Proteasome, Transporter Associated with Antigen Processing, and Class I Genes in the Nurse Shark 

Ginglymostoma cirratum: Evidence for a Stable Class I Region and MHC Haplotype Lineages

Yuko Ohta, E. Churchill McKinney, Michael F. Criscitiello and Martin F. Flajnik

J Immunol 2002; 168:771-781; doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.2.771
http://www.jimmunol.org/content/168/2/771

References
This article cites 55 articles, 17 of which you can access for free at: http://www.jimmunol.org/content/168/2/771.full#ref-list-1

Subscription
Information about subscribing to The Journal of Immunology is online at: http://jimmunol.org/subscription

Permissions
Submit copyright permission requests at: http://www.aai.org/About/Publications/JI/copyright.html

Email Alerts
Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at: http://jimmunol.org/alerts
Proteasome, Transporter Associated with Antigen Processing, and Class I Genes in the Nurse Shark *Ginglymostoma cirratum*: Evidence for a Stable Class I Region and MHC Haplotype Lineages

Yuko Ohta,† E. Churchill McKinney,‡ Michael F. Criscitiello,‡ and Martin F. Flajnik∗∗

Cartilaginous fish (e.g., sharks) are derived from the oldest vertebrate ancestor having an adaptive immune system, and thus are key models for examining MHC evolution. Previously, family studies in two shark species showed that classical class I (UAA) and class II genes are genetically linked. In this study, we show that proteasome genes LMP2 and LMP7, shark-specific LMP7-like, and the TAP1/2 genes are linked to class I/II. Functional LMP7 and LMP7-like genes, as well as multiple LMP2 genes or gene fragments, are found only in some sharks, suggesting that different sets of peptides might be generated depending upon inherited MHC haplotypes. Cosmid clones bearing the MHC-linked classical class I genes were isolated and shown to contain proteasome gene fragments. A non-MHC-linked LMP7 gene also was identified on another cosmid, but only two exons of this gene were detected, closely linked to a class I pseudogene (UAA-NC2); this region probably resulted from a recent duplication and translocation from the functional MHC. Tight linkage of proteasome and class I genes, in comparison with gene organizations of other vertebrates, suggests a primordial MHC organization. Another nonclassical class I gene (UAA-NC1) was detected that is linked neither to MHC nor to UAA-NC2; its high level of sequence similarity to UAA suggests that UAA-NC1 also was recently derived from UAA and translocated from MHC. These data further support the principle of a primordial class I region with few class I genes. Finally, multiple paternities in one family were demonstrated, with potential segregation distortions.


Major histocompatibility complex class I and class II molecules are fundamental components of the adaptive immune system. Antigenic peptides, bound and presented to T cells by class I molecules, are produced by the cytosolic proteasome. In mammalian cells stimulated by IFN-γ, three components of the constitutive catalytic proteasome core, X/PSMB5/β5, Y/PSMB6/β1, and Z/PSMB7/β2, are replaced by LMP7/PSMB8/β5i, LMP2/PSMB9/β1i, and MECL-1/PSMB10/β2i, respectively, to produce immunoproteasomes, which are believed to generate peptides suitable in length and sequence for binding to class I molecules (2–4). Peptides transported into the endoplasmic reticulum via a heterodimer of TAP proteins are loaded onto class I molecules, which then are competent to be expressed at the cell surface (5).

In eukaryotes, one of the first examples of genetic linkage between functionally related, yet structurally unrelated loci was shown for the class I Ag pathway genes, LMP2, LMP7, TAP1, and TAP2, which are closely linked and encoded in the MHC of all vertebrates studied to date (6–17). In mammals, these genes are located in the class II region, while both classical and nonclassical class I genes are encoded in another region of the MHC. The MHC organization of chickens (11) and all teleost fish (9, 13–16) examined is quite different from mouse and human: classical class I genes are closely linked to proteasome and transporter genes (except in chicken, the inducible proteasome elements have been deleted from the MHC and perhaps from the genome entirely). Such close linkage among class I and the functionally related proteasome and transporter genes has been proposed to be a true class I region, which may allow coevolution of these components (9–11). The class I region in teleosts is further highlighted because class II genes are found in another region of the genome entirely, so that there are actually (at least) two MHCs in the bony fish (14, 18). Because class I is closely linked to proteasome/transporter genes in all nonmammalian species studied to date, it is likely that this MHC organization is primitive.

In addition to differences in MHC genomic organization in birds and teleosts, suggesting coevolution of class I pathway genes, there are lineages of TAP alleles that have coevolved to function with sets of class I alleles in the rat (19), hamster (20), and probably the chicken (11, 21). In the frog, *Xenopus* allelic lineages of TAP and LMP7 are linked to particular class I lineages (22–24). Coevolution of the proteasomes, transporters, and presenting molecules in the class I Ag presentation pathway may be the selecting force to preserve linkage of the genes in many vertebrate species. Consistent with this idea is the relatively low number of class I genes that are linked to the MHC proper in most nonmammalian vertebrates (excluding the cichlid (25, 26), the axolotl (27, 28), and perhaps the cod (29)), i.e., a stable class I region.

