The Proinflammatory CD14⁺CD16⁺DR⁺⁺ Monocytes Are a Major Source of TNF

Kai-Uwe Belge, Farshid Dayyani, Alexia Horelt, Maciej Siedlar, Marion Frankenberger, Bernhard Frankenberger, Terje Espevik and Lôms Ziegler-Heitbrock

*J Immunol* 2002; 168:3536-3542; doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.7.3536

http://www.jimmunol.org/content/168/7/3536

---

**References**

This article cites 24 articles, 15 of which you can access for free at:
http://www.jimmunol.org/content/168/7/3536.full#ref-list-1

**Subscription**

Information about subscribing to *The Journal of Immunology* is online at:
http://jimmunol.org/subscription

**Permissions**

Submit copyright permission requests at:
http://www.aai.org/About/Publications/JI/copyright.html

**Email Alerts**

Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at:
http://jimmunol.org/alerts
The Proinflammatory CD14$^+$CD16$^+$DR$^{++}$ Monocytes Are a Major Source of TNF$^1$

Kai-Uwe Belge,* Farshid Dayani,*,¶ Alexia Horelt,*, Maciej Siedlar,‡ Marion Frankenberger,‡ Bernhard Frankenberger,† Terje Espevik,§ Löms Ziegler-Heitbrock*¶

In human blood two monocyte populations can be distinguished, i.e., the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$DR$^{++}$ classical monocytes and the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$DR$^{++}$ proinflammatory monocytes that account for only 10% of all monocytes. We have studied TNF production in these two types of cells using three-color immunofluorescence and flow cytometry on whole peripheral blood samples stimulated with either LPS or with the bacterial lipopeptide S-(2,3-bis(palmitoyloxy)-(2-RS)-propyl)-N-palmitoyl-(R)-Cys-(S)-Ser-(S)-Lys$^5$-OH, trihydrochloride (Pam3Cys). After stimulation with LPS the median fluorescence intensity for TNF protein was 3-fold higher in the proinflammatory monocytes when compared with the classical monocytes. After stimulation with Pam3Cys they almost exclusively responded showing 10-fold-higher levels of median fluorescence intensity for TNF protein. The median fluorescence intensity for Toll-like receptor 2 cell surface protein was found 2-fold higher on CD14$^+$CD16$^+$DR$^{++}$ monocytes, which may explain, in part, the higher Pam3Cys-induced TNF production by these cells. When analyzing secretion of TNF protein into the supernatant in PBMCs after depletion of CD16$^+$ monocytes we found a reduction of LPS-induced TNF by 28% but Pam3Cys-induced TNF was reduced by 64%. This indicates that the minor population of CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ monocytes are major producers of TNF in human blood. The Journal of Immunology, 2002, 168: 3536–3542.

C
ells of the monocyte lineage are important elements of immu
n"defense because these cells can phagocytize foreign material, present Ag to T cells, and produce a host of cytokines, including TNF, IL-1, and IL-6. Cytokine expression by monocytes is efficiently triggered by stimulation with bacterial products like LPS or S-(2,3-bis(palmitoyloxy)-(2-RS)-propyl)-N-palmitoyl-(R)-Cys-(S)-Ser-(S)-Lys$^5$-OH, trihydrochloride (Pam3Cys). Both LPS and Pam3Cys bind to cell surface CD14 with LPS acting via the Toll-like receptor (TLR4) coreceptor (1) while Pam3Cys acts via the TLR2 coreceptor. Ligation of these receptor complexes leads to activation of a signal transduction cascade, mobilization of transcription factors, and expression of cytokines like TNF. TNF is a mast cell cytokine that regulates the immune response by activating other cells for enhanced proliferation, receptor expression, and migration. In addition, TNF has been shown to regulate the production of other cytokines. The cells of the monocyte lineage derive from myelomonocytic stem cells in bone marrow. They mature to monocytes and, as such, they go into blood followed by migration into tissue. In tissue these cells, now termed macrophages, differentiate into pheno
typically and functionally distinct cell types like alveolar macrophages, osteoclasts, or microglia cells. While the heterogeneity of these tissue macrophages is well established, monocyte hetero
geneties has been clearly demonstrated only recently. Using mAbs and flow cytometry we could distinguish the classical CD14$^+$ cells and the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ monocytes (2). In healthy donors these cells account for ~50 cells/μl and ~10% of all monocytes. TNF production is well established in patients with severe infection (3–5), and excessive exercise will also increase the cells by factor 4 due to mobilization from the marginal pool (6). The CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ monocytes appear to be more mature, they express higher levels of HLA-DR Ags as compared with classical monocytes (7), and they show expression of a distinct pattern of cytokines. Specifically, the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ cells have been shown to efficiently produce the proinflammatory cytokine TNF, while they produce no or little of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 (8). Based on these findings we have termed these cells proinflammatory monocytes.

