding of both c-Rel and AP-1-containing complexes to the CD28RE whereas the binding of NF-AT is inhibited by HMGA1 (23). These findings have led to the suggestion that HMGA1 plays an important regulatory role in the formation of transcription factor complexes on the CD28RR (28).

4 Abbreviations used in this paper: ARRE, Ag-responsive element; RR, response region; RE, response element; MNase, micrococcal nuclease; RT, room temperature; LM, ligation-mediated; BLM, bleomycin sulfate; CHART-PCR, chromatin accessibility using real-time PCR; NF-ATp, preexisting NF-AT.
The association of these transcription factors with the IL-2 promoter occurs in the context of chromatin within the nucleus. Alterations in the chromatin structure of the promoter/enhancer regions of inducible genes are thought to play an important role in regulating their transcription (29–31). A number of mechanisms govern chromatin remodeling events including transient modification and subsequent destabilization of the nucleosomal structure by histone acetylases, nucleosome sliding, dissociation of some core histones, or stable disruption leading to nucleosome-free regions (32–36). DNase I digestion, restriction enzyme accessibility as well as histones, or stable disruption leading to nucleosome-free regions.

In this study, we report that the IL-2 proximal promoter has the intrinsic capacity to assemble a precisely positioned nucleosome in vitro and present evidence that a similarly positioned nucleosome exists in vivo. This nucleosome prevents transcription factor binding in vitro and appears to be transiently remodeled following T cell activation.

Materials and Methods

Production and purification of recombinant proteins

Recombinant hexahistidine-tagged full-length Fos and Jun proteins, as well as truncated Fox 116–211 and Jun 224–334 proteins, were prepared and purified by affinity chromatography using a Ni-NTA-agarose column (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) as previously described (42). Fos and Jun proteins were co-reconstituted in vitro into the heterodimer transcription factor AP-1 by step-wise dialysis from 6 M urea with the final buffer containing 25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.6, 5% glycerol, and 5 mM EDTA (34). Full-length recombinant wild-type human HMG1A proteins (i.e., HMG1A and HMG1B, formerly called HMG-I and HMG-Y, respectively; Ref. 27), and the HMGI-MII, MIII mutant protein, were produced as described elsewhere (43, 44). NF-ATp and c-Rel were prepared as previously described (20, 23). The purity of each recombinant preparation was assessed by SDS-PAGE (44).

Cell culture and stimulation

Human Jurkat T leukemia cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS and the antibiotics, gentamicin and penicillin. Approximately 2.5 × 10^6 Jurkat T cells were stimulated using 20 μg/ml of PMA and 1 μM calcium ionophore. Bristol-Myers Squibb (Seattle, WA) supplied the activating CD28 mAb (WA93165) used at a 1/10,000-fold dilution.

Chromatin reconstitution and characterization of nucleosome rotational settings

Histones were reconstituted onto radiolabeled IL-2 promoter fragments by high-salt to low-salt step-wise exchange with 30- to 60-fold excess of either isolated chicken erythrocyte nucleosome core particles or H1-depleted oligonucleosomes as previously described (41, 45). The in vitro reconstituted nucleosomes were routinely purified from both contaminating free DNA and unbound proteins by loading onto a pre-equilibrated 5% 10–40% 10 M sucrose gradient in buffer containing 1 M Tris, pH 7.5, 500 mM EDTA, and 100 ng of poly(dG:dC) in 20 μl. Either radiolabeled nucleosome-assembled IL-2 (0.1 ng) or free DNA (0.1 ng) was used with indicated amounts of proteins for each experiment and incubated at RT for 20 min, before the reactions were separated on 5% 1 × Tris-borate EDTA polyacrylamide gels. Gels were dried and exposed to x-ray film or phosphorimager intensifying screens. Digital images were produced on a Fuji LAS 3000 phosphorimager and analyzed with ImageGauge software (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

DNase I and hydroxyl radical footprinting

Promoter fragments were 5′-end radiolabeled by T4 polynucleotide kinase and γ-[32P]ATP. Footprinting of HMG1A recombinant proteins on promoter DNA fragments radiolabeled on only one 5′ end using the nucleosome DNase I followed detailed published protocols (43, 47). For each protein and DNA substrate, optimal conditions for footprinting were empirically determined. ssDNA cleavage products were then separated by electrophoresis on 6% sequencing gels with Maxam-Gilbert “G+A” chemical cleavage products of control DNA fragments serving as a reference standard. Band intensities were quantified using a Fuji phosphorimager and ImageGauge software (Fuji). Hydroxyl radical cleavage of both free IL-2 promoter DNA and in vitro reconstituted chromatin substrates followed published procedures (46, 48).