Cartilaginous fish (sharks, skates, rays, and chimeras) are derived from the oldest vertebrate ancestor with an adaptive immune system, and as such are important models for study of the MHC.
(7). Previously, we have shown that, in contrast to teleost fish, class I and class II genes are closely linked in sharks (1). In addition, previous work demonstrated the existence of TAP1, TAP2 (30), LMP7 homologues (31), and a proteasome gene unique to the cartilaginous fish, called LMP7-like (31). Based on differences in their catalytic sites, it was suggested that proteasomes containing LMP7 or LMP7-like might provide a diverse repertoire of peptides for class I molecules, consistent with the idea that certain class I alleles could bind peptides preferentially produced by one or the other enzyme. In this study, we begin to focus on whether the class I region found in all teleosts and chickens (and probably amphibians) exists in cartilaginous fish by investigating the linkage between class I and class I-related genes (LMP2, LMP7, LMP7-like, TAP1, and TAP2). We also analyze the number of class I genes and their linkage to each other and to other MHC-encoded genes.

Materials and Methods

Animals

A pregnant nurse shark (Ginglymostoma cirratum, order Orectolobiformes) was captured off Little Torch Key, FL, in October 1998, and 39 pups were delivered by Caesarean section (1). Nurse sharks are ovoviviparous, i.e., maintain their young within the uterus, where they are nourished by egg yolk; the size of the yolk sac was an indication of the pups’ ages (32).

Shark Yellow used for preparation of the cosmid library was maintained in an vitrogen, San Diego, CA), and sequenced.

LMP2 isolation

PCR via 5’ RACE with a degenerate primer was performed to isolate the LMP2 gene. The primer 5’-GCTGACRTWNCCRTDADT-3’, in which R is A or G, N is A or G or C or T, W is A or T, D is G or A or T (aa position 135–141 (33), Fig. 1A), and the T3 primer on the Uni-ZAP phage vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) were used with a horn shark (Heterodontus francisci) spleen cDNA library as template (34). PCR was performed for 4 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 45°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 15 min. A single 600-bp fragment was amplified, cloned into the pCR2.1 TA cloning vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA), and sequenced.

cDNA library screen

The 600-bp LMP2 PCR fragment was used to screen the horn shark spleen cDNA library. This horn shark library was also screened with the full-length nurse shark LMP7 probe (accession D64057). A full-length LMP2 insert was used to probe a nurse shark spleen cdNA library prepared from siblings 34 and 37 (35). This nurse shark spleen cDNA library was also screened with horn shark TAP primer (AF108387, AF108386) and TAP1 (AF108385) (30) to obtain the orthologous nurse shark cdNAs, and with nurse shark nonclassical class I (UAA-NC1, 1) using a probe encoding the a1 and a2 domains (AF220360) to obtain full-length cDNAs. All library screenings were done under high stringency conditions (34).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree

The mature LMP2 and LMP7 protein sequences were aligned using Clustal W with minor adjustments, and an unrooted tree was made using the TreeView 1.5 program (36).

Southern blotting

A total of 1–3 ml blood was collected from each pup from the caudal vein, and DNA was prepared from nucleated erythrocytes, as described previously (37). Genomic DNA (5 μg) was digested with various restriction enzymes (RE) to obtain useful restriction fragment-length polymorphism (RFLP). Full-length nurse shark cDNA inserts were used as probes, except for TAP2 (it lacked the region encoding the N-terminal 160 aa (30)) and UAA-NC1 (the α1 domain exon was used), and all hybridizations were performed under high stringency conditions.

Cosmid library screening

The nurse shark erythrocyte genomic DNA cosmid library prepared from shark Yellow was custom-made (SuperCos1 cosmid library; Stratagene) and has average insert sizes of ~40 kb. Membranes from library lifts were prepared per the manufacturer’s recommendation with minor modifications (38), and ~800,000 clones were screened with the full-length nurse shark LMP7 (D64057 (31)) or class Ia probes (UAA01: AF220063 (1)) under high stringency conditions.

Restriction mapping and subcloning

The cosmid clone 32 was digested with BamHI, SacI, ScaI, XhoI, and all possible combinations of two enzymes, and Clal, SmaI, BamHI, and ScaI were used for digestion of cosmids 19 and 65. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide to visualize DNA fragments and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were sequentially hybridized with either 32P-labeled double-strand probes (labeled with the random-primed labeling method; Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) or end-labeled exon-specific oligonucleotides to identify the digested fragments. Cosmid DNAs were also partially digested with RE and hybridized with end-labeled T3 or T7 oligomers to construct restriction maps. The LMP7-positive BamHI-XhoI 4.5-kb fragment (cosmid 32) and the Clal-Smal 1.9-kb fragment (cosmid 65) were subcloned into the pBluescript cloning vector and sequenced to identify the exons. For comparison with hybridizing genomic DNA fragments, 10 μg of cosmid DNA was digested with 15 U of RE for 3 h, and digests were checked on a 1% agarose gel and diluted to convenient concentrations; ~50 ng of cosmid DNA was loaded onto the gel compared with 5 μg of genomic DNA. A class Ia domain-specific primer (5’-TTCACAGTCTCCGGTATT-3’) and a highly conserved α3 domain primer (5’-CCATCGTGATCACCACACACA-3’) were used to amplify the class I sequence from the cosmid 32 DNA; PCR was performed for 4 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 56°C for 1 min, 72°C for 3 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 15 min. A 1.5-kb fragment was amplified, cloned into pCR2.1 TA cloning vector, and sequenced.