Cytokine expression by human monocytes previously has been studied after isolation of the cells by density gradient separation, Ab staining, and cell sorting. Because these procedures may alter the function of these cells we have developed in the current study a system that involves stimulation of whole peripheral blood (WPB) followed by staining and analysis of cytokine expression. This system allows for a more meaningful analysis of the regula
tory mechanisms involved in cytokine gene expression. Using this method we can demonstrate a higher level of TNF protein expres
sion of the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ monocytes as compared with the classical CD14$^+$ cells. Also, depletion of these cells from PBMC populations will reduce the amount of Pam3Cys induced TNF in the supernatant by >60%, although the CD14$^+$CD16$^+$ monocytes account for only 10% of the monocytes. This suggests that in vitro, and even more so in vivo, during bacterial infection the minor...
population of CD14−CD16+ monocytes may be a major source of inflammatory cytokines like TNF.

Materials and Methods

Cells and stimulation

WPB anticoagulated with heparin at 10 U/ml was obtained from apparently healthy donors who were recruited from institute personnel and from students. Six hundred-microliter samples were incubated for 6 h at 37°C in 15-ml polypropylene tubes (catalog no. 188 261; Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany) at 0.1 μg/ml with Pam3Cys (catalog no. L2000; ECM Microcollections, Tuebingen, Germany) at 1–100 μg/ml. For control of LPS contamination cultures were set up with and without polymyxin B (PMB; Pfizer, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 10 μg/ml. Also, using the Endosafe Luminex Gel Clot assay (catalog no. AE 010; Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany) (sensitivity: 0.06 EU/ml) for monitoring of our tissue culture reagents, including BFA and heparin, we found no detectable LPS.

Cell surface staining

The stimulated samples were treated with ammonium chloride buffer (0.83% w/v) for 3 min until erythrocytes were lysed. After washing the leukocytes with staining buffer (PBS/2% FCS), cells were resuspended in 100 μl of staining buffer and Abs to CD14 (My-4-FITC at 18 μg/ml; Coulter/Beckman-Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) and HLA-DR (Immu357-PC-5 at 0.06 μg/ml; catalog no. 6603511; Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) at 0.1–1000 ng/ml or with Pam3Cys (catalog no. L2000; ECM Microcollections, Tuebingen, Germany) at 1–100 μg/ml. For control of LPS contamination cultures were set up with and without polymyxin B (PMB; Pfizer, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 10 μg/ml. Also, using the Endosafe Luminex Gel Clot assay (catalog no. AE 010; Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany) (sensitivity: 0.06 EU/ml) for monitoring of our tissue culture reagents, including BFA and heparin, we found no detectable LPS.

Intracellular staining

Paraformaldehyde-fixed samples were permeabilized with Perm/Wash solution (catalog no. 2097 KZ; BD Biosciences) for 5 min at room temperature. For detection of intracellular TNF (iTNF) we added PE-conjugated anti-TNF Ab (MP9−20A4; catalog no. RHTNF/A4; Caltag Laboratories via Medac, Hamburg, Germany) or as isotype control PE-conjugated rat IgG1 (catalog no. R104; Caltag Laboratories) both at 0.1 μg/ml for 20 min on ice. Samples were then washed twice and were resuspended in PBS/0.5% paraformaldehyde to be analyzed within 24 h.