Analysis of chromatin by ligation-mediated (LM)-PCR

Cells (2.5 × 10^6) were washed in PBS and resuspended at a final concentration of 5 × 10^6 cells/ml containing 0.5 to 2 mM blemycin sulfate (BLM) (Blenoxane; Bristol-Myers Squibb). After a 30-min incubation at 37°C, the suspension was centrifuged to pellet the cells, the supernatant was removed, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 200 μl of PBS. Genomic DNA was prepared using the Qiagen Genomic DNA purification columns. LM-PCR was performed as previously described (49, 50) using IL-2-specific oligonucleotides (Table I). Following LM-PCR, 4 μl of each sample was combined with 4 μl of sequencing loading dye and electrophoresed on 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide sequencing gels. Gels were vacuum-dried on DEAE-3 chromatography paper, exposed to phosphorimager screens (Fuji), and scanned using a Fuji phosphorimager. Image analysis was performed using ImageGauge software.

Chromatin accessibility using real-time PCR (CHART-PCR)

MNase and restriction enzyme accessibility assays were performed as recently described (51). Briefly, Jurkat T cell nuclei were resuspended in either MNase digestion buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 15 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl_2 or restriction enzyme buffer (1× buffer supplied by New England Biolabs) containing 25 mg/ml BSA, and 100 ng of poly(dG:dC) in 20 μl. Either radiolabeled nucleosome core particles (contain-
for 40 cycles. To correlate the Ct values (threshold values) from the IL-2 CHART-PCR amplification plots to percent accessibility, a standard curve was generated using serial dilutions of genomic DNA and the appropriate primer sets.

**Results**

**The IL-2 proximal promoter reconstitutes rotationally and translationally positioned nucleosomes in vitro**

To determine whether the establishment of a precise chromatin architecture, consisting of positioned nucleosomes, plays a role in the inducible expression of the human IL-2 gene, 5'-end-labeled, IL-2 promoter DNA fragments (Fig. 1) were reconstituted into nucleosomes in vitro (52). A 180-bp fragment corresponding to the region of the IL-2 promoter from nt 55 to 235 (see Fig. 1) formed a distinct shifted complex upon addition of increasing amounts of chicken donor chromatin (Fig. 2A, lanes 2–4) suggesting that this portion of the IL-2 promoter can assemble into a discrete mononucleosome. A longer IL-2 promoter fragment from nt 320 to +40 (360 bp; Fig. 1) also assembled into one major band (Fig. 2A) suggesting discreet nucleosome positions. In contrast to the IL-2 promoter, a 240-bp fragment (–200 to +40) from the GM-CSF promoter gave rise to several shifted complexes following nucleosome assembly (Fig. 2B, lanes 2–4). The fine structure of the in vitro nucleosome reconstitutes was analyzed by a variety of high-resolution mapping techniques to determine whether the in vitro assembled core particles had assumed distinct translational and/or rotational positions with respect to the underlying DNA sequences. DNase I cleavage of the 180-bp (nt 55 to 235) IL-2 mononucleosome complex revealed a pronounced periodic pattern of hypersensitive bands distinct from that of naked DNA (Fig. 2C, compare lanes 2–5 with 6–9) suggesting that a rotationally positioned nucleosome is assembled on IL-2 promoter DNA. In contrast, digestion of the nucleosome-assembled GM-CSF gene promoter did not yield a periodic DNase I digestion pattern (Fig. 2D, compare lanes 8–15 with 1–6) suggesting that...