PCR of genomic DNA

To obtain the transmembrane/cytoplasmic region genomic sequence for the UAA-NC1 gene, a UAA/UAA-NC1 exon 5 primer, 5’-TGAACCAAAAGAC TTTTTT-3’, and a UAA-NC1-exon 6 primer, 5’-TGGATTG TAGCCAGTCTC-3’, were used. PCR was performed at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 52°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 3 min, with final extension of 72°C for 15 min using 2–500 ng “mother” genomic DNA as template. A 1-kb product was cloned into pCR2.1 TA cloning vector (Invitrogen) and sequenced. To obtain the UAA transmembrane/cytoplasmic genomic sequence, PCR was performed using cosmids 65 DNA as template. The same exon 5 primer was used as the forward primer with a UAA-specific reverse primer: 5’-AGGTTAATACTACG CAGGT-3’. PCR was performed as above. The 2.5-kb product was cloned into the pCR2.1 TA cloning vector and sequenced. The PCR-amplified fragment for UAA exon 5 and intron 5 of cosmid 19 was also sequenced. 3

3 Abbreviations used in this paper: RE, restriction enzyme; RFLP, restriction fragment-length polymorphism; UTR, untranslated region.

FIGURE 1. A. Comparison of the amino acid sequence of nurse shark (N-shark) (AF357920) and horn shark (H-shark) (AF357921) LMP2 with LMP2 from human (U01025), mouse (D43620), Xenopus (D87687), trout (AF115541), Fugu (AJ217123), and zebrafish (LMP2A: AF155579, LMP2B: AF155580); LMP2B from Fugu (AJ217123), trout (AF115540), and zebrafish (AF155576); and with δ from human (D29012), mouse (U13394), Xenopus (D87689), sheep (AF303292), and lamprey (D87690). Dashes and dots show sequences identical to human LMP2 and gaps, respectively. The mature protein begins at +1, and the primer position used for 5’ RACE is indicated with an arrow above the sequence. The percentage of similarity to nurse shark LMP2 is shown at the end of each sequence. B. LMP2 phylogenetic tree using the mature protein. The scale shows the genetic distance. Bootstrap values were obtained with a total of 500 runs and are shown at the branches. C. LMP7/XLMMP7-like phylogenetic tree using the mature protein. GenBank accession numbers are human (Z14982), mouse (U22033), rat (P28064), Fugu (AJ217123), zebrafish (AF302290), N-shark (D64057), salmon (AF184938), Xenopus7B (I51537), Xenopus7A (I51536), H-shark (AF363583) LMP7, N-shark (D64056), H-shark (AF363582) LMP7-like, hagfish (D64054), lamprey (D64055), medaka (D89725), tunicate (X97729), nurse shark (D64058), human (P28074), mouse (O55234), rat (P28075), and chicken (X57210). X. Scale as in B.
**Northern blotting**

RNA was isolated from various tissues using the TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD). Total RNA (20 μg) was electrophoresed in 1% agarose gels using MOPS as running buffer (Quality Biologicals, Gaithersburg, MD), and then transferred to an Optitran nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH). The membranes were hybridized with UAA 3’ untranslated region (UTR), UAA-NC1 (α1 domain and intron 2), and nucleoside diphosphate kinase probes (39) on the tissue distribution blot. The 3’ UTR of LMP7 and LMP7-like were used as probes with RNA made from PBL of 8 sibling sharks, shark Yellow, and 11 wild animals. All blotting was done under high stringency conditions (34).

**RT-PCR**

cDNA was prepared from 5 μg of total RNA from shark Yellow PBL with the Superscript preamplification system for first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Life Technologies). PCR was then performed by 3’ RACE using a 5’Aa A G domain forward primer, 5’-CTCGAGAAGCTTGAATTCGGATCC-3’, and the RACE reverse primer, 5’-CTCAGAAGCTTGAATTCGGATCC-3’ at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and 55°C for 94°C final extension. A 1.7-kb single band was cloned into pCR2.1 TA cloning vector (Invitrogen) and sequenced.