Specificity control

The specificity of TNF staining was determined by incubating the anti-TNF-PE conjugate for 10 min at room temperature with a 9-fold molar excess of recombinant human TNF (kindly provided by BASF-Knoll, Ludwigshafen, Germany). This mixture of Ab and cytokine was the added to the permeabilized cells. During our studies we noted that the intensity of iTNF staining in monocytes shows variability between individuals and over time. Therefore, data were interpreted always within a given experimental series.

Flow cytometry analysis

Analysis was done on a FACSscan flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and linearity of the instrument was shown by using Immuno-Brite standard beads (catalog no. PN 6603473; Beckman Coulter). Cells were analyzed by setting scatter gates around monocytes and a portion of the adjacent lymphocytes (see www.monocytes.de for further details). At least 500 CD14−DR−CD16+ monocytes were analyzed per sample and fluorescence intensities were determined by subtracting the median fluorescence intensity of the isotype control from the median fluorescence intensity of the specific Ab. The resultant channels of specific median fluorescence intensities were used as a semiquantitative measure of receptor expression. For iTNF staining (see below) the specific (or $\delta = \Delta$) median fluorescence intensity was calculated by subtracting the signal for anti-TNF staining in the presence of excess rTNF from the specific staining.

Quantitative PCR

Quantitative PCR was performed using the LightCycler system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions by using primer pairs as noted below. In brief, mRNA was isolated and reverse-transcribed as for conventional RT-PCR. A total of 3 μl of cDNA were used for amplification in the SYBR green format using the LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I kit from Roche Diagnostics (catalog no. 2 239 264). For quantitative PCR, the LightCycler system offers the advantage of speed and real-time measurement of fluorescent signal during amplification. The SYBR green dye binds specifically to the minor groove of dsDNA. Fluorescence intensity is measured after each amplification cycle. During PCR, a doubling of template molecules occurs in each cycle only during the log-linear phase. Although the LightCycler displays signals from every cycle, the instrument uses fluorescent signals only generated during this informative log-linear phase to calculate the amount of target nucleic acid.

The following primer pairs were used: TLR2 5’ primer, 5’-GCC AAAGTCTTGATGGATGG-3’, and 3’ primer, 5’-TTGAAGTCTTCCA GCTCTCG-3’ (product length: 394 bp); TLR4 5’ primer, 5’-GAAATGGA GGCACCCCTTC-3’, and 3’ primer, 5’-TTGATACGTTCCTTATAAAG-3’ (product length: 510 bp). As an internal control the housekeeping gene α-eno-lase was amplified.

Depletion of CD16+ monocytes

For depletion of CD14−CD16+ monocytes from PBMC were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque (Pharmacia, Freiburg, Germany) density gradient separation. A total of 10 × 10^6 cells each were resuspended in 100 μl of PBS containing 5 μl of CD16 microbeads (catalog no. 130-045-701; Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) or for control CD8 microbeads (catalog no. 130-045-201; Miltenyi Biotec). After incubation for 30 min at 4°C cells were washed and resuspended in 0.5 ml PBS and this was loaded onto a RS column (catalog no. 130-042-201; Miltenyi Biotec) that was positioned in a MiniMACS magnet (catalog no. 130-042-102; Miltenyi Biotec). Nonadherent cells were recovered and total number of CD14-positive monocytes and percentage of CD14−CD16+ monocytes were determined by FACS.

Cells were then incubated for 5 h at 37°C without or with stimulation by either Pam3Cys (2 μg/ml) or LPS (2 μg/ml). Supernatant TNF was determined by ELISA (PeliKine, catalog no. M1923; Hiss Diagnostics, Freiburg, Germany) and was expressed in picograms per 10^6 monocytes. Average depletion of CD14−CD16+ monocytes with this procedure was 94%.

Culture of isolated CD14++ monocytes for demonstration of the stability of phenotype

For culture of classical monocytes, PBMC were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient separation and were depleted of CD16+ cells using CD14 microbead Ab (catalog no. 130-050-201; Miltenyi Biotec). Purified cells were then cultured in the presence of BFA (10 μg/ml) for 6 h without and with LPS at 100 ng/ml. The monocytes were then stained for CD14-PE (catalog no. 6603262; Beckman Coulter) and HLA-DR-PE-Cyan5 conjugate (catalog no. 2659; Beckman Coulter) and analyzed by FACS.