**FIGURE 1.** Schematic of the IL-2 promoter. The IL-2 gene from the coding region (+150) to the distal promoter (–600) is shown. Black bars represent functional transcription factor binding sites and the designated names of the elements are shown. LM-PCR primer set locations are indicated by flagged lines (set A and set B) and restriction enzyme sites used in this study are indicated. Real-time PCR products (arrowed lines) are shown as A, B, and C and the 5' and 3' sequences of each primer set are given in Table I. Below the promoter region schematic, the IL-2 promoter fragments used for in vitro reconstitution of nucleosomes are shown.
FIGURE 2. Reconstitution of IL-2 and GM-CSF promoter fragments into nucleosomes in vitro. A. The IL-2 promoter assembles nucleosomes in vitro. Assembly reactions contained the H1-depleted chicken chromatin extracts and 1 ng of radiolabeled 180-bp IL-2 promoter DNA. One-twentieth of the assembly reactions were resolved on nondenaturing 5% polyacrylamide gels. Lanes 1–4 contain 0, 5, 10, and 15 μl of the chromatin extract, respectively. Lanes 5 and 6 contain 5 and 10 μl chromatin extract with a 360-bp IL-2 fragment. The positions of the nucleosome complexes (Nuc) and free DNA are indicated. B. Assembly of nucleosome complexes on the GM-CSF promoter in vitro. A GM-CSF promoter DNA fragment (nt –200 to +40) was assembled into nucleosomes as described in A. 

To further define the rotational position of the nucleosome(s) on the IL-2 promoter, hydroxyl radical cleavage was performed. Fig. 2E shows the results of hydroxyl radical cleavage analysis of a 220-bp fragment of the IL-2 promoter between nt –1 to –220 (Fig. 1) reconstituted into a mononucleosomal particle. Whereas a nearly uniform pattern of cleavages is observed for the naked DNA fragment (Fig. 2E, lane 5), the DNA in the reconstituted nucleosome exhibits a pronounced pattern of bands spaced at ~10-bp intervals (indicated by dots adjacent to lane 6 in Fig. 2E). This pattern of bands ends at approximately nt 61 where it reverts to the pattern for naked DNA (Fig. 2E, lane 6). Consistent with the DNase I cleavage analysis, this periodic pattern of hydroxyl radical cleavage indicates that a rotationally positioned nucleosome is present on IL-2 promoter DNA and that one end of the core particle may be located at approximately nt 61. Interestingly, this nucleosome may function as a boundary nucleosome because using the longer 360-bp fragment of the IL-2 promoter we have found that additional closely packed nucleosomes are positioned upstream of the one located at –61 (data not shown).

To further investigate the stability of the rotationally positioned nucleosome ending at approximately nt 60, restriction enzyme mapping experiments were performed to determine whether it also exhibited a strong translational setting on the promoter DNA. Digestion of MNase-released nucleosomal DNA with MseI releases a distinct 106/107-bp doublet of labeled fragments (Fig. 2F, lane 9). Lanes 1–4 contain 0, 5, 10, and 15 μl of chromatin extract, respectively. C. DNase I cleavage of the IL-2 promoter assembled into nucleosome. The 180-bp radiolabeled fragment as either free DNA or assembled into a nucleosome was subjected to DNase I cleavage and the resultant DNA fragments were separated using 6% sequencing gels. Increasing concentrations of DNase I were added to free IL-2 promoter DNA (lanes 2–5) and DNA reconstituted into chromatin in vitro (lanes 6–9). Lane 1 shows the G + A sequencing of the 180-bp fragment. The functional transcription factor binding sites are indicated as vertical lines to the left of the G + A marker and the sequence positions relative to the transcription start site at +1 are also shown. The solid arrows indicate the positions of DNase I cleavage sites spaced with an ~10-bp periodicity indicative of a rotationally positioned nucleosome. D. DNase I cleavage of the GM-CSF promoter assembled into nucleosome. A 240-bp GM-CSF promoter DNA fragment present as either nucleosomal or free DNA was analyzed as described above (Fig. 2C) using DNase I footprinting. Lanes 1–9 contain free DNA and nucleosomal DNA in lanes 10–17. G + A sequencing lanes are shown at each end of the gel. E. Nucleosomes reconstituted onto the IL-2 promoter are rotationally positioned. Hydroxyl radical cleavage mapping of the fine structure of a monomer nucleosome reconstituted onto a 221-bp fragment of the IL-2 promoter. The lanes correspond to mononucleosomal DNA that has not been digested with restriction enzymes (lane 2), or has been digested with MseI (lane 3), HindIII (lane 4), or SspI (lane 5). Molecular weight markers (in base pair) are shown in lanes 1 and 6. The major digestion products from the restriction enzyme digestions and the core particle DNA are indicated. The diagram at the bottom shows the location of the strongly positioned nucleosome (dashed ellipse) between approximately nt –60 and –210 that can be reconstituted onto the IL-2 promoter in vitro. The dyad axis of the positioned nucleosome is indicated by the arrow.
whereas digestion with HindIII releases a 91/92-bp doublet (Fig. 2F, lane 3). In the lower part of this gel are additional distinct doublet cleavage bands of 42/43 bp for the Msel digest and 57/58 bp for the HindIII digest (data not shown). The sizes of the restriction fragments released by these two enzymes indicate that the positioned nucleosome adopts a single highly preferred translational setting on the proximal IL-2 promoter between nt −60/−61 and −208/−209 (Fig. 2F). In contrast, the SspI enzyme does not cleave the MNase-released nucleosomal DNA (Fig. 2F, lane 5) because its cut site at nt −52 lies outside of the positioned core particle, thus confirming the results of hydroxyl radical cleavage. Because the prominent DNA fragments released by both restriction enzymes are doublet bands that differ by only a single base pair in size, we attribute these size differences to variations in the extent of MNase trimming of the original chromatin reconstitute.