**Results**

**Isolation of shark LMP2 and horn shark LMP7-like**

A 600-bp PCR product was amplified by 5’ RACE from a horn shark spleen cDNA library with a primer specific for LMP2 genes (33). The sequence of this product showed high similarity to all known LMP2 genes, and it was used to screen the same library to isolate LMP2 cDNA clones. The longest horn shark clone (1044 bp, with a 654-bp open reading frame, 304-bp 5’ UTR, and 86-bp 3’ UTR, Fig. 1A) was then used to screen a nurse shark spleen cDNA library. One full-length clone was sequenced and consisted of a 119-bp 5’ UTR, 61-bp 3’ UTR, and 654-bp open reading frame encoding 217 aa (Fig. 1A). The horn and nurse shark clones are 81% identical at the nucleotide level, and the deduced mature nurse shark protein sequence (start at +1 in Fig. 1A) is 87% identical to horn shark LMP2, 67–73% to LMP2 of other species, and 54–62% to proteasome δ (δ throughout the text). Nurse and horn shark LMP2 group with other species’ LMP2, whereas LMP2/δ (an intermediate found only in teleosts (14, 15, 40, 41)) and δ form separate clusters (Fig. 1B).

The nurse shark LMP7-like gene was described previously (31). To determine whether this gene is expressed by other elasmobranchs, we screened a horn shark spleen cDNA library and isolated the orthologous LMP7-like. Rather like the teleost LMP2/δ, the sequence of the resulting horn shark clone forms a cluster with nurse shark LMP7-like in phylogenetic trees, which is equidistant from the LMP7 and X clusters (Fig. 1C). This position of the LMP7-like cluster in the tree suggests that the gene arose not long after the divergence of LMP7 and X, and indeed it may be present in all extant cartilaginous.

**Linkage studies of TAP, LMP, and class I**

In the family of 39 nurse sharks, 13 segregating groups (a–m) were identified previously from classical class I (UAA) RFLP typing (1). Now we have extended the linkage analysis for LMP2, LMP7 (31), LMP7-like (31), TAP1, and TAP2 (30). Representative Southern blots of 20 of these siblings are shown in Fig. 2, and summaries of all experiments are shown in Tables I and II. TAP1 provided limited RFLP and formed only three groups (denoted a–c under the TAP1 blot in Fig. 2, a = U/L, b = L, c = U; U and L designate the upper and lower bands, respectively, in Tables I and II), but each group matched perfectly to the class I groups. RFLP for LMP2, LMP7 (EcoRI), and TAP2 were found only for paternal MHC haplotypes within groups d, e, g, and h (marked with dashes at the side of each blot, and see Table II). One weakly hybridizing LMP7 band was shown not to be MHC linked (BamHI digest in Fig. 2).

On the LMP7-like blot, there are two sets of segregating loci (indicated as (1) and (2)). The three groups of (1) bands (a = U/L, b = U, c = L, shown on the bottom of the blot) matched the class

![FIGURE 2](http://www.jimmunol.org/Downloadedfromhttp://www.jimmunol.org/)

**FIGURE 2.** Linkage of LMP7, LMP2, LMP7-like, TAP1, and TAP2 to the nurse shark MHC. Southern blot analyses with 20 siblings from the family with 39 offspring (1). Re were: BamHI for TAP1; BamHI/EcoRI for LMP7-like; PvuII for TAP2; and LMP2, EcoRI, and BamHI for LMP7. Lower case letters at the side of each blot indicate MHC groups, and large arrows indicate the non-MHC-linked bands. Two loci revealed on the LMP7-like blot are indicated as (1) and (2), and groups are shown under the blot. Segregants sorted with previous UAA typing (1) are shown above the TAP1 blot as MHC groups. TAP1 groups are shown under TAP1 blot. M, mother; Sib, siblings (numbers indicate individuals from Ref. 1). Band sizes are as follows: TAP2: e, g, 9 kb; d, h, 6.5 kb. Non-MHC: 5, 3.5 kb; e, d, g, h, 2.5 kb. LMP7: EcoRI, 3 kb; BamHI, 9 kb. TAP1: 10, 9 kb. LMP2: e, d, g, h, 6.6 kb; c, g, 6 kb. LMP7-like: (1) 5.3 and 4.8 kb; (2) 6.3 and 4.4 kb.
Table I. Summary of maternal RFLP in mother and individual siblings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sexa</th>
<th>Mother</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>Yolk sac diameter (cm)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>Body length (cm)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>MHC groupb</td>
<td>LMP7-like set</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>Maternal haplotype</td>
<td>LMP7-like set</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m1</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>UAA allele</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>LMP7-like (3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>LMP7 non-MHC (BanHI)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexa</td>
<td>UAA-NC1</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td>U/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  30  31  32  33  34  35  36  37  38  39

Table II. Summary of paternal RFLP and haplotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MHC Groupc</th>
<th>UAA allele</th>
<th>Leaderd</th>
<th>LMP2</th>
<th>LMP7 (EcoRI)</th>
<th>LMP7-like e</th>
<th>TAP1</th>
<th>TAP2 (9, 6.5, 2.5 kb)</th>
<th>Paternal-Maternal Haplotype</th>
<th>No. of Siblings</th>
<th>Yolk Sac Average Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Body Length Range (cm)</th>
<th>Predicted Father</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>UU/U</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>p1-m1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>23–24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>UU/U</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>p1-m2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>L/L/L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>p2-m2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>23–27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>L/L/L</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>p2-m1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>23.5–27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>L/L/L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>p3-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.5–28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>L/U</td>
<td>U, L</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>p4-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>25.5–28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>L/U</td>
<td>U, L</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>p5-m2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28–31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>L/U</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>p6-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>24–25.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>L/L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>p7-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>L/L</td>
<td>U, L</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>p8-m2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>ML/U</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>p9-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>ML/L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>ML/L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>p10-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>ML/L</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>p11-m2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25.5–29</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data taken from Ref. 1.