Statistics

For statistical analysis Student’s t test was used.

Results

Identification of monocyte populations

Monocyte subpopulations can be readily defined as CD14−CD16+ and CD14++CD16+ cells using CD14 and CD16 Abs in two-color immunofluorescence. However, when WPB cells are stimulated with LPS for several hours, then the CD16 cell surface molecule is down-regulated such that the CD14−CD16+ monocytes cannot be clearly identified anymore (data not shown). Under the same conditions cells coexpressing low levels of CD14 plus high levels of HLA-DR retained their staining pattern. To demonstrate that these
CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ cells are identical to the CD14⁻CD16⁺ monocytes we performed three-color immunofluorescence analyses. In the two-color plot for CD14 and DR (Fig. 1, middle panel) a population that is DR-only (DR-positive lymphocytes), a population co-expressing high levels of CD14 and low levels of DR (CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ classical monocytes), and a population with the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ phenotype are visible. When the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ cells are gated and analyzed for CD16, the majority of cells were found CD16 positive (Fig. 1, upper panel).

In the example given, 94% of the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ cells were CD16 positive with an average of 93.1 ± 2.6% in five experiments. Among the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺ monocytes only 2.8% were positive for CD16 (Fig. 1, lower panel) (average 2.1 ± 0.5%). Thus gating on the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ population can be used as an alternative approach for the analysis of the CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ monocytes. To further substantiate this point we have isolated CD14⁺⁺DR⁺ monocytes and have cultured them in the presence of BFA without and with LPS for 6 h. The data in Fig. 2 show that there is no relevant generation of CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ cells from CD14⁺⁺DR⁺ monocytes under these conditions.

**TNF expression in LPS-stimulated monocyte subpopulations**

We then stimulated whole blood samples with LPS at 100 ng/ml for 6 h in the presence of BFA and stained the cells for CD14, DR, and TNF. A typical example, shown in Fig. 3, gives the TNF expression for the two monocyte populations as depicted in Fig. 3, middle panel. The single parameter histograms (Fig. 3, upper and lower panels) give the signal obtained with the anti-TNF-Ab (Fig. 3, upper panel). We then cultured the cells for 6 h with and without LPS (Fig. 3, lower panel). The data in Fig. 3 show that there is no significant difference in TNF expression between the two populations.

**FIGURE 1.** The majority of CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ cells are CD16 positive. WPB cells were stained in three-color immunofluorescence for CD14, CD16, and HLA-DR. The low CD14 cells coexpressing high levels of HLA-DR were gated as given in the middle panel and were analyzed for CD16 expression (upper and lower panels). In this example 93.7% of the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ cells are CD16 positive. Among the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes 2.8% were positive for CD16. The minor population of cells with low CD14 and no HLA-DR showed 9.9% CD16-positive cells (no histogram shown).

**FIGURE 2.** Stability of CD14⁺⁺ monocyte phenotype. CD14⁺⁺ monocytes were purified by MACS and were cultured for 6 h in the presence of BFA without (upper panel) and with LPS at 100 ng/ml (lower panel). Cells were then stained for CD14 and HLA-DR. In the example given, the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes were 0.5% without and 0.8% with LPS stimulation. In three experiments the average respective numbers were 0.5 ± 0.1 and 1.1 ± 0.6% (not significant).
cyte populations, but the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes exhibit a clearly higher level of expression. In five donors, the average median fluorescence intensity was 37 ± 18.8 in the CD14⁺⁺ monocytes while the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes expressed TNF with a median fluorescence intensity of 125.4 ± 5.51 channels (p < 0.05); i.e., the signal for TNF protein was ∼3-fold higher in these cells.

We then analyzed TNF expression in monocyte subpopulations over time and noted a weak expression already at 0.5 h with an average median fluorescence intensity of 12 channels for the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes. Expression increased over time and reached an average of 525 channels at 6 h (Fig. 4). While at all time points the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes exhibited a higher immunofluorescence signal for TNF, this difference was only significant for the 4- and 6-h time points.