These data clearly indicate that the IL-2 promoter DNA has the intrinsic ability to assemble a very stable nucleosome core particle that is both rotationally and translationally positioned between nt −60 and −210 encompassing promoter regions that are critical for inducible expression of the IL-2 gene (Fig. 2F).

The positioned IL-2 nucleosome blocks transcription factor, but not HMGA1, binding to the CD28RR in vitro

The CD28RR of the IL-2 promoter lies close to the dyad of the positioned nucleosome and has been shown to bind the transcription factors, c-Rel, RelA, AP-1 (c-fos/c-jun), and NF-ATp, as well as the architectural protein HMGA1 (20, 21, 23, 25, 28). To determine whether the presence of the nucleosome affected the ability of these transcription factors to gain access to their binding sites, increasing amounts of recombinant transcription factors were added alone or in combination to IL-2 nucleosomes or naked DNA and the resultant complexes were analyzed by EMSA. The addition of increasing amounts of recombinant full-length c-fos/c-jun heterodimers (AP-1) (Fig. 3A, lanes 9–14), rNF-ATp (Fig. 3A, lanes 17 and 18), or recombinant c-Rel (Fig. 3B, lanes 6–10) to the naked 180-bp fragment of the IL-2 promoter (nt −55 to −235) lead to the formation of specific DNA-protein complexes as would be expected from previous studies (20, 23, 28). Neither AP-1 (Fig. 3A, lanes 3–8), NFATp (Fig. 3A, lanes 15 and 16), nor c-Rel (Fig. 3B, lanes 1–5) was capable of binding to the nucleosome-assembled DNA, even with the addition of up to 10 times the amount of protein required to form specific complexes on naked DNA. The complexes detected in these binding reactions are due to the presence of some free DNA in the nucleosome preparations (Fig. 3, A and B). The reduction in intensity of the nucleosome complex at the highest concentration of AP-1 is most likely due to nonspecific interactions as is also evident in the free DNA lanes (Fig. 3A, lanes 3 and 9). Combinations of these transcription factors were tested for their ability to bind to nucleosome-assembled DNA but no combinations were found that could successfully bind (data not shown). DNase I footprinting experiments confirmed the inability of the transcription factors either alone or in combination to bind to nucleosome-assembled DNA (data not shown).

We have previously demonstrated that the architectural nuclear protein, HMGA1 is important in the assembly of multiprotein complexes on the CD28RR of the IL-2 promoter (20, 28). Because it is known that HMGA1 proteins are able to interact with nucleosome core particles and, consequently, alter the rotational setting of DNA on the nucleosome surface (45, 46, 48), we analyzed HMGA1 interactions with the 180-bp IL-2 promoter fragment assembled into mononucleosomes. When recombinant HMGA1a and HMGA1b proteins were titrated onto the 180-bp IL-2 DNA fragment assembled into nucleosomes, the intensity of the nucleosome complex band decreased and a slower migrating complex (HMGI/nuc) appeared (Fig. 4A, lanes 1–6). The specificity of HMGA1 binding was tested by using the non-DNA binding HMGA1a mutant, HMGI-mII,III, which has mutations in the BD II and III domains that are critical for specific DNA interactions (26). As expected, HMGI-mII,III did not bind to the IL-2 nucleosome when used at the same concentrations as HMGA1a and HMGA1b (Fig. 4A, lanes 7–9).