The group b allele leader band migrates slightly faster than groups i and j.

I MHC groups perfectly, and the presence vs absence of the set of bands precisely matched paternal haplotypes in groups d, e, g, and h; thus, both 1 and 2 LMP7-like genes are MHC linked, but only one set of bands is found in all haplotypes (and see later).

RFLP of all 39 siblings are summarized in Table I, in which results with the maternal UAA class I allele domain are shown to illustrate the inheritance of maternal haplotypes identified definitively from siblings having distinctive paternal bands (1). The predicted paternal haplotype m1 was in 18 offspring and is L (UAA), U (TAP1), and U (LMP7-like 1); m2 was in 21 offspring and is U (UAA), L (TAP1), and L (LMP7-like 1); neither maternal haplotype contains the LMP7-like set of bands. Unfortunately, no LMP2, LMP7, or TAP2 RFLP were detected for the maternally-related haplotypes; nevertheless, as mentioned above, several paternal haplotypes had distinctive RFLP mapping to MHC for all of these genes.

Sorting out paternal haplotypes

Twelve paternal haplotypes were detected (p1 through p12), demonstrating that there were at least six fathers of this family (Table II). Besides the MHC-linked genes, we used the non-MHC-linked LMP7 RFLP (Fig. 2) and other nonlinked genes (data not shown), as well as body and yolk sac size, to determine haplotype pairs for each father. The p1 and p2 haplotypes had RFLP for the nonlinked LMP7, strongly suggesting that they were derived from one father (designated father 1, responsible for 17 of 39 offspring). In addition,
siblings belonging to the four groups, a, f, d, and h, were younger than the others, having larger yolk sacs and smaller body sizes. Unfortunately, no other haplotypes produced RFLP, or yolk sac and body size were not informative enough to discriminate the pairs (the e and g groups had the same maternal RFLP for all genes tested; however, yolk sac and body length in these two groups are distinct, suggesting different fathers, or the same father after a long refractory period). Interestingly, there is an allelic preference for father 1 since 76% (13 of 17) of the offspring have the p2 haplotype. We observed the same phenomenon in another family of 18 nurse shark pups: one haplotype in a family encode at least one complete LMP7-like gene (there are additional bands not shown in Figs. 2 and 3B). As with the LMP7 gene, we have observed at least one complete LMP7-like gene (Figs. 2 and 3B). As with the LMP7 gene in cosmid 32, LMP7-like 1 is a pseudogene, as only exon 1 was identified from sequencing analysis. In summary, the cosmid clones bearing the nurse shark MHC-linked classical class I genes were isolated, and such genes are closely linked to proteasome gene fragments.

To prove conclusively that some animals lacked a complete LMP7-like gene, we performed Northern blots with PBL RNA from eight siblings, three positive (groups d and h) and five negative for the set of bands (Fig. 2 and Table II), and with shark Yellow, which is also positive (Fig. 3B). Only those animals that were band positive on the Southern blot expressed LMP7-like transcripts, while all nine animals expressed LMP7 (Fig. 5A).

To further investigate expression of the LMP7 and LMP7-like genes, we performed Northern blots of 11 unrelated (to our knowledge) nurse sharks. As expected, the mother of the family lacking the set of LMP7-like bands detected by Southern blotting (Figs. 2 and 3B) did not express this gene (Fig. 5B). However, we were surprised to find that only four of the wild animals expressed LMP7, correlating with the presence of a 2.8-kb RFLP detected on the Southern blot (arrow in Fig. 5B). Thus, from the family study and examination of the wild animals, functional LMP7 and LMP7-like behave like alleles (pseudoalleles), but LMP7 and

sibling-specific hybridization band in genomic DNA (Figs. 3A and 2, LMP7 BamHI blot). Two LMP7-positive fragments were identified in cosmid 32, and sequence of the Xba-BamHI fragment revealed LMP7 exon 4 (Fig. 3C, accession AF357928). Exon 5 was identified by Southern blotting and PCR with exon-specific primers and sequencing of the product (data not shown). An exon 6 (3’ UTR)-specific probe did not hybridize to cosmid 32; furthermore, none of the fragments upstream from exon 4 hybridized with the full-length LMP7 probe, and since no other non-MHC-linked bands were detected on the genomic Southern blot with the probe, this gene must be truncated. PCR was then performed with cosmid 32 as template and primers designed to amplify the UAA a1 to a3 domain-coding regions. The sequence of this class I gene in cosmid 32 (UAA-NC2) has two stop codons in the exon encoding the a2 domain and one in the a3 domain-encoding exon (Fig. 4), and the intron length and sequence between the a1 and a2 domain-encoding exons are also not conserved compared with UAA, UAA-NC2 having 326 bp rather than 108 bp in UAA.