Given the lower level of CD14 LPS receptor in the CD14⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes as compared with the classical monocytes, we reasoned that the former cells might exhibit a lower sensitivity to LPS in dose response analysis. As shown in Fig. 5, these cells did, however, show a first response at the 1 ng/ml dose similar to the classical CD14⁺⁺DR⁺ cells. At this dose the cells produced TNF with an average median of 17 channels, expression increased to 261 channels at 100 ng/ml and reached 365 channels at the highest dose of 1000 ng/ml. Again, TNF expression was clearly higher in the CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes as compared with the classical monocytes at all doses (Fig. 5). Hence, when comparing the two monocyte populations, the CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes respond at the same low dose of LPS and the response was even higher despite the low-level expression of the CD14 LPS receptor.

**TNF expression in Pam3Cys-stimulated monocyte subpopulations**

We next asked whether the higher expression of TNF in the CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes is also seen after stimulation with other microbial products like Pam3Cys. To exclude the possibility that a LPS contamination of the Pam3Cys preparation causes monocyte stimulation we have used PMB for LPS neutralization. PMB, in fact, is very active in that it completely abrogates the LPS-induced TNF production (Fig. 6, lower panel). When looking at TNF production by the two monocyte subsets we observed that Pam3Cys almost exclusively stimulated the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ proinflammatory monocytes. While the classical CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes responded minimally with an average median immunofluorescence of 28 ± 12 channels, the proinflammatory monocytes exhibited an

![FIGURE 3. TNF expression in monocyte subpopulations. Whole blood samples were stimulated with LPS at 100 ng/ml for 6 h in the presence of BFA and then the cells were stained for CD14, DR (middle panel), and TNF. Shown is the anti-TNF staining (right curve) vs the control (left curve) for the CD14⁺⁺ cells (lower panel) as compared with the CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes (upper panel). The specific median fluorescence intensity is given in channels (ch) in the upper right corner of each graph. Data are from one of five experiments.](http://www.jimmunol.org/)

![FIGURE 4. Time course for TNF production in the two monocyte subpopulations. WPB samples were stimulated with LPS at 100 ng/ml in the presence of BFA. At various time points cells were stained for CD14, DR, and TNF. Given is the TNF expression as the average ± SD for three donors expressed as specific median fluorescence intensity in the CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes (filled bars) and in the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes (open bars). TNF expression in the CD14⁺⁺DR⁺⁺ monocytes was significantly higher at time points 4 and 6 h as compared with the values in the CD14⁺⁻DR⁺⁺ monocytes (*, p < 0.05).](http://www.jimmunol.org/)
TABLE 1. The proinflammatory monocytes as a major source of TNF

Expression of TLRs

Staining for TLR4 using Ab HTA-125 (10) in three-color immunofluorescence in our hands revealed no signal both on the CD14+ CD16+ monocytes and the CD14+ CD16+ monocytes (data not shown). By contrast, TLR2 was clearly detectable and gave a 2-fold-higher specific median fluorescence intensity level in the CD14+ CD16+ monocytes as compared with the classical CD14+ monocytes (Fig. 7). In five donors the average signal for TLR2 in CD14+ CD16+ monocytes was 58.7 ± 9.1 channels as compared with 33.4 ± 9.8 channels for the classical CD14+ monocytes (p < 0.05), reflecting a 2-fold level of specific median immunofluorescence intensity. A similar pattern was seen when the monocyte subsets were identified as CD14+ DR+ and CD14+ DR+ cells (data not shown).

To detect TLR mRNA in the monocyte subsets we used mRNA analysis by RT-PCR using the LightCycler technology. As shown in the example in Fig. 8 the CD14+ CD16+ monocytes exhibited slightly lower levels of mRNA for TLR2 and almost identical levels for TLR4. In four donors the average mRNA level was calculated to be 1.7-fold lower for TLR2 (p < 0.05) and 1.2-fold lower for TLR4 (not significant). Thus CD14+ CD16+ proinflammatory monocytes do not express higher amounts of TLR mRNA; rather, they tend to show slightly lower expression as compared with the classical monocytes.
Because the CD14+/H11001/TNF protein that is secreted after stimulation via TLR2.

Curves were analyzed by determining the cycle number at which the amplification curves intersect with the horizontal line. In this example, in the case of TLR2 the CD14++ curve intersects at 30.7 cycles while the CD14+CD16+ curve intersects at 31.4 cycles. For TLR4 the respective cycle numbers were 28.7 and 29. Shown are data from one of four experiments.