To determine the location of HMGA1 binding to the IL-2 nucleosome complex and to ascertain whether HMGA1 binding can alter the rotational setting of the nucleosome, in vitro DNase I footprinting was used. The addition of increasing concentrations of HMGA1a to naked DNA generated specific footprints within the

FIGURE 3. Transcription factors fail to bind to the IL-2 nucleosome. A. AP-1 and NF-AT fail to bind to the in vitro-assembled IL-2 nucleosome complex. Recombinant full-length (c-fos/c-jun) AP-1 or NF-ATp protein was incubated with either free DNA or IL-2 nucleosomes in EMSA reactions. Decreasing concentrations (250, 50, 25, 12, 6, and 3 ng) of AP-1 were combined with nucleosomal DNA (lanes 3–8) and free DNA (lanes 9–14). Similarly, 50 and 10 ng of NF-ATp were combined with IL-2 nucleosomes (lanes 15 and 16) and free DNA (lanes 17 and 18). IL-2 nucleosomes alone (lane 1) and free DNA alone (lane 2) were also analyzed. The AP-1 and NF-ATp protein amounts used in the individual lanes are indicated above the lanes. The nucleosome complex, protein/free DNA complexes, and free DNA are indicated. B. c-Rel does not bind to the in vitro IL-2 nucleosome. Lanes 1–5 contain decreasing concentrations of recombinant full-length c-Rel (40, 20, 10, 5, and 2 ng) added to IL-2 nucleosomes while lanes 6–10 represent the same amount of c-Rel added to naked DNA. EMSAs and fragment separation were conducted as described above. The nucleosome, free DNA, and protein-free DNA complexes are labeled as above.
ARRE-1 region, the NF-IL2B region and the CD28RR (Fig. 4B, lanes 3–6) as previously described (28). HMGA1a footprints are also detected at the NF-H9260B site and CD28RE when the opposite strand is analyzed (Fig. 4C, lanes 2–5). When HMGA1a was titrated onto IL-2 nucleosomal DNA, footprints were observed specifically at the ARRE-1, NF-IL2B regions, CD28RR and the NF-H9260B site (Fig. 4, B, lanes 8–11, and C, lanes 9–11, refer to lines). At these regions, the presence of HMGA1a also resulted in the reappearance of specific Dnase I hypersensitive bands (Fig. 4, B, lane 8, and C, lane 9, refer to dots) seen in naked DNA and may represent local disruption of the nucleosome:DNA contacts at the specific regions where HMGA1a binds. However, HMGA1 proteins did not appear to alter the rotational setting of the nucleosome nor did they promote the binding of transcription factors such as c-Rel or AP-1 to the nucleosome-assembled IL-2 promoter (data not shown). In summary, all of the transcription factors tested, with the exception of HMGA1, were occluded from binding to the nucleosome-assembled IL-2 promoter. HMGA1 bound to the nucleosome-assembled DNA and lead to local but not complete disruption of nucleosome:DNA contacts.

Evidence for a positioned nucleosome at the IL-2 proximal promoter in vivo

To address whether the IL-2 gene promoter in its native environment in T cell nuclei assembles a positioned nucleosome similar to the in vitro-assembled IL-2 nucleosome, we examined nucleosome organization in vivo using two independent, but complementary, methods: LM-PCR (41) and CHART-PCR (51). The analysis of nucleosome positioning was first performed using LM-PCR and the chemical nuclease, BLM (49). BLM preferentially cleaves linker DNA between nucleosome core particles (49, 50) and has sequence specificity in order of decreasing preference for GC, GT, GA, and AT base pairs (53). Human Jurkat T cells were treated with increasing concentrations of BLM, genomic DNA was isolated, and was then analyzed by LM-PCR using IL-2

FIGURE 4. HMGA1 specifically binds to the IL-2 nucleosome. A, HMGA1a and HMGA1b bind specifically to the in vitro IL-2 nucleosome. Decreasing concentrations (10, 5, and 1 ng) of recombinant HMGA1a (lanes 1–3), HMGA1b (lanes 4–6), and HMGI-mII,III (lanes 7–9) were added to the IL-2 nucleosome and complexes separated by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis. The HMG-I protein amounts used in the individual lanes are indicated above the lanes. The nucleosome (Nuc), HMG/ nucleosome (HMG:nuc), HMG/free DNA (HMG:DNA), and Free DNA complexes are indicated in the appropriate lanes. B, DNase I footprinting analysis of HMGA1a binding to the in vitro IL-2 nucleosome. Free DNA (lanes 3–6) and reconstituted IL-2 nucleosomes (lanes 8–11) were incubated with decreasing amounts (50, 10, 5, and 1 ng) of HMGA1a as indicated. Free DNA (lane 2) and nucleosomal DNA (lane 7) digested with DNase I, but without HMGA1a, are also shown. The G + A sequencing marker is shown in lane 1. Vertical lines indicate the transcription factor binding sites relative to the sequence. Solid lines beside the lanes show the regions of DNA footprinted by HMGA1a whereas dots indicate HMGA1a-induced hypersensitive bands. C, Binding of HMGI-I to the noncoding strand of nucleosomal DNA. Free DNA (lanes 4–6) and reconstituted IL-2 nucleosomes (lanes 9–11) were incubated with decreasing amounts (50, 10, and 5 ng) of HMGA1a as indicated. Free DNA (lane 3) and nucleosomal DNA (lane 8) was analyzed with DNase I alone. No DNase I was added to lanes 2 and 7. The gel was analyzed and labeled as above.
promoter-specific primer sets (Fig. 1, set A: 11, 12, 13 and set B: 56, 57, 58).