In summary, this cosmid clone contains a pseudogene related to class la and an incomplete LMP7 gene, and this syntenic cluster is not linked to the functional MHC.

As mentioned above, we previously showed that there is only one class Ia gene per MHC haplotype in the nurse shark (1). Two cosmid clones (clones 19 and 65) were mapped (Fig. 3C) and shown to bear class Ia genes with sequences precisely matching the two expressed UAA cDNAs expressed by shark Yellow, the presumed alleles (UAA03 and UAA05, Fig. 4). To identify the weakly hybridizing LMP7 band in each cosmid, the 1.9-kb ClaI/SmaI DNA fragment was subcloned, and the sequence revealed an additional UAA-NC2 cosmid 32 (Fig. 5A), and the intron length and sequence downstream of LMP7-like exon 1). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B). As expected from mapping of the cosmids (the polymorphic BamHI site downstream of LMP7-like exon 1), shark Yellow, like the mother of the family shown in Fig. 2, has both bands (U/L bands, respectively, detected on the genomic blot in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3B).
The 1-kb fragment so amplified by PCR was performed to amplify the region encompassing exons 5 and 6 from genomic DNA. The 1-kb fragment so amplified by PCR was performed to amplify the region encompassing exons 5 and 6 from genomic DNA. Since the deletion occurred near the exon-intron boundary, the sequence) that might promote alternative splicing of UAA-NC1 (Fig. 7). In addition, a clone that was 3 nt shorter at the end of exon 5 (shown as a bracket above the sequence) revealed that all the missing nucleotides were indeed present in genomic DNA, and the intron sequence was highly conserved between UAA and UAA-NC1. However, 3 nt were deleted from the middle of exon 5 (shown as a bracket above the sequence), and there are some nucleotide replacements (asterisks above the sequence) that might promote alternative splicing of UAA-NC1 transcripts (Fig. 7).

### TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α1 domain</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAA01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAA09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAA03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cosm3-65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cosm19</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAA-NC1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAA01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-cw3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α2 domain</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAA01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAA03</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAA-NC1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-cw3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIGURE 4

Amino acid comparison of all nurse shark class I and human HLA-Cw3. GenBank accession numbers are: UAA01 (AF220063), UAA02 (AF220358), UAA09 (AF220359), UAA05 (AF357925), UAA03 (AF357924), UAA-NC1 (AF220360), UAA-NC2 from cosmid 32 (AF357926), UCA01 (AF028557), and HLA-cw3 (M84172). Dashes and dots show sequences identical to UAA01 and gaps, respectively. Predicted peptide-binding residues and catalytic sites (48) are indicated as p and k above and under the sequences, respectively. 7R is in boldface, and the regions that differ dramatically between the two class I alleles are bracketed. Three stop codons in the cosmid 32 class I clone are shown as *.

LMP7-like gene fragments are nevertheless present in all haplotypes (Figs. 2, 5B, 9, and 10). The presence of two LMP7 alleles with quite different sequences is reminiscent of the situation in Xenopus, in which ancient LMP7 lineages have been detected (23) (see below).

### Other nonclassical class I

In our previous study, we isolated a nonclassical class I gene (UAA-NC1, accession AF220360) by PCR with the primer set used to amplify UAA from genomic DNA (1). UAA-NC1-specific bands were amplified using the family were detected (Fig. 6), but the UAA-NC1 groups matched neither the MHC groups nor the non-MHC-linked TAP2 and LMP7 RFLP (Fig. 2 and Table I). To further understand the UAA-NC1 protein structure, the nurse shark spleen cDNA library was screened, resulting in isolation of a 2072-bp clone. Amino acid identity to Gici-UAA is 81% in leader, 61% in α2, and 75% in α3 domains (Fig. 4). There is a 186-bp short interspersed elements retrotransposon element in the 3′ UTR not detected in UAA, and this element may have been involved in movement of UAA-NC1 out of the MHC (42). The beginning of the transmembrane domain is highly conserved, but there is a 49-nt deletion compared with UAA, resulting in a downstream frameshift UAA-NC1, accession AF220360) by PCR with the primer set used to amplify UAA from genomic DNA (1).