**Effect of depletion of CD16+ monocytes on levels of secreted TNF**

Because the CD14+CD16+DR++ monocytes are much more efficient producers of TNF as detected by intracellular staining we asked whether these cells also contributed a major portion to supernatant TNF secreted by stimulated PBMC. For this we reacted the PBMC with a microbead-conjugated CD16 Ab and depleted these cells using MACS. Upon LPS stimulation this led to a reduction of supernatant TNF by 28% ($p < 0.05$) as compared with control depletion with a CD8 Ab (Fig. 9). When stimulating the same cell preparations with Pam3Cys the CD16 depletion resulted in a much stronger reduction of TNF by 28% ($p < 0.05$) as compared with control depletion with a CD8 Ab (Fig. 9). When stimulating the same cell preparations with Pam3Cys the CD16 depletion resulted in a much stronger reduction of TNF by 28% ($p < 0.05$) as compared with control depletion with a CD8 Ab (Fig. 9).

With appropriate cell surface markers monocytes can be subdivided into two populations, i.e., the CD14+CD16+ and the CD14++ monocytes (2). These cells show a differential pattern of cytokine expression in that the CD14+CD16+ monocytes fail to produce significant amounts of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 while they are good producers of the proinflammatory TNF (8). This has led us to term these cells proinflammatory monocytes.

The earlier studies on cytokine expression by monocyte subpopulations were done with cells that were first stained for cell surface markers, then sorted, then stimulated with LPS. The current protocol involves initial stimulation followed by staining. However, LPS stimulation leads to the disappearance of the CD16 molecule from the monocyte cell surface such that the CD14+CD16+ monocytes cannot be readily identified anymore. The CD14+CD16+ monocytes are also characterized by a high level of HLA-DR and expression of this molecule is maintained with LPS stimulation. When purified CD14+CD16+ cells with 0.71% remaining CD14+CD16+ cells were cultured for 6 h in the presence of LPS, there was only a slight increase of the CD14+CD16+ cells to 1.59% ($n = 3$). This indicates that the ~10% CD14+CD16+ cells that we analyze for TNF production in whole blood after 6 h of stimulation with LPS are not derived from the CD14+CD16+ cells. Hence, cytokine expression of the CD14+CD16+ monocytes can be analyzed by looking at the CD14+CD16+ cells, and this can be compared with the CD14+CD16+ cells that are identical with the classical CD14+ monocytes.

Previous studies with isolation of monocytes followed by stimulation have demonstrated comparable levels of TNF mRNA and secreted protein for the two monocyte populations after stimulation by LPS (8). Using the WPB stimulation and staining strategy we can demonstrate a 3-fold higher level of LPS-induced TNF protein in the CD14+CD16+ monocytes as compared with the classical monocytes. The detection of higher levels of TNF protein in the current study might be explained by advantages of this system, like the absence of interfering signals due to purification, or it could be due to the higher precision of the flow cytometry method.

Time course analysis revealed a very rapid induction of TNF protein at 0.5 h post-LPS stimulation, and this is most obvious for the CD14+CD16+ monocytes. A maximum TNF production is observed at 6 h, and when prolonging the incubation to 12 h there is still some increase (data not shown). However, for practical reasons we have restricted our analysis to the 6-h time point. When

**Discussion**

Monocytes produce a host of cytokines (e.g., TNF, IL-1, IL-6) that regulate the immune response and inflammation. Many studies have looked at signals, receptors, and transcription factors involved in production of these cytokines by blood monocytes, but these studies have been performed with cells that have passed one or more steps of purification. Purification of monocytes may, however, alter these cells, as exemplified by the shedding of L-selectin that occurs during stimulation of WPB followed by identification of monocytes with Abs to cell surface markers and by detection of cytokines with intracellular staining. The direct addition of stimuli and inhibitors to the freshly drawn blood then allows for the study of cytokine expression unbiased by any manipulation.
looking at dose response at this point in time we were surprised to
see a pronounced TNF production already at 1 ng/ml LPS in the
CD14^+DR^+^+^+ monocytes while the CD14^+^+^+DR^+ cells still were
close to background (see Fig. 5).