Densitometric comparisons of cellular (Fig. 5A, lanes 4–7) and naked DNA (Fig. 5A, lanes 2 and 3) damage with bleomycin using a coding strand-specific primer “set A” extending from nt −330 downstream (Fig. 1) indicated that the region of DNA between −190 and +10 was less digested in the cellular DNA than in the naked DNA (Fig. 5A, lanes 2 and 6). Bleomycin-specific bands from cellular DNA occurring at −260 and −270 bp were also significantly reduced in intensity relative to naked DNA (Fig. 5A), indicating that there may be a nucleosome downstream of −190 bp and also at −260 to −270 bp. However, the bleomycin hypersensitive bands at −190 and −235 bp were equally represented in both cellular and naked DNA samples indicating an apparent lack of protection in these regions. In addition, clusters of hypersensitive bands of approximately equal intensity occurred from +10 bp toward the coding region of the IL-2 gene in both naked and genomic DNA indicating a possible lack of nucleosomes in this region (Fig. 5A, lanes 2 and 6).

An additional set of coding-strand primers, “set B”, extending from −170 bp downstream toward the start of transcription, was used to further resolve the proximal promoter region closest to the transcriptional start site (Fig. 1). This set of primers shows protected bands from −10 extending upstream from the transcription start site (Fig. 5B, compare lanes 3 and 4 with 6–8). Again, the region downstream from the transcription start site was not protected in cellular DNA (Fig. 5B).

The ability of the HindII and Dral enzymes as well as MNase to digest the IL-2 proximal promoter in Jurkat T cell nuclei was next examined using the CHART-PCR assay (51). In this assay, the amount of digestion is monitored by real-time PCR using primer sets that amplify ∼100 bp and the amount of PCR product is inversely proportional to the amount of digestion. Real-time PCR using primers encompassing the distal promoter region (set A, nt −595 to −465), the region of the CD28RR (set B, nt −220 to −120) and downstream of the transcription start site (set C, nt +16 to +110) (see Fig. 1), were used to perform the CHART-PCR assay across the IL-2 gene. Dral digests the IL-2 promoter at nt −167 close to the CD28RR whereas HindII cleaves at nt −151 between the CD28RE and AP-1 sites within the fragment amplified by primer set B (Fig. 1). Purified genomic DNA used as a control is almost completely digested (95%) within 60 min of exposure to either HindII or Dral (Fig. 6A). The IL-2 promoter in nuclear DNA was highly protected from digestion with only ∼15% digestion observed in nuclei from unstimulated Jurkat T cells compared with undigested DNA (Fig. 6A). This is not simply an artifact of digestion within the nucleus as the amount of digestion increases with stimulation (see next section and Fig. 7). In addition, the in vitro-assembled nucleosome also protects the promoter from restriction enzyme digestion (data not shown). Primer set A was used to monitor nonspecific accessibility because the region amplified by this primer set did not contain a Dral or HindII site. Only 3–6% of the DNA was measured as accessible using this primer set (Fig. 6A) implying that only a small amount of nonspecific endonuclease digestion of the DNA is occurring in the experiments.

MNase was also used to assess the chromatin structure of the regions of the IL-2 gene encompassed by primer sets A, B, and C. When nuclei from unstimulated Jurkat T cells were subjected to MNase digestion, the proximal promoter region of the gene, as assessed by primer set B, was the least accessible to digestion (Fig. 6B).