### Discussion

In this study, we show that TAP1, TAP2, LMP7, LMP7-like, and LMP2 are linked to classical class I and class II genes in the nurse shark MHC. One set of haplotypes in 19 of 39 siblings has a functional, expressed LMP7-like gene and apparently more than one LMP2 gene or gene fragment (Fig. 9). In groups d, e, g, k, l, and m, the LMP2 U band seems to segregate with the very top band (~15 kb in Fig. 2, e.g., compare siblings 4 and 5 in which this uppermost band is approximately half of the intensity in sib 5), but the LMP2 L band is found only in groups e, g, k, l, and m, suggesting the presence of additional genes or gene fragments. Whether there is a second expressed LMP2 gene in elasmobranchs, and whether this gene is related to the novel teleost LMP2 (PMSB11) gene and apparently more than one LMP2 gene or gene fragment (Fig. 9). In groups d, e, g, k, l, and m, the LMP2 U band seems to segregate with the very top band (~15 kb in Fig. 2, e.g., compare siblings 4 and 5 in which this uppermost band is approximately half of the intensity in sib 5), but the LMP2 L band is found only in groups e, g, k, l, and m, suggesting the presence of additional genes or gene fragments. Whether there is a second expressed LMP2 gene in elasmobranchs, and whether this gene is related to the novel teleost LMP2 (PMSB11) gene and apparently more than one LMP2 gene or gene fragment (Fig. 9). In groups d, e, g, k, l, and m, the LMP2 U band seems to segregate with the very top band (~15 kb in Fig. 2, e.g., compare siblings 4 and 5 in which this uppermost band is approximately half of the intensity in sib 5), but the LMP2 L band is found only in groups e, g, k, l, and m, suggesting the presence of additional genes or gene fragments. Whether there is a second expressed LMP2 gene in elasmobranchs, and whether this gene is related to the novel teleost LMP2 (PMSB11) gene and apparently more than one LMP2 gene or gene fragment (Fig. 9). In groups d, e, g, k, l, and m, the LMP2 U band seems to segregate with the very top band (~15 kb in Fig. 2, e.g., compare siblings 4 and 5 in which this uppermost band is approximately half of the intensity in sib 5), but the LMP2 L band is found only in groups e, g, k, l, and m, suggesting the presence of additional genes or gene fragments.
might be altered in animals that express both LMP7 genes compared with those with only one. Fig. 11 displays stereoimages of LMP7, LMP7-like, and the constitutive enzyme LMPX, modeled onto the crystal structure of yeast LMPX (45). Residues in red include the active site Thr-1, the obligatory (proton acceptor?) Lys33, and Met45, which is believed to coordinate chymotryptic-like cleavages (after hydrophobic residues) in this active site (46). Five residues in positions 28 (green), 29 (yellow), 30 (blue), 31 (black), and 32 (purple) (Ser-Gln-Thr-Val-Lys, Ser-Val-Asp-Ala-Asn, and Thr-Gln-Met-Phe-Lys in LMPX, LMP7, and LMP7-like, respectively) are diverse among the three proteins (31), and their positions relative to the active site are displayed. Note especially the proximity of the bulky Phe31 (black) to Met45 in LMP7-like as compared with Ala31 in LMP7 and Val31 in LMPX. We think that it would be surprising if these differences in the homologous catalytic subunits did not alter the spectrum of peptides produced by proteasomes containing them. Amazingly, for species separated from each other by \( \frac{1}{4} \) to 500 million years, the two old allelic lineages of Xenopus LMP7 genes also differ from each other primarily in
the vicinity of the catalytic site, one lineage having Phe\textsuperscript{31} and the other Ala\textsuperscript{31} (Ref. 23 and Y. Ohta, S. J. Powis, and M. F. Flajnik, manuscript in preparation). Although we are just beginning our analyses of the inducible proteasome genes in nonmammalian vertebrates, based upon 1) the very old gene/allelic lineages for several MHC genes (22–24, 47); 2) different proteasome genes, in particular MHC haplotypes (23; this study); and 3) the close linkage of proteasome genes to classical class I (6, 11, 13, 15, 17), one can propose that the generation of diverse sets of peptides by immunoproteasomes may be more crucial for adaptive responses in these nonmammalian species than in mice or humans.

In addition to having more genes or gene fragments, RFLP for TAP2, LMP2, LMP7, and LMP7-like were more apparent in the group d, e, g, h, k, and l paternal haplotypes. One possible explanation for these findings is that there are only two major nurse shark MHC allelic lineages and only one lineage generates RFLP with the restriction enzymes that we used. To date, our analysis has shown two distinct class Ia molecules in all nurse sharks tested that are very different at the C terminus of the \( \alpha_1 \) helix, one having 77 DILSK and the other 77 KTALE (bracketed in Fig. 4). This polymorphic region itself could be recognized by different sets of NK cell receptors (e.g., see Ref. 48 for NK receptor interactions with a human killer inhibitory receptor), or perhaps different arrays of peptides generated, depending upon the constellation of inherited proteasome genes, may alter the orientation of this class I helical region recognized by TCR and/or NK cell receptors. It appears that the p1 and p2 haplotypes were inherited from one father, but the p2 haplotype was more successful than p1 in being perpetuated; the