In addition to LPS a dramatically higher response with respect to
TNF was seen with Pam3Cys, a product of Borrelia and of other types
of bacteria (12–16). Also, Zymosan derived from yeast and myco-
bacterial lipoarabinomannan both induced 2-fold higher levels of spe-
cific median immunofluorescence intensity for TNF in the proinflam-
matory monocytes (data not shown). The higher expression of TNF
by the CD14^+CD16^+ monocytes might be due to a higher expression
of cell surface receptors for these different microbial products. How-
ever, the pattern recognition receptor CD14 is lower in the
CD14^+CD16^+ subset (17) (see Fig. 1). Hence, we have looked for the TLR
coreceptors (1, 18–23). LPS responses are mediated by the
TLR4 coreceptor. The Ab HTA-125 (10) in our hands unfortunately
gave no staining on blood monocytes. Because LPS responses are
mediated by TLR4 and because the blood monocytes showed high
levels of TNF expression after stimulation with S. minnesota LPS in our
experiments we expect that with an appropriate reagent TLR4
CD14 and therefore it may also partially act via TLR2 due
Pam3Cys.

Expressed by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (12
comes to Pam3Cys, a compound that represents lipopeptides that are
significantly to LPS-stimulated cytokine production that occurs in Gram-
mediated by TLR4 and because the blood monocytes showed high
levels of TLR2 is crucial in determining the strength of cell acti-
we may assume that these cells, with their proportion of 10% among
all monocytes, could account for a large part of the TLR4

By contrast, levels of TLR2, the coreceptor used by Pam3Cys (18),
were readily detected and were 2-fold higher in the immunofluo-
ence signal in the CD14^+CD16^+ monocytes. When we assume that
the level of TLR2 is crucial in determining the strength of cell acti-
the higher TLR2 level may, in fact, be responsible in part for the higher response of the CD14^+CD16^+DR^+^+^+ monocytes to
Pam3Cys.

Of note, the LPS preparation used in this study has not been
repurified (24) and therefore it may also partially act via TLR2 due
to contaminant lipoproteins.

Based on the higher expression of TNF protein in the
CD14^+CD16^+DR^+^+^+ monocytes as detected by intracellular staining
we may assume that these cells, with their proportion of 10% among
all monocytes, could account for a large part of the TLR4
secreted by stimulated PBMC. Our studies, in fact, demonstrate that depletion of these cells by MACS will reduce secreted TNF by
~30%, consistent with a 3-fold-higher iTNF level in the CD14^+DR^+^+^+ cells as compared with the CD14^+^+DR^+^+^+ monocytes. When stimulated by
Pam3Cys the reduction by depletion of CD16^+ cells was more pro-
nounced (~60%), again consistent with the 10-fold-higher levels of
iTNF seen with Pam3Cys. Hence, for Pam3Cys stimulation the mi-
or population of CD14^+CD16^+DR^+^+^+^+ monocytes is responsible for the major part of secreted TNF.

The blood drawn from donors at rest does not cover all leuko-
cytes in circulation because it is restricted to the central pool. A fair
amount of additional cells resides in the marginal pool, which
comprises cells that are loosely attached to endothelium. These
cells can be mobilized, for instance, by stress or excessive exer-
cise. We reported earlier that compared with the CD14^+CD16^+ mono-
cytes in the central pool there are three times the number of these
cells found in the marginal pool (6). Hence, when looking at
total monocytes in circulation (marginal plus central pool), the
CD14^+CD16^+ monocytes account for 30% of all monocytes. When bacteria or their products seed into blood they will stimulate all CD14^+CD16^+ monocytes, including those in the central and in
the marginal pool. Hence, in vivo the importance of the proinflam-
matory monocytes is even higher than demonstrated herein for cell
preparations derived from the central pool of blood.

Our data suggest that the CD14^+CD16^+ monocytes contribute sig-
ificantly to LPS-stimulated cytokine production that occurs in Gram-
negative infection. The contribution is more pronounced when it
comes to Pam3Cys, a compound that represents lipopeptides that are
expressed by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (12–
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