Taken together, these bleomycin, restriction enzyme, and MNase protection data provide evidence for the presence of a nucleosome(s) across the proximal promoter of the IL-2 gene in unstimulated Jurkat
Chromatin across the IL-2 proximal promoter is remodeled upon T cell activation

Because the IL-2 gene is a highly inducible gene, becoming rapidly expressed upon T cell activation, we next asked whether alterations occur in chromatin structure across the proximal promoter upon activation of the cell. Chromatin remodeling of the IL-2 proximal promoter was examined in vivo using restriction enzyme and MNase digestion as described above (Fig. 6A). Increases in DraI accessibility were first observed in cells stimulated for 1 h and continued to increase with a maximum reached at 3 h of stimulation (Fig. 7A). By 4 and 8 h post-stimulation, the amount of DraI accessibility decreased to approximately 25% relative to unstimulated cells. As a control, a region of the promoter lacking a DraI site (set B) was analyzed in unstimulated and stimulated cells over the time period and as anticipated, there was no change in accessibility in all samples (Fig. 6A; data not shown). The time course of HinII accessibility followed that of DraI with the exception that accessibility was observed as early as 30 min following activation (Fig. 7A and B). When the time course of chromatin accessibility with DraI or HinII was plotted with the time course of IL-2 mRNA production measured by real-time PCR, it is clear that chromatin accessibility reaches a maximum approximately 1 h before the maximum accumulation of IL-2 mRNA (Fig. 7C and D). These data suggest that chromatin remodeling is a prerequisite for maximal expression of the IL-2 gene.

Discussion

In this study, we report that the proximal promoter region of the IL-2 gene is able to assemble into a rotationally and translationally positioned mononucleosome under chromatin reconstitution conditions in vitro and critical regulatory elements including the ARRE-1, NF-IL2B, and CD28RR sites are contained within its translational boundaries. This is in contrast to the GM-CSF promoter which does not appear to assemble a highly positioned nucleosome. In fact only a few DNA fragments have been shown to assemble such a highly positioned nucleosome with the most widely used example being the 5S RNA positioning sequences (54, 55). Numerous studies on DNA sequences required for nucleosome positioning have revealed that highly flexible poly(A-T) DNA assemblies nucleosomes more readily than bulk DNA (54, 56–60). Subsequently, these studies have determined that the artificial repeat sequence TATAAAGCCG has the highest affinity for binding nucleosomes and assembles highly positioned nucleosomes (61). This is probably due to the alternating A/T and G/C rich elements in phase with the helical repeat, which provides a flexible sequence that can cope with the torsional stress when associated with nucleosomes. The proximal promoter sequence of IL-2 has a high A/T content (~65%) compared with other inducible cytokine genes (for example, the GM-CSF promoter has 48% A/T content). Interestingly, there is a notable 10–20 bp periodicity of A/T stretches throughout much of the IL-2 minimal promoter region, which could potentially place this sequence in the nucleosome positioning class of DNA fragments containing “TATA-tetrads” (57).

The fact that the in vitro-assembled nucleosome inhibited the binding of CD28RR-specific transcription factors to their DNA binding sites supports a role for this nucleosome in transcriptional repression of the IL-2 gene in resting Jurkat T cells. Interestingly, HMGA1 proteins were found to specifically bind to the ARRE-1, NF-IL2B, CD28RR, and NF-κB sites in the presence of the nucleosome causing local disruption of core particle DNA interactions but not complete nucleosome disruption. However, the binding of HMGA1 did not alter accessibility of restriction enzyme recognition sequences occluded by the positioned nucleosome nor did it promote transcription factor binding to these core particles in vitro (data not shown). Therefore, these data suggest that additional processes, besides HMGA1 binding to the IL-2 nucleosome, are needed for the subsequent chromatin remodeling which takes place when cells are activated.

Interestingly, the chromatin structure of the promoter of the human IL-2Rα gene shares many similarities to that of the IL-2 promoter reported in this study. In vitro IL-2Rα promoter DNA assemblies a stably positioned nucleosome and there is evidence for nucleosome remodeling of this region of the promoter during transcriptional activation of the gene (62). In addition, HMGA1 has recently been shown to bind directionally to the IL-2Rα promoter when it is assembled into nucleosomes (62). Reeves et al. (62)
proposed that HMGA1 may form part of a targeting signal to recruit chromatin remodeling complexes to the IL-2Rα promoter. It is intriguing that two genes whose products are critical for the correct activation of T cells can assemble highly positioned nucleosomes across their promoter regions which bind HMGA1, and presumably function to prevent inadvertent transcription from these genes unless the correct activation signals are present.

Chromatin accessibility data derived from in vivo genomic footprinting by LM-PCR as well as restriction enzyme and MNase accessibility by CHART-PCR provides evidence for the presence of a nucleosome on the IL-2 promoter in resting Jurkat T cells. We have recently examined the chromatin structure of the mouse IL-2 promoter in EL-4 T cells and CD4+ primary T cells and find a complete lack of MNase or RE accessibility across the proximal promoter in these cells before stimulation (51), again supporting the idea that the IL-2 promoter is assembled into nucleosomes. Bleomycin-LM-PCR footprinting data suggested that a nucleosome plus perhaps additional promoter binding factors may be located in the region from −15 to −190 bp. The location of the in vitro-positioned nucleosome (−60 to −210) lies approximately within the bleomycin-footprinted region suggesting that the bleomycin footprint may in part represent a positioned nucleosome. It has previously been shown that a constitutive DNase I footprint occurs across the TATA box region of the IL-2 promoter in Jurkat T cells and this has been interpreted as representing a paused polymerase complex (40, 41). Such a complex together with a
nucleosome could explain the size of the observed bleomycin footprint.

In this study, we show, using the CHART-PCR assay with restriction enzyme or MNase accessibility, that the chromatin structure of the proximal promoter region, but not other regions of the IL-2 gene, is remodeled following T cell activation. Time course experiments of the accessibility changes within the IL-2 proximal promoter showed a gradual rise in RE accessibility from 30 min to 3 h following activation. This gradual change in accessibility is in contrast to our experiments with the mouse IL-2 gene where accessibility is not observed until 1.5 h following activation in either EL-4 or primary CD4+ T cells from mouse spleen and at this time point almost complete accessibility is observed (51). It is possible that the nucleosomes across the proximal promoter region in Jurkat T cells are already modified in some manner. This possibility is consistent with the observation that the mouse gene appears to be completely inaccessible to MNase or RE digestion in resting cells (51), while the human gene, at least in Jurkat T cells, has a higher level of intrinsic accessibility especially to MNase digestion. The distinct time course of chromatin accessibility change is reflected in distinct time courses of IL-2 mRNA synthesis for Jurkat and EL-4 cells. However, in both cases, the time of chromatin remodeling precedes the time of mRNA accumulation implying that chromatin remodeling may be a prerequisite for high levels of gene transcription.

There also appears to be some differences in the signals required for chromatin remodeling across the IL-2 promoter in Jurkat T cells and EL-4 cells. In EL-4 cells, PI leads to almost complete accessibility with a small contribution from CD28 for either restriction enzyme accessibility or MNase accessibility (51). In addition, PMA alone is almost as effective as PI (51). However, in Jurkat cells while PI increases restriction enzyme accessibility, it has little effect on MNase accessibility and CD28 signaling is required to generate MNase accessibility. It is unclear why these differences exist but may relate to the higher level of MNase accessibility seen in unstimulated Jurkat T cells compared with EL-4s as discussed below.

The higher constitutive accessibility of the human gene in Jurkat T cells compared with the mouse gene in EL-4 and primary T cells and the faster kinetics of chromatin remodeling and mRNA accumulation may relate to the recent finding that the D3 phosphoinositide phosphatase and tensin homolog is deficient in Jurkat T cells (63). As a consequence, there are elevated levels of the phosphoinositols 3-kinase products, PI3,4-P2 and PI3,4,5-P3, in the plasma membrane leading to constitutive recruitment of pleckstrin homology domain proteins such as Itk (63). Thus, constitutive signaling through this pathway could contribute to the more accessible chromatin structure on the IL-2 gene and the faster response to activation. Indeed, it has been shown that phospholipids are involved in the targeting of the BAF chromatin remodeling complex to chromatin following T cell activation (64).

In summary, we suggest that chromatin is a necessary component of IL-2 gene regulation. A highly positioned nucleosome most likely exists across the proximal promoter region of the IL-2 gene in vivo preventing transcription factor binding in the absence of a stimulus that triggers chromatin remodeling. Although HMGA1 can bind to its recognition sites in the presence of a nucleosome it is not sufficient to promote transcription factor binding or to lead to complete disruption of the nucleosome. An understanding of the signals and molecules required for disruption of this nucleosome in vivo will help to further elucidate the role of chromatin in IL-2 gene transcription.