![FIGURE 9. Hypothetical organization of nurse shark MHC haplotypes. All genes identified in this report and previous reports are shown in boxes, and LMP7-like and LMP7 pseudogenes are shown as dark-shaded boxes and \( \psi \), respectively. The second LMP2 gene (fragment) is shown as a lightly shaded box. The order of genes and intergenic distances are not to scale (and are unknown). TAP2, LMP7, and LMP2 were definitively shown to map to MHC only in haplotypes from the P2, P4, and P5 groups.](http://www.jimmunol.org/)

![FIGURE 10. Postulated evolutionary progression of the pseudoallelic nature of LMP7 and LMP7-like. This model is based upon the expression analyses (Fig. 5) and the fact that LMP7 and LMP7-like gene fragments (i.e., incomplete genes) are detected in MHC haplotypes from which the functional, homologous gene is not present.](http://www.jimmunol.org/)

![FIGURE 11. Stereoimage models of shark LMPX, LMP7, and LMP7-like proteins, based upon the crystal structure of the yeast orthologue of LMPX (45). PDB files were created in the program Homology Modeling (http://www.embl.de:1100/WIWWWI/). The models were generated in the three-dimensional imaging program MolScript (http://www.avatar.se/molscript/). See text for details of designations for the particular amino acid residues.](http://www.jimmunol.org/)
p2 haplotype in the d and h groups had RFLP for LMP2, LMP7, and TAP2, and contains a functional LMP7-like gene. Perhaps such a haplotype provided an advantage to offspring through expression of the LMP7-like gene, the particular class I allele, or other genes in the haplotype, so that survival was enhanced, but there are many other explanations for this finding that must be explored.

To date, four class I genes have been isolated from the nurse shark, one classical gene, and three nonclassical class I genes. The nonclassical UCA (formerly Gict-11 (34)) was the first gene to be cloned, and it is expressed in a similar fashion to UAA; unfortunately, we have not been able to map this class I gene because of its low level of polymorphism. UAA-NC1, isolated by a PCR “accident” with primers designed for UAA, was shown in this study not to map to MHC, despite its high sequence similarity to UAA. Some UAA alleles are more similar to UAA-NC1 than others (seen as cross-hybridization in groups d and h in Fig. 6), suggesting that UAA-NC1 arose from one particular UAA lineage. The third nonclassical class I UAA-NC2 was found in a cosmid clone bearing a truncated LMP7 gene. This pseudogene complex was probably the result of recent duplication event, which indicates that MHC class Ia was closely linked to LMP7 in the MHC. In fact, all of the class I genes found on the cosmid clones isolated to date are linked to an LMP7 (or 7-like) gene fragment. In the teleosteans Fugu (13, 40) and zebrafish (15, 41), class Ia genes are also physically linked to LMP7 genes (or gene fragments), suggesting that this close physical linkage is ancient.

Based upon Southern blotting analyses with a variety of probes under low stringency conditions, UAA may be the only class I gene linked to MHC. The same feature is found for the Xenopus MHC, in which only one class I gene of the classical type is MHC linked (49), while a large set of linked nonclassical class I genes is found in another gene complex half a chromosome away (50, 51). In chickens, two class I genes (one highly expressed) sandwich the TAP1 and TAP2 genes, and Kaufman and colleagues (11, 21, 52) have proposed that the tight linkage has allowed the particular class I and TAP alleles to coevolve; furthermore, like in frogs, several nonclassical chicken class I genes are found a great distance away from the true MHC on the same chromosome (53). In the banded houndshark Triakis scyllium, there are one or two classical class I genes depending on the haplotype examined (54), and the majority of teleost species examined rigorously (except cod (29) and cichlid (25, 26)) have relatively few class I genes in the class I region. Previously, others and we have suggested that close linkage of class I/proteasome/TAP genes constitutes a class I region, in which the genes involved in class I processing and presentation coevolve (10, 21). A large number of class I genes are not optimal in this situation, as this would probably result in rapid evolution of class I by gene conversion or other recombinations known to occur in mammals (55). The nurse shark seems to have carried this feature to the extreme, with even recently duplicated class I genes being exiled from the MHC proper. Thus, we predict that the shark class I genes will also be closely linked to and coevolving with TAP and proteasome genes in a true class I region, consistent with our preliminary analysis of the cosmid clones.

Other cosmid clones containing TAP and proteasome genes have been isolated, and we are currently sequencing and analyzing such clones (in collaboration with T. Shima and H. Inoko, Tohoku University, Isehara, Japan). Examination of these clones will be helpful in comparing with other species to infer the primordial MHC organization. For example, recent work has shown that the third inducible proteasome gene MECL-1, while not linked to the MHC in mammals, is found in the teleost MHC class I region (13, 40, 56), consistent with predictions of large scale duplications being involved in the genesis of MHC (57); linkage of this gene, or other proteasome genes, to shark MHC will further test the duplication model. Since shark classical class II genes are linked to class I, it will be interesting as well to contrast the organization of all shark MHC regions with those of teleosts, in which the classical class II genes have been expelled to another region of the genome (14, 18). Finally, we may uncover genes in the shark MHC involved in Ag presentation that are no longer found in MHCs of more recently derived vertebrates.